

Survival in laparoscopic surgery for colon and rectal cancer

Abstract

Introduction: Laparoscopic surgery of the colon has been performed since the early nineties of the past century. Despite its advantages of less postoperative pain, faster recovery of intestinal and respiratory function among others, its acceptance has not been the same as with other laparoscopic procedures. In oncologic surgery particularly, the main cause of non-acceptance has been the fear of not respecting the oncologic criteria and the reports on port site metastases in the abdominal wall.

Objective: To determine patient survival after laparoscopic colorectal resections.

Material and methods: A descriptive, retrospective and longitudinal study was carried out at the Centro Nacional de Cirugía de Mínimo Acceso (CNCMA-NCMIS) between January 2005 and December 2015. The variables studied were age, gender, reason for surgery, surgical technique, mortality, overall survival.

Results: When comparing the survival curves according to the location of the tumor, it was found that those who had undergone surgery for a rectal tumor had a significantly lower survival during complete follow-up than patients with tumors in the rest of the sites ($p=0,032$). Significant differences were also found in the survival curves regarding the stage of the disease; stage IV patients showed the worst survival during the follow-up of this series.

Conclusions: Survival in our study, with the limitations given by a retrospective analysis, in patients who had surgery for colorectal cancer with minimally invasive techniques at five and ten years was 70,9% and 66,8% respectively, an appropriate rate and similar to that described in scientific medical literature.

Keywords: colorectal surgery, overall survival, colorectal cancer

Volume 8 Issue 1 - 2020

Miguel Ángel Martínez Alfonso,¹ Rafael Torres Peña,¹ Javier Barreras González,¹ Jorge Gerardo Pereira Fraga,¹ Rosalba Roque González,¹ Raúl Jiménez Ramos,¹ Maricela Morera Pérez²

¹Department of Surgery, National Center for Minimally Invasive Surgery (CNCMA), Cuba

²Department of Research, National Center for Minimally Invasive Surgery (CNCMA), Cuba

Correspondence: Miguel Ángel Martínez Alfonso, Full Professor of General Surgery, Associate Researcher, Párraga 215 % San Mariano y Vista Alegre, Vibora, 10 de Octubre, Habana, Cuba, Tel 649 53 33, Email mange@cce.sld.cu

Received: December 12, 2019 | **Published:** January 03, 2020

Abbreviations: CNCMA, National center of minimal access surgery; NCMIS, National center for minimally invasive surgery; AJCC, American joint committee for cancer.

Introduction

Laparoscopic resection of the colon was not as well-known as other surgical techniques in spite of having been introduced in 1991,¹ and reproducing the core advantages of being minimally invasive. Disadvantages such as difficulty, long learning curve and increase of costs have been pointed out.² Although laparoscopy has increased in colorectal surgery in the past years, the percentage of patients treated with this approach continues being low. In oncologic surgery particularly, the main cause of non-acceptance in the mid-90s by many was the fear of not respecting the oncologic criteria and the reports on port site metastases in the abdominal wall.³⁻⁷ These techniques were introduced in our Center in 1995, both in benign as well as malignant conditions, the latter under a strict research protocol.

Material and methods

A descriptive and retrospective study was carried out beginning with a prospective database of the patients who underwent surgery due to a histologic diagnosis of colorectal cancer between January 2005 and December 2015, at the Centro Nacional de Cirugía de

Mínimo Acceso (CNCMA) (National Center for Minimally Invasive Surgery NCMIS). The variables studied were age, gender, preoperative diagnosis, and surgical technique, location of the tumor, stage and overall survival. Patients who had curative and palliative surgery were included in the study, whereas those with contraindications for laparoscopy and a 7 cm tumor or larger as well as evidence of infiltration to adjoining organs, were excluded. The surgeries were performed by the same surgical team, and informed consent was given by patients and relatives. The technical procedures were those recommended in the National Norms for General Surgery. Staging was classified according to the American Joint Committee for Cancer 2018 (AJCC). The results were analyzed utilizing the statistical program SPSS 21. The data obtained from the nominal qualitative variables were summarized with absolute and relative frequencies, and the quantitative variables with descriptive statistics of central tendency and dispersion (average and standard deviation). Survival was estimated following the Kaplan-Meier method.

General technical aspects

Preoperative: Mechanical preparation of the colon the day before the procedure is explained to every patient. On the day of the intervention an elastic bandage is placed as prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis in the preoperative stage, the prophylactic antibiotic is administered in the perioperative stage as is usual in colorectal surgery and a urinary

catheter is inserted. Orogastric intraoperative decompression is only utilized if required.

Surgical technique: The modified lithotomy position is used in every case, except in right resections in which the patient was placed dorsal decubitus. Pneumoperitoneum is achieved with a Verses needle sustaining an intra-abdominal pressure of 12 to 15 mm Hg; three to five trocars were utilized. In right and transverse colectomies, anastomosis was performed extra corporeally with an intestinal anastomosis manual suture or occasionally with a staple suture. In left colectomies and rectal surgeries, the ureter was systematically identified. Anastomosis was performed laparoscopically with a mechanic circular stapler of variable diameters. A leak test was performed. Abdominal drainage was not routinely left. The specimen was removed protecting the abdominal wall to avoid implants.

Results

Between 2005 and 2015, 402 resections were performed due to colorectal cancer and the survival rate was studied. The average age of the patients was $66,6 \pm 12,4$ years, and there was a slight prevalence of females 210 (52,2 %) over males 192 (47,8 %). Average age was similar in both genders (females: 66, 4 years males: 66, 9). Preoperative diagnoses and the techniques utilized are shown in Table 1. Rectal cancer represented 43% of the preoperative diagnosis, followed in frequency by those located in the right colon and sigmoid. The most utilized surgical techniques were anterior resection of the rectum (Dixon), right hemi colectomy and sigmoidectomy, Abdominoperineal resection following Miles technique represented 7% of the procedures performed and rectal surgery 16% Table 2. In regards to staging based on the TNM classification, our study shows that 75,4% of the patients were in stages II and III and only 19,4% were in stage I. Staging was not possible in 17 cases because the biopsy report did not have all the required data Graph 1. The maximum follow-up time was 10, 7 years. From a total number of 402 patients who had surgery for colorectal cancer 89 died, representing 22,1 % of the patients studied. There was an overall survival rate at 5 and 10 years of 70,9 % and 66,8 % respectively, as shown in Table 3. The research was restricted because of the inconvenience of not being able to determine the date of recurrences or metastases. That is the reason why we only present the distribution of absolute and relative frequencies of these variables without being able to determine survival free from progression or disease. Loco regional recurrence was present in 2, 5% of the patients (10 cases), and 14,4% (58 patients) had distant metastasis. Port site metastases in the abdominal wall occurred in two cases (0,49%). When comparing the survival curves by tumor location, it was found that those with rectal tumors had a significantly lower survival during follow-up than patients with tumors in other sites. ($p=0,032$). Significant differences were also found in the survival curves based on the stage of the disease: stage IV patients showed the worst survival during the follow-up of the series. ($p=0,000$) (Figures 1&2).

Table 1 Patient distribution by operative diagnosis. NCMIS 2005-2015

Preoperative Diagnosis	No.	%
Rectal Cancer	173	43,0
Right Colon Cancer	93	23,1
Sigmoid Cancer	80	19,9
Left Colon Cancer	31	7,7
Transverse Colon Cancer	18	4,5
Multiple Familial Polyposis +Cancer	3	0,7

Table Continues...


Preoperative Diagnosis	No.	%
Idiopathic Ulcerative Colitis +Cancer	1	0,2
Right Colon and Rectal Cancer	1	0,2
Sigmoid and Rectal Cancer	1	0,2
Loco Regional Recurrence	1	0,2
Total	402	100

Table 2 Patient distribution based on surgical technique performed. NCMIS 2005-2015

Surgical Technique	No.	%
Anterior Resection of the Rectum (Dixon)	143	35,6
Right Hemicolectomy	93	23,1
Sigmoidectomy	68	16,9
Left Hemicolectomy	41	10,2
Miles	28	7,0
Transversectomy	20	5,0
Coloanal Anastomosis	5	1,2
Total Colectomy	4	0,9
Total	402	100

Table 3 Overall survival by type of cancer and stage. NCMIS 2005-2015

Survival Rate	Five years	Ten years
Overall	70,9%	66,8%
Colon	74,8%	73,3%
Rectum	62,1%	58,1%
TNM	Survival	
Stage 0	100%	100%
Stage I	78%	65%
Stage II	83,1%	79,5%
Stage III	54,7%	52%
Stage IV	32,1%	16%



Graph 1 Patient Distribution following TNM Classification. NCMIS 2005-2015.




Figure 1 Overall Survival in Rectal Cancer.




Figure 2 Overall Survival Regarding Staging.

Discussion

Long-term oncologic results have been a very controversial issue ever since minimally invasive techniques were implemented for colorectal cancer. Although it was quickly demonstrated that the oncologic standards (resection margins and resected ganglia) were respected, the port site metastases reported at the level of the entry ports as well as mini incisions increased uncertainties and made many surgeons abandon this practice.⁴⁻⁷ These criteria began to change and different societies began to implement laparoscopic surgery,^{9,10} with the results of the first prospective and multicenter studies which compared short and long term results of laparoscopic and conventional treatment of colon cancer presented at the Consensus Conference held in the EAES World Congress in Lisboa in 2004.⁸ In rectal cancer laparoscopic techniques are more complex and there are different treatment methods determined by the location of the lesion and the use or not of radiotherapy, therefore it required more time to be accepted.^{11,12} The numbers of port site metastases initially reported were alarming, but now in these first multicenter studies they report less than 1%.^{7,8} In our series there were two port site metastases at the level of the entry ports which represented 0,49%, similar to European reports that informed 0,5% and 0,9%.¹³

Mortality associated to colorectal cancer has been progressively diminishing, at least in developed countries.¹⁴ This is probably due to the implementation of early detection programs and of a greater social awareness of the disease. Nevertheless, colorectal cancer is still one of the main causes of death. In this series the longest follow-up time was 10, 7 years. Overall survival rate at five and ten years was

70, 9% and 66, 8 % respectively. A worse prognosis was observed regarding tumor location in patients at five years with rectal cancer (74, 8% in colon cancer and 62.1% in rectal cancer) and at ten years (73, 7 % in colon cancer and 58, 1% in rectal cancer) similar to what is reported in scientific medical literature.¹⁵ This is probably related to the anatomical location of the rectum that prevents wider resections. CLASIC,¹⁶ the multicenter study which included 794 patients in a comparative analysis of conventional colorectal surgery versus laparoscopic surgery reported a survival of 78,3% and 82,7 % at 5 years, respectively. In a more recent study of 161 patients operated on for cancer utilizing minimally invasive techniques, 92 in the colon and 69 in the rectum, overall survival at five years was 60,8%, 56.7% for colon cancer and 63.4% for rectal cancer.¹⁷

A series of 108 patients who underwent conventional colorectal cancer surgery was published in Cuba in 2012; it reported 66,7 % survival at five years.¹⁸ Overall survival in this series at five years in colon surgery was 74,8%, very similar to those published in a meta-analysis that include COLOR and COST multicenter trials. When comparing the conventional and laparoscopic approaches in colon resections for cancer, they report this last group with 73,8% and 76,4% survival, respectively. In the same way, the local recurrence and distant metastasis they report of 4% and 12,4% are similar to ours, 2,5% and 14,4%, respectively.¹⁹ An institutional study carried out in Italy which included 460 colon resections, 227 laparoscopic and 233 conventional, report a five-year survival of 83,1% and 68,5%, respectively.²⁰ The numbers of overall survival vary more,^{17,21,22} regarding five-year survival in the treatment of rectal cancer, related to, among other aspects, the differences in staging, the neoadjuvant chemo-radiotherapy protocols and surgical procedures (prior resections, abdominoperineal amputations, hand-assisted minimally invasive surgery, etc.)

When analyzing abdominal resections regarding Miles technique for rectal cancer, we observe that when comparing our first experiences (1995-2004) with this procedure performed on 27 % of the patients with cancer, there was a 16% decrease (28 Miles in 178 rectal cancers) in the period that covers this report due to a larger number of conservative techniques, that besides providing a higher life quality diminish the possibilities of local recurrence, the aforementioned a very debated issue nowadays.²³⁻²⁵ In England, the analysis of national data between 1996 y 2004 demonstrated that the abdominoperineal resection rate significantly decreased from 29% to 21%.²⁶ There are few publications regarding survival at ten years after colorectal surgery. In 2016 Charlotte LDeijen et al.²⁷ published a continuation of COLOR, the multicenter study, and reported 48.4% and 46.7 %, survival for colon cancer treated by laparoscopic and conventional approach respectively.²⁷ Our ten-year survival in colon cancer (73,7%) is similar to a series of 269 colectomies published by ErhanAkgun et al.²⁸ that reports 72,9% at ten years.²⁸ Staging is the strongest predictor of survival for patients with colorectal cancer considering that the prognosis of these patients mainly depends on the vertical engagement of the colonic wall and the condition of the ganglionic compromise. In this study nearly 50% were Stage II patients (48,2%), 30,3% were in Stage III and only 17% were in the other stages. It calls our attention that in relation to overall survival depending on the stage of the disease, Stage I survival is lower than Stage II, the opposite of what was expected and reported by other series,¹⁶⁻²⁹ that as we, studied colon and rectal surgery. This could be related to the small Stage I sample in our series, among other factors Table 4.

Table 4 Five-Year survival of colorectal surgery for cancer

Author	Survival	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	StageIV
Ho-Suk Oh et al. ²⁹ 269 patients	62%	91%	82%	51%	4%
Kalcan S et al. ¹⁷ 161 patients	60,8%	88,2%	64,7%	48,5%	37%
NCMIS 385 patients	70,9 %	79,3%	83,1%	54,7%	32,1%

Conclusion

Survival in our study, with the limitations given by a retrospective analysis, in patients who had surgery for colorectal cancer with minimally invasive techniques at five and ten years was 70,9% and 66,8% respectively, an appropriate rate and similar to that described in scientific medical literature. Survival curves in patients who had surgery for a rectal tumor were significantly lower during the total follow-up than in the patients with localized tumors in the colon. At five years it was 74,8% in those located in the colon and 62,1% in the rectum while at 10 years it was 73,3% and 58,1% respectively. Significant differences were found in the survival curves regarding overall survival according to the stage of the disease.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

Author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding

None.

References

- Jacobs M, Verdeja JC, Goldstein HS. Minimally invasive colon resection (laparoscopic colectomy). *Surg Laparosc Endosc*. 1991;1(3):144–150.
- Pascual M, Salvans S, Pera M. Laparoscopic colorectal surgery: Current status and implementation of the latest technological innovations. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016;22(2):704–717.
- Küper MA, Eisner F, Königsrainer A, et al. Laparoscopic surgery for benign and malign diseases of the digestive system: indications, limitations, and evidence. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(17):4883–4891.
- Martinez J, Targarona EM, Balagué C, et al. Port site metastasis. An unresolved problem in laparoscopic surgery. A review. *Int Surg*. 1995;80(4):315–321.
- Berends FJ, Kazemier G, Bonjer HJ, et al. Subcutaneous metastases after laparoscopic colectomy. *Lancet*. 1994;344(8914):58.
- Lacy AM, Delgado S, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, et al. Port site metastases and recurrence after laparoscopic colectomy. A randomized trial. *Surg Endosc*. 1998;12(8):1039–1042.
- Vukasin P, Ortega AE, Greene FL, et al. Wound recurrence following laparoscopic colon cancer resection. Results of the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Laparoscopic Registry. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1996;39(10 Supp1):S20–S23.
- Veldkamp R, Gholghesaei M, Bonjer HJ, et al. Laparoscopic resection of colon Cancer: consensus of the European Association of Endoscopic Surgery (EAES). *Surg Endosc*. 2004;18(8):1163–1185.
- Aprobé statement. Laparoscopic colectomy for curable cancer. (SAGES) (ASCRS). *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:2050–2049.
- The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Colon Cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2017;60(10):999–1017.
- Guidelines for Laparoscopic Resection of Curable Colon and Rectal Cancer*. Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES). 2012.
- Bonjer HJ, Deijen CL, Abis GA, et al. A randomized trial of laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(14):1324–1332.
- Yeo H, Niland J, Milne D, et al. Incidence of minimally invasive colorectal cancer surgery at National Comprehensive Cancer Network centers. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2014;107(1):362.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, et al. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2010;127(12):2893–2917.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, et al. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2011;61(2):69–90.
- Green BL, Marshall HC, Collinson F, et al. Long-term follow-up of the Medical Research Council CLASICC trial of conventional versus laparoscopically assisted resection in colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg*. 2013;100(1):75–82.
- Kalcan S, Sisik A, Basak F, et al. Evaluating factors affecting survival in colon and rectum cancer: A prospective cohort study with 161 patients. *J Can Res Ther*. 2018;14(2):416–420.
- Emilio Alexis Guerra Pérez, Lidia Torres Ajá, Juan Emilio Domínguez Suárez, et al. Sobrevida a los cinco años en pacientes operados de neoplasia maligna de colon y recto. *Revista Finlay*. 2012;2(1):12–22.
- Bai HL, Chen B, Zhou Y, et al. Five-year long-term outcomes of laparoscopic surgery for colon cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2010;16(39):4992–4997.
- Cianchi F, Trallori G, Mallardi B, et al. Survival after laparoscopic and open surgery for colon cancer: a comparative, single institution study. *BMC Surgery*. 2015;15:33.
- Juan Almeraya Ortega, Miguel Blas Franco, Miguel Ángel Pichardo Farfán, et al. Resultados a largo plazo en el manejo quirúrgico del cáncer de recto: supervivencia a 5 años. *Cir Gen*. 2014;36(4):225–231.
- Gustavo Rossi, Hernán Vaccarezza, Carlos Vaccaro, et al. Tratamiento laparoscópico del cáncer de recto: resultados oncológicos a largo plazo. *Rev Argent Cirug*. 2013;105(2):52–59.
- Hussaina A, Mahmooda F, Torrance ADW, et al. Oncological outcomes of abdominoperineal resection for the treatment of low rectal cancer: A retrospective review of a single UK tertiary centre experience. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2018;34:28–33.
- Warschkow R, Ebinger SM, Brunner W, et al. Survival after Abdominoperineal and Sphincter-Preserving Resection in Nonmetastatic Rectal Cancer: A Population-Based Time-Trend and Propensity Score-Matched SEER Analysis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2017;2017:6058907.
- Omidvaria S, Hamedib SH, Mohammadianpanah M, et al. Comparison of abdominoperineal resection and low anterior resection in lower and middle rectal cancer. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst*. 2013;25(3):151–160.
- Tilney HS, Heriot AG, Purkayastha S, et al. A national perspective on the decline of abdominoperineal resection for rectal cancer. *Ann Surg*. 2008;247(1):77–84.
- Deijen CL, Vasmel JE, de Lange-de Klerk ESM, et al. Ten-year outcomes of a randomised trial of laparoscopic versus open surgery for colon cancer. *Surg Endosc*. 2017;31(6):2607–2615.

28. Erhan Akgun, Cemil Caliskan, Tayfun Yoldas, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Colon Cancer Patients Undergoing Standardized Technique Operation with Curative Intent. *Int Surg.* 2015;100:1382–1395.
29. Oh HS, Chung HJ, Kim HK, et al. Differences in Overall Survival When Colorectal Cancer Patients are Stratified into New TNM Staging Strategy. *Cancer Res Treat.* 2007;39(2):61–64.