Research Article

Open Access

CrossMark

Optimizing physical performance in climbing: specific strength training strategies across body regions

Abstract

Background: Climbing performance is determined by a complex interplay of technical skill, tactical decision-making, psychological factors, and physical capabilities. Among these, strength—particularly in the fingers, forearms, upper body, core, and lower body—plays a decisive role in a climber's ability to execute difficult sequences and sustain effort over varied terrain.

Objective: This narrative review aims to synthesize recent scientific literature (2015–2025) and applied coaching knowledge to provide climbers and coaches with evidence-based, body-region-specific strength training guidelines. The goal is to make scientific insights accessible and actionable for athletes across climbing disciplines, including bouldering, sport climbing, and alpine routes.

Methods: Literature was retrieved from PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar using targeted search terms related to climbing, strength development, and periodization. Studies meeting inclusion criteria addressed physical strength or conditioning interventions in human climbers, were published in peer-reviewed journals from 2015 onward and were available in English. Findings were synthesized with expert coaching practices to ensure practical applicability.

Results: Across climbing disciplines, maximal and endurance finger strength emerged as the strongest performance predictors. Effective methods include hang board protocols for maximal force and endurance repeaters, weighted pull-ups for pulling strength, unilateral lower-body exercises for stability and drive, and core stabilization drills for movement control. Periodized training integrating climbing-specific and general strength work was consistently superior to unstructured programs.

Conclusion: Strength training tailored to the unique demands of climbing—targeting each major body area, applied progressively, and periodized over the training year—can significantly enhance performance while reducing injury risk. Translating scientific evidence into accessible guidelines empowers climbers to train more effectively and sustainably.

Keywords: climbing, strength training, finger strength, upper body, core stability, lower body, periodization, sport performance

Volume 8 Issue 2 - 2025

Edgard Kazzi, Lea Farhat, Zahi Andraos
Laboratories des 3 S, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Antonine University, Lebanon

Correspondence: Zahi Andraos, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Antonine University, Lebanon, Hadat-Baabda, Lebanon, Tel: +961-3937945

Received: August 13, 2025 | **Published:** August 19, 2025

Introduction

The role of physical fitness and muscular strength in human life

Physical fitness is fundamental to health, functional independence, and performance across life stages. Commonly defined components include cardiorespiratory endurance, muscular strength, muscular endurance, flexibility, body composition, and neuromuscular coordination (Caspersen, Powell, & Christenson, 1985). Among these, muscular strength serves a central role in enabling everyday tasks—lift, push, carry, stabilize—and underpins balance, joint health, and injury protection.[1]

Strength training enhances functional independence, especially in older adults, preserving mobility and reducing fall risk (Marcos Pardo et al., 2019). For example, improving lean muscle mass through moderate-to-high intensity resistance training significantly improves functional capacity in daily life (Marcos Pardo et al., 2019) Likewise, increased muscle strength in both upper and lower limbs is closely linked to better performance on activities of daily living, including walking and self-care.[2,3]

Beyond physical capability, strength training delivers broader health benefits. It supports bone density, metabolic health, mental well-being, and cognitive function while reducing the risk of chronic disease and mortality.[4]

From daily life to sport: why strength matters in climbing

Strength becomes even more pivotal in sports requiring bodyweight control and isometric demands. Climbing exemplifies such a sport—demanding sustained grip, core tension, pulling strength, and dynamic control while navigating vertical terrain.

Scientific reviews identify muscular strength and endurance as core performance determinants across climbing disciplines.[5] Meta-analyses confirm that specific resistance or interval-style bouldering training significantly enhances climbing performance.[6] Similarly, the narrative review by Sæterbakken et al.[7] underscores the importance of maximizing muscular strength, hypertrophy, power, and local muscular endurance to elevate climbing performance and reduce injury risk.

Climbing presents a unique biomechanical challenge: it requires high-force generation from small muscles (finger flexors), continuous muscle tension (core), and dynamic pulling/gripping—all in asymmetrical patterns often under load. The physical demands differ by discipline:

- **Bouldering:** Prioritizes maximal strength and explosive power for short, intense sequences.
- **Lead climbing:** Requires sustained strength endurance to maintain effort through long and complex routes.
- **Alpine climbing:** Combines climbing-specific strength with endurance under load, psychological stress, and environmental variability.

Despite this, many climbers limit training to climbing volume alone, risking strength plateaus and overuse injuries. A structured, evidence-based approach to strength training can bridge these gaps, ensuring more efficient and injury-resistant adaptation.

Purpose and scope of this review

This paper aims to integrate academic rigor with practical clarity and is organized to serve both coaches and climbers:

- **Define** the critical strength qualities—finger flexor strength, forearm endurance, upper body pulling strength, core stability, and lower body power—and explain their physiological importance.
- **Present** evidence-based, discipline-specific training methods for each area, including load prescription, progression, and injury considerations.
- **Outline** a periodized training model tailored to bouldering, lead, and alpine climbing, enabling climbers to peak performance while maintaining long-term resilience.

Methods

Methodology

This narrative review synthesizes evidence from peer-reviewed research on physical training methods to enhance climbing performance, with an emphasis on strength development for specific body regions. The aim was to integrate both scientific literature and practical expertise to produce a resource that is academically rigorous yet directly applicable for climbers and coaches.

Search strategy

A structured literature search was conducted between January and April 2025 using PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus databases. The search strategy combined climbing-related keywords with training and physiology terms, including:

- climbing, sport climbing, bouldering, mountaineering, rock climbing
- strength training, resistance training, fingerboard, campus board, core stability, upper body strength, lower body strength
- periodization, specificity, performance enhancement

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine searches. Reference lists of relevant articles were also screened to identify additional sources.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they:

- Were published in English between 2015 and 2024.
- Investigated physical training interventions, physiological adaptations, or biomechanical analyses relevant to climbing.
- Included either elite, sub-elite, or recreational climbers.
- Reported measurable outcomes related to strength, power, endurance, or performance.

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused solely on psychological, tactical, or equipment factors.
- Did not present original data (except for relevant narrative/systematic reviews).
- Were case studies with $n < 3$ unless the intervention was uniquely relevant to climbing-specific strength.

Data extraction and synthesis

For each included study, the following data were extracted:

- Population characteristics (e.g., skill level, sample size, gender)
- Training intervention details (duration, frequency, intensity, exercises)
- Performance or physiological outcomes
- Key findings and conclusions

The evidence was organized into body-region-specific themes—fingers, upper body, core, and lower body—and further categorized by training method (e.g., fingerboard, campus board, weighted pull-ups, core isometrics). Studies were qualitatively synthesized to identify common principles and practical implications.

Limitations

As a narrative review, this work is not exhaustive and may be subject to selection bias. However, the structured search and defined inclusion criteria help enhance transparency and reproducibility.

Strength requirements in climbing

Climbing performance depends on the integrated action of multiple physiological systems, with strength being one of the primary performance determinants.[6] Unlike cyclic endurance sports, climbing involves intermittent high-intensity contractions that require both maximal and sustained submaximal force production.[8] These demands are magnified by the sport's unique constraints—small holds, overhanging surfaces, and awkward body positions—that require the ability to generate high force in mechanically disadvantageous positions.[9]

Finger and forearm strength

Finger flexor strength is widely regarded as the most specific and critical strength quality in climbing (Giles et al., 2020). It underpins an athlete's ability to maintain contact on small holds and resist fatigue during prolonged gripping. Climbers must also sustain high isometric forces, often exceeding 50% of maximal voluntary contraction, for repeated bouts.[10] Training methods such as fingerboard protocols, max-hang sessions, and repeaters have been shown to significantly improve both maximal force and endurance capacities in the finger flexors (Levernier & Laffaye, 2019).

Upper body pulling and lock-off strength

Effective upward movement relies on the pulling capacity of the latissimus dorsi, biceps brachii, and posterior shoulder musculature

(Fuss & Niegl, 2020). Lock-off strength—the ability to hold the elbow at a fixed angle while supporting body weight—is particularly important for advanced route tactics, such as clipping or reaching distant holds. Weighted pull-ups, one-arm lock-offs, and campus board training have been shown to improve upper body maximal strength and power output (España-Romero et al., 2019).

Core strength and body tension

Core musculature plays a pivotal role in stabilizing the trunk, resisting unwanted rotations, and maintaining optimal body alignment during complex climbing movements (Bourne et al., 2019). High core stiffness facilitates efficient force transfer from the lower to the upper body, allowing climbers to maximize reach and minimize energy loss. Sport-specific exercises—such as front lever progressions, L-sit pull-ups, and anti-extension drills—train the isometric endurance and stiffness qualities necessary for maintaining tension on steep terrain (Medernach et al., 2023).

Lower body force production

Although often underemphasized, lower limb strength and mobility are critical for generating upward momentum, maintaining stability, and optimizing foot placement.[10] Forceful leg push-offs reduce upper body load and improve movement economy, especially on vertical or slightly overhanging routes. Squat variations, single-leg jumps, and plyometric drills have been shown to enhance climbing-specific lower body contributions (Augste & Jäger, 2020).

Integration of strength qualities

Optimal climbing performance requires the integration of strength qualities across all segments of the kinetic chain. The coordinated application of finger strength, pulling capacity, core stability, and lower body drive determines movement efficiency and the ability to execute high-difficulty sequences. Training programs that balance these components tend to produce the most sustainable performance gains while reducing overuse injury risk.[7]

Strength qualities required for optimal climbing performance

Climbing demands, a precise blend of maximal strength, strength endurance, rate of force development (RFD), and isometric force-holding capacity across multiple body regions. Each segment of the kinetic chain contributes to overall performance, from the smallest stabilizers in the fingers to the prime movers in the upper and lower limbs. The effectiveness of a climber's movement often depends not on the strength of an individual muscle group, but on the integration of all these qualities into coordinated, efficient movement patterns.[6]

Fingers and forearms

Primary qualities:

- **Maximal finger strength** – The capacity to exert high force in specific grip positions (half-crimp, open-hand, pinch) is one of the strongest predictors of climbing performance.[11,12]
- **Strength endurance –** Ability to sustain submaximal grip for prolonged sequences without excessive fatigue or "forearm pump."
- **Isometric force control –** Fine-tuned regulation of grip strength to minimize unnecessary energy expenditure.

Performance relevance

The fingers and forearms are the climber's primary interface with the wall. Maximal strength determines the smallest holds that can be used, while endurance allows repeated high-intensity efforts without grip failure. Overdeveloping maximal force without sufficient endurance may lead to premature fatigue in long routes.

Upper body (pulling and stabilizing)

Primary qualities

- **Pulling strength** – High concentric and isometric strength in the latissimus dorsi, biceps brachii, brachialis, and brachioradialis.
- **Lock-off strength –** Ability to maintain a fixed arm position while repositioning feet or searching for the next hold.
- **Shoulder stability** – Strong rotator cuff and scapular stabilizers to resist dislocations, manage load transfers, and prevent overuse injuries.

Performance relevance:

Upper body pulling capacity dictates how effectively a climber can generate upward momentum, transition between holds, and recover mid-route. Shoulder stability is essential for safe dynamic moves, particularly on steep or overhanging routes.

Core musculature

Primary qualities

- **Anti-extension strength** – Preventing the torso from sagging away from the wall, critical in overhang climbing.
- **Anti-rotation and anti-lateral flexion** – Stabilizing against torsional forces during cross-throughs, heel hooks, and flagging.
- **Dynamic core power** – Engaging core explosively during dynos and mantles.

Performance relevance

The core links the upper and lower body, allowing force transfer from leg pushes to hand pulls. Weakness here leads to "sagging hips," loss of contact points, and inefficient movement patterns.

Lower body

Primary qualities

- **Hip and knee extensor strength** – Generating upward propulsion from footholds.
- **Ankle stability and plantarflexion strength** – Maintaining precise foot placements and edging stability.
- **Single-leg power and endurance** – Essential for stemming, high steps, and repeated pushes in long climbs.

Performance elevance

While climbing is often perceived as an upper-body dominant sport, elite climbers maximize efficiency by engaging their legs for upward drive. Lower body contribution reduces load on the arms delays fatigue and improves movement economy.

Integrated strength qualities

Climbing rarely isolates muscle groups. Movement patterns involve co-contractions and isometric holds while another segment performs dynamic movement. This makes isometric strength endurance, coordination under load and rate of force development across multiple joints equally important as the maximal strength of any single muscle group.

Evidence-based training methods for each body area

A well-structured climbing strength program must combine general strength development with highly specific loading patterns that mimic the mechanical and metabolic demands of climbing. The exercises and methods outlined below are based on current literature and professional practice, ensuring transferability to on-wall performance.

Fingers and forearms

Goal: Maximize grip-specific strength and endurance while minimizing overuse injury risk.

Key methods & exercises

- **Hangboard training –** Protocols such as max hangs (6–10 seconds at high intensity, 3–5 sets) develop maximal force; repeaters (7s hang / 3s rest × 6 reps, multiple sets) build strength endurance.[12]
- **Finger roll deadlifts –** Barbell lifts emphasizing finger flexors, useful for general strength development.
- **Rice bucket drills** – Improve antagonist muscle balance and tendon health.

Programming tip: Limit high-intensity fingerboard work to 2–3 sessions per week with 48–72h recovery between, progressing load cautiously to avoid flexor tendon injuries.

Upper body

Goal: Improve pulling strength, lock-off ability, and shoulder stability.

Key methods & exercises

- **Weighted pull-ups** – 3–6 reps for maximal strength; 8–12 reps for hypertrophy.
- **Isometric lock-off holds** – 5–10 seconds at varying elbow angles to simulate real climbing demands (Levernier & Laffaye, 2019).
- **Scapular pull-ups and face pulls** – Enhance scapular stability and rotator cuff resilience.
- **Programming tip:** Prioritize compound pulling before isolation work; maintain a 2:1 pulling-to-pushing ratio to prevent imbalances.

Core musculature

Goal: Enhance anti-extension, anti-rotation, and dynamic control under climbing-specific conditions.

Key methods & exercises

- **Front lever progressions** – Train anti-extension strength.
- **Dead bugs and hollow body holds** – Reinforce climbing-specific body tension.
- **Pall of press & side planks** – Develop anti-rotation capacity for cross-throughs and twisting movements.
- **Programming tip:** Integrate core work in both general conditioning and climbing-specific drills (e.g., toe hook and bicycle movements on a system board).

Lower body

Goal: Increase leg drive, single-leg stability, and foot placement accuracy.

Key methods & exercises

- **Step-ups and bulgarian split squats** – Develop unilateral strength for high steps.
- **Calf raises and ankle inversion/eversion work –** Improve edging control and prevent ankle sprains.
- **Wall footwork drills** – Reinforce climbing-specific foot placement under fatigue.
- **Programming tip:** Emphasize controlled, precise movements over heavy loading to better simulate climbing demands.

Integrated strength training

- **Goal:** Replicate whole-body tension and co-contraction patterns found in climbing.
- **Key methods & exercises**
- **Circuit climbing on a system wall** – Combine isometric grips, dynamic moves, and lower body pushes.
- **Campus board (Advanced) –** Short, explosive laddering for rate of force development.
- **Boulder problem simulation with added load** – Mimics competition or outdoor cruxes under resistance.

Periodization strategies for climbers

Developing climbing-specific strength requires a structured approach to training organization that progressively builds capacity while minimizing fatigue and injury risk. Periodization—the systematic manipulation of training variables across time—enables climbers to peak at the right moment for performance goals, whether that be a competition season or a targeted outdoor route.[13]

Principles of periodization in climbing

Climbing places high demands on small muscle groups (e.g., finger flexors) and complex movement patterns, making load management essential. Effective periodization for climbers should:

- Alternate high- and low-intensity phases to optimize adaptation.
- Sequence general to specific training, progressing from foundational strength to climbing-specific force application.
- Integrate adequate recovery periods to support tendon adaptation and prevent overuse injuries (Schoffl et al., 2018).

Periodization models

Two primary models are commonly applied in climbing training:

- **Linear periodization:** Gradually shifts from high-volume, low-intensity work toward low-volume, high-intensity work. Useful for less experienced climbers or those with long off-seasons.
- **Undulating periodization:** Varies intensity and volume within a shorter cycle (weekly or daily). Favored by advanced climbers to maintain multiple performance qualities simultaneously.[14]

Phases of strength development for climbers

A practical macrocycle for strength-focused climbing preparation may include:

General preparation (4–6 weeks)

- **Goal:** Build foundational muscle strength and address imbalances.
- **Focus:** Compound pulling and pushing, core stability, and basic lower limb strength.
- **Methods:** Traditional resistance training, bodyweight circuits, progressive overload.

Specific preparation (6–8 weeks)

- **Goal:** Transfer general strength to climbing-specific movements.
- **Focus:** Fingerboard max hangs, lock-off holds, weighted pull-ups, campus board laddering.
- **Methods:** High-intensity, low-rep protocols; grip position variability.

Pre-competition (4–6 weeks)

- **Goal:** Peak maximal strength and power endurance.
- **Focus:** Short, high-intensity sessions; movement-specific drills on steep terrain.
- **Methods:** Limit bouldering, complex interval training, integrated strength–power sessions.

Competition/performance phase (2–6 weeks)

- **Goal:** Maintain strength while prioritizing skill execution and route familiarity.
- **Focus:** Minimal effective dose of strength work; higher climbing specificity.
- **Methods:** Maintenance protocols—reduced volume but sustained intensity.

Transition phase (2–4 weeks)

Goal: Recovery, regeneration, and addressing weaknesses.

Focus: Light general strength, mobility work, low-intensity climbing.

Microcycle considerations

Within weekly structures, climbers should:

- Separate high-intensity strength work from skill-focused sessions by at least 24–48 hours.
- Integrate antagonist training to maintain joint health.
- Allow sufficient recovery between finger-intensive sessions to prevent tendon overuse.

Individualization

While these guidelines provide a general framework, individual responses vary based on training age, climbing discipline, injury history, and performance goals. Monitoring tools—such as perceived exertion scales, finger force dynamometry, or session load tracking—can guide real-time adjustments.

Injury prevention considerations

Climbing places repeated, high mechanical loads on small joints, tendons, and stabilizing structures, often in extreme ranges of motion. Without a deliberate approach to injury prevention, even highly trained athletes risk chronic overuse injuries or acute traumatic events that can halt training and competition.

Common climbing injuries

- **Flexor tendon pulley injuries** – Commonly in the A2 and A4 pulleys of the fingers due to sustained crimp positions.
- **Medial epicondylitis** (Golfer's Elbow) – Overuse of forearm flexors during gripping and pulling.
- **Rotator cuff tendinopathies** – From repetitive overhead movements and dynamic reaches.
- **Shoulder instability** – Particularly in athletes performing high-volume dynamic climbing.
- **Knee and ankle injuries** – From twisting foot placements, heel hooks, and dynamic landings in bouldering.

Preventative training strategies

Finger and forearm health

- Incorporate antagonist training for wrist extensors (rubber band finger extensions, reverse wrist curls).
- Progress load on hangboard gradually; avoid sudden intensity increases.
- Use taping during high-risk training phases or after mild pulley strain.

Shoulder stability:

- Maintain a balanced push-to-pull ratio with scapular protraction work (push-ups plus serratus activation).
- Include rotator cuff strengthening (external rotations, prone Y/T/W drills) at least twice per week.

Lower limb injury prevention:

- Strengthen hip abductors and external rotators to reduce knee valgus during high steps.
- Improve ankle proprioception with balance board work and single-leg stability drills.

Load management

- Monitor session volume and intensity using tools like session RPE (rate of perceived exertion).
- Alternate high-intensity climbing days with lower-intensity technique or mobility sessions.
- Implement deload weeks every 4–6 weeks to allow connective tissue adaptation.

Warm-up and recovery

- Structured climbing-specific warm-up: mobility work, progressive grip loading, and activation drills before main climbing sets.
- Post-training stretching and soft-tissue work to maintain joint mobility and muscle length.

- Sleep and nutrition as essential recovery pillars to support tissue repair.[15–18]

Practical recommendations table

To translate the scientific principles and training guidelines discussed above into actionable steps for athletes and coaches, the following table summarizes the most effective exercises, programming parameters, and recommended frequencies for each key body area relevant to climbing performance (Table 1).

Body area	Primary strength qualities	Most effective exercises	Programming guidelines	Training frequency
Fingers & Forearms	Maximal strength, strength endurance, isometric control	Hangboard max hangs, repeaters, finger roll deadlifts, rice bucket drills	Max strength: 6–10s hangs × 3–5 sets; Endurance: 7s/3s × 6 reps × 3–5 sets	2–3×/week, 48–72h rest
Upper Body	Pulling power, lock-off strength, scapular stability	Weighted pull-ups, isometric lock-offs, scapular pull-ups, face pulls	Max strength: 3–6 reps; Lock-offs: 5–10s holds at 3 elbow angles	2–3×/week
Core	Anti-extension, anti-rotation, dynamic control	Front lever progressions, hollow holds, Pallof press, side planks	Core tension: 3–5×30–60s holds; Dynamic control: 3–4×8–12 reps	3–4×/week
Lower Body	Single-leg drive, hip stability, ankle control	Bulgarian split squats, step-ups, calf raises, balance board drills	Strength: 3–4×6–8 reps; Stability: 3–4×20–40s holds	2×/week
Integrated Strength	Whole-body tension, co-contraction, RFD	Campus board (advanced), loaded boulder simulation, circuit climbing	Explosive: 4–6×3–5 reps; Endurance circuits: 2–4 min bouts	1–2×/week

Conclusion

Strength training plays a pivotal role in enhancing climbing performance across all styles, from bouldering to alpine ascents. While technical skill and tactical decision-making remain indispensable, the ability to produce and sustain high levels of force particularly in the fingers, forearms, pulling musculature, and core directly impacts a climber's ability to execute complex sequences and overcome crux moves.

This review has outlined evidence-based strategies for developing climbing-specific strength, integrating both general conditioning and targeted exercises for each major body region. Hang board protocols, weighted pull-ups, unilateral lower-body work, and core stability drills are consistently supported in the literature as effective interventions when applied progressively and with appropriate recovery. Moreover, structured periodization ensures that strength gains are translated into improved climbing performance without compromising joint integrity or tendon health.

Injury prevention is not an ancillary concern but a central pillar of effective climbing preparation. By including antagonist training, joint stability work, and deliberate load management, climbers can sustain higher training volumes and extend their competitive or recreational careers.

Ultimately, the integration of sport science principles with climbing-specific demands allows for a training process that is both efficient and sustainable. The application of these methods, when tailored to individual needs and climbing objectives, offers a clear pathway to peak physical performance while safeguarding long-term health and enjoyment of the sport.

Funding information

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Hillsdon, M, Foster C. What are the health benefits of muscle and bone strengthening and balance activities across life stages and specific health outcomes?. *Journal of Frailty, Sarcopenia and Falls*. 2018;3(2):66–73.
2. Bjerregaard P, Elsborg P, Jensen AD, et al. Muscular strength, mobility in daily life and mental well being among older adults. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*. 2013;46(2):123–129.
3. Liao J, Wang J, Jia S, et al. Correlation of muscle strength, working memory, and activities of daily living in older adults. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. 2024;16:1453527.
4. Momma H, Kawakami R, Honda T, et al. Muscle strengthening activities are associated with a 10–17 % lower risk of all cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, total cancer, diabetes, and lung cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022;5(10):e2230655.
5. Saul D, Steinmetz G, Lehmann W, et al. Determinants for success in climbing: A systematic review. *Journal of Exercise Science & Fitness*. 2019;17(3):91–100.
6. Stien N, Fryer S, Holmes N, et al. Isometric finger flexor strength and climbing-specific endurance performance in elite boulder and lead climbers. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*. 2021;3:637724.
7. Sæterbakken AH, Nicolay S, Helene P, et al. The connection between resistance training, climbing performance, and injury prevention: A narrative review. *Sports Medicine - Open. Advance online publication*. 2024;10(1):10.
8. Hermans E, Andersen V, Sæterbakken AH. The effects of high resistance–few repetitions and low resistance–high repetitions resistance training on climbing performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2017;17(4):378–385.
9. Fanchini M, Violette F, Impellizzeri FM, et al. Differences in climbing-specific strength between boulder and lead rock climbers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2013;27(2):310–314.
10. Baláš J, Pecha O, Martin AJ, et al. Hand–arm strength and endurance as predictors of climbing performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2013;12(1):16–25.
11. Philippe M, Wegst D, Müller T, et al. Climbing-specific finger flexor performance and forearm muscle oxygenation in elite male and female sport climbers. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2019;119(4):61–868.

12. López RE, González JJ. The effects of two maximum grip strength training methods using the same effort duration and different edge depth on grip endurance in elite climbers. *Sports Technology*. 2019;12(1):60–68.

13. Issurin, VB. Benefits and limitations of block periodized training approaches to athletes' preparation: A review. *Sports Medicine*. 2016; 46(3):329–338.

14. Grgic J, Mikulić P, Podnar H, et al. Effects of linear and daily undulating periodized resistance training programs on measures of muscle hypertrophy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Peer J*. 2017;5:e3695.

15. Draper N, Giles D, Schöffl V, et al. Comparative grading scales, statistical analyses, climber descriptors and ability grouping: International Rock Climbing Research Association position statement. *Sports Technology.* 2021;14(1–2):55–69.

16. MacLeod D, Sutherland DL, Buntin L, et al. Physiological determinants of climbing-specific finger endurance and sport rock climbing performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2007;25(12):1433–1443.

17. Vigouroux L, Quaine F. Fingertip force sharing strategies in rock climbing. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*. 2016;32(4):395–401.

18. Watts PB, Martin DT, Durtschi, S. Anthropometric profiles of elite male and female competitive sport rock climbers. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 1933;11(2):113–117.

Citation: Kazzi E, Farhat L, Andraos Z. Optimizing physical performance in climbing: specific strength training strategies across body regions. *MOJ Sports Med.* 2025;8(2):50–56. DOI: 10.15406/mojsm.2025.08.00183