Appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors in single center literature review and a case series

Abstract

The appendix is one of the most common single site for carcinoid tumor.1 Histopathologically, appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors (aNETs) is mostly comprised of enterochromaffin (EC) cell type and derives from a subepithelial cell population, which is different from neuroendocrine tumor in other sites. Although rare, and usually detected incidentally in appendectomy, it is considered the most common type of appendiceal primary malignant lesion, and is found in 0.3%-0.9% of patients undergoing appendicectomy.2 This tumor rarely presents with metastases. In surgical practice most surgeons encounter only one or two such lesions during their career. Therefore, it is important to define correct management of such a rare tumor. We would like to report a series of 2 aNETs found during appendicectomy in a single centre and discuss about management strategies.
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Case report

Case 1: A 13-year-old Indian boy presented with right iliac fossa pain, vomiting, diarrhea and fever for the past 4 days. On further history taking, he also complained of weight loss about 7 kg in 1 month. Otherwise, there was no constitutional symptom. Patient has a strong family history of malignancy. Patient’s mother was diagnosed breast cancer and patient’s grandmother was diagnosed llingual cancer. Initial diagnosis was acute appendicitis. We proceeded with emergency open appendicectomy and intraoperative finding was inflamed retrocecal appendicitis. Tip of appendix was thickened and adhered deeply to the posterior peritoneum, otherwise caecal base was healthy and no Meckel’s diverticulum found. Histopathology examination (HPE) result showed carcinoid tumor with tumor size 0.5cm and base was free. Immunohistochemistry staining for Synaptophysin: Positive, Chromogranin: Positive and CD56: Positive. Urine 5-HIAA and result was normal. CT Thorax, Abdomen and Pelvis showed no evidence of distant metastasis. We plan to follow up this patient every 6 monthly clinically.

Case 2: A 24-year-old Malay gentleman presented with right iliac fossa pain, diarrhea and fever for one day duration. Abdominal examination demonstrated tenderness over the right iliac fossa. Abdominal X-ray shows only fecal loaded over the ascending colon. Blood parameters were normal limits. Our initial diagnosis was acute appendicitis. We proceeded with emergency open appendicectomy. Intraoperative finding was perforated tip of appendix with 10cc pus but base was healthy. Patient was discharge home well at post operative day three. Patient was reviewed back in clinic in 1 month and the HPE result showed carcinoid tumor with tumor size 0.5cm, base and body is free. Immunohistochemistry staining for Synaptophysin: Positive, Chromogranin: Positive and CD56: Positive. Urine 5-HIAA and result was normal. CT Thorax, Abdomen and Pelvis showed no evidence of distant metastasis. We plan to follow up this patient every 6 monthly clinically.

Discussion

Oberndofer first described these tumors and used the term carcinoid (or “karzinoide”) in 1907. In 1963 Williams and Sandler classified carcinoids according to embryogenetic aspects. The prevalence of aNETs is 0.3–0.9% in patients undergoing appendicectomy, with the rated at 8.4 per 100 000 population per year. This means that the diagnosis will be made in one in 100–300 operations. The most frequent ‘carcinoids’ (NETs) is neoplasms of the appendix, which is 32–57% of all appendiceal tumours. Gastrointestinal tract NETs present predominantly in the small intestine 44.7%, followed by rectum 19.6%, then the appendix which is 16.7%. ANETs usually present at younger age group, with a peak in the fourth decade. ANETs occur more frequently in female gender.

Histological features predictive of aggressive tumor behavior are size, histological subtype and mesoappendiceal involvement.3 Most of the tumors size is smaller than 1 cm in diameter in 60–76% of patients. In tumor size 1–2 cm in diameter is 4–27% and tumor size larger than 2 cm in diameter is 2–17%. There are 4 histologic subtypes of aNETs which are goblet cell, composite cell, enterochromaffin cell and atypical cell. The risk of metastasis from tumors smaller than 1 cm is zero, while tumor size larger than 2 cm increase the risk of metastasis from 20% - 85%.4

In our case series, all the tumors were less than 1 cm and localized at the tip of appendix with no evidence of regional or distant metastases. ANETs usually metastasize to regional lymph nodes rather than to the liver.5 The aNETs distribution is heterogeneous, in which the tip of the appendix is the most frequent location 60–70% followed by in the body 5–21% and then the base of appendix 7–10% (Table 1).
We would like to discuss about management approach based on the HPE characteristics for prognostic index aNETs. The size of the tumor and histological patterns are the most important factors that contribute to the selection of the surgical treatment. For aNETs less than 1 cm in diameter size, it is unlikely to have metastasized. Therefore, a simple appendectomy with clear margin would suffice. However if the aNETs measures more than 1 cm and less than 2 cm in diameter size, with no muscular invasion or lymph node involvement a simple appendectomy with surgical margins free of tumor. However, Goede and Moertel suggested in aNETs greater than 2 cm in diameter size with lymph node involvement and high-grade malignant carcinoids (including those with cellular pleomorphism and a high mitotic index, more than 2 mitoses/10 HPF), extension of the tumor into the mesoappendix, subserosal lymphatic invasion, tumor location near the base of the appendix or close to the cecum with potential involvement of its wall, and tumor-positive resection margins then this should be managed with right hemicolecotomy. Meanwhile, in elderly age group and in high risk patients a simple appendectomy may be adequate for surgical resection of tumors larger than 2 cm in diameter size (Table 2).

Table 1 Classifications and staging of aNETs according to the TNM system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: Tumor < 2 cm
T2: Tumor ≥ 2 cm but < 3 cm
T3: Tumor ≥ 3 cm
N0: No lymph node metastases
N1: Regional lymph node metastases
M0: No metastases
M1: Distant metastases

Table 2 Show the summary of treatment based on the size of the aNETs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor size</th>
<th>Risk for lymphatic spread</th>
<th>Further characterization</th>
<th>Predictive validity for malignancy</th>
<th>Type of evidence source</th>
<th>Treatment recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None (positive margins)</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>Retrospective series (Conclusive)</td>
<td>Appendectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 cm</td>
<td>0-1%</td>
<td>Serosal invasion</td>
<td>Not predictive (accepted)</td>
<td>Retrospective series</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mesoappendiceal invasion</td>
<td>Controversial</td>
<td>Retrospective series</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular invasion</td>
<td>Controversial</td>
<td>Retrospective series</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 cm</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Mitotic activity (&gt;2 cells/mm²)</td>
<td>Not proven</td>
<td>Common sense evidence (data from different NETs applied to appendix)</td>
<td>Individual risk evaluation: High risk (elderly) patient: Appendectomy ‘High risk’ tumor, low risk (younger) patient: Right hemicolecotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any size goblet-cell tumor</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
<td>Localization at base of appendix Positive margins</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>'Common sense evidence'</td>
<td>Right hemicolecotomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In metastatic tumors and carcinoid syndrome the aNETs with lymph node metastases and vascular invasion is as high as 30%. Only 1% for tumors with appendiceal mesentery invasion. Right hemicolecotomy should be considered in patients with more extensive (stage III and VI) disease. However, Alexandraki suggested to use systemic therapies such as somatostatin analogues (SSAs) / octreotide in this group of patients as it can prolonged progression and improve symptoms associated with carcinoid syndrome with a clinical and biochemical response rate of up to 60%. Pape suggested patients with progressive disease beside treating with SSAs, further loco-regional therapies such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA) could be used in patients with hepatic metastases. Chemotherapy is not use in metastatic tumor, is because of its poor response rate which is less than 40%. The 5-year survival rate of patients with local disease is reported to be 92%, those with regional metastases to be 81% and those with distant metastases was 31% respectively (Figure 1).
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Conclusion

ANETs commonly presents as acute appendicitis. In most cases, it is found incidentally during appendectomies and its diagnosis is rarely suspected before histological examination. Although, ANETs has an excellent overall prognosis, consideration should be given to follow up this group of patients. At the time of diagnosis, we would recommend clinical examination and laboratory investigations with CgA and CT imaging. In our opinion, 6 monthly follow up is indicated in the low-risk tumors which is less than 1 cm in the largest diameter with no mesoappendical fat invasion presence and the location at the tip or intermediate part of the appendix with low Ki-67 and no other extension. However, if there is evidence of residual disease following Right hemicolectomy, a yearly CT abdominal scanning together with blood CgA is recommended as safe strategy. 
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