Case Report

Retroperitoneal mucocele of the appendix: diagnosis and management

Abstract

Introduction: Appendiceal mucocele is an interesting pathology, given its rarity and its tricky management. The retroperitoneal localization is even more interesting because of its difficulties to diagnosis and treat. Indeed, in case of mucocele is incorrectly treated, pseudomyxoma peritonei may develop, secondary to an intraperitoneal rupture of the mass.

Case report: We present here the case of a 50-year-old woman who consulted for a mild right low back pain with palpable abdominal mass. A CT-scan performed showed a large right retroperitoneal mass evoking a retroperitoneal cystic lymphangioma. The patient underwent an exploratory laparotomy, which showed a large whitish retroperitoneal cyst, extending from the right iliac fossa to the right hypochondrium. We suspected during surgery an appendiceal mucocele. During dissection, we assisted to a tumoral rupture. The peritoneal cavity was already protected by surgical drape. We went an en-bloc and complete resection of the tumor. The histological study concluded to a mucinous cystadenoma. After a one-year follow-up, control CT-scans showed no signs of recurrence.

Conclusion: Retroperitoneal appendiceal mucocele is a rare disease. A correct radiological pre-operative diagnosis, based on CT-scan, is important to avoid severe intraoperative complications.
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Introduction

Appendiceal mucocele is an interesting pathology, given its rarity and its tricky management. Among all performed appendicectomy, its incidence is between 0.2 % and 0.7 %.

Case report

We present here the case of a 50-year-old woman who consulted for a mild right low back pain with palpable abdominal mass since several months. The clinical examination revealed a painless tumefaction of the right iliac fossa. A CT-scan performed showed a large right retroperitoneal cystic polylobed mass, measuring 15 cm x 10 cm x 9 cm, pushing forward the digestive loops and pushing up the right kidney, containing calcifications, without enhancement after contrast injection, and without evidence of adjacent structures infiltration, evoking a retroperitoneal cystic lymphangioma. The patient underwent an exploratory laparotomy, which showed a large whitish retroperitoneal cyst, extending from the right iliac fossa to the right hypochondrium. Given the appendix was not individualized, we suspected during surgery an appendiceal mucocele. During dissection, we assisted to a tumoral rupture. The peritoneal cavity was already protected by surgical drape. We went an en-bloc and complete resection of the tumor. The histological study concluded to a mucinous cystadenoma. After a one-year follow-up, control CT-scans showed no signs of recurrence.

Figure 1: Visible right iliac fossa mass.
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Discussion

First described by Rokitansky in 1842, the mucocele owes its name to Fete.\(^1,2\) Etiopathogenesis remains misunderstood. Several hypotheses were made, trying to explain the hypersecretion and accumulation of mucus in the appendiceal lumen. The first one, presented by WOODRUFF and MAC DONALD in 1940, argues that the obstruction of the appendiceal base would explain the mucus accumulation produced by healthy glands in a closed pocket.\(^3,4\) This obstruction can be secondary to inflammatory or post-infectious stenosis (tuberculosis), a benign tumor (cystadenoma) or a malignant tumor (cystadenocarcinoma).\(^5,6,7\) The second one is a neuro-endocrine theory: hypersecretion and extreme distension of the muscular tunic would be the consequence of increased excitation of secretary nerve cells.\(^3\) The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies appendiceal mucoceles among retentive cyst (18 %), diffuse or localized mucosal hyperplasia (20 %), mucinous cystadenoma (52 %) and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (10 %).\(^3\) Most of patients are aged between 50 and 70 years old, with an average age of 55 years.\(^5,8\) The female predominance is inconstantly found.\(^9\) Concerning clinical diagnosis, there is no specific signs. As our patient, almost half of the cases described in the literature report abdominal pain.\(^10–12\) Associated signs commonly found are nausea, vomiting, intestinal bleeding and occlusive syndrome.\(^10,12,13\) Clinical examination can find a visible and/or palpable abdominal mass.\(^10\)–\(^14\) A rare but severe clinical presentation is the peritonitis, suggesting that the mass broken into the peritoneal cavity: the pseudomyxoma peritonei.\(^12\)

In 11 to 47 %, the appendiceal mucocele is completely asymptomatic.\(^7\) It is more frequent when the etiology is not neoplastic.\(^1\) Biology is rarely useful. Indeed, the elevation of tumor markers (CEA and CA 19-9) was reported only once by Igor Mishin.\(^15\) The key of diagnosis is imaging, and the most adequate imaging modality is computed tomography, preferably interpreted by an experienced radiologist. Indeed, it is difficult to establish the anatomic relations between the mass and other organs, and the appendicular origin, especially when the mass is large and retroperitoneal.\(^16\) In most cases, it would be a cystic, poly-lobed, paracaeal mass, with or without parietal calcification.\(^16\) The presence of calcifications helps making difference between appendiceal mucocele and acute appendicitis.\(^16\) Typical ultrasonography’s aspect is a right iliac fossa oval hypoechoic mass, with posterior acoustic enhancement.\(^9,17\) Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can help define the mucocele’s limit and differentiate the mass (whose content is gelatinous) from...
ascites. Indeed, in T2-weighted sequences, it reveals a different and less intense signal than the ascites.\textsuperscript{16-19} However, calcifications are less visible on MR.\textsuperscript{16-19} Colonoscopy is useful when a colonic tumor is suspected, or to verify the integrity of the mucosa.\textsuperscript{4} Metabolic imaging (18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography) has been studied for patients with metastatic appendiceal tumors, but the results showed a low sensitivity, and, thereby, is not indicated.\textsuperscript{15,20}

The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies appendiceal mucocoeles among retentive cyst (18 %), diffuse or localized mucosal hyperplasia (20 %), mucinous cystadenoma (52 %) and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (10 %).\textsuperscript{2} The therapeutic strategy is based on the total resection of the tumor, and avoiding any rupture that would lead to iterative surgical procedures.\textsuperscript{11,12,13,21-24} Hypothermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) followed by postoperative chemotherapy may also be necessary, especially in cases of recurrences and pseudomyxoma peritonei.\textsuperscript{21} A open-surgery approach is often preferred to laparoscopical approach, given the high risk of dissemination, even if a retrieval bag is used.\textsuperscript{26,27,28} The outcome of retentives cysts and mucous cystadenoma is far better than mucinous cystadenocarcinoma, with a 100 % versus 30 % overall survival.\textsuperscript{6,9,24,25} There is no surveillance recommendations, but it is rightful to request a CT-scan every 6 months.

**Conclusion**

Retroperitoneal appendiceal mucocele is a rare disease. A correct radiological pre-operative diagnosis, based on CT-scan, is important to avoid severe intraoperative complications, which can change the patient prognosis. An en-bloc resection of the mass, which is very tricky, is the key of the treatment.
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