Postoperative analgesic effect of intrathecal neostigmine added to bupivacaine for adult patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgery

Abstract

Background: Several additives have been suggested to enhance analgesic effect of local anesthetic agents to decrease the adverse effects and increase the degree of satisfaction.

Objective: To assess postoperative analgesic effect of intrathecal neostigmine added to bupivacaine in comparison with bupivacaine alone for adult patients undergoing Lower limb Orthopedic Surgery at Tikur Anbessa specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from January 1 to February 30, 2017 G.C.

Methods: This institutional based prospective observational cohort study was conducted among 60 adult patients scheduled for elective lower limb orthopedic surgery under spinal anesthesia and grouped in to bupivacaine group based on independent decision of responsible anesthetist. Patient’s vital signs were taken intraoperatively. Postoperatively duration & consumption of analgesia, first analgesia request as well as severity of pain using 100mm visual analogue scale score were assessed over 24hrs. Normality of the data was checked using Shapiro-Wilk test and analyzed using student t test for normal distributed data and chi-square test for categorical data. Non-parametric data was analyzed using Mann–Whitney U test with 95% CI and p-value less than 0.05 is considered as statistically significant.

Results: Bupivacaine neostigmine group (BN, n=30) compared with bupivacaine only group (BS, n=30) which was presented by mean±standard deviation, time of the first analgesic request in neostigmine and bupivacaine group was (377.60±9.14) and (230.07±17.11) in minute respectively, p<0.001. Moreover total amount of tramadol consumption was also significantly different between the two groups that was presented by median (inter quartile range), which was 50(50)mg in neostigmine group vs. 100 (50)mg in bupivacaine group. The visual analogue scale score also reduced at1hr, 2hrs, 3hrs, 4hrs, 5hrs and 6hrs in neostigmine group.

Conclusion and recommendation: Addition of 25mcg intrathecal neostigmine as an adjuvant to 15mg bupivacaine for elective lower limb orthopedic surgery increased first analgesia request time, reduced postoperative analgesia consumption and with minimal hemodynamic changes and side effects. We recommend that the use of intrathecal neostigmine combined with bupivacaine for lower limb surgery in our setup.
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Introduction

Anesthetists are leaders in the development of pain services in the current era. Pain has been defined as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage”.1 Procedural pain being the acute type which has probability of progressing to chronic pain unless intervened properly.2 Postoperative pain is associated with catecholamine release, and the central sensitization issue posed to be among the mechanisms concerned with the persistence of postoperative pain. The aim of good post-operative analgesia is to produce a long lasting, continuous effective analgesia with minimum side effects.3 Neuaxial blocks have been introduced to produce superior analgesia and decrease the blood loss and the incidence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary embolism, and to minimize the adverse effects of general anesthesia and improve the patients’ outcomes. Better pain control may result in an earlier hospital discharge of the postoperative period.4 Intrathecal (IT) neostigmine has been used as an adjunct to spinal anesthesia (SA) for the prevention of acute perioperative pain. It has been shown to potentiate opioid analgesia while reducing undesirable side effects such as somnolence and respiratory depression. Intrathecal neostigmine with bupivacaine caused a prolonged time to the first analgesic request and its use was not associated with any side effects.4-6 Neostigmine is an anticholinesterase agent which increases the acetylcholine concentrations at cholinergic synapses. Spinal neostigmine apparently activates descending pain inhibitory systems that rely on a spinal cholinergic interneuron, which is extremely efficient for alleviating somatic pain.7
Pain is the most important cause of unintended hospital admissions following Spinal anesthesia with source of dissatisfaction. Spinal anesthesia (SA) is the most commonly used anesthetic technique for lower limb surgeries despite short duration of action when local anesthetic is used alone. There are controversies concerning effective intrathecal doses of neostigmine with lesser side effects. Studies recommend intrathecal neostigmine doses to be given as an adjuvant ranging from 25g to 150g even though a dose-dependent increases the incidence of postoperative nausea vomiting (PONV). Spinal anesthesia with bupivacaine is routinely done in Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital, Ethiopia by master anesthetists. The same study is not conducted about the analgesic efficacy of neostigmine as an adjuvant in our country. The aim of this study was to assess postoperative analgesic effect of intrathecal neostigmine added to bupivacaine in comparison with bupivacaine alone for adult patients undergoing lower limb Orthopedic Surgery.

Methods

Study designs and patients

There was an institution based prospective cohort study design conducted from January 1 to February 30, 2017 in Tikur Anbessa Hospital.

A. Inclusion criteria: Patient’s age>18 who had ASA I-II physical status, with lower limb orthopedic surgery under sub arachnoid block, and 25 microgram neostigmine prearranged for the block.

B. Exclusion criteria: Spinal anesthesia using local anesthetics other than bupivacaine, patient refusal, sedation, American society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) class III & IV, and communication failure.

According to the results of Indian study, the mean duration of analgesia and standard deviation were 308.76±127.40 when neostigmine was co-administered with bupivacaine, in the contrary bupivacaine alone was mean±SD (229.52±59.16), which means μ₁ = (308.76), σ₁ = (127.40), μ₂ = (229.52) and σ₁ = (59.16) with an alpha error of 0.05 at a power of 80%, when this value is incorporated into the mean comparison formula for continuous outcome,

\[ R = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_1^2}{2}}} \]

Ratio of exposed to unexposed (1:1)

\[ R = \left( \frac{(127.40)^2 + (59.16)^2}{(1.96 + 0.84)^2} \right) \left( \frac{308.76 - 229.52}{2} \right) \]

n = 25, When 20% of contingency is included for dropouts, the total sample was 60 patients

n = 30 patients per group was required.

Study variables

The dependent variable is the postoperative pain which could be assessed by visual analogue scale (VAS), postoperative analgesic consumption, and the first analgesic request time. Independent variables included age, sex, American society of anesthesiologists (ASA) status, duration of surgery, onset of sensory and motor block, and duration of motor block.

i. VAS: Visual analogue scale which is a method of pain assessment determined by the patient making a mark of their pain intensity on a line which is 100 millimeter long. Time for first analgesia request: initial time in which patients need pain treatment postoperatively.

iii. Total analgesic consumption: Defined as type and amounts of analgesic drugs given to the patient within 24hrs postoperatively.

iv. Bromage scale: a method of assessing grade of motor block (‘0’= full movement of hip, knee and ankle; 1= ability to flex knees but no hip movement; 2= unable to flex knees, but no problems with ankle movement; 3= no movement possible with any of the lower extremity joints). Onset of motor block: The time of reaching to Bromage III.

vi. Duration of motor block to recover: when the modified Bromage score is 0.

vii. Onset of sensory block: time elapsed from the end of study solution administration to absence of pinprick sensation at T10 dermatome.

Data collection

During each procedure, the data collectors observed intraoperative condition of the patient. Post operatively also each patient was interviewed and their charts were also reviewed. Patients in group BN (Bupivacaine with neostigmine) were managed with 25mcg of intrathecal neostigmine with 15mg of 0.5% bupivacaine considered as cases. Another group BS (bupivacaine with saline) was those who had given 15mg of 0.5% bupivacaine only. Intraoperative data were collected by one of the trained data collector. Starting from the immediate postoperative time, presence and scale of pain, time for the first analgesic request as well as analgesics need were assessed by another trained data collector. These assessments have been done at 1hr, 2hrs, 3hrs, 4hrs, 5hrs, 6hrs, 12hrs and 24hrs of VAS postoperative period and within 24hrs of postoperative total analgesic consumption were taken. The categories of patients were identified by the blinded data collector. Before surgery the concept of visual analog scale (VAS) which consists of 100mm line with 0 equaling “no pain at all” and 100 equaling “the worst possible pain”, was introduced on 100mm VAS line.

Data analysis

Data was entered into SPSS version 20 software program. Demographic data were analyzed using student t test (for normal distribution variables) and chi-square test (for categorical variables) as appropriate. The data was tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk normality test. There was normal distribution data for the time of the first analgesic request, total duration of analgesia, repeated VAS measurements and hemodynamic changes as checked using Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variance assessed using Levene’s test for equality of variances. Therefore, an independent sample t-test was run on the data with 95% confident interval to analyze measurement of time of the first analgesic request, total duration of analgesia time and VAS measurements. However, total post-operative analgesia consumption was not normally distributed when checked using Shapiro-Wilk test. Therefore, non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test
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Ethical approval

Ethical clearance was obtained from school of Medicine department of Anesthesia Ethical Review committee, Addis Ababa college of Medicine and Health science. It was also taken written informed consent from each patient after clear explanation. We gave an opportunity to stop at any time for those who were not willing to participate in the study. Confidentiality was guaranteed with anonymous questionnaires.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants

A total of 60 patients (30 patients in each group) were included in the study that had been under spinal anesthesia. From this, 30 were cases of bupivacaine with neostigmine (BN) and the other 30 remaining group were control with bupivacaine only. Demographic data age, sex, weight, height, duration of surgery and ASA status were comparable between each group as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 Demographic and anesthetic base line characteristics between BN&BS group of patients who undergone Lower limb Orthopedic Surgery at Tikur Anbessa specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from January 1 to February 30, 2017 G.C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>BN</th>
<th>BS</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>34.67±16.63</td>
<td>40.13±19.13</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, Male</td>
<td>24/30=80%</td>
<td>23/30=67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6/30=20%</td>
<td>7/30=23%</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in kilogram</td>
<td>60.50±8.28</td>
<td>60.30±6.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height in meter</td>
<td>1.64±0.08</td>
<td>1.65±0.07</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA status, ASA1</td>
<td>22(73.3%)</td>
<td>21(70%)</td>
<td>0.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA2</td>
<td>8(26.7%)</td>
<td>9(30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery in minute</td>
<td>133.67±48.22</td>
<td>119.33±35.11</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category:*= frequency, others in mean±SD

Postoperative pain on VAS score

There was statistically significant difference on VAS score at 1hr, 2hrs, 3hrs, 4hrs, 5hrs and 6hrs among the groups but there was no statistically significant difference on VAS score at 12 and 24hr between BN and BS group in Table 2.

### Table 2 Postoperative visual analogue scale pain scores mean±SD in millimeters over the first 24hrs postoperatively between BN&BS group of patients who undergone lower limb orthopedic surgery at Tikur Anbessa specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from January 1 to February 30, 2017 G.C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>BN</th>
<th>BS</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS score at 1hrs</td>
<td>3.90±1.21</td>
<td>9.40±2.14</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score at 2hrs</td>
<td>5.70±1.34</td>
<td>11.33±2.22</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score at 3hrs</td>
<td>271.67±11.17</td>
<td>192.30±8.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category references=Mean±SD

Postoperative total analgesia consumption

Since the postoperative 24hrs of both total diclofenac and total tramadol consumption were not normally distributed as checked by Shapiro-Wilk test, Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the associations. Patients who received intrathecal neostigmine showed a reduced postoperative total tramadol consumption within 24hrs (BN vs. BS group), described as median (IQR) mg, at 24hours 50(100) vs. 100(50), p<0.001. Total diclofenac consumption was not statistically significant difference between BN group and BS group within 24hours (BN vs. BS) described as median (IQR) mg, 75(75) vs. 75(0), p=0.538 (Figure 1).
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Discussion

Effective treatment of pain represents an important component of postoperative recovery. It serves to blunt autonomic, somatic, and endocrine reflexes with a resultant potential decrease in perioperative morbidity. Despite advances in treatment of postoperative pain, many patients still suffer from pain after surgery, probably due to difficulties in balancing postoperative analgesia with acceptable side effects. Lower limb surgeries are performed under spinal anesthesia with single shot technique compared to epidural and general anesthesia. However, it has limited duration of postoperative analgesia. Additives could be used for the prolongation of duration of motor as well as sensory block to make beneficial in reducing postoperative morbidity. Studies suggest that neostigmine is an effective adjuvant to prolong the duration of the subarachnoid block and spinal analgesia with better hemodynamic stability due to synergism between intrathecal neostigmine with local anesthetic agents. The demographic characteristics (age, sex, weight, height), duration of surgery and ASA status were not statistically significant between the groups which was supported by many studies, p>0.05.

In this study, patients in the BN group had a significant longer time for the first analgesic request compared to BS group, (5.70±1.34 versus 11.33±2.22 respectively) in minutes with grade III motor block. This was consistent with Solainppan B et al. study. There was also a prolonged duration of motor block in BN group than BS group (271.67±11.17 vs. 192.32±8.02 respectively) in minutes. This was somehow longer duration than Bhat M et al. study. This might be due to small dose of bupivacaine they used, (12.5mg of 0.5% Bupivacaine) compared to our study. Intrathecal administration of Neostigmine may augment motor block by increasing spinal levels of acetylcholine.
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