Proteomics of triple negative breast cancers in Asian population- the need for further studies
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Introduction

Reports from across Asia emphasize that breast cancer is becoming very common. It is projected that more than 70% of breast cancers will be from so-called ‘developing countries’ by 2020, of which a very high proportion will be from Asia.1 Another important point is that there is genetic diversity between Western and Oriental population. There is a great need to develop new markers to identify breast cancer at an early stage. There also is a need for newer chemotherapeutic agents, which are more effective and less toxic. Cancers which are negative for ER, PR and HER2 are known as triple negative breast cancers (TNBC). They are very resistant to conventional treatment protocols and hence their management is one of the greatest challenges in contemporary clinical practice. Our own preliminary studies have identified 83 cases that did not express the 3 markers, ER, PR and HER2, i.e. triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) out of a total of 358 cases, 23.2%.2

One of the most aggressive types of sporadic breast cancers is those which are over-expressing the gene, HER-2/neu. It has been identified that ~25% of breast cancers are over-expressing the HER-2/neu gene. Cancers which over-express HER-2/neu genes are highly resistant to conventional therapies and also very aggressive in their biological characteristics, including local and distant metastasis. The combination of the 3 markers, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and HER2/neu is very commonly used for assessing treatment plan and prognosis. Management of triple negative breast cancers continues to be a challenging problem. Molecular phenotype of breast cancer is done on the basis of 5 markers, ER, PR, HER2, CK 5/6 and EGFR. ER positive and/or PR positive and HER2 negative are classified as luminal A, ER positive and/or PR positive and HER2 positive are luminal B cancers, ER and PR negative and HER2 positive are considered as HER2 type. Cancers which are negative for ER, PR and HER2 are known as triple negative breast cancers (TNBC). ER, PR and HER2 negative and positive for CK 5/6 and / or EGFR are categorized as basal-like cancers (BLBC). Those cancers negative for all 5 markers are considered as “unclassified” or “null”.3

TNBC shares morphological and genetic abnormalities with basal like breast cancer (BLBC), but they are not the same entity. Breast cancers which carry BRCA1 mutations also very often come under TNBC/BLBC. They frequently occur in younger women and are aggressive and metastatic. They relapse more often and have a worse prognosis. Approximately 10-24% of breast cancers are TNBC. About 70% of TNBC are BLBC. TNBC and BLBC share many common characteristics like grade 3 status, ductal carcinomas with high mitotic count, high apoptotic rate, geographic or central necrosis and/ or fibrosis, a pushing border of invasion and stromal lymphocytic response. Hormone negativity, high grade, medullary-like histology, lymphocytic infiltration, TP53 mutations, EGFR expression, HER-2 negativity, characteristic copy number alterations and X-chromosome inactivation are termed as “BRCAness” because of their similarity to BRCA1 mutation carriers. Thus, there is considerable overlap between the three entities, namely, BLBC, TNBC and BRCA1 mutation carriers.4

Protein profiling as well as phosphoproteomics of the triple negative cases would identify novel molecules that are involved in the development/progress of the cancer. Over expression of Cyclic E1,5 metastasis-related proteins6 as well as glycolytic enzymes, cytokeratins, and structural proteins7 were seen in triple negative breast cancers. Gromov et al.,8 identified 46 proteins that were upregulated in triple negative tumors. Zhang et al.,9 have studied proteomic signature in 3 breast cancer cell lines of inflammatory breast cancer (SKBR3, SUM149 and SUM190). In a study by Sohn et al.,10 AKT, IGFBP2, LKB1, S6 and Statmin were predictors of recurrence-free survival in triple negative breast cancer patients undergoing standard neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Gamez-Pozo et al.,11 have studied proteome of TNBC and identified and quantified more than 1000 protein groups, including CD44 and PARP1. They have identified signaling pathways which they report is related to TNBC genesis and development. Zhang et al.,12 did integrative pathway assisted proteomic analysis and report clustering of breast cancer sub-types. Luminal A and B sub-types resembled each other which were different from basal group (basal and HER-2 types). Their results suggest the importance of molecular classification and warrants further proteomic studies based on molecular sub-types.

Proteins of cytoskeletal organization, calcium binding, and stress response were identified in ER positive/HER2 negative breast cancers by Korwar et al.,13 Interactions of annexin A5, actin, S100 A10, glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate dehydrogenase, superoxide dismutase 1, apolipoprotein, fibrinogen, and heat shock proteins were also found. Pavlou et al.,14 performed MS-based secretome analysis of eight breast cancer cell lines, corresponding to the three main breast cancer subtypes and more than 5200 non-redundant proteins were identified with 23, four and four proteins identified uniquely in basal, HER2-neu-amplified, and luminal breast cancer cells, respectively. Cabezon et al.,15 performed proteomic studies on TNBC and identified Mage-A4 protein as a potential drug target.
Perez-Rivas et al.,16 report distinct changes in proteomic profile following breast cancer surgery. Terp et al.,17 studied key proteins associated with the metastatic potential of breast cancer cells and identified LRRC59, CD59 and CSPG4. Proteins involved in cell growth stimulation, anti-apoptosis mechanisms and cancerogenesis were more strongly expressed in T47D than in MCF7, while, proteins implicated in transcription repression and apoptosis regulation, were more strongly expressed in MCF7 as compared to T47D in a study by Aka et al.18

Greenwood et al.,19 suggest that triple-negative breast tumors can be segregated into 2 phenotypes based on their genome-wide protein abundance profiles. One of the groups, Stat1/CD74 positive triple-negative tumors, was more aggressive compared to the other group. He et al.,20 identified that twenty proteins were found to correctly classify all HER2 positive and 7 of the 11 TNBC tumors. Among them, galec tin-3-binding protein and ALDH1A1 were found preferentially elevated in TNBC, whereas CK19, transferrin, transtekolase, and thyrosin β4 and β10 were elevated in HER2-positive cancers.

Gonzalez-Angulo et al.,21 identified a 10-protein biomarker panel that classifies breast cancer into prognostic groups that may have potential utility in the management of patients who receive anthracycline-taxane-based NST. These proteins are: ER function (ER, PR, Bcl2, GATA3, E1G121), tyrosine kinase receptor function (EGFR, HER2, HER2p1248), and cell proliferation (CCNB1, CCNE1). Chen et al.,22 identified 23 proteins over expressed in HER2 positive breast cancers, including creatine kinase, retinol-binding protein 1, thyrosin 4 and tumor protein D52. Schulz et al.,23 compared the protein expression pattern of triple-negative breast carcinomas versus those being positive for HER2 and negative for the hormone receptors (HER2+, ER–, PR–) by 2-D DIGE and mass spectrometry. They obtained differential expression patterns for several glycolytic enzymes (MDH2, PGK1, TKT, Aldolase1), cytokeratins (CK7,8,9,14,17,19), further structure proteins (vimentin, fibronectin, L-plastin), for NME1-NME2, lactoferrin, and members of the Annexin family. They suggest that the identified marker proteins may advance a more detailed characterization of triple-negative breast cancers and may contribute to the development of better treatment strategies.

Zhang et al.,24 identified nine proteins involved in glycolysis (triose-phosphate isomerase (TPI), phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1), and enolase 1 (ENO1)), lipid synthesis (fatty acid synthase (FASN)), stress-mediated chaperone (heat shock protein 27 (Hsp27)), and antioxidant and detoxification pathways (haptoglobin, aldol-keto reductase (AKR), glyoxalase I (GLO), and prolyl-4-hydroxylase beta-isofrom (P4HB)) were found to be up-regulated in HER-2-negative breast tumors. They suggest that HER-2/neu signaling may result, directly or indirectly, in enhanced activation of various metabolic, stress-responsive, antioxidant, and detoxification processes within the breast tumor microenvironment.

Costa et al.,25 studied non-tumoral breast tissue. Forty-four different proteins from 70 spots were identified and classified according to their biological function. Cytoskeleton and associated proteins represented the largest class (30%) followed by the proteins with binding function (27%). Several of the proteins were also seen in breast tumors, such as vimentin, endoplasm, small heat shock beta-6, disulfide isomerase and some cell growth, and proliferation regulators. This suggests the importance of including data on the characterization of non-tumoral breast and to studies on differential expression in cancer tissue. Many hydrophobic proteins were found to be shared between TNBC and ER+PR+Her2-breast cancers (non-TNBC). Unique proteins were also found to be associated with either TNBC or ER+PR+Her2-breast cancers. The pool of the unique proteins may include disease related biomarkers, and may potentially be used as therapy targets.26 Label-free quantitative proteome analysis revealed that 236 proteins were differentially expressed in ERα+ and ERα-breast tumors. By Gene Ontology molecular function, dehydrogenase, reductase, cytoskeletal proteins, extracellular matrix, hydrolase, and lyase categories were significantly enriched in ERα+, whereas selected calcium-binding protein, membrane traffic protein, and cytoskeletal protein were enriched in ERα-breast tumors.27 It would be interesting to follow future studies on triple negative breast cancer proteomics from the Asian population and the molecular signature of these patients.
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