

Research Article





Prevalence of hypertension, arterial stiffness and risk factor association

Abstract

Background: Cardiovascular diseases are the main cause of mortality worldwide and are directly linked to their risk factors, highlighting systemic arterial hypertension (SAH). Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is a predictor of cardiovascular risk. Its increase directly implies morbidity and mortality.

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of SAH and arterial stiffness in a community in Salvador-BA to stratify and verify association according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

Method: Cross-sectional study in the Vale do Ogunjá, Salvador-BA. Sociodemographic variables were analyzed using a questionnaire survey. The examinations were performed at the FTC Clinic, such as the measurement of arterial stiffness using the SphygmoCor applanation tonometer (Atcor Medical Blood Pressure Analysis System, Sydney, Australia). Prevalence was used as a measure of occurrence, Prevalence Ratio (PR) and several confidence intervals (95% CI) as a measure of association, estimated as a function of Odds Ratio using binary logistic regression. The 5% level of statistical significance was adopted.

Results: 151 individuals were studied, the prevalence of SAH was 30.46% and Arterial Stiffness was 25.2%. There was an association between these variables and the chance of having arterial stifness in the presence of SAH is 3.7 times greater in relation to non-hypertensive patients, PR 3.7 (p <0.05; 95% CI: 1.6-8, 5). SAH was associated with age, dysglycemia and overweight, while arterial stiffness was associated with age between 40 to 74years, low education and obesity.

Conclusion: There was a prevalence of SAH 30.7% and arterial stiffness 25.8%, and an association of exposure with age and BMI was also observed.

Keywords: hypertension, arterial stiffness, epidemiology, prevalence, pulse wave analysis

Volume 13 Issue 6 - 2020

Antonio de Assis Oliveira Alves Filho, Rafael Oliveira Lopes, Roberta de Castro Araújo Cunha, Juan Jailson Oliveira Almeida Costa, Larissa Ribeiro Bessa, Rodrigo Lins Sant'Ana de Lima, Alana dos Reis Silva, Magno Conceição das Merçês, Diorlene Oliveira da Silva, Cecília Freitas da Silva Araújo, Nivaldo Menezes Filgueiras Filho, Lucélia Batista Neves Cunha Magalhães

¹Department of Cardiology, Salvador University, Brazil ²Department of Cardiology, University of Technology and Science, Brazil

Correspondence: Antonio Alves Filho, UniFTC - University of Technology and Science, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil, Tel +5571992523971, Email antonioassisofilho@gmail.com

Received: November 25, 2020 | Published: December 31, 2020

Introduction

Arterial hypertension (AH) is the most common disease in adulthood. In Brazil, the estimated prevalence of SAH in 2018 was 24.7% in adults and above 60.9% in the elderly population. Frequently associated with metabolic disorders, functional or structural changes in target organs, and aggravated by the presence of other risk factors (RF), such as dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity, glucose intolerance and diabetes mellitus (DM). Although SAH is directly related to age, some factors predispose the disease at an early stage, such as obesity. DM4 and physical inactivity, which are directly linked to oxidative tissue stress and chronic inflammation. In addition, it causes a high social cost and is the main risk factor for cardiovascular mortality.

Vascular stiffening is characterized as an important cardiovascular risk factor and other comorbidities.⁶ The arterial stiffness is a complex phenomenon that occurs due to decreased complacency of the large vessels, generally associated with aging and other conditions, such as diabetes mellitus, atherosclerosis, obesity⁴ and chronic kidney disease (CKD).⁷

The use of the Carotid to Femoral Pulse Wave Velocity (cf-PWV) by the Sphygmocor device is generally considered the reference standard (gold) for assessing arterial stiffness, ^{6,8} with greater precision, and being a non-invasive method. Arterial stiffening is influenced by hemodynamic forces and extrinsic factors such as hormones^{4,7} and inflammatory meters, ⁹ which may be related to glucose and sodium intake. Currently, PWV is a strong predictor of cardiovascular risk and all causes of mortality, in addition to an increase in mortality of 14% in differences of 1m/s in its measurement.¹⁰

Arterial stiffness has been increasingly used as a predictor of cardiovascular risk, showing great impact in relation to mortality¹¹ in addition to being considered a target organ injury when it is out of normal.⁶ PWV emerged as the gold standard method due to its relative ease of measurement, its accuracy and the large number of evidence demonstrating its association with the incidence of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), regardless of the risk factors of the studied populations.^{4,5,11,12}

Due to the clinical importance of SAH and arterial stiffness, in addition to the high cost generated to public health, the present





study aims to estimate the prevalence of these clinical conditions in a distinguished clinic in Salvador/BA, besides stratifying according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics and to verify associations between exposures with the characteristics set out above.

Materials and methods

This work is executed in a large study called Project VASCOR, which aims to investigate an association between metabolic syndrome, chronic low-intensity inflammatory state and arterial stiffness in the community located in the Vale do Ogunjá, in Salvador, Bahia.

Study design and type

Cross-sectional, observational, analytical, population-based study in the Vale do Ogunjá, located in the Acupe de Brotas neighborhood, Salvador, Bahia. The cut of this study corresponds to the data collected from the period from Dec/2016 to May/2019.

Population: The target population of the study consists of individuals living in the neighborhood of Vale do Ogunjá, Acupe de Brotas, both genders, aged over 18 years. Bedridden, pregnant women, employees of the FTC clinic and refusals during the period from December 2016 to May 2019 were excluded. A simple random sample of 301 individuals was estimated, a sampling error of 4.5% was considered, a sampling error of 5%, including 25% of losses, from an area divided into 12 census sectors. According to data from the IBGE Census (2010), the region has 7,450 adult residents.

Instruments for data production

Forms were filled out from an interview with the patients, with objective and descriptive questions regarding the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the patients. Blood pressure measurements are made at the FTC Clinic, using the Omron 110 HPB device, calibrated with "adult standard" and "large adult" cuffs. 13,14 Three measurements were taken on the patient's left arm, in supine position, with rest of at least 5 minutes and with intervals of 1 minute between measurements, the use of caffeine, cigarettes was ruled out and the patient was not bladder filled. The measurement used for blood pressure is the average between the last two measurements, approximating the number above the non-integer number.

Anthropometric measurements, weight and height, will be performed with an anthropometric standard calibration scale certified by INMETRO.¹⁵ Weight will be determined in kilograms and height in meters, using a scale stadiometer. The material for complementary blood tests will be collected in the same unit, fasting for 12hours or more. The biochemical analyzes of fasting blood glucose and lipid profile (total cholesterol, fractions and triglycerides), were performed by the automated method, using immunochemistry and immunoassay techniques (A25 system, BIOSYSTEMS SA, Barcelona, Spain).

Pulse wave speed

The carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity was measured non-invasively using the SphygmoCor applanation tonometer (Atcor Medical Blood Pressure Analysis System, Sydney Australia). The methodology used by SphygmoCor is to assess the peak of the R wave, associated with the beginning of the pulse wave and its morphological evaluation. ^{16,17} The PWV measurement is generally

accepted as the simplest, most robust, and reproducible method for determining arterial stiffness. The carotid and femoral pulse waves are measured right after the QRS complex of the EKG and the time taken to get from the carotid to the femoral is calculated. That is, the peak of the R wave until the beginning of the pulse wave, in consecutive waves automatically calculated by the SphygmoCor. The femoral carotid length was measured in millimeters with an inelastic tape and the final value was corrected by the constant 0.80. Only measures with standard deviation were used, in the case of PWV, less than or equal to $10\%.^{18}$

Description of variables and abnormality criteria

Dependent variables

Hypertensive individuals were added according to self-reported use of antihypertensive medications or prior diagnosis or have presented systolic pressure $\geq\!180\text{mmHg}$ or diastolic pressure $\geq\!110\text{mmHg}.^2$ Arterial stiffness was classified with corrected cf-PWV values $\geq\!10\text{m/s}.^{18}$

Independent variables

The age group defined in years and categorized by age groups in 3 groups: <40 years old, 40 and 75 years old and> 75 years oldand more. Gender was defined as male and female, according to current documentation. The race/color was self-defined by the individuals by their skin color in white, black/mulatto, brown/mulatto and others defined by the Brazilian demographic censuses. Marital status was defined as single, married/civil union, divorced. Schooling was defined as low, representing incomplete or complete elementary school and illiterate (up to the 5th year of 1st grade). Defined as médium, representing incomplete or complete high school, between 6th year of 1st grade and 3rd year of 2nd grade. And high in incomplete or complete higher education. Family income was defined as the number of minimum wages in the family environment. Dyslipidemia was defined by total cholesterol >190mg/dL, HDL <40mg/dL or LDL >130mg/dL. Smoking by current smoker, regardless of smoking burden. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated according to the quetelet index, calculated as follows: weight/height2 and the current weight classification using the Quetelet index, World Health Organization (WHO), defined as Eutrophic <25kg/m², overweight ≥25kg/m² and <30kg/m² and obesity ≥30kg/m². Dysglycemia was characterized by a previous diagnosis of DM, use of current treatment or fasting blood glucose >100mg/dL. Abdominal/central obesity was considered with values in females> 80cm and in males> 94cm.

Data analysis

The data were entered into a GoogleDocs form after typing, exported to the STATA v.12 statistical software. The initial data analysis consisted of the descrip

tion of the sociodemographic and clinical profile of the study population stratified in two previously defined outcomes SAH and arterial stiffness. The first outcome, Systemic Arterial Hypertension, consisted of two groups of patients with and without hypertension and the second outcome, Arterial Stiffness consisted of two groups with an increased chance of cardiovascular events when PWV \geq 10m/s and the second, smaller group with risk of cardiovascular events PWV<10m/s, excluding patients with index PWV>10.

After, in order to assess the chance of occurrence and the magnitude of the association of SAH and arterial stiffness in the study population, measures of occurrence and association of the increased chance for cardiovascular events were obtained according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics.

Descriptive and dispersion measures (mean and standard deviation) and univariate and bivariate frequency distributions were used. To verify proportional differences between the groups, Pearson's Chisquare and Fisher's Exact tests (frequencies <5) were used for the nominal categorical variables and the Chi-square Trend test for the ordinal categorical variables.

Prevalence was used as a measure of occurrence and the Prevalence Ratio (PR) and respective confidence intervals (95%CI) as an association measure, estimated according to Odds Ratio (OR) using binary logistic regression. The 5% level of statistical significance was adopted.

Ethical aspects

The project follows the Declaration of Helsinki (Helsinki VII), being submitted to the CEP of the UniFTC which approved it through protocol N°1827621 according to the ethical precepts of Resolution 466/2012 of the National Health Council. Before the interview, the entire research process was explained. The informed consent form was read and signed. All care with the security and confidentiality of information was ensured. Each participant is given the results of the exams, a brief report and priority to follow-up at the Integrated Teaching and Health Center (Clínica FTC do Ogunjá). All participants signed a Free and Informed Consent Form.

Results

151 individuals were evaluated. The global sample was constituted predominantly by the female gender, the average age was 48.2 (±16.9) years, predominant age group between 40 and 74years old and medium schooling level. One of the individuals evaluated did not report their race/color, marital status, smoking habits, as well as their level of education. Therefore, these variables were only evaluated in 150 individuals.

Regarding the sample population, there is a predominance of self-declared blacks/browns, single and non-smokers. Family income was reported by 144 patients, with a predominance of 1 to 3 minimum wages (Table 1). Laboratory tests were carried out on 148 individuals, showing a predominance of normoglycemic and non-dyslipidemic individuals. LDL values showed an average of 125.6mg/dL (±39.2), HDL of 53.6mg/dL (±15.3), fasting blood glucose of 102.6mg/dL (±30.6). Anthropometric measurements were performed on 147 individuals, indicating a predominance of eutrophic individuals (Table 1).

Blood pressure was measured in all individuals and the sample was stratified into 2 groups (hypertensive and non-hypertensive). There was a predominance of normotensive individuals, 69.54%, and SAH was observed in 30.46% of the individuals evaluated. There were statistically significant proportional differences between normotensive and hypertensive patients, for the variables age group, BMI, waist circumference and capillary blood glucose (p<0.05).

The groups were homogeneous in relation to sociodemographic characteristics, dyslipidemia and smoking (p>0.05) (Table 1). Among hypertensive individuals the average age was 56.1 (±16.6) years, while in non-hypertensive individuals it was 44.7 (±15.9) years. In view of hypertensive patients, there was a higher frequency of females, aged 40 to 74years old, self-reported color black/brown, single marital status, medium education level, family income of 1 to 3 minimum wages, BMI obesity, fasting glucose <100mg/dL, non-dyslipidemic and non-smokers (93.5%) (Table 1).

Among hypertensive individuals the average age was 56.1 ± 16.6) years, while in non-hypertensive individuals it was 44.7 ± 15.9) years. In view of hypertensive patients, there was a higher frequency of females, aged 40 to 74 years old, self-reported color black/brown, single marital status, medium education level, family income of 1 to 3 minimum wages, BMI considering obesity , fasting blood glucose <100 mg/dL, non-dyslipidemic and non-smokers (93.5%) (Table 1).

PWV was measured in all individuals in the study, however 20 individuals had unsatisfactory quality of the exam, totaling a sample of 131 individuals. Among all patients, 74.8% had PWV within normal values (<10m/s), however 25.2% had increased values (\pm 10m/s). Analyzing individuals with arterial stiffness and without arterial stiffness, the age group, BMI and SAH variables showed statistically significant proportional differences (p<0.05) (Table 2).

Considering the group of patients with arterial stiffness, there was a predominance of females, aged between 40 and 74years old, self-reported color black/brown, marital status married /civil union, medium education level, family income of 1 to 3minimum wages , overweight BMI, capillary glycemia <100mg/dL, non-smokers, normotensive and abdominal circumference within the normal range (Table 2).

When analyzing the magnitude of the associations identified, by estimating the prevalence ratio (PR), as an outcome for arterial stiffness, it was observed that older age group were more likely to develop arterial stiffness; especially the population between 40 and 74years old who presented 7.5 times more chance compared to those aged <40years old (95% CI: 2.4-23.1). Patients who had less education had a higher chance compared to those with higher level, being 3.5 (95% CI: 1.1-11.7). Analyzing the BMI, obese individuals were 2.9 times more likely to develop arterial stiffness compared to eutrophic individuals (95% CI: 1.0-7.6), this result is not statistically significant. Hypertensive patients are 3.7 times more likely to have arterial stiffness compared to normotensive patients (95% CI: 1.6-8.5). Other associations with arterial stiffness did not show a statistically significant risk (Table 3).

When analyzing the identified associations with SAH as an outcome, it was observed that there was a greater chance of having SAH in older groups compared to those younger than 40 years old; 40-74 years old had 2.7 more chances (95% CI: 1.2-6.2) and ≥ 75 years old had 4.7 more chance (95% CI: 1.1-19.3), when compared with <40 years. Overweight individuals also had 3.3 more chances (95% CI: 1.3 to 8.3); obese individuals were 1.7 times more likely to have SAH (95% CI: 0.7-4.2) when compared to normal weight individuals. Patients considered dysglycemic were also found to have a greater chance of SAH, being 2.4 (95% CI: 1.2-4.9) when compared to normal patients (Table 3).

Table I Proportional distribution of Systemic Arterial Hypertension (SAH) according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of patients seen at the Integrated Health Center (n=151). Salvador-Bahia, 2019

		Hyperten	Total						
/aria	ıble	non-hypertensive 105 (69,5%) hypertensive 46 (30,5%)		– Total	p-value				
	Gender (n=151)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	_				
	Female	71 (67,6)	35 (76,0)	106 (70,2)	- 0.20Fb				
	Male	34 (32,4)	11 (23,9)	45 (29,8)	0,295⁵				
	Age (n=151)								
	< 40years	47 (44,8)	10 (21,7)	57 (37,8)	0 00Ed				
	40-74years	53 (50,5)	31 (67,4)	84 (55,6)	0,005 ^d				
	≥75years	5 (4,8)	5 (10,9)	10 (6,6)					
	Self-reported color (n=150)								
	White	18 (17,1)	7 (15,6)	25 (16,7)					
	Black/Brown	83 (79,0)	36 (80,0)	119 (79,3)	1,000c				
	Others	4 (3,8)	2 (4,4)	6 (4,0)					
	Marital Status (n=150)								
	Married /Civil Union	45 (43,3)	17 (37,0)	62 (41,3)	0,191 ^b				
₹	Single	48 (46,2)	19 (41,3)	67 (44,7)					
֝֝֟֝֟֝֟֝֝֟֝֝֟֝	Divorced /Widowed	11 (10,6)	10 (21,7)	21 (14,0)					
<u>כ</u> ֿ	Education* (n=151)								
Sociodemographic And Clinical	Low	25 (23,8)	14 (30,4)	39 (25,8)	5,4) 0,073 ^b				
חור	Medium	45 (42,9)	25 (54,4)	70 (46,4)					
<u>8</u>	High	35 (33,3)	7 (15,2)	42 (27,8)					
2	Dyslipidemia (n=148)								
	No	83 (81,4)	41 (89,1)	124 (83,8)	U 334p				
5	Yes	19 (18,6)	5 (10,9)	24 (16,2)	0,236 ^b				
	Smoking (n=150)								
	No	93 (89,4)	43 (93,5)	136 (90,7)					
	Yes	11 (10,6)	3 (6,5)	14 (9,3)	0,552°				
	Fasting blood glucose (n=148)								
	Normal	74 (72,6)	24 (52,2)	98 (66,2)	0,024 ^b				
	Diabetic	28 (27,5)	22 (47,8)	50 (33,8)					
	BMI (n=148)								
	Eutrophic/Normal	40 (38,5)	10 (21,7)	50 (38,5)	0,028 ^b				
	Overweight	38 (37,3)	16 (34,8)	54 (37,3)					
	Obesity	24 (31,2)	20 (43,5)	44 (31,2)					
	PWV (n=131)								
	Normal (≤10m/s)	78 (82,1)	20 (55,6)	98 (74,8)					
	High (>10m/s)	17 (17,9)	16 (44,4)	33 (25,2)	0,002ь				
	Family income ^a	· · /		. , ,					
	25 (25,0) 13 (29,6) 38 (26,4)								
	<1minimun wage I a 3minimum wages	-							
	3 a 10minimum wages			(48,6) 30 (20.8)	0,426 ^d				
	>10minimum wages	24 (24,0)	6 (13,6)	30 (20,8) 6 (4.2)					
	· ·	5 (5,0)	I (2,3)	6 (4,2)					
	abdominal circumference	45 (42 5)	15 (31 0)	00 (E3)	0 000h				
	Normal Increased risk	65 (62,5) 39 (37,5)	15 (31,9) 32 (68,1)	80 (53) 71 (47)	0,000ь				

Note: Minimum wage R \$ 954.00; mean age (± sd) =49.4 (±14.2) years; b Pearson's Chi-square test; c Fischer's exact test; d Chi-square Trend Test;

Citation: Filho AAOA, Lopes RO, Cunha RCA, et al. Prevalence of hypertension, arterial stiffness and risk factor association. *J Cardiol Curr Res.* 2020;13(6):167–174. DOI: 10.15406/jccr.2020.13.00497

^{*}Complete and incomplete education levels were aggregated into the same category

Table 2 Proportional distribution of arterial stiffness according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of patients seen at the Integrated Health Center (Centro Integrado de Saúde) (n=131). Salvador-Bahia, 2019

		Adjusted PWV (m	-						
Variables		Normal (74,8%)	Arteria Stiffness (25,8%)	– Total	p-value				
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)					
	Gender (n=131)								
	Female	69 (70,4)	20 (60,6)	89 (67,9)	0.2072				
	Male	29 (29,6)	13 (39,4)	42 (32,0)	0,297ª				
	Age (n=III)								
	<40 years old	49 (50,0)	4 (12,1)	53 (40,5)					
	40 a 74 years old	44 (44,9)	27 (81,8)	71 (54,2)					
	≥75 years old	5 (5,1)	2 (6,1)	7 (44,1)	0,001				
	Self-reported color (n=130)								
	White	16 (16,5)	6 (18,2)	22 (16,9)					
	Black/Brown	76 (78,4)	26 (78,8)	102 (78,5)	1,000b				
	Others	5 (5,1)	I (3,0)	6 (4,6)					
	Marital Status (n=130)								
AS	Married/ Civil union	42 (42,9)	15 (46,9)	57 (43,8)					
SOCIODEMOGRÁFICAS E CLÍNICAS	Single	46 (46,9)	12 (37,5)	58 (44,6)	0,553ª				
CLÍ	Divorced/Widowed	10 (10,2)	5 (15,6)	15 (11,5)					
AS E	Education* (n=131)								
FIC.	Low	21 (21,4)	11 (33,3)	32 (24,4)	0,086ª				
GRÁ	Medium	43 (43,9)	17 (51,5)	60 (45,8)					
Š	High	34 (34,7)	5 (15,2)	39 (29,8)					
ODE	Dyslipidemia (n=128)								
CC	No	81 (85,3)	26 (79,8)	107 (83,6)	0.30 7∘				
Š	Yes	14 (14,7)	7 (21,2)	21 (16,4)	0,387ª				
	Smoking (n=130)								
	No	87 (89,7)	30 (90,9)	117 (90,0)	1,000 ^b				
	Yes	10 (10,3)	3 (9,0)	13 (10,0)					
	Fasting blood glucose (n=128)							
	Normal	67 (70,5)	19 (57,6)	86 (67,1)					
	Diabetic	28 (29,5)	14 (42,4)	42 (32,8)	0,199 ^b				
	BMI (n=127)								
	Eutrophic / Normal	37 (38,5)	8 (25,8)	45 (35,4)					
	Overweight	30 (31,3)	19 (61,3)	49 (38,6)	0,010				
	Obesity	29 (31,2)	4 (12,9)	33 (26,0)	0,010				
	Arterial hypertension (n = 131)								
	Non-hypertensive	78 (79,6)	17 (51,5)	95 (72,5)	0,002 ^b				
	Hypertensive	20 (20,4)	16 (48,5)	36 (27,5)	0,002				

Table Continued...

	Adjusted PWV (m/s)			
Variables	Normal (74,8%)	Arteria Stiffness (25,8%)	– Total	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Family income (n=124)	23(25)	7(21,9)	30(24,2)	
I a 3 minimum wages	43(46,7	17(53,1)	60(48,4)	0,935°
3 a 10 minimum wages	22(23,9)	7(21,9)	29(23,4)	
> 10 minimum wages	4(4,4)	1(3,1)	5(4)	
abdominal circumference**				
(n=131)				
Normal	59(60,2)	17(51,5)	76(58)	51,5
Increased risk	39(39,8)	16(48,5)	55((42)	48,5

Table 3 Prevalence and Prevalence Ratio of cardiovascular risk factors (n=151). Salvador BA. Collection period from Jan/2016 to May/2019

Veriables	Arterial Stiffness (n=131)		Systemic arterial hypertension (n=151)	
Variables	Prevalence(%)	PR (CI95%)	Prevalence (%)	PR ^a (CI95%)
Gender				
Male	22,5	1	33	1
Female	31,0	1,5(0,7-3,5)	24	0,7(0,3-1,4)
Age*				
< 40years old	8	1	18	1
40 - 74years old	38	7,5 (2,4-23,1)	37	2,7 (1,2-6,2)
≥ 75years old	29	4,9 (0,7-3,7)	50	4,7 (1,1-19,3)
Self-reported color				
White	27	1	28	1
Black/ Brown	25	0,9 (0,3-2,6)	30	1,1 (0,4-2,9)
Others	17	0,5 (0,1-5,5)	33	1,2 (0,2-8,6)
Marital Status				
Married/ Civil union	21	0,7 (0,3-1,7)	28	1,0 (0,5-2,3)
Single	26	1	27	1
Divorced / Widowed	33	1,4 (0,4-4,8)	48	2,4 (0,9-6,7)
Education*				
Low	34	3,5 (1,1-11,7)	36	2,8 (1,0-7,9)
Medium	28	2,6 (0,9-8,0)	36	2,7 (1,0-7,2)
High	13	I	17	1
Dyslipidemia				
No	24	I	33	1
Yes	33	1,6 (0,6-4,3)	21	0,5 (0,2-1,5)
Smoking				
No	26	I	32	1
Yes	23	0,87 (0,2-3,3)	21	0,6 (0,2-2,2)

173

Table Continued...

Arterial Stiffness (n=131)		Systemic arterial hypertension (n=151)		
Prevalence(%)	PR (CI95%)	Prevalence (%)	PR ^a (CI95%)	
22	I	24	I	
33	1,8 (0,8-4,0)	44	2,4 (1,2-4,9)	
18	I	25	I	
39	0,6 (0,2-2,3)	29	3,3 (1,3-8,3)	
12	2,9 (1,1-7,6)	45	1,7 (0,7-4,2)	
18,0	1	20,4		
44,4	3,7 (1,6-8,5)	48,5		
	Prevalence(%) 22 33 18 39 12	Prevalence(%) 22 1 33 1,8 (0,8-4,0) 18 1 39 0,6 (0,2-2,3) 12 2,9 (1,1-7,6) 18,0 1	Prevalence(%) PR (CI95%) Prevalence (%) 22 I 24 33 I,8 (0,8-4,0) 44 18 I 25 39 0,6 (0,2-2,3) 29 12 2,9 (1,1-7,6) 45 18,0 I 20,4	

Note: *(p<0.05); Prevalence Ratio: estimated as a function of Odds Ratio using binary logistic regression

Discussion

The present study showed a high prevalence of SAH in agreement with others, such as the systematic review of 13 Brazilian articles reported by Passos et al.19 and Lessa et al.20 through their research conducted in Salvador-BA with 1439 individuals. Analyzing the age groups, an increase in prevalence was found with advancing age, according to the literature. 19-22

A higher prevalence of SAH in the female population was observed, differently from that presented by Nogueira et al.22 who demonstrated SAH equivalence between genders. Although there was a predominance of SAH in females in several other studies. 20-23

Socioeconomic differences have a direct impact on health care. The lack of access to prevention, and the lack of knowledge about pathologies directly implies an increase in diseases.²⁴ In this context, when analyzing the educational level of individuals in the current study, a higher prevalence of SAH was found in low and medium education. Individuals who attended high school had twice the prevalence of SAH compared to individuals with higher education, which was also seen by Lobo et al.21 and Piccini et al.25 A large part of the studied population was self-declared brown/black, but there was no significant difference in prevalence in relation to race/color, an analysis also reported by Cipullo et al.²³ in their study. However, Lessa et al.²⁰ found a higher prevalence of SAH in individuals considered black and brown and they had an increased chance of having SAH.

The assessment of associated risk factors is fundamentally important for SAH prevention and treatment. The identification of risk factors and their control helps in the success of treatment.² In the current study, abdominal obesity and high BMI showed an increased prevalence of SAH compared to normal weight individuals, which was also evidenced by Cipullo et al.²³ Lessa et al.²⁰ In their study is shown that there is an increased chance of being hypertensive in obese individuals in relation to eutrophic individuals, not being observed in the current study, in which only overweight individuals had an increased chance of being hypertensive.

In the current study, a higher prevalence of SAH was observed in patients with arterial stiffness according to the literature, 26,27 which shows that high blood pressure levels are associated with vascular stiffness. This condition generates an increased cardiovascular risk and it is a predictor of SAH morbidity and mortality.²⁶⁻³⁰ The

high prevalence of arterial stiffness was found in the present study, which can be associated with local ethnic and sociodemographic characteristics.^{26,31,32} Cunha et al.²⁶ in their study in Portugal, with 2542 individuals observed a prevalence of 18.7% of arterial stiffness, while in the current study an even higher prevalence was observed. The literature explains the increase in arterial stiffness through physiological changes in the composition of large vessels with age, which is the main factor for the development of arterial stiffness.^{33,34} This result was demonstrated in the study.

Safar et al.²⁷ demonstrated, in their study, the association between risk factors such as gender, dyslipidemia and smoking with arterial stiffness in all age groups; in addition, it was demonstrated that in diabetic patients, there was an even greater increase in PWV in relation to the association of the other factors mentioned. In the present study, the risk factors that demonstrated a greater chance of having arterial stiffness were obesity, SAH, low education and advancing age. Muhammad et al.³⁵ demonstrated in their cohort with 2450 individuals that the increase in PWV consequently increases the incidence of DM, however, in the current study it did not show an association between high blood glucose levels and arterial stiffness.

The limitations of this study were common to those presented in the cross-sections, with no temporality and causal relationship being observed. The studied sample consists of a preliminary "n" of a representative population-based study. Within the sample studied, some variables suffered losses. The potential of the study was the assessment of arterial stiffness using a non-invasive method as measured by a gold standard device, all individuals came from their own home and the study allows a cohort to be made later.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrated the prevalence of SAH and arterial stiffness of 30.46% and 25.9%, respectively. It also showed the relationship of SAH with age, education, abdominal obesity, BMI, capillary glycemia and arterial stiffness. Regarding to arterial stiffness exposure factors, there was only a relationship with age, BMI and SAH. It was concluded that there was a relationship between exposure factors with SAH and arterial stiffness and between them. The study is relevant due to the non-invasive assessment of PWV, an important predictor of cardiovascular risk, contributing to assess the profile of high-risk hypertensive patients.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest related to the article.

Funding

None.

References

- http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/vigitel_brasil_2011_fatores_ risco doencas cronicas.pdf
- http://publicacoes.cardiol.br/2014/diretrizes/2016/05_HIPERTENSAO_ ARTERIAL.pdf
- Seravalle G, Grassi G. Obesity and hypertension. Pharmacol Res. 2017;122:1–7.
- Aroor AR, DeMarco VG, Jia G, et al. The Role of Tissue Renin– Angiotensin–Aldosterone System in the Development of Endothelial Dysfunction and Arterial Stiffness. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2013;4:161.
- Cavero–Redondo I, Tudor–Locke C, Álvarez–Bueno C, et al. Steps per Day and Arterial Stiffness: Systematic Review and Meta–Analysis. *Hypertension*. 2019;73(2):350–363.
- Mancia G, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, et al. 2007 Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension: The Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). J Hypertens. 2007;25(6):1105–1187.
- Van Varik BJ, Vossen LM, Rennenberg RJ, et al. Arterial stiffness and decline of renal function in a primary care population. *Hypertens Res*. 2017;40(1):73–78.
- AlGhatrif M, Strait JB, Morrell CH, et al. Longitudinal trajectories of arterial stiffness and the role of blood pressure: The Baltimore longitudinal study of aging. *Hypertension*. 2013;62(5):934–941.
- Labat C, Temmar M, Nagy E, et al. Inflammatory mediators in saliva associated with arterial stiffness and subclinical atherosclerosis. J Hypertens. 2013;31(11):2251–2258.
- Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of Cardiovascular Events and All–Cause Mortality With Arterial Stiffness. A Systematic Review and Meta–Analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55(13):1318–1327.
- Mitchell GF, Hwang SJ, Vasan RS, et al. Arterial stiffness and cardiovascular events: The framingham heart study. Circulation. 2010;121(4):505–511.
- Benetos A, Adamopoulos C, Bureau JM, et al. Determinants of accelerated progression of arterial stiffness in normotensive subjects and in treated hypertensive subjects over a 6-year period. *Circulation*. 2002;105(10):1202-1207.
- Meng L, Zhao D, Pan Y, et al. Validation of Omron HBP-1300 professional blood pressure monitor based on auscultation in children and adults. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2016;16(1):1-5.
- Takahashi H, Yokoi T, Yoshika M. Validation of three automatic devices for the self-measurement of blood pressure according to the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010: the Omron HEM-7130, HEM-7320F, and HEM-7500F. *Blood Press Monit.* 2015 Apr;20(2):92-97.
- http://www.pns.icict.fiocruz.br/arquivos/Novos/Manual-de-Antropometria-pdf

- Doupis J, Papanas N, Cohen A, et al. E Pulse Wave Analysis by Applanation Tonometry for the Measurement of Arterial Stiffness. *Open Cardiovasc Med J.* 2016;10(1):188–195.
- Pires VP. Estudo Comparativo De Medição Da Velocidade Da Onda De Pulso: Vasocheck versus Complior. 2012;
- Van Bortel LM, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, et al. Expert consensus document on the measurement of aortic stiffness in daily practice using carotid– femoral pulse wave velocity. *J Hypertens*. 2012;30(3):445–448.
- Passos VM de A, Assis TD, Barreto SM. Hypertension in Brazil: estimates from population–based prevalence studies. *Epidemiology and Health Services*. 2006;15(1).
- Lessa Í, Magalhães L, Araújo MJ, et al. Arterial hypertension in the adult population of Salvador (BA)—Brazil. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2006;87(6):747– 756
- Lobo LAC, Canuto R, Dias-da-Costa JS, et al. Temporal trend in the prevalence of systemic arterial hypertension in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2017;33(6).
- Nogueira D, Faerstein E, Coeli CM, et al. Reconhecimento, tratamento e controle da hipertensão arterial: Estudo Pró–Saúde, Brasil. Pan Am J Public Heal. 2010;27(2):103–109.
- Cipullo JP, Vilela Martin JF, De Souza Ciorlia LA, et al. Hypertension prevalence and risk factors in a Brazilian urban population. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2010;94(4):519–526.
- Neri MC, Soares WL. Estimating the impact of income on health through income transfer programs for poor elderly in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2007;23(8):1845–1856.
- Piccini RX, Victora CG. Systemic arterial hypertension in a urban area of southern Brazil: prevalence and risk factors. Rev Saude Publica. 1994;28(4):261–267.
- Cunha PG, Cotter J, Oliveira P, et al. Pulse wave velocity distribution in a cohort study: Fromarterial stiffness to early vascular aging. *J Hypertens*. 2015;33(7):1438–1445.
- Safar ME, Asmar R, Benetos A, et al. Interaction between hypertension and arterial stiffness an expert reappraisal. *Hypertension*. 2018;72(4):796– 205
- Pereira T, Maldonado J, Pereira L, et al. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of stroke in hypertensive patients. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2013;100(5):437–443.
- Pizzi O, Brandão AA, Eliane M, et al. Pulse wave speed the method and its prognostic implications on arterial hypertension. *Rev Bras Hipertens*. 2006;13(1):59–62.
- Laurent S, Katsahian S, Fassot C, et al. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of fatal stroke in essential hypertension. Stroke. 2003;34(5):1203–1206.
- Avolio AP, Chen SG, Wang RP, et al. Effects of aging on changing arterial compliance and left ventricular load in a northern Chinese urban community. Circulation. 1983;68(1):50–58.
- Farro I, Bia D, Zócalo Y, et al. Pulse wave velocity as marker of preclinical arterial disease: Reference levels in a Uruguayan population considering wave detection algorithms, path lengths, aging, and blood pressure. *Int J Hypertens*. 2012;2012.
- Alvim R de O, Santos PCJL, Bortolotto LA, et al. Arterial Stiffness: Pathophysiological and Genetic Aspects. *Int J Cardiovasc Sci.* 2017;30(5):433–441.
- 34. Mikael L de R, de Paiva AMG, Gomes MM, et al. Vascular Aging and Arterial Stiffness. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2017;109(3):253–258.
- 35. Muhammad IF, Borné Y, Östling G, et al. Arterial stiffness and incidence of diabetes: A population–based cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(12):1739–1745.