Table 1 Levels of evidence and critical appraisal scores

Autho rs	Eligi bility crite ria	Ran dom alloc ation	Conc ealed alloc ation	Baselin e compar ability	Blin d subj ects	Blin d thera pists	Blin d asse ssor	Ade quat e Follo w-up	Inten tion- To- treat analy sis	Betwe en- Group compa risons	Point Estim ate& varia bility	To tal	Ris k of bia s
Lioren s et al., ⁷	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7	Mo dest
Chumb ler et al., ¹²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8	Lo w
Chen et al. ²³	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7	Mo dest
Crame r et al., ²⁰	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	6	Mo dest

Data analysis

Refere	Study	Part	Interv	ention	Duration	Outcom	Impressions (related to tele-		
nce	Objective icip				or time	e	rehabilitation)		
		atio	-	~	per	measur			
		n	Experiment	Control	sedation	e tool			
			al group	group					
Liorens	Evaluate the	30	Home based	In-clinic	12 week	Berg	For rehabilitating locomotors		
et al 7	clinical	15+	tele-	therapeutic	(45min	balance	skills with balance the virtual		
et un,	effectiveness	15	rehabilitatio	intervention	per	scale.	reality tele-rehabilitation and in-		
	of virtual-		n		session		clinic intervention has similer		
	reality base				Total 20		effect.		
	tele-				Session)				
	rehabilitation								
Cramer	To determine	124	36 session in	18 session	36 session	FM	Tele-rehabilitation has significant		
et al., 20	whether	62+	fort of tele-	with direct	(70 min	score	potentiality to access therapeutic		
	treatment	62	rehabilitatio	supervision	each)	(compar	rehabilitation on large number of		
	targeted arm		n computer.	under		e	population.		
	movement			therapist &		between			
	via nonne-			with booklet		and 4			
	rehabilitation			at home		weeks			
	is effective			at nome.		of			
	or not.					treatmen			
						t)			
Jing et	To evaluate	54	Tele	Physical	12 weeks	Berg	Home-based tele supervising		
al., ³	the effect of	27 +	supervising	Exercises in		balance	rehabilitation can reduce the care		
	Tele-	27	therapeutic	clinical		scale(B	giver burden. The home-based		
	supervising		intervention	setting.		BS),Mo	tele-rehabilitation is as effective		
	Rehabilitatio					dified	as conventional outpatient		
	n on Physical					Barthel	rehabilitation for improving		

	Function for					Index	function of hemiplegic patient
	Stroke					(MBI)	after stroke.
	natient with						
	hemiplegia.						
Chlumb	Efficacy of	46	telerehabilita	outpatient	Fall	36	For improving arm function with
er et	Home-Based	$23 \pm$	tion therany	rehabilitatio	efficacy	session	activity based training the home
	Home Dased	231	tion therapy	Tenaomatio	cificacy	30331011	detivity based training the nome
al.,12	Tele-	23	in the home	n therapy	scale	of 90	based tele-rehabilitation and in-
	rehabilitation			clinic		minuet	clinic based treatment has same
	vs In-Clinic					each	efficacy.
	Therapy for					30 days	2
	Adults After						
	Stroke						