Formation of surface nano/ultrafine structure using deep rolling process on the AISI 316L stainless steel

Abstract
In the present work, effect of deep cold rolling process known as deep rolling on near-surface microstructure and hardness of an austenitic stainless steel has been evaluated. Deep rolling process using a ball-point device was carried out on the bar-shaped AISI 316L stainless steel specimens. The process was performed at 15 and 26 passes with 0.2mm/s longitudinal rate and 22.4rpm bar rotation. Microstructure and hardness were investigated by feritscope, X-ray diffract meter, field emission scanning electron microscope and Vickers micro-indenter at 0.1kgf. A composite microstructure, consisting of ultrafine and nano grains (200nm and 70nm), mechanical twins and strain-induced martensite, was observed in the near-surface regions. Surface hardness was increased from 210 to 450 and 500HV0.1 after 15 and 26 passes, respectively.
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Introduction
Austenitic stainless steels (ASSs) have an excellent corrosion resistance but relatively low hardness and yield strength, leading to limited usage in applications that high values of hardness and wear resistance is necessary for material surface. Therefore, surface properties such as hardness must be improved using appropriate techniques. Mechanical surface treatments such as ultrasonic shot peening, laser shock peening, burnishing and deep rolling are appropriate methods for surface grain refinement, resulting in improvement of surface hardness and other properties of austenitic stainless steels. Among them, deep rolling is a well-known method for increase of surface regions hardness with a thick affected depth. These days, the processing of crankshafts, surgical implants, turbine blades, oil field equipment, components of engines and gear boxes, welded joints, tension bolts and bend shafts, holes, valve bodies for high pressure compressors, components of cooling pumps and aircraft instruments are the most well-known applications of ball-point deep rolling in the metals such as ASSs.

In deep cold rolling treatment also known as “Deep Rolling”, a ball or roller is pressed against the part surface. When a load is applied on the ball, the force generates a high Hertzian compressive stress state in the material at its contact point. Therefore, a 3D stress situation appears when contacting the part surface, resulting in the plastic deformation as soon as the yield point of the material is exceeded. While the tool and/or part are rotating, the plastic deformation progresses continuously over the entire surface. It is fast, effective and inexpensive process. Deep rolling can provide deep high compressive residual stresses. Work hardening (i.e. increase in surface microhardness) is another effect of this process. The achievement of three physical effects, namely the formation of compressive residual stresses, work hardening and achievement of quality surface finish, makes deep rolling one of the most effective and reliable techniques among the others. Formation of nano/ultrafine grains, mechanical twins and strain-induced martensite are some structural alterations of austenitic stainless steels after applying deep rolling process.

The high strength of stainless steels may be mainly attributed to the high-strength constituent, such as martensite. The 316L stainless steel contains thermodynamically met stable austenite at room temperature, which can be transformed to martensite (strain-induced martensite, SIM) by deformation below the Ms. The temperature that 50% martensite is formed in microstructure at 30% true strain. The volume fraction of SIM increases with increasing of strain. The martensite formation becomes saturated at a specific strain called ‘saturating strain’ (εs). The martensite crushes during deformation, increasing the concentration of lattice defects inside the SIM. Dislocations act as a suitable site for martensite nucleation in the method. The volume fraction of martensite and εs play important roles in achieving nano/ultrafine structure. Therefore, deep rolling as a severe plastic deformation treatment can induce strain-induced martensite transformation in near-surface of ASSs, resulting in further surface hardness. In addition, formation of the deformation (slip) bands identified as mechanical twins is observed in austenitic stainless steels. The martensitic transformation can be referred to the formation of intersecting mechanical twinning’s near the surface regions, because of the relatively low stacking-fault energy of austenitic stainless steels (17mJ/m²).

Several experimental practices recently reported by investigators about effects of mechanical surface treatments on structural characteristics and properties of austenitic stainless steels are as follows. Nikitin et al. studied effects of the single-pass deep rolling at room temperature on structure of the AISI 304 stainless steel. A nanocrystalline layer of strain-induced martensite and remained austenite with 80nm grain size and 2μm thickness was induced in near-surface using this process. The depth of strain-induced martensite was measured about 400μm. Moreover, a mixture of dislocation tangles, mechanical twinning’s and carbide ingredients was formed in surface regions. Altenberger et al. and Nikitin et al. induced a surface nanostructure and strain-induced martensitic layer with thicknesses of 2 and 200μm in the deep rolled AISI 304 stainless steel. It was found the strain-induced martensite phase value
is reduced with temperature increasing. The treatment increased surface hardness from 200 to 360HV0.5. Hashemi et al.18 formed a surface refined–grain structure in the AISI 316L stainless steel by shot peening, increasing surface hardness from 200 to about 450HV0.5. Moreover, surface affected depth, induced by shot peening, was achieved about 100–150µm. Sun14 exhibited surface nanostructure, formed on the mechanical attrition treated AISI 304 stainless steel, improves surface hardness from 200 to about 450HV0.1 and significantly wear resistance. Maximum thickness of surface affected layer was achieved about 200µm. Lin et al.15 showed that surface mechanical attrition treatment increases near–surface hardness of the AISI 321 stainless steel through surface nano–crystallization. A surface nanocrystalline structure, characterised by equiaxed grains with 10nm grain size, was produced using applied treatment, resulting in increase of surface hardness from 200 to about 450HV0.025.

In most of the previous works, deep rolling has been applied at single pass, leading to a narrow affected depth in surface layers. Therefore, the present paper has been focused on achievement a thick deformed layer with high values of hardness in near surface regions of the deep rolling processed AISI316L stainless steel. Hence, the process was done at multiple passes on the bar–shaped steel. For accurate observation of microstructure, field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) was employed. In addition, X–ray diffractometer (XRD) and feritscope were used for phasic characterization as well as micro–hardness tester for hardness measurement.

Material and experimental procedure

Material

A hot–rolled bar of the AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel with 30mm diameter, 210±5HV0.1 average hardness and chemical composition (wt.%): 0.03C, 17.50Cr, 10.50Ni, 2.15Mo, 1.81Mn, 0.50Si, 0.03P, 0.03S and balance Fe was received as the substrate material. Microstructure of the as–received 316L stainless steel (named UT) prepared through SiC grinding and mechanical polishing, and then etching by 20ml HCl+10ml HNO3+20ml H2O solution was only austenite phase with 25µm average grain size as shown in Figure 1.

Deep rolling process

The room temperature deep rolling process was performed by a “ball–point” rolling device equipped by a high–rigid tungsten carbide (WC) ball with 20mm diameter and 1400HV30 hardness. Experimental set–up of the deep rolling treatment is schematically illustrated in Figure 2. For conducting the process, the rolling device was steadily pressed against the surface of the rotary steel bar with constant velocity of V1 and simultaneously the rolling device glides at constant velocity of V2 parallel to bar axis from right to left (and inverse), selection of 22.4rpm and 0.2mm/s values for V1 and V2 parameters, respectively. During the process, oil lubricant was added between the work–piece and WC ball. In order to increase the grains surface refinement and creation of further hardness, the deep rolling was performed at multiple passes (15 and 26 passes). In the first process, the rolling device glided 15 times along the axis of the work–piece with 25µm device feed for each sweep (DRI process) and 26 times with similar device feed at the second process (DRII process). Total feed of the device was respectively reached to 400 and 650µm after 15 and 26 passes, leading to 110 and 160µm reduction of bar diameter after DRI and DRII processes, respectively. The mentioned conditions of the process were selected with regarding the characters of equipment and, trial and error to achieve of an appropriate plastic deformation in the material. With increasing of the device feed, a higher force is applied on the part surface, resulting in further surface plastic deformation. The bar reduction in diameter were measured by an appropriate caliper at different points and average value was selected as final reduction of bar diameter.

Figure 1 Optical micrograph showing microstructure of the as-received AISI 316L stainless steel.

Figure 2 Experimental set-up of the performed deep rolling treatment.
Characterization of the surface

Cross-sections of the deep-rolled specimens were ground and then polished to achieve a smooth surface. Solution of 10ml HCl+10ml HNO₃+10ml acetic acid+67μl glycerol was used for etching of the samples. A PME3 optical microscope (OM) and ZEISS field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) at SE state with 15kV voltage were employed for microstructure observation. Deformed depth and grains size were measured using FESEM, which was an appropriate device for illustrating nano–grains. Phasic characterization related to the as–received and deep rolled samples was done using férroscope (Fischer FMP30) and X–ray diffractometer (MPD–XPERT) performed using a Cu Kα radiation with 1.542515Å wave length, 30 to 100° scanning range, 0.05° step size and 1 sec scan step. Micro–hardness was measured by Vickers method at 0.1kg.f load, which it is an appropriate load for the manufactured samples with considering to capability of micro–hardness tester.

Results and discussion

Structural evaluations

Figure 3 shows a FESEM micrograph from cross–section morphology of the DRI processed sample (15 passes) from surface to the substrate. Deep rolling leads to a complex microstructure in the surface regions of austenitic stainless steels.16–17 Three different zones, consisting of bakelite (B), affected zone and substrate (S) are shown in Figure 3. The affected zone has been composed from two different parts. In the first part (named “G”), formation of new refined grains (G) inside primary micro–sized grains is the main structural change. Further details of this part are shown in the next sections. In the second part (characterised by “T”), lamellar (LT) and intersecting (IT) mechanical twins have embraced major part of the structure, a prevalent tendency in plastic deformed austenitic steels agreed with previous works.18–19 According to later works, formation of equiaxed ultrafine/nanosize crystallines and then mechanical twins with depth increasing is a general trend in mechanical surface treated austenitic stainless steels, good according with image observed in Figure 3. It is noticeable that characterized mechanical twins may be deformation bands. Deformation band is a collective term for the planar defects that form as a result of the overlapping of stacking faults on austenite {111} planes during the plastic deformation.20 Hence, since deformation bands and mechanical twins are similar and have a planar structure may be confused with each other. On the other hand, the new refined grains are populated by deformation bands identified as mechanical twins for such austenitic stainless steel with relatively–low stacking fault energy.21 Since, at major previous works such as Reference,16–18 formation of nano/ultrafine grains next to the mechanical twins (not deformation bands) has been reported, hence LT and IT are supposed as the mechanical twins.

The strain–induced martensitic transformation is another prevailed phenomenon in plastic deformed austenitic steels.15–20 In austenitic stainless steels, formation of martensite phase is achieved at locale of twins intersecting. On the other hand, intersection of two sets of mechanical twins leads to transformation of austenitic phase to martensitic kind.15–20 Due to observation and recognizing of martensitic phase by SEM needs to the BSE detector, LT and IT cannot be strain–induced martensite. Refined grains and mechanical twins have not been formed in the substrate (S), due to the low strain induction by the performed deep rolling.

FESEM micrograph from cross–section of near–surface regions related to Figure 3 with higher details is shown in Figure 4. As can be seen, equiaxed refined grains (G) have been formed near to the surface layers and inside primary micro–size grains, extending 50–60μm into depth. Due to the texture effect in grain refinement, ultrafine grains have been formed inside some micro–sized grains. Lamellar (LT) and intersecting (IT) mechanical twins are shown at further depths of structure. Therefore, with depth increasing and thus reduction of strain induction, refined grains formed in the surface regions are followed by mechanical twins. A similar behavior has been so far seen in several works, such as in.21 In the later work, a surface nanocrystalline layer followed by mechanical twins has been formed in the deep–rolled austenitic stainless steel.22 In comparison nano/ultrafine grains, formation of twins needs a lower strain induction.23 Hence, twins have a more formation depth, achieving about 170–200μm in the present work.

Morphology of the selected grain (#) related to Figure 4 with further details is seen in Figure 5. Such as the as–received material, large–angle or primary boundaries (PB) are observed in this figure. But, equiaxed grains (G) with an average diameter near to 200nm have been formed inside primary micro–sized grains. Therefore, without elimination of large–angle boundaries, ultrafine grains and twins have been formed in the surface layers using the performed deep rolling. According to the studies, formation of intersecting mechanical twins

(or deformation bands) with nano/ultrafine size leads to the induction of equal–size grains inside primary grains at such austenitic stainless steel. In general, intersecting mechanical twins with lower sizes are formed near to surface regions contained highest value of induced strain and then transformed to the nano/ultrafine grains. While, lamellar and intersecting mechanical twins with further sizes and without any refined grain are formed in high depths contained lower strain. For example, selected lamellar twins (LT) in Figure 3 have about 1200 nm thickness and refined grains are not shown in this part.

Figure 4 FESEM micrograph showing the microstructure of near-surface regions of the deep rolled steel (DRI).

While at low depths of Figure 4, thickness of lamellar twin (LT) is near to 400nm and refined grains are shown in this part. This trend is shown in Figure 3 that with strain decreasing from surface to substrate, ultrafine grains and then mechanical twins have been respectively formed in the micrograph. Induction of mechanical twins next to nano/ultrafine grains, due to relatively low SFE (17mJ/m$^2$) is a prevalent tendency during deformation of austenitic stainless steels. Similar behavior has been seen in several previous works. In these works, mechanical surface treatments, consisting of mechanical attrition, shot peening and deep rolling created a mixture of nano/ultrafine grains and mechanical twins in several austenitic stainless steel. In the substrate zone (S) of Figure 3, there is no refined grain and mechanical twinning, due to lack of induction of an effective strain in this part of the material.

Figure 6 presents a FESEM micrograph from cross–section of top–surface regions of the DRII processed steel (26 passes). According to this figure, nanosize equiaxed grains (G) without any presence of original grains (primary boundaries) and mechanical twins have been formed in the top–surface layer of the steel. Equiaxed grains with 70nm average size and random orientations are shown in this section of figure. In comparison with DRI process, further internal strain fields are induced in DRII type resulted by higher passes, which these fields have been led to dynamic recrystallization in surface layers of the deep rolled material (DRII). Therefore, new nanosize equiaxed grains are formed in the top–surface layer without any presence of twins, ultrafine grains (200nm) and large–angle boundaries, which were observed in DRI type.

Figure 6 FESEM micrograph showing microstructure of the top-surface regions after the DRII process.

**Micro–hardness profiles**

Micro–hardness profiles related to the processed parts have been shown in Figure 7. According to this figure, surface hardness has been increased from 210 to 450 and 500HV$_{0.1}$ after the deep rolling at 15 and 26 passes, respectively. But, surface hardness of an AISI 304 stainless steel has been increased from 200 to 360HV$_{0.5}$ by single–passed deep rolling in a previous work. While multiple pass deep rolling in the present work created higher values of hardness in the used steel. It is noticeable that in the DRII sample, hardness at the depth of 50µm below the surface is higher than the top–surface layer. Transition of maximum–hardness peak from top surface to deeper layers is a relatively–usual tendency in deep rolled metals. This behavior is shown when work–hardening induced in top–surface layer is higher than the its saturated value, resulting in dynamic recrystallization at extra–hardened zones.

This is at complete accordance with the top–surface structure of the DRII sample that has been recrystallized after 26 passes as shown in Figure 6. Moreover, temperature at contact point between the ball and surface material is higher than the deeper regions, resulting in a
slightly grain growth as well as softening and therefore lower hardness at the top–surface layer. Hence, the lower hardness is achieved in the top–surface layer of the DRII sample compared to deeper regions (below 50µm). With considering the relative–reduction of internal crystalline defects of DRII sample after dynamic recrystallization and slightly grain growth, it may be told general stability of the obtained nano layer is higher than DRI, but at higher temperatures some grain growth is achieved in the DRII structure.

Figure 7 The micro-hardness profiles of the DRI and DRII processed samples.

Due to the further passes, higher affected depth about 700µm has been achieved in the DRII specimen than that of the DRI, 300µm. As a comparison, the deep rolling at single pass at a previous work induced about 360HV hardness, while further hardness was achieved by performed multiple deep rolling. Moreover, shot peening in Reference induced 450HV hardness in the AISI 316L stainless steel (250HV substrate hardness) with about 100–150µm surface affected depth. While, the applied deep rolling further hardness with further affected depths induced in this work. In addition, Lin et al. exhibited that SMAT increases near–surface hardness of the AISI 321 stainless steel from 200 to about 450HV only at about 20μm depth.

Phasic analysis

Figure 8 shows X–ray diffraction patterns of the as–received and deep rolled specimens. The as–received sample (UT) only consists of austenitic phase (γ) , while the deep–rolled parts have been composed of retained austenite (γ) and strain–induced martensite (α’). The (110), (200), (211) and (220) planes of the α’ phase are shown in both the DRI and DRII patterns. Due to the higher mechanical–working, higher–intensity martensitic peaks has been diffracted in the DRII processed than that of DRI one. The martensitic transformation can be attributed to the formation of intersecting twins in the surface layers, due to the relatively low stacking–fault energy of austenitic stainless steels. The feritscopy measurements showed that 8 and 11Vol.% of α’ martensite have been formed in the deformed zones of the DRI and DRII processed kinds, respectively. Comparison of X–ray patterns and feritscopy measurements reveals that a further amount of martensite (about 11 Vol.%) has been produced in the DRII sample with higher intensity of martensite peaks.

It is clear that surface hardness has been increased with formation of retained austenite and strain–induced martensite according to Figures 7 & Figure 8. Therefore, surface hardness of DRII should be further than that of the DRII due to the more hardness of martensite phase. While, the DRII kind with further intensity of martensite peaks displays lower surface hardness than the DRII one. According to the micro–hardness profile and the structural aspects mentioned at the above texts, this is due to the slightly grain growth as well as softening, resulting in lower hardness at the top–surface layer. Therefore, the deep rolling at higher passes (DRII process) induced a structure, consisting of more martensitic phase and lower–size austenitic grains with higher affected depth, as well as more surface hardness as shown in Figures 6–8.

Figure 8 X–ray diffraction patterns of the as-received and deep rolled samples.

Conclusion

i. Surface mechanical treatments such as deep rolling are appropriate methods for surface grain refinement and thus surface alteration of the properties.

ii. Deep rolling process induced nano–size and ultrafine grains in the surface layers of the AISI 316L stainless steel.

iii. Strain–induced martensitic transformation was another structural change after the deep rolling.

iv. Grain refinement and martensitic transformation was enhanced by increase the number of pass.

v. Surface hardness was increased from 210 to 450 and 500HV with a gradual slope after the performed deep rolling.

vi. Surface hardness was enhanced by increase the number of pass.

vii. Relatively–high affected depths were achieved in the deep–rolled specimens, due to the high number of pass.
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