

Sociology International Journal commitment to an inclusive and pluralistic sociology

Volume 9 Issue 1 - 2025

Marco Bettine

Professor at the University of São Paulo, Brazil

Correspondence: Marco Bettine, Professor at the University of São Paulo, Brazil, Email marcobettine@gmail.com**Received:** February 1, 2025 | **Published:** February 03, 2025

Editorial

The commitment of us editors and those responsible for scientific dissemination in the Sociology International Journal (SIJ) is to maintain the quality of publications and promote the interface with the plurality of researchers in the academic environment, having as a guiding thread its open access, which enables dissemination, especially from the global South, to the knowledge produced by the main researchers in the human sciences, both from the global North and South. Our peer review process is based on the principle of assisting researchers in their publications. It is not in the interest of the journal to be binary, that is, to accept or reject the articles simply, but to maintain a respectful dialogue with the researchers who, with hard work, present their contributions to the scientific community.

With varied formats, the journal serves different types of academic writings, such as essays, review articles, original articles, always concerned with the content and its potential to dialogue with society. In times of crisis in the humanities and a wave of challenges that the progressive groups have been facing lately, the Sociology International Journal seeks to give voice to combat racism, xenophobia, misogyny, and several other problems faced by global society. Our concern is to maintain a constant dialogue with society through publications that seek to understand the challenges of contemporaneity. The editorial team has an interdisciplinary background, which allows for a range of readings of sociology. For this reason, we have published topics in sociology, anthropology, criminology, philosophy, education, gender, medicine, the construction of theories and innovative methods.

We can exemplify the plural performance of the SIJ with the latest publications, which deal with digital transformation in the world of work, discussion of pedagogical theories and their application in the area of sociology of health, impacts of globalization on local knowledge, as well as theoretical works that study power, phenomenology and sociological theories. We are in a historical moment of crisis in the human sciences, either by the discipline itself or by attacks from conservative segments of global society. That is why the SIJ reinforces its scientific autonomy and concern with human rights, SIJ enhances the capacity for communication in the present world and its developments in the twenty-first century. We form opinions considering different points of view, making present the positions of those who are absent, the SIJ seeks to represent them. This process of representation aims to strengthen the capacity for thoughts by validating critical positions. The representation process

conducted in the SIJ considers the largest possible number of positions, thus avoiding prejudices coated with conclusions. It is a place, as Habermas would say, where people go to meet to communicate. The limitation of communication prevents the complexity of the world from being unveiled; entering into all the complexity of the crisis allows the development of the capacity for a broad mentality that enables humanity to understand each other. In the sphere of academic coexistence, we find divergences, differences, and contradictions, which is great for the consolidation of communication.

For the above reason, the SIJ seeks plurality of ideas and not unanimity. To live in the world, to think of the world with all its differences, to bear its weight is to decompose what Arendt called "alienation from the world". The plurality of the world, whether this world is present or future, will only have its dignity assured when the human being is able to advance beyond his own satisfactions and go to meet the world, as it is. It is not suggested to deny technical, technological or scientific advances for a better quality of life, but to deny that, in the name of any stereotype or individualized satisfaction, elaborated in an artificial way, what is vital to humanity is replaced: communication with the world.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The author declared that there are no conflicts of interest.