# A redescription of Paratemnoides plebejus (with) (Pseudoscorpiones; atemnidae) 

Abstract<br>Widely distributed species Paratemnoides plebejus Carl With ${ }^{1}$ is redescribed in this paper by observing newly collected specimens from the Western Ghats and other reserve forest areas of Kerala, India.
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#### Abstract

Abbreviations: eb, exterior basal; es, external setae; esb, exterior sub-basal; est, exterior sub-terminal; et, external terminal; gs, galeal setae; ib, interior basal; is, internal setae; isb, interior sub-basal; ist, interior sub-terminal; it, interior terminal; 1s, lateral setae; sb, subbasal; sbs, sub-basal setae; st, sub-terminal; t, terminal


## Introduction

The pseudoscorpiones genus Paratemnoides Harvey ${ }^{2}$ belonging to the subfamily Atemninae Kishida, 1929 of the family Atemnidae Kishida, 1929. The genus is cosmopolitan in distribution having 31 nominal species Harvey, ${ }^{3}$ including five representatives from India, which all were originally described under Chelifer Geoffroy, 1762 and Paratemnus Beier, ${ }^{4}$ Paratemnoides indicus (Sivaraman, 1980), Paratemnoides laosanus Beier ${ }^{3}$ Paratemnoides mahnerti (Sivaraman, 1981), Paratemnoides pallidus (Balzan, 1892) and Paratemnoides plebejus Carl With, ${ }^{1}$ Beier. ${ }^{2}$ Paratemnoides is characterised by the trichobothrial pattern of fixed chelal finger: the tactile hair it of the fixed finger in or proximal of the finger and always far farther from the fingertip than the distance between isb, and ist and st of movable finger closer to $s b$ than to $t$ Beier. ${ }^{5}$

## Material and methods

The specimens used for the present study were collected from Western Ghats, one of the biodiversity hot spots of the world, and Kanjirapally in the Kerala region of southern India. The specimens were preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol and studied under Leica M205C stereomicroscope (at the highest possible magnification) and Labovision AXL compound microscope. Drawings were made by the aid of a drawing tube attached to the microscope. Scanning electron micrographs were taken using the Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL Model JSM-6390 LV) available at Sophisticated Test \& Instrumentation Centre facility of Cochin University of Science and Technology. The specimens were examined by preparing temporary slide mounts by immersing the specimen in $75 \%$ lactic acid at room temperature for several days, and mounting them on microscope slides with 18 mm cover slips. Permanent slide mounts were prepared by clearing the specimen in clove oil and by mounting using Canada balsam. All specimens were examined by using Leica S8AP0 stereomicroscope and Labovision AXL compound microscope. All measurements are in millimeters ( mm ) and were executed with the
aid of Leica DFC 295 digital camera mounted on Leica M205C stereomicroscope (at the highest possible magnification) using the measurement module of the software package Leica Application Suite (LAS), version 4.3.0. Microphotographs were taken using Leica DMC 2900 digital camera mounted on Leica M205A stereomicroscope with the software package LAS, version 4.5.0. using LAS montage facility.

## Materials examined

INDIA: $4 \mathrm{~m} \#, 3 \mathrm{f} \#$ (ADSH PS0004) Kerala, Kottayam, Pazhayidom [ $9^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 47 ’ 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 60 m alt], 27 December 2017, leg. M.V. Aneesh, under the bark of Heavea brasiliensis, by hand. 3 $\mathrm{m} \#, 2 \mathrm{f} \#$ Kerala, Kottayam, Pazhayidom [ $9^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ 'E, 60 m alt], 27 December 2017, leg. Merin Tom, under the bark of Artocarpus heterophyllus, by hand. $6 \mathrm{~m} \#, 4 \mathrm{f} \#$ Kerala, Kottayam, Paippad [ $9^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 30 m alt], 16 October 2017, leg. M.V. Aneesh, Kerala, Ponmudi [ $8^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 77^{\circ} 7{ }^{\prime} 10^{\prime}$ 'E, 380m alt], 21 February 2018, leg. M.V. Aneesh. $2 \mathrm{~m} \#, 1 \mathrm{f} \#$ Kerala, Pathanamthitta, Punnackadu [ $9^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 40 m alt], 18 October 2018, leg. M.V. Aneesh, under the bark of Artocarpus heterophyllus, by hand, 7 $\mathrm{m} \#, 3 \mathrm{f} \#$ Kerala, Trivandrum, Karyavattom Campus [ $8^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ}$ $52^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ E, 20 m alt], 23 March 2018, leg. M.V. Aneesh, under the bark of Artocarpus heterophyllus, by hand.

## Redescription

Adults (Figure 1A-1C). Chitinized regions reddish brown, rest of pale-brown.


Figure I Paratemnoides plebejus. male (A) and female (B) paratypes: $A-B$ Dorsal; C Spiracle IV, ventral. Scale bars:A-D, 0.5 mm . Arrows $\mathrm{I}, 2$ \& 3 indicate spiracle setae.

Chelicera (Figure 2): palm with rasp-like ornamentation, 4 setae; $l s$ and is longer than fixed finger, $b s$ dentate (Figure 3). Finger with three triangular teeth (Figure 2G). Lamina interior with four apically dentate lobes. Fixed finger with three apical lobes followed by four triangular serrations (Figure 2D). Serrula exterior with 23 blades in both males and females; rallum with 4 blades, distal blade with seven serrations (Figure 3C). Galea long, 3 terminal and 2 sub terminal rami in males (Figure 2F), 2 terminal and 3 sub terminal rami in females. ${ }^{6-9}$


Figure 2 Paratemnoides plebejus. A-D Male paratype. A Habitus, dorsal; B Areolum Bothridium. CVestitural setae. D Right chela, dorsal.


Figure 3 Paratemnoides plebejus. Female paratype (A, K) male paratype (BL ) and nymphs (M-O). A Tergites, dorsal; B Carapace, dorsal; C Flagellum; D Lamina interior; E Left leg I, dorsal; F Galea; G Fixed finger of left chelicera, dorsal; H Left chelicera, dorsal; I Left leg IV, dorsal; J Genital area, ventral; K Genital area, ventral; L Left chela, retrolateral; M Left pedipalp; Scale bars:A-D, F-K, 0.5 mm E, L-P, 0.2 mm .

Pedipalps (Figure $\mathbf{3 L}-\mathbf{M}$ ): Trochanter and femur pale reddishbrown; patella and chela dark reddish; trochanter, femur, patella and chela finely granulated. Dorsal tubercle of trochanter well developed, with 3 setae at apex; trochanter $1.33(\mathrm{~m} \#), 1.63(\mathrm{f} \#) \mathrm{x}$ longer than broad. Femur $2.11(\mathrm{~m} \#), 2.0(\mathrm{f} \#)$ x longer than broad; 3 pseudotactile
setae. Patella 1.81 (m\#), $1.90(\mathrm{f} \#) \mathrm{x}$ longer than broad, with stout pedicel; 2 pseudotactile setae at base. Chela $2.62(\mathrm{~m} \#), 2.43(\mathrm{f} \#) \mathrm{x}$ longer than broad; fixed finger with $32(\mathrm{~m} \# \mathrm{f} \#)$ teeth, movable finger with $46(\mathrm{~m} \#), 49(\mathrm{f} \#)$ teeth. Nodus ramosus not extending to et (Figure 3L). Trichobothrial pattern (Figure 3L): $t$ distal to middle of movable finger; one pseudotactile seta present laterally near tip of finger; one pseudotactile seta laterally between $t$ and $s t$; st closer to $s b$ than to $t$; $s b$ closer to $b$ than to $s t$; et near to the tip of fixed finger, est distal to the middle, et, est, esb, eb near to the inner margin; it farther from the fingertip, it, ist, isb, ib far away from inner margin; three sense spots present above esb, four sense spots between ist and $i b$. Palm with short dentate setae, 5 tactile setae near base.

Carapace: $1.01-1.25(\mathrm{~m} \#), 1.05-1.40(\mathrm{f} \#) \mathrm{x}$ longer than broad; more strongly sclerotized in anterior region and thus darker, smooth and glossy, with two distinct raised eyespots, without transverse furrow with ca. $59(\mathrm{~m} \#), 61(\mathrm{f} \#)$ setae, including $4(\mathrm{~m} \# \& \mathrm{f} \#)$ near anterior margin, $9(\mathrm{~m} \#), 10(\mathrm{f} \#)$ near posterior margin. Vestitural setae dentate (Figure 1C).
Coxal region: Chaetotaxy m\#, 9:6:8:16, f\#, 9:8:9:16;
Legs: golden yellow, with acuminate setae, articulation between femur and patella oblique. Leg I (Figure 2E): femur 1.06, patella 2, tibia 2.56, tarsus 2.83 x longer than broad. Leg IV: femur + patella 2.32 , tibia 2.75, tarsus $2.84 \times$ longer than broad. Tactile seta situated at base of tarsus IV. Femur IV with 2 tactile setae, 1 at apex, other more proximal (Figure 2I).

Opisthosoma: Width constant from tergite 1 to 11 . Tergites $2-5$ undivided; tergites 1 and $6-11$ partially divided. Sternites with faint medial suture, with small dentate and moderately long, simple sternal setae. Anterior spiracle with $2(\mathrm{~m} \#), 3$ (f\#) setae, posterior spiracle with $1(\mathrm{~m} \# \& \mathrm{f} \#)$ seta. Tergal chaetotaxy: $\mathrm{m} \#$, 9:10:10:13:16:14:16:15:14:14 (including 4 tactile setae): 13 (including 2 tactile setae): 2, f\#, 12:12:11:13:16:15:17:15:15:17 (including 4 tactile setae): 14 (including 2 tactile setae): 2 . Sternal chaetotaxy: m\#, 13:11:13:19:17:21:14:16:16 (including 4 tactile setae):15 (including 4 tactile setae):2, $\mathrm{f} \#, 28: 12: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 16: 14$ (including 4 tactile setae):14 (including 4 tactile setae):2.

Measurements males: Holotype followed by other males in parentheses (where applicable): body length 3.3(3.7-4.2). Carapace (0.823-0.937/0.729-0.809).

Pedipalps: Trochanter 0.255/0.254 (0.379-0.391/0.284-0.295), trochanter pedicel $0.088 / 0.160(0.084-0.089 / 0.144-0.154)$, femur 0.632/0.328 (0.580-0.687/0.325), femur pedicel 0.067/0.137 (0.065$0.093 / 0.140-0.150)$, patella $0.566 / 0.400(0.674-0.697 / 0.371-0.378)$, patella pedicel $0.114 / 0.174(0.099-0.110 / 0.147-0.152)$, chela (with pedicel) $1.249 / 0.544(1.296-1.319 / 0.503-0.520)$, chela (without pedicel) $1.179 / 0.544(1.240-1.274 / 0.503-0.520)$, hand 0.716 (0.686-0.769), movable finger 0.532 ( $0.545-0.577$ ). Leg I: femur 0.180/0.169 (0.213-0.216/0.172-0.203), patella 0.396-0.198 (0.370$0.444 / 0.193-0.202)$, tibia $0.346 / 0.135$ ( $0.372-0.380 / 0.145-0.149$ ), tarsus 0.292/0.103 (0.304-0.311/0.110). Leg IV: femur + patella 0.738/0.317 (0.674-0.823/0.285-0.300), tibia 0.549/0.199 (0.518$0.530 / 0.190-0.198)$, tarsus $0.338 / 0.119(0.332-0.348 / 0.112-0.124)$.

Female: Paratype: body length 4.5 (4.0-5.7). Carapace 0.966/0.686 (0.817-1.062/0.774-1.003).

Pedipalps: Trochanter $0.241 / 0.254$ (0.231-0.346/0.245-0.371), trochanter pedicel $0.066 / 0.138(0.062-0.065 / 0.140 .145)$, femur $0.645 / 0.321$ ( $0.533-0.808 / 0.312-0.441$ ), femur pedicel $0.077 / 0.139$
(0.065-0.080/0.135-0.194), patella 0.707/0.371 (0.682-0.799/0.378$0.488)$, patella pedicel $0.121 / 0.155$ (0.106-0.150/0.134-0.223), chela (with pedicel) $1.284 / 0.528$ (1.331-1.663/0.524-0.686), chela (without pedicel) 1.203 (1.192-1.530), hand 0.778 ( $0.746-0.967$ ), movable finger 0.535 ( $0.522-0.798)$. Leg I: trochanter $0.157 / 0.140$ ( $0.151 / 0.133$ ), femur $0.206 / 0.160(0.190-0.319 / 0.154-0.276)$, patella 0.407/0.193 (0.350-0.475/0.188-0.251), tibia 0.369/0.142 (0.379$0.489 / 0.138-0.178)$, tarsus $0.314 / 0.101$ ( $0.316-0.406 / 0.099-0.158)$. Leg IV: trochanter $0.284 / 0.174$ ( $0.202-0.286 / 0.156-0.163$ ), femur + patella 0.780/0.315 (0.744-1.124/0.332-0.403), tibia 0.603/0.196 (0.565-0.770/0.158-0.195), tarsus $0.366 / 0.134$ ( $0.318-0.445 / 0.128-$ 0.158).

## Remarks

Morphological characters show intraspecific variation. The arrangement and chaetotaxy shows variation. But the number of tactile setae remains the same. The setae in the posterior region of the carapace shows much variation from 9-11 in males. The carapace is highly sclerotised in the anterior region, thus the colour lightens to the posterior from middle. Uncsclerotised circular region is present around every setae in the tergite. There are unsclerotised small patches in every tergite. The longitudinal division of tergites shows variation. Arrangement of setae in each segment shows variation.

## Acknowledgements

Our gratitude to Rev. Fr. Prasanth Palackappillil (Principal, Sacred Heart College, Thevara, Cochin) for providing all the facilities for completing this work. Thanks to Mr. Pradeep M. Sankaran
(Division of Arachnology, Sacred Heart College, Thevara, Cochin) for assistance. We extend our heartfelt thanks to Dr Mark S. Harvey (Western Australian Museum, Perth, Australia) for providing relevant literature.

## Conflict of interest

Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Carl With. Chelonethi: An account of the Indian false scorpions together with studies on the anatomy and classification of the order. 1906.
2. Harvey MS. The phylogeny and classification of the Pseudoscorpionida (Chelicerata: Arachnida). Invertebrate Taxonomy. 1992;1373-1435.
3. Harvey MS. Pseudoscorpions of the World. Australia: Western Australian Museum. 2013.
4. Beier M. On some Pseudoscorpionidea from Malaya and Borneo. Bulletin of the Raffles Museum. 1952;24:105-106.
5. Beier M. Pseudoscorpionidea II, Subord, Cheliferinea. 1932;22-45.
6. Daday E. A Magyar Nemzeti Muzeum álskorpióinak áttekintése. Természetrajzi Füzetek. 1888;11:1-123.
7. Ellingsen. Calcutta: On the pseudoscorpions of the Indian Museum. 1914;11-12
8. Ellingsen. The pseudscorpions of South Africa. 1912; p. 79.
9. Klausen FE. The male genitalia of the family Atemnidae (Pseudoscorpiones). Journal of Arachnology. 2005;641-662.
