Review Article
Open Access CrossMark

Smart synergies in modern power systems: a review of optimization, planning, and control strategies

Abstract

Modern power systems are undergoing a profound transformation driven by the increasing penetration of renewable energy, decentralized generation, and digital innovation. At the heart of this evolution lies the smart grid, an integrated, intelligent framework enabling dynamic coordination of generation, storage, and loads. This review synthesizes insights from four highly cited IEEE articles, each representing a unique pillar of smart grid technology: optimal coordination of Active Distribution Networks (ADNs) with Virtual Power Plants (VPPs), stochastic planning of Integrated Energy Systems (IESs), load clustering for effective Demand Response (DR), and intelligent power factor compensation for distorted low-voltage systems. By exploring these dimensions, this article presents a holistic view of the challenges, strategies, and innovations shaping the future of electrical energy systems. Each technique is dissected for its conceptual clarity, technical approach, and real-world relevance, culminating in a collective roadmap for sustainable, responsive, and efficient grid management.

Keywords: Active Distribution Network (ADN), Virtual Power Plant (VPP), Integrated Energy System (IES), Demand Response (DR), Power Factor Compensation, Smart Grid, Optimization, Load Clustering, Frank-Copula, Scenario Reduction

Volume 9 Issue 3 - 2025

Manyika Kabuswa Davy, Davies Tembo
Mulungushi University, School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Department of Physics, Zambia

Correspondence: Manyika Kabuswa Davy, Mulungushi University, School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Department of Physics, Zambia

Received: August 5, 2025 | **Published:** August 21, 2025

Introduction

The 21st century power grid is rapidly becoming smarter, cleaner, and more resilient. This is largely due to the increasing integration of distributed energy resources (DERs), digital communication systems, and real-time control algorithms. While this evolution promises substantial benefits, including reduced emissions, improved reliability, and consumer empowerment, it also introduces immense complexity in planning, coordination, and operations.[1,2] In this context, a new generation of research is emerging to tackle these challenges with advanced analytical tools and optimization methods.[3] This review delves into four significant IEEE contributions that exemplify this transformation. Each paper targets a different layer of smart grid functionality: system-wide coordination, probabilistic planning, consumer load analytics, and reactive power management. Together, these works offer a complete narrative of how intelligent systems are reshaping energy networks from top to bottom.

Optimal coordination in ADNs with VPPs

Active Distribution Networks (ADNs) are the modern variant of traditional distribution grids, designed to actively control DERs, bidirectional flows, and real-time data. A central element in the optimization of ADNs is the Virtual Power Plant (VPP), a construct that aggregates DERs to function like a single dispatchable power source. Research presents a groundbreaking approach for coordinating multiple network-constrained VPPs within an AND.[4-6] The proposed framework splits the coordination problem into two interconnected levels:

a) Upper-Level Problem: The Distribution System Operator (DSO) ensures the overall ADN stability by coordinating how each VPP contributes to the grid's operational constraints (voltage profiles, feeder limits, etc.).

b) Lower-Level Problem: Each VPP autonomously optimizes its internal dispatch strategy to minimize cost and maximize performance, while complying with the instructions passed from the DSO.

To solve this nested problem, the authors use an Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) technique.[7,8] ADMM helps to decentralize optimization while ensuring convergence towards a globally feasible and near-optimal solution.[7] The key outcome is a scalable, decentralized coordination model that simultaneously enhances operational efficiency and local decision-making flexibility. This is vital in modern cities where solar panels, batteries, and electric vehicles (EVs) coexist in complex patterns of generation and consumption.[9] The approach shows promising results, achieving reduced curtailment of renewables, stable voltage regulation, and optimal power dispatch, all without relying on centralized computation, which is both costly and vulnerable to cyber threats.

Stochastic planning in integrated energy systems

Unlike conventional power systems that operate single energy vectors (like electricity), Integrated Energy Systems (IESs) combine electricity, heating, cooling, and sometimes gas networks into a unified planning framework.[10,11] This integration enhances efficiency and sustainability but also introduces new levels of uncertainty. Shunfu Lin et al.[12] address this complexity through a stochastic planning model that accurately captures the probabilistic nature of energy demands, renewable outputs, and market prices. Their model stands out for its use of the Frank-Copula function, a statistical tool adept at modeling interdependencies between multiple random variables, especially when those variables do not follow simple distributions.[13,14]

Key components of their methodology include:

a) Scenario Generation: Using real data to create diverse operating scenarios that reflect the uncertainty of wind, solar, and demand behaviors.

b) Frank-Copula Modeling: Unlike Gaussian models, Frank-Copula captures tail dependencies, critical for risk-averse planning.

c) Scenario Reduction: To maintain computational feasibility, scenarios are intelligently trimmed without losing statistical fidelity.

By integrating these tools into a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) optimization model, the paper enables cost-effective investment and operation strategies across electrical, thermal, and gas subsystems.[15-17] The major contribution here is the robustness of decisions under uncertainty. System planners can explore trade-offs between infrastructure cost and reliability, helping stakeholders make financially sound and technically resilient decisions.[18,19] This is particularly important for urban planners, renewable investors, and policymakers working to decarbonize multiple sectors simultaneously.

Load clustering techniques for demand response

Demand Response (DR) programs aim to adjust electricity consumption patterns of users to balance grid supply and demand, often in response to pricing signals or grid constraints.[20] However, for DR to be truly effective, utilities need precise segmentation of consumers based on their usage patterns. In their 2019 article, Lin et al. introduce a data-driven load clustering method tailored for DR optimization. Their framework integrates:

i. Dynamic Time Warping (DTW): An advanced technique that aligns load profiles that may vary in time but share similar shapes. For instance, two households may consume power at different times but show similar peaks and valleys.

ii. K-Means Clustering: A popular machine learning algorithm that groups aligned profiles based on key features like peak demand, energy duration, and variability.

This two-tiered clustering produces distinct customer categories such as:

a) Evening-peaking residential users

b) High-variability commercial establishments

c) Flat-load industrial plants

Each cluster is then mapped to appropriate DR strategies. For example:

a) Residential customers might receive time-of-use tariffs.

b) Industrial users may benefit from automated demand curtailment.

c) Commercial sectors could adopt flexible load shifting.

The result is a personalized DR ecosystem where programs are tailored to user behavior, improving both participation rates and grid stability. The paper illustrates that load analytics and clustering are foundational to user-centric energy systems, a core tenet of future smart cities.

Intelligent power factor compensation

Low-voltage distribution systems often suffer from poor power factor (PF), particularly when non-linear loads like air conditioners, computers, or industrial drives introduce reactive power and harmonics.[21] A low PF leads to inefficiencies such as energy loss, overheating, and voltage instability.

In their seminal 2012 paper, Lin et al. propose an intelligent compensation strategy that goes beyond traditional capacitor banks. The innovation lies in the integration of:

i. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs): These are trained to identify the nature and amount of compensation required in real-time, based on distorted voltage and current waveforms.

ii. Rule-Based Logic Controllers: Working alongside ANNs, these ensure quick, interpretable decision-making even under dynamic load conditions.

The system is capable of distinguishing between fundamental reactive power (which needs compensation) and harmonic components (which require filtering). This ensures that each type of distortion is corrected using the most efficient strategy, either through real-time switching of capacitors or harmonic filters.

The intelligent controller adapts to varying network conditions, and simulations show that it:

a) Improves power factor close to unity

b) Reduces total harmonic distortion (THD)

c) Stabilizes voltage and reduces energy losses

This approach is particularly valuable in developing countries or urban environments where electrical infrastructure is aging and unable to handle growing harmonic loads. Intelligent compensation thus becomes a cornerstone of power quality assurance in modern smart grids.

Comparative insights and synthesis

When viewed together, the four studies present a layered architecture of intelligence within modern power systems:

a) System-Level Coordination (ADN + VPP): Enables grid-wide optimization across multiple DERs.

b) Mid-Term Planning under Uncertainty (IES): Supports investment decisions through stochastic modeling.

c) Consumer-Centric Analytics (Load Clustering): Tailors demand-side programs to real behaviors.

d) Local-Level Control (Power Factor Compensation): Ensures voltage and waveform quality at the end-user level.

These innovations do not operate in isolation; instead, they form a synergistic framework where planning, control, and analytics reinforce one another. For instance, clustering outputs can feed into VPP behavior models; power quality improvements may enhance DR reliability; and stochastic forecasts can inform VPP dispatch.

The combined message is clear: smart grids are systems-of-systems, and their success relies on intelligent coordination across all temporal and spatial layers.

Challenges and future directions

Despite the progress, several practical challenges remain:

1. Scalability: Algorithms validated in simulations need to be robust under real-world, multi-region scenarios.

2. Data Privacy: Load analytics raise ethical and security concerns that need strong regulatory safeguards.

3. Cybersecurity: Distributed optimization and real-time communication increase vulnerability to attacks.
4. Integration: Ensuring compatibility among diverse energy subsystems and devices remains a technical hurdle.

Looking ahead, future research should prioritize:

i. Blockchain-based Energy Transactions for secure peer-to-peer coordination.
ii. Edge and Fog Computing for real-time responsiveness without centralized bottlenecks.
iii. Deep Learning Forecasting integrated with DR and IES models for dynamic planning.
iv. Policy and Regulatory Innovation to support decentralized, prosumer-driven markets.

The intersection of energy, data science, and systems engineering will define the next frontier in smart grid evolution.

Conclusion

Smart grids are no longer a futuristic concept, they are rapidly becoming the operating reality of power systems worldwide. This review has explored four major innovations that together represent a powerful toolkit for navigating this new era. From optimizing the behavior of virtual power plants and planning integrated multi-energy systems under uncertainty, to decoding consumer load patterns and ensuring high power quality, each article contributes meaningfully to a unified vision of intelligent, adaptive, and sustainable grid infrastructure. The road ahead will demand not only better technologies, but also informed policy, user trust, and cross-sectoral collaboration. Armed with these innovations, we are well on our way to a future where energy systems are smarter, greener, and more resilient than ever before.

References

1. Qian L, Lin S, Muyeen SM, et al. Integrated energy–water nexus optimization in rural microgrids: leveraging quantum–classical robust optimization for sustainability. *Prot Control Mod Power Syst.* 2025.
2. Ge C, Lin S, Li F, et al. Optimal coordination method for an ADN with multiple network–constrained VPPs. *IEEE Trans Power Syst.* 2025;40(1):394–407.
3. Davy MK, Hamweendo A, Banda PJ. On radiation protection and climate change–a summary. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2022;6(3):126–129.
4. Qian L, Lin S, Li F, et al. Low carbon optimization dispatching of energy–intensive industrial park based on adaptive step. *Electric Power Systems Research.* 2004;233.
5. Davy MK, Banda PJ, Hamweendo A. Automatic vehicle number plate recognition system. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2023;7:69–72.
6. Matindih LK, Singh D, Katongo J, et al. Some results of upper and lower M–asymmetric irresolute multifunctions in bitopological spaces. *Adv Pure Math.* 2021;11(6):611–627.
7. Lin S, Liu C, Shen Y, et al. Stochastic planning of integrated energy system via Frank–Copula function and scenario reduction. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid.* 2022;13(1):202–212.
8. Lin S, Jiayu Y, Yi L, et al. Nonintrusive load disaggregation based on attention neural networks. *Int Trans Electr Energy Syst.* 2025;2025:3405849.
9. Davy MK, Nawa N. On the future of nuclear energy and climate change: a summary. *Int J Sci Eng Inv.* 2019;5(9).
10. George LA, Davy MK. The coleman–weinberg potential and its application to the hierarchy problem. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2023;7(2):104–107.
11. Davy MK, Xiao BW. D meson decays and new physics. *J Phys Astron.* 2017;5(1):110.
12. Lin S, Li F, Tian E, et al. Clustering load profiles for demand response applications. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid.* 2019;10(2):1599–1607.
13. Davy MK, Matindih LK. On the radiation of gluon jets: a summary. *Int J Sci Eng Inv.* 2019;5(6):2455–4286.
14. Davy MK, Hamweendo A, Banda PJ. On radiation protection and climate change–a summary. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2022;6(3):126–129.
15. Davy MK, Peter JB, Agripa H. Automatic vehicle number plate recognition system. *Phys Astron In J 7.* 2023:69–72.
16. Lin S, Salles D, Freitas W, et al. An intelligent control strategy for power factor compensation on distorted low voltage power systems. *IEEE Trans Smart Grid.* 2012;3(3):1562–1570.
17. Lin S, Li T, Shen Y, et al. Energy sharing optimization strategy of smart building cluster considering mobile energy storage characteristics of electric vehicles. *Electr Power Syst Res.* 2025;238.
18. Davy MK, Matindih LK, Hamweendo A. A brief overview of radiation waste management and nuclear safety. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2023;7(2):150–151.
19. Michael M, Manyika KD. Prospects of the Higgs boson: an overview. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2024;8(2):127–131.
20. Judith K, Davy MK. Gluon jets evolution in the quest for new physics. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2023;7(2):109–111.
21. Davy MK. The future of theoretical particle physics: a summary. *J Phys Astron.* 2017;5(1):109.

Citation: Davy MK, Tembo D. Smart synergies in modern power systems: a review of optimization, planning, and control strategies. *Phys Astron Int J.* 2025;9(3):192–194. DOI: 10.15406/paij.2025.09.00386