Commentary

Mentoring is increasingly seen as a means of providing appropriate, personalised, timely and holistic support for learners.\(^1\sim4\) Recent reviews suggest that a particularly effective means of providing such support lies in novice mentoring or mentoring between senior clinicians and medical students or junior doctors. However shortages of mentors, time constraints both on the part of mentees and mentors and concerns about the timeliness of mentoring support have raised concerns as to the viability of novice mentoring on a larger scale.

Our experiences with mentoring in the Palliative Medicine Initiative (PMI) run by the Division of Palliative Medicine at the National Cancer Centre Singapore offers a potential solution for this problem. Here novice mentoring is coupled with near peer and peer mentoring to provide timely mentoring support (Figure 1).

Peer mentorship is widely employed by various medical institutions and can take the form of peer, near peer and group mentoring. Junior doctors mentor each other and or medical students in groups or on a one to one basis guided by a senior mentor. This achieves the dual function of encouraging peers to share resources and help each other, while providing a guide who crucially possesses the necessary professional, academic and psychosocial experience that can translate into practical advice and help. Near peer mentorship from housemen to students for example has proven more experientially relevant to the struggles of the student. Kman et al.\(^5\) notes that peer mentorship “avoids the mere working relationship which has been found to sustain effective mentoring to provide timely mentoring support (Figure 1).

Peer mentorship usually evolved into a connection that more resembled a friendship than a relationship”. The combination of group, near peer, and novice mentoring also rewards mentors with “the recognition… the teaching can be enjoyable, rewarding and beneficial to them” which in turn urges them to continue capitalizing on their strengths and improve on their weaknesses.

Overall the PMI mentoring model also creates an environment in which experiential learning empowers mentors to make a difference and witness that change for themselves. We believe the cycle of affirmation constructed between mentor and mentee in PMI style mentoring creates a positive culture of constant learning and improvement, which may continue to have an extensive impact on the individual as well as future generations of medical students even as they prepare to enter the medical profession.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References


