Case Report

Expect the unexpected - a case of traumatic right sided diaphragmatic hernia in third trimester and literature review

Abstract
Diaphragmatic hernia (DH) during pregnancy is rare with only 30 cases reported worldwide in the last 50 years. The mortality rate is as high as 50%. Low level of suspicion is required to make the diagnosis. This is the first documented case report of a third trimester maternal DH in Queensland, Australia. DH diagnosis and decision of treatment in the obstetric population can be difficult and challenging. A multidisciplinary team is required to optimise maternal and fetal outcome.

Introduction
We encountered a low risk antenate presented with an incarcerated and non-strangulated diaphragmatic hernia (DH) in our institution in 2017. Case reports of DH published in the English medium were identified via Medline and evaluated. This article described the statistics, presentation, investigation and recommended treatment of DH from current literature.

Case report
Mrs A was a 41-year-old primigravida who was at 38 weeks plus 4 days of gestation. She presented to the obstetric assessment unit in the evening with one hour history of severe epigastric pain which was associated with nausea and vomiting after food intake. On further questioning, she tripped and fell off a 15 cm stair two hours prior to the onset of epigastric pain. She landed on her buttocks and denied any abdominal contact with the ground or head injury. Her husband was also at the scene, confirmed her mode of injury. The epigastric pain which started only two hours after the fall was described as constant in nature with no radiation to the back. No chest pain or dyspnea was reported. Normal fetal movement was felt and there was no lower abdominal pain or vaginal bleeding throughout the event. Mrs A had an uncomplicated antenatal course until a normally grown frank breech fetus was formally diagnosed at 36 weeks with ultrasound. External cephalic version was offered by her obstetric team but was declined. An elective caesarean section was scheduled at 39 weeks. She sustained a Weber A right ankle fracture nine years ago associated with nausea and vomiting after food intake. She did not appear to be jaundiced. Epigastric tenderness was localised and reproducible on deep palpation. The abdomen was otherwise soft and unremarkable. In particular, the fundal height was 38 cm and the uterus was non-tender on palpation. The fetus was in breech presentation. Murphy’s sign, McBurney’s point tenderness and renal angle tenderness could not be elicited. Chest examination was normal with equal and adequate bilateral air entry. Fetal wellbeing was confirmed by cardiotocography which showed a baseline of 125 with good variability and accelerations throughout the entire duration of the recording. Her full blood count was normal with a white cell count of 14x10^9/L and a normal lipase, liver functions and CRP. Urine analysis showed no sign of urinary tract infection. Bedside ultrasound performed by senior obstetrician confirmed fetal wellbeing and no placental abruption or separation.

The epigastric pain was only temporarily relieved with two repeat doses of 10 mg of intramuscular morphine and nausea was treated by multiple doses of intravenous metoclopramide. The cause of the pain was unclear at this stage despite being reviewed by another senior obstetrician the next morning. A decision was made to deliver the baby via caesarean section two days earlier than the elective date. The operation was successful and a 3.5 kg live female baby was born in good condition. No anatomical or intestinal abnormality was noted by the operating obstetrician. Mrs A developed two episodes of large (200 ml) green vomits 24 hours after the Caesarean section. On review, her epigastric pain improved after the delivery but nausea remained. The vomit was brought on by food. Bowel sound was absent on abdominal examination. The abdomen was generally soft and appropriately tender to what one would expect soon after a Caesarean operation. A plain abdominal radiograph (AXR) revealed dilated loops of small bowel indicating a post-operative ileus. Input from the general surgeons was sought on day two post-op which recommended nasogastric tube decompression with free drainage. Mrs A’s symptoms did not improve after 24 hours of drainage, therefore a repeat AXR with gastrograffin study (Figure 1) was organised which suggested the possibility of a small bowel obstruction. An abdominal computed tomography (CT) was arranged to confirm and locate the small bowel obstruction. It showed the presence of abdominal content in the right sided chest through a diaphragmatic defect (Figure 2). A right sided non-strangulated diaphragmatic hernia was diagnosed. The general surgeons performed an emergency laparoscopic right sided diaphragmatic hernia repair and returned the incarcerated bowel to the abdomen. Upon direct visualisation, 20 cm of terminal ileum was herniated through a 6 cm defect on the right diaphragm. Mrs A
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recovered well after the operation and was discharged home 5 days after with a healthy baby girl.

Figure 1 AXR with gastrograffin study - The stomach which is significantly distended. Small amounts of ingested contrast are seen in proximal small bowel loops. No contrast is seen in the colon. The features suggest a mechanical mid to distal small bowel obstruction.

Figure 2 CT abdomen - There is a diaphragmatic hernia on the right containing loops of small bowel and associated with a right pleural effusion. The colon is not distended. The stomach is grossly distended. Features are consistent with a right sided diaphragmatic hernia with small bowel obstruction.

Discussion

Rarity

Diaphragmatic hernia (DH) is a rare clinical complication during pregnancy. A literature search showed that only 36 documented cases of DH were reported between 1959 and 2016 worldwide. Only one case of DH was recorded in Australia. Despite its rarity, this condition can be highly lethal due to common misdiagnosis which leads to the delayed administration of treatment. A maternal and fetal mortality rate is reported to be as high as 35-50%. DH can be divided into three types: congenital, acquired and traumatic. Congenital DH results from faulty embryologic development causing a weakness on the diaphragm. Acquired DH occurs when there is an anatomical weakness (i.e. esophageal hiatus, aortic openings, caval openings) allowing displacement of abdominal content. Traumatic DH develops when there is a direct or indirect mechanical trauma to the diaphragm as in our case. We believe that Mrs A sustained a traumatic DH during the fall, with the breech baby’s head impacting firmly on the diaphragm. From the available literature, we derived that the occurrence of these three types of DH are: congenital (30%), traumatic (30%) and acquired (40%).

Presentation

The most common symptoms of DH are nausea and vomiting, abdominal pain and dyspnea. However, it can also be asymptomatic when the incarcerated bowel is small and not obstructed. The manifestation of DH is non-specific and they can also occur in other more common conditions in pregnancy highlighting a diagnostic challenge. 60% of patients with DH experienced nausea and vomiting which is commonly confused with hyperemesis gravidum. Severe abdominal pain is encountered in 57% of patients with DH during pregnancy alongside with nausea consistent with signs of intestinal obstruction. The abdominal pain caused by a DH can be described as colicky in nature and ranges from mild to severe and constant or intermittent pain. DH usually protruded into the left thoracic cavity since the right diaphragm is protected by the liver. This causes the pain to usually manifest in the epigastrium or left upper quadrant. Pancreatitis, biliary colic and appendicitis during pregnancy can also produce pain of similar description confounding the clinical picture. Dyspnea was also experienced in 57% of patients with DH due to the reduction of lung capacity by herniated bowel content. In particular, 50% of patients whose DH became symptomatic in the postpartum period developed respiratory distress. Fortunately, this did not happen in Mrs A’s case. Pneumonia, asthma, amniotic embolism and heart failure can also cause dyspnea during pregnancy. Other reported non-specific symptoms include shoulder pain (30%), heart palpitation (23%) and chest pain (13%).

Investigation

Diagnosis of DH requires radiological imaging combined with thorough history taking and physical examinations. In our case, there was less concern of radiation exposure as the fetus was already born at the time of performing the radiological studies. It can be an issue in women who are still pregnant, especially during the early gestation. Plain chest and abdominal radiography is the preferred modality with low dose of radiation (0.12mSv) and is generally regarded as safe for
pregnancy.\textsuperscript{7} However, Radiography may not lead the right diagnosis as in our case, due to the limited view of abdominal organs unless the hernia volume is significant in the thoracic cavity. Although CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provide a better and more detailed study, CT’s radiation exposure is considered higher than plain radiography. MRI is expensive and may not be immediately available in some facilities. Full blood count, liver and renal function tests are usually unremarkable until a ruptured necrotic intestinal obstruction is manifested.

Treatment

Surgical repositioning of herniated intestinal content and repair of diaphragmatic defect is necessary and is the only effective treatment. Abdominal, thoracic and combined approach had been performed and documented in literature with equal results.\textsuperscript{1} Anaesthesia during the repair needs particular consideration and adjustment due to reduced lung capacity.\textsuperscript{8,9} Among the pregnant population with DH, the time to operate is dependent on the gestation and the severity of the symptoms. If the hernia is diagnosed during first and second trimester, it is recommended the defect to be repaired in the second trimester when organogenesis is complete. Also, this can prevent further displacement of bowel content into the thoracic cavity, as a result of an enlarging gravid uterus, causing hernia strangulation or rupture.\textsuperscript{3} Current literature suggested that pregnant women with a repaired DH can undergo labour spontaneously as uterine contractions alone are not likely to break the repair.\textsuperscript{10} Instrumental second stage, however, is advised to prevent women from active pushing and thereby increasing intra-abdominal pressure which may break the repair subsequently.\textsuperscript{10} DH repair should not be attempted after the third trimester as the abdominal viscera are displaced from their normal location making the hernia closure more challenging and more likely to fail.\textsuperscript{3} Women with a DH detected in the third trimester should therefore avoid labour and be offered a Caesarean section at term followed by a surgical DH repair when fetal lung maturation is achieved. However, when the women develop signs of obstruction or strangulation, surgical repair should be performed urgently regardless of gestation to prevent further lethal complications.\textsuperscript{3,10} The major cause of mortality from DH, as demonstrated in literature, are intestinal perforations, cardiogenic shock and respiratory distress.\textsuperscript{11}

Conclusion

Although DH is a rare complication in pregnancy, it has a high mortality rate. Low index of suspicion is required to detect its presence. Diagnosis is challenging due to its non-specific symptoms which overlap with other diseases in pregnancy. Fetal wellbeing and uterine displacement of abdominal organs pose considerable challenges to the management. A multidisciplinary team consisting of obstetricians, general surgeons, anaesthetists and nursing staff is definitely required in the management of DH.
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