

# Brown spaces and the Golomb topology

## Abstract

A *Brown space* is a topological space  $X$  such that for all non-empty open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$ , we have  $cl_X(U) \cap cl_X(V) \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that Brown spaces are connected and not completely Hausdorff. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , whose greatest common divisor is 1, we consider the arithmetic progression  $P_G(a, b) = \{b + an : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ . The family  $\mathcal{B}_G$  of all such arithmetic progressions is a base for a topology  $\tau_G$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ . In this paper we show that for every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $P_G(1, d)$  is a Brown space which is dense in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ . In particular,  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is a Brown space. We also show that for each prime number  $p$  and every natural number  $c$ , such that the greatest common divisor between  $p$  and  $c$  is 1, the set  $P_G(p, c)$  is totally separated. We write some consequences of such result. For example that the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not connected in kleinen at each of its points. This generalizes a result of Kirch AM.<sup>1</sup> We also present a simpler proof of a result presented by Szczuka P.<sup>2</sup> Some general properties of Brown spaces are also presented in this paper.

Volume 1 Issue 6 - 2018

Gerardo Acosta,<sup>1</sup> Maira Madriz-Mendoza,<sup>2</sup> José Del Carmen Alberto Domínguez<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

<sup>2</sup>Autonomous Technological Institute of Mexico, Mexico

<sup>3</sup>Academic Division of Basic Sciences, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Mexico

**Correspondence:** Acosta G, Institute of Mathematics, National Autonomous University of Mexico, D.F.04510, Mexico, Email gacasta@matem.unam.mx

**Received:** September 28, 2018 | **Published:** December 18, 2018

## Introduction

We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and by  $\mathbb{N}$  the sets of integers and of natural numbers, respectively, and we let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We also denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  the set of prime numbers and consider that  $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , the symbol  $\langle a, b \rangle$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  and we consider the infinite arithmetic progressions

$$P(a, b) = \{b + an : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = b + a\mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and}$$

$$P_G(a, b) = \{b + an : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = b + a\mathbb{N}_0, \text{ provided that } \langle a, b \rangle = 1. \quad (1)$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we also consider the infinite arithmetic progressions

$$P_F(a, b) = \{b + az : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = b + a\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } M(a) = \{an : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Clearly  $P(a, b) = P_F(a, b) \cap \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $P(a, b) = P_G(a, b)$  if and only if  $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$ . Note that  $M(a) = P(a, a)$ . In 1955 Furstenberg H<sup>3</sup> showed in that the family  $\mathcal{B}_F = \{P_F(a, b) : (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a base for a topology  $\tau_F$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The topological space  $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau_F)$  is second countable and  $T_4$ , and hence metrizable. Moreover each basic set  $P_F(a, b)$  is open and closed in  $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau_F)$ , so this space is zero-dimensional and not connected.

In 1959 and 1962 Golomb SW<sup>4,5</sup> showed in that the family

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{P_G(a, b) : (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \langle a, b \rangle = 1\} \quad (2)$$

is a base for a topology  $\tau_G$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Indeed

$$\tau_G = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{U \subset \mathbb{N} : \text{for each } b \in U \text{ there is } a \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \langle a, b \rangle = 1 \text{ and } P_G(a, b) \subset U\}.$$

In<sup>5</sup>, whose first edition was published in 1970,  $\tau_G$  is called the “relatively prime integer topology”, though in this paper, as well as in all the papers by Szczuka P,<sup>2,6-10</sup> we call  $\tau_G$  the *Golomb topology* and the topological space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is called the *Golomb space*. It is known that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is second countable,  $T_2$  and connected Theorems 2 and 3,<sup>4</sup> and Theorems 2 and 3<sup>5</sup>. Using the fact that for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , the set  $M(p)$  is closed in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , Golomb SW<sup>4</sup> proved in both Theorem 1<sup>4</sup> & Theorem 1<sup>5</sup> that the set  $\mathbb{P}$  is infinite. The proof of the connectedness of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , as presented by Golomb SW,<sup>4</sup> uses

Number Theory. As it is indicated in<sup>4,5</sup> “a proof of the connectedness of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , without reference to Number Theory, was presented by Brown M<sup>11</sup> in the April 1953 meeting of the American Mathematical Society”, held in New York. Brown M<sup>11</sup> studied the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , though he did not publish his work. The abstract of his talk, published in<sup>11</sup> is the following one:

**A countable connected Hausdorff space.** The points are the positive integers. Neighborhoods are sets of integers  $\{a + bx\}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime to each other ( $x = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Let  $\{a + bx\}$  and  $\{c + dx\}$  be two neighborhoods. It is shown that  $bd$  is a limit point of both neighborhoods. Thus, the closures of any two neighborhoods have a nonvoid intersection. This is a sufficient condition that a space be connected.

This abstract served Clark PL,<sup>12</sup> in 2017, the authors of,<sup>12</sup> to coin the following term:

**Definition 1.1** A *Brown space* is a topological space  $X$  such that for all non-empty open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$ , we have  $cl_X(U) \cap cl_X(V) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $X$  is a topological space and  $Y \subset X$ , we say that  $Y$  is a *Brown space* in  $X$  if  $Y$ , as a subspace of  $X$ , is a *Brown space*.

The following result appears in [2, Proposition 6].

**Theorem 1.2** Each *Brown space*  $X$  is connected.

*Proof.* If  $X$  is not connected, then there exist non-empty open and closed subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $X = U \cup V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $cl_X(U) \cap cl_X(V) = U \cap V = \emptyset$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $X$  is a *Brown space*.

In this paper we will present some general properties of *Brown spaces*. We will give an explicit proof of the fact that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is a *Brown space* (Theorem 3.4). We will also show that, for every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the subset  $P_G(1, d)$  is a *Brown space* in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  (Theorem 3.3). Since  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is second countable, it is also Lindelöf. By the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not  $T_{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}}$ .<sup>4</sup> Since every second countable space is Lindelöf,<sup>14</sup> and every

Lindelöf and  $T_3$  space is  $T_4$ <sup>14</sup> and hence  $T_1$ , the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not  $T_3$ . Without using all these results from General Topology (that lead to the fact that every non-empty countable connected  $T_3$  space is a one-point-set), in both Theorem~4<sup>4</sup> and Theorem~4,<sup>5</sup> Golomb SW<sup>5</sup> proved that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not  $T_3$  by showing that for the closed set  $M(2)$  in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  that do not contain the point  $1$ , there are no open subsets  $U, V$  in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  such that  $1 \in U, M(2) \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Since compact  $T_2$  spaces as well as locally compact and  $T_2$  spaces are  $T_3$ ,<sup>14</sup> the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is neither compact nor locally compact (compare with Theorem 5<sup>4</sup> and Theorem 5<sup>5</sup>). The paper is divided in three sections. After this Introduction, in Section 2 we write the notation as well as some preliminary results that we will use in the paper. In this section we also write some general properties of Brown spaces. In Section 3 we write properties of the Golomb space as well as of some subsets of it.

### Notation and preliminary results

In this paper we will use notation and results from both Number Theory and from General Topology. Concerning Number Theory, if  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \neq 0$ , then the symbol  $c \mid d$  means that there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $d = ca$ . If  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ , then the symbol  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  means that  $m \mid (c - d)$ . The next result is proved in.<sup>15</sup>

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $a, b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $a = bq + r$ . Then  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, r \rangle$ .

The following result was used in both [13, p. 169] and [1, p. 902] without proof.<sup>13,2</sup> We will use it in Section 3 so, for completeness, we present a proof here.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  is such that  $\langle b, p \rangle = 1$ , then for each  $n, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\langle pn + b, p^s \rangle = 1$ . (3)

*Proof.* If  $s = 0$ , then

$$\langle pn + b, p^s \rangle = \langle pn + b, p^0 \rangle = \langle pn + b, 1 \rangle = 1, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

If  $s = 1$  then, since  $\langle p, b \rangle = 1$ , by Theorem 2.1,

$$\langle pn + b, p^s \rangle = \langle pn + b, p \rangle = \langle p, b \rangle = 1, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (4)$$

Now assume that there exist  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $g = \langle pn_0 + b, p^{s_0} \rangle > 1$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  be such that  $q \mid g$ . Then  $q \mid (pn_0 + b)$  and  $q \mid p^{s_0}$ , so  $q = p$ . Hence  $q \mid (pn_0 + b)$  and  $q \mid p$ , so  $q \mid \langle pn_0 + b, p \rangle$ . This implies, using (4) with  $n = n_0$ , that  $q \mid 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\langle pn + b, p^s \rangle = 1$ , for every  $s, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Concerning General Topology, given a topological space  $X$  and  $A \subset X$ , we denote by  $\text{cl}_X(A)$  and by  $\text{int}_X(A)$  the closure and the interior of  $A$  in  $X$ , respectively. In particular, for  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ , the symbol  $\text{cl}_X(A)$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ . We consider the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  with its usual topology. Recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be

$T_1$  if for each  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{x\}$  is closed in  $X$ ;

$T_2$  or Hausdorff if for every  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  so that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;

$T_1$  or completely Hausdorff if for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $\text{cl}_X(U) \cap \text{cl}_X(V) = \emptyset$ ;

regular if for every  $x \in X$  and each closed set  $C$  in  $X$  such that  $x \notin C$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  so that  $x \in U, C \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;

$T_3$  if  $X$  is regular and  $T_1$ ;

$T_1$  if  $X$  is  $T_1$  and for each  $x \in X$  and every closed set  $C$  in  $X$  so that  $x \notin C$ , there is a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(C) \subset \{1\}$ ;

$T_4$  if  $X$  is  $T_1$  and for every closed subsets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $X$  with  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $C \subset U, D \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

It is known that  $T_4 \Rightarrow T_1 \Rightarrow T_3 \Rightarrow T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow T_1$ . It is also known that none of the previous implications is reversible. The following result is easy to prove.

**Theorem 2.3** No Brown space  $X$ , with at least two points, is  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .

By Theorem 2.3 no connected  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ , with at least two points, is a Brown space.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x$  in  $X$ . We say that  $X$  is *indiscrete in  $X$*  or that  $x$  is an *indiscrete point of  $X$*  if the only open subset of  $X$  that contains  $x$  is  $X$  itself. We say that  $X$  is *indiscrete* if its topology is the indiscrete topology. Note that  $X$  is indiscrete if and only if every point of  $X$  is indiscrete. The following result is proved in [2, Proposition 6].

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then

if  $X$  contains an indiscrete point, then  $X$  is a Brown space;

if  $X$  is a Brown space, then  $X$  is regular if and only if  $X$  is indiscrete.

Note that a  $T_1$  space contains no indiscrete points. By Theorem 2.4, no connected  $T_3$  space, with at least two points, is a Brown space. We also have that if  $X$  is a connected regular space without indiscrete points, then  $X$  is not a Brown space. Hence the converse of Theorem 1.2 is not true.

We say that a topological property  $P$  is

*hereditary* if for any space  $X$  that has the property  $P$ , every subspace of  $X$  also has the property  $P$ ;

*multiplicative* if for any family  $\{X_s : s \in S\}$  of topological spaces with the property  $P$ , the Cartesian product  $\prod_{s \in S} X_s$ , with the product topology, also has the property  $P$ ;

*factorizable* if for any family  $\{X_s : s \in S\}$  of topological spaces if the Cartesian product  $\prod_{s \in S} X_s$ , with the product topology, has the

property  $P$  then each factor  $X_s$  also has the property  $P$ .

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous and surjective function. If  $X$  is a Brown space, then  $Y$  is a Brown space.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  and  $V$  be non-empty open subsets of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and surjective,  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . Hence, since  $X$  is a Brown space,  $cl_X(f^{-1}(U)) \cap cl_X(f^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$ . By continuity of  $f$  we have  $\emptyset \neq cl_X(f^{-1}(U)) \cap cl_X(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(cl_Y(U)) \cap f^{-1}(cl_Y(V)) = f^{-1}(cl_Y(U) \cap cl_Y(V))$ .

Hence  $cl_Y(U) \cap cl_Y(V) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $Y$  is a Brown space.

By Theorem 2.5 being a Brown space is a topological property. Moreover.

**Theorem 2.6** *Being a Brown space is both a multiplicative and a factorizable property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_s : s \in S\}$  be a family of non-empty topological spaces. Let  $X = \prod_{s \in S} X_s$  and assume that  $X$  has the product topology. For each  $t \in S$  the projection  $p_t : X \rightarrow X_t$  defined for any  $x = (x_s)_{s \in S} \in X$  by  $p_t(x) = x_t$  is continuous and surjective. Hence if  $X$  is a Brown space then, by Theorem 2.5,  $X_t$  is a Brown space too. This shows that being a Brown space is a factorizable property.

Now assume that each  $X_s$  is a Brown space. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . Fix  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  and assume that  $B = \prod_{s \in S} B_s$  and  $C = \prod_{s \in S} C_s$  are basic subsets of  $X$  such that  $x \in B \subset U$  and  $y \in C \subset V$ . For each  $s \in S$ , the sets  $B_s$  and  $C_s$  are non-empty and open in the Brown space  $X_s$ , so  $cl_{X_s}(B_s) \cap cl_{X_s}(C_s) \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$cl_X(B) \cap cl_X(C) = \left( \prod_{s \in S} cl_{X_s}(B_s) \right) \cap \left( \prod_{s \in S} cl_{X_s}(C_s) \right) = \prod_{s \in S} (cl_{X_s}(B_s) \cap cl_{X_s}(C_s)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence  $\emptyset \neq cl_X(B) \cap cl_X(C) \subset cl_X(U) \cap cl_X(V)$ , so  $X$  is a Brown space. This shows that being a Brown space is a multiplicative property.

In Theorem 3.5 we will show that being a Brown space is not a hereditary property. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient mapping and  $X$  is a Brown space then, by Theorem 2.5,  $Y$  is a Brown space too.

A topological space  $X$  is said to be

*hereditarily disconnected* if no non-empty connected subset of  $X$  contains more than one point;

*totally separated* if for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ ,  $X = U \cup V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;

*zero-dimensional* if  $X$  is  $T_1$  and has a base consisting of open and closed sets.

Hereditarily disconnected spaces are also called *totally disconnected*. In<sup>14</sup> it is shown that zero-dimensional spaces are hereditarily disconnected. In<sup>14</sup> it is proved that if  $X$  is hereditarily disconnected and locally compact, then  $X$  is zero-dimensional. Note that a space  $X$  is hereditarily disconnected if and only if, for each  $x \in X$ , the component  $C_x$  of  $X$  that contains  $x$  is a one-point-set, namely  $\{x\}$ . Note also that  $X$  is totally separated if and only if, for every  $x \in X$ , the quasicomponent  $Q_x$  of  $X$  that contains  $x$  is a one-point-set, namely  $\{x\}$ . Since  $C_x \subset Q_x$  for each  $x \in X$ <sup>14</sup>, totally separated spaces are hereditarily disconnected. If  $X$  is compact and  $T_2$  then  $C_x = Q_x$  for every  $x \in X$ <sup>14</sup> so, in compact and  $T_2$  spaces the notions of being hereditarily disconnected and of being totally separated coincide.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $a, b \in X$ . We say that  $X$  is *connected between  $a$  and  $b$*  if for every open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U$ ,  $b \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , we have  $X \neq U \cup V$ . It is straight forward to see that if  $X$  is  $T_2$  then  $X$  is totally separated if and only if for each  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , the space  $X$  is not connected between  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . We say that

$X$  is *locally connected at  $x$* , if for any open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V$ , there exists an open and connected subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U \subset V$ ;

$X$  is *connected im kleinen at  $x$* , if for any open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V$ , there exists a connected subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_X(U) \subset U \subset V$ ;

$X$  is *almost connected im kleinen at  $x$* , if for any open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V$ , there exists a closed and connected subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{int}_X(U) \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \subset V$ ;

$X$  is *almost connected im kleinen* if  $X$  is almost connected im kleinen at each of its points;

$X$  is *locally connected* if  $X$  is locally connected at each of its points.

Clearly, if  $X$  is locally connected at  $x$ , then  $X$  is connected im kleinen at  $x$ . The converse of this implication is not true. We construct an example in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with the usual topology. For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $q_i = \left( -\frac{1}{i}, 0 \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $L_{i,0}$  be the straight line segment from  $q_i$  to  $q_{i+1}$ . For each  $(i, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , we consider

$$p_{i,n} = \frac{1}{i+1} \left( 1, \frac{1}{n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

as well as the straight line segment  $L_{i,n}$  from  $p_{i,n}$  to  $q_i$ . Formally, for each  $(i, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$L_{i,n} = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{1}{i+1} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{i} \text{ and } y = \frac{1}{n}(1-ix) \right\},$$

where  $y = \frac{1}{n}(1-ix)$  is the equation of the straight line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that contains the points  $p_{i,n}$  and  $q_i$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $X_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} L_{i,n}$ .

Then  $X_b = cl_2 \left( \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i \right)$  is a topological space which is compact, connected, connected im kleinen at  $(0, 0)$  and not locally connected at  $(0, 0)$ . The space  $X_b$ , called the *infinite broom* in [5,

p. 139], appears in<sup>16</sup> Example ~27.15, p. 201], though there is no any detailed proof of the fact that  $X_b$ , is connected im kleinen at  $(0, 0)$ . and not locally connected at  $(0, 0)$ . In<sup>16</sup> there is a detailed proof of this, though it is written in Spanish. In<sup>16</sup> it is shown that if a topological space  $X$  is connected im kleinen at each of its points, then  $X$  is locally connected. Let

$$Y = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{i,0} \right) - \{q_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X_b.$$

Note that  $X_b$  is almost connected im kleinen at any point  $p \in Y$  and not connected im kleinen at such point  $p$ . Note also that if a  $T_3$  space is connected im kleinen at  $x \in X$ , then it is almost connected im kleinen at  $x$ .

### Properties of the golomb space

In the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  a non-empty subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  is open if and only if, for every  $b \in U$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$  and  $P_G(a, b) \subset U$  (compare with).<sup>7</sup> Hence  $U$  is infinite. In particular any subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  with non-empty interior in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is infinite. Let  $a, x \in \mathbb{N}$ . In Szczuka P<sup>8</sup> presented some results that involve the set  $\text{cl}(P(a, x))$ . In,<sup>7</sup> she showed that if  $x_1 \equiv x(mod a)$ ,  $x_1 \leq a$  and  $\langle a, x \rangle = 1$ , then  $P(a, x_1) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$ . In<sup>8</sup> she showed that if  $a$  and  $x$  are odd and  $\langle a, x \rangle = 1$ , then  $\text{cl}(P_G(a, x)) = \text{cl}(P_G(2a, x))$ . We show the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $a, x \in \mathbb{N}$  be so that  $\langle a, x \rangle = 1$ . Then  $M(a) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $ab \in M(a)$  and let  $W$  be an open subset of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  such that  $ab \in W$ . There exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\langle d, ab \rangle = 1$  and  $P_G(d, ab) \subset W$ . Assume first that  $a = 1$ . Then  $P_G(a, x) = \{x + n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  so if  $x \leq ab$ , then  $ab \in P_G(a, x) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$ . If  $ab < x$  then, since  $P_G(d, ab)$  is infinite, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $dn + ab \geq x$ , so  $dn + ab \in P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x) \subset W \cap P_G(a, x)$ . This shows that  $ab \in \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$ .

Now assume that  $a \geq 2$ . By showing that  $P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x) \neq \emptyset$  we will obtain that  $W \cap P_G(a, x) \neq \emptyset$ . To prove that  $P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x) \neq \emptyset$ , assume first that  $d = 1$ . Then  $ab + x \in P_G(a, x)$  and  $ab + x = 1 \cdot x + ab \in P_G(d, ab)$ . Now assume that  $d \geq 2$ . Since  $d \geq 2$ . and  $a \geq 2$ , an element  $z \in P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x)$  satisfies the system of congruencies

$$z \equiv ab(mod d) \quad \text{and} \quad z \equiv x(mod a). \quad (5)$$

Hence, an element in  $P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x)$  is a solution of the system (5). Conversely, every solution of the system (5), is an element of  $P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x)$ . Let us show, then, that the system (5) has a solution. If  $\langle d, a \rangle \neq 1$ , then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $p \mid d$  and  $p \mid a$ . Then  $p \mid d$  and  $p \mid ab$ , so  $\langle d, ab \rangle \neq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\langle d, a \rangle = 1$  and by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system (5) has a solution. This shows that  $P_G(d, ab) \cap P_G(a, x) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$W \cap P_G(a, x) \neq \emptyset$  and then  $ab \in \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$ .

**Corollary 3.2** *For every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $P_G(1, d)$  is dense in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $a = 1$ . Then  $\langle a, d \rangle = 1$ ,  $M(a) = \mathbb{N}$  and, by Theorem 3.1,  $\mathbb{N} = M(a) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(a, d))$ , so  $P_G(a, d) = P_G(1, d)$  is dense in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *For every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $P_G(1, d)$  is a Brown space in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ . In particular,  $P_G(1, d)$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two non-empty open subsets of  $P_G(1, d)$ . Since  $P_G(1, d)$  is open in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , the sets  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , Let  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\langle a, x \rangle = \langle b, y \rangle = 1$  and  $P_G(a, x) \subset U$  and  $P_G(b, y) \subset V$ . If  $a = 1$ , then  $P_G(a, x) = \{x + n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Since  $P_G(b, y)$  is infinite, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $y + bn_0 \geq x$  and then

$$y + bn_0 \in P_G(a, x) \cap P_G(b, y) \subset U \cap V \cap P_G(1, d) \subset \text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) \cap P_G(1, d) \subset \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(U) \cap \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(V).$$

Hence  $\text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(U) \cap \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly, if  $b = 1$  we have  $\text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(U) \cap \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Now assume that  $a \geq 2$  and  $b \geq 2$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $M(a) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(a, x))$  and  $M(b) \subset \text{cl}(P_G(b, y))$  so, in particular,  $abd \in \text{cl}(P_G(a, x)) \cap \text{cl}(P_G(b, y))$ . Since  $a \geq 2$  and  $b \geq 2$ , we have  $d < abd$ , so  $abd \in P_G(1, d)$ . Then

$$abd \in \text{cl}(P_G(a, x)) \cap \text{cl}(P_G(b, y)) \cap P_G(1, d) \subset \text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) \cap P_G(1, d) = \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(U) \cap \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(V).$$

Hence  $\text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(U) \cap \text{cl}_{P_G(1, d)}(V) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $P_G(1, d)$  is a Brown space in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ . Since Brown spaces are connected,  $P_G(1, d)$  is connected.

Now we present an explicit proof of what Brown M<sup>11</sup> claimed in assertion (B) of Section 1.

**Theorem 3.4**  *$(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is a Brown space. In particular,  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is connected and it is not  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $P_G(1, 1) = \mathbb{N}$  the result follows from Theorem 3.3 and the fact that Brown spaces are not  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Now we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $c, p \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ . Take  $a, b \in P_G(p, c)$  such that  $a < b$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  so that  $a = pm + c, b = pn + c$  and  $0 \leq m < n$ . Then*

$$U = \bigcup_{i=0}^m P_G(p^{n+1}, pi + c) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigcup_{j=m+1}^{p^n-1} P_G(p^{n+1}, pj + c) \quad (6)$$

are open subset of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  such that  $a \in U, b \in V, U \cap V = \emptyset$

and  $P_G(p, c) = U \cup V$ . In particular,  $P_G(p, c)$  is not connected.

*Proof.* Since  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ , by Theorem 2.2,

$$\langle p^{n+1}, pi + c \rangle = 1, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then we can consider the sets  $U$  and  $V$  indicated in (6), and they are open in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ . Since  $0 \leq m < n < 2^n \leq p^n$ , we have  $m+1 \leq n \leq p^n - 1$ , so

$$a = pm + c = p^{n+1}(0) + pm + c \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pm + c) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m P_G(p^{n+1}, pi + c) = U$$

and

$$b = pn + c = p^{n+1}(0) + pn + c \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pn + c) \subset \bigcup_{j=m+1}^{p^{n+1}-1} P_G(p^{n+1}, pj + c) = V.$$

Hence  $a \in U$  and  $b \in V$  so  $U$  and  $V$  are infinite. Now assume that there is a point  $z \in U \cap V$ . Let  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{m+1, m+2, \dots, p^n - 1\}$  be such that  $z \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pi + c)$  and  $z \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pj + c)$ . Since  $p^{n+1} > 1$ , it follows that

$$z \equiv pi + c \pmod{p^{n+1}} \text{ and } z \equiv pj + c \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Hence  $pi + c \equiv pj + c \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ , so  $pi \equiv pj \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . This implies that  $i \equiv j \pmod{p^n}$ . However, since the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, m, m+1, \dots, p^n - 1\}$  is a complete system of reminders modulus  $p^n$  and  $i \leq m < m+1 \leq j$ , we have  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{p^n}$ . From this contradiction we infer that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Now we show that  $U \cup V = P_G(p, c)$ . If  $z \in U$ , then there exists  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $z \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pi + c)$ . Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be such that  $z = p^{n+1}z_0 + pi + c$ . Then  $z = p(p^n z_0 + i) + c \in P_G(p, c)$ . Similarly, if  $z \in V$ , there is  $j \in \{m+1, m+2, \dots, p^n - 1\}$  such that  $z \in P_G(p^{n+1}, pj + c)$ . Let  $z_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be such that  $z = p^{n+1}z_1 + pj + c$ . Then  $z = p(p^n z_1 + j) + c \in P_G(p, c)$ . This shows that  $U \cup V \subset P_G(p, c)$ . To prove the other inclusion, let  $z \in P_G(p, c)$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $z = pk + c$ . Using the Division Algorithm we obtain  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $k = p^n s + t$  and  $0 \leq t < p^n$ . Hence

$$z = pk + c = p(p^n s + t) + c = p^{n+1}s + pt + c. \quad (7)$$

Since  $0 \leq t < p^n$  and  $0 \leq m < p^n$ , we obtain

$$t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1\} = \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{m+1, m+2, \dots, p^n - 1\}.$$

Hence, by (7),

$$z \in \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^m P_G(p^{n+1}, pi + c) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=m+1}^{p^{n+1}-1} P_G(p^{n+1}, pj + c) \right) = U \cup V.$$

This shows that  $P_G(p, c) \subset U \cup V$ . Hence,  $U \cup V = P_G(p, c)$ .

This completes the first part of the proof. Since  $P_G(p, c)$  has been written as the union of two non-empty open subsets of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , which are disjoint, the set  $P_G(p, c)$  is not connected.

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $c, p \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ .

Take  $a, b \in P_G(p, c)$  such that  $a \neq b$ . Then there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  such that  $a \in U, b \in V, U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $P_G(p, c) = U \cup V$ .

Note that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is a Brown space and, for each  $c, p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ ,  $P_G(p, c)$  is an open subset of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  which is not a Brown space, by Theorem 3.5. Hence being a Brown space is not a hereditary property.

Using Corollary 3.6 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $c, p \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ . Then  $P_G(p, c)$  is totally separated.

Since totally separated spaces are hereditarily disconnected, for every  $c, p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ , the set  $P_G(p, c)$  is hereditarily disconnected.

Now we present several consequences of Theorem 3.7. In 1969 Kirch AM<sup>1</sup> showed in<sup>1</sup> Theorem 1, that the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not locally connected. Formally he proved that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not locally connected at 1. In the following theorem we generalize this result.

**Theorem 3.8** For every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $P_G(1, d)$  is neither connected im kleinen nor almost connected im kleinen at each of its points.

*Proof.* Assume, on the contrary, that there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_G(1, d)$  is either connected im kleinen or almost connected im kleinen at some point  $c \in P_G(1, d)$ . We define a set  $P_G(p, c)$  as follows: if  $c$  is odd, we take  $p = 2$ . If  $c$  is even, we take  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $c < p$ . In any situation, it follows that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$  and  $P_G(p, c)$  is an open subset of  $P_G(1, d)$  such that  $c \in P_G(p, c)$ . Since  $P_G(1, d)$  is either connected im kleinen or almost connected im kleinen at  $c$ , there exists a connected subset  $C$  of  $P_G(1, d)$  such that  $\text{int}_{P_G(1, d)}(C) \neq \emptyset$  and  $C \subset P_G(p, c)$ . Since  $P_G(1, d)$  is open in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$ , we have  $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Since all the non-empty open subsets of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  are infinite, the set  $C$  is infinite. This contradicts the fact that, by Theorem 3.7,  $P_G(p, c)$  is hereditarily disconnected. Hence  $P_G(1, d)$  is neither connected im kleinen nor almost connected im kleinen at  $c$ .

**Corollary 3.9** The space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is neither connected im kleinen nor almost connected im kleinen at each of its points.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.8 for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  is not locally connected at  $a$ .

For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $\Theta(a) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : p \mid a\}$ . Note that  $\Theta(1) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $P(a, c)$  is connected in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  then  $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(c)$ . In particular; if  $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$  then  $P_G(a, c)$  is connected if and only if  $a = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $P(a, c)$  is connected. If  $\Theta(a) \not\subseteq \Theta(c)$ , then  $a > 1$  and there exists  $p \in \Theta(a) - \Theta(c)$ . Hence  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $p \mid a$  and  $p \nmid c$ , so  $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ . Since  $p \mid a$ , we have  $P(a, c) \subset P_G(p, c)$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $P_G(p, c)$  is hereditarily disconnected, so  $P(a, c)$  is a one-point-set, a contradiction. This shows that  $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(c)$ .

Now assume that  $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$  and that  $P_G(a, c) = P(a, c)$  is connected. Then, by the first part of the theorem,  $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(c)$ . If  $a \geq 2$ , then there is  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $p \mid a$ . Then  $p \mid c$ , contradicting that  $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$ . Hence  $a = 1$ . In Theorem 3.3 we proved that  $P_G(1, c)$  is connected.

In Theorem 3.3 Szczuka P<sup>2</sup> showed the following result:

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ . The arithmetic progression  $P(a, c)$  is connected in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  if and only if  $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(c)$ . In particular,*

1. the progression  $\{an : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is connected in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$
2. if  $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$ , then  $P_G(a, c)$  is connected in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_G)$  if and only if  $a=1$ .

The proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 3.11 is much simpler if we know that the progressions  $P_G(p, c)$  are hereditarily disconnected, as we presented in the proof of Theorem 3.10. In<sup>2</sup> it is claimed that the fact that each set  $P_G(1, c)$  is connected is obvious.

## Acknowledgments

None.

## Conflicts of interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kirch AM. A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space. *Amer Math Monthly*. 1969;76(2):169–171.
2. Szczuka P. The connectedness of arithmetic progressions in Furstenberg’s, Golomb’s and Kirch’s topologies. *Demonstration Math*. 2010;43(4):899–909.
3. Furstenberg H. On the infinitude of primes. *Amer Math Monthly*. 1955;62(58):353.
4. Golomb SW. A connected topology for the integers. *Amer Math Monthly*. 1959;66(8).
5. Golomb SW. *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra*, Proceedings of the Symposium held in Prague in Sep 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences;1962:179–186.
6. Szczuka P. Connections between connected topological spaces on the set of positive integers. *Cent Eur J Math*. 2013;11(5):876–881.
7. Szczuka P. Regular open arithmetic progressions in connected topological spaces on the set of positive integers. *Glasnik Matematički*. 2014;49(69):13–23.
8. Szczuka P. The closures of arithmetic progressions in the common division topology on the set of positive integers. *Central European Journal of Mathematics*. 2014;12(7):1008–1014.
9. Szczuka P. The closures of arithmetic progressions in Kirch’s topology on the set of positive integers. *Int J Number Theory*. 2015;11(3):673–682.
10. Szczuka P. Properties of the division topology on the set of positive integers. *Int J Number Theory*. 2016;12(3):775–785.
11. Brown M. The April Meeting in New York. *Bull Amer Math Soc*. 1953;59(4):330–371.
12. Clark PL, Lebowitz Lockard N, Pollack P. *A note on Golomb topologies*.
13. Engelking R. *General Topology, Revised and Completed Edition*. *Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics*, 6. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin; 1989.
14. Fine B, Rosenberger G. *Number Theory: An Introduction via the Density of Primes*. Second Edition, Birkhäuser; 2016.
15. Willard S. *General Topology*, Reprint of the 1970 Original Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., Inc., Mineola, New York: Dover Publications; 2004.
16. Pachecho-Juárez. *Propiedades del Producto de Espacios Topológicos*, Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla; 2009.
17. Steen LA, Seebach JA. *Counterexamples in Topology*, Reprint of the Second (1978) edition Original Springer-Verlag, New York: Dover Publications; 1995.