Implanted related complications and mortality after gamma nail for unstable trochanteric fractures: (a report of 450 consecutive cases)

Abbreviation: ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiology

Introduction

Trochanteric fractures represent 60% of proximal femur fractures.\(^1\) They remain one of the major causes of mortality, morbidity and loss of function among the elderly. It poses a major health concern with incidence increasing with aging global population.\(^2,3\) Various methods of reduction have been developed over the years for the management of these fractures. Bone quality and cervical screw placement have been the main talking points of internal fixation. Despite its numerous advantages: notably enhanced stability of construct, cervical compression and mini-invasive approach, few reports exist in literature on the use of the Gamma nail and its relatively higher rate of complications like other methods for surgical management of extracapsular hip fractures in the elderly.

The objective of this paper is to assess the outcome of surgical treatment of trochanteric fractures using Gamma nails with focus on its potential per and postoperative complications through a retrospective series of 450 patients managed at the department of Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery A, HASSAN II Teaching Hospital, Fez between January 2010 and January 2016.

Patients aged between 32 and 95 years old (mean age of 67 years) with predominantly unstable (type A2 and A3 fractures) \(n=342\), 76% were involved. Bony union was achieved at an average 3 months in 95.5% of cases, with satisfactory reduction in 80% of cases. Parker score averaged 6 (between 6 and 8). In all 78 (17%) per and postoperative complications were recorded, of which 17 required surgical revision. 29 deaths were recorded during this period. Other complications include cervical screw cut-out (6 cases), proximal femur diaphyseal fractures (9 cases), malunion (20 cases), mechanical failure (1 case), implant breakage (2 cases), pseudarthrosis (1 case) and failed distal locking (10 cases).
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Abstract

The Gamma nail represents the latest advancement in the treatment of trochanteric fractures combining the principles of intramedullary nailing with almost percutaneous insertion. Despite its mechanical advantages, the gamma nail is not immune to complications like other methods for surgical management of extracapsular hip fractures in the elderly.

The objective of this paper is to assess the outcome of surgical treatment of trochanteric fractures using Gamma nails with focus on its potential per and postoperative complications through a retrospective series of 450 patients managed at the department of Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery A, HASSAN II Teaching Hospital, Fez between January 2010 and January 2016.

Patients aged between 32 and 95 years old (mean age of 67 years) with predominantly unstable (type A2 and A3 fractures) \(n=342\), 76% were involved. Bony union was achieved at an average 3 months in 95.5% of cases, with satisfactory reduction in 80% of cases. Parker score averaged 6 (between 6 and 8). In all 78 (17%) per and postoperative complications were recorded, of which 17 required surgical revision. 29 deaths were recorded during this period. Other complications include cervical screw cut-out (6 cases), proximal femur diaphyseal fractures (9 cases), malunion (20 cases), mechanical failure (1 case), implant breakage (2 cases), pseudarthrosis (1 case) and failed distal locking (10 cases).

Table 1 American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score for preoperative morbidity assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score ASA</th>
<th>N=450</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy patient</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pathology</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Pathology</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Pathology</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods

We carried out a descriptive retrospective study involving 450 patients managed for trochanteric fractures in the department of Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery A, Hassan II University Hospital, Fez between January 2010 and January 2016. We excluded patients with pathological fractures and cases of poly trauma. Preoperative checklist list entailed patient demographics, affected side, pre-injury ambulatory status (8), fracture classification and morbidity assessment using American Society of Anesthesiology Score (7) (Table 1, 2 and Figure 1). Patients underwent closed reduction internal fixation using the standard gamma nail, 11 mm in diameter, with a cervico-diaphyseal angle of 130° and distal locking in all cases. Follow up was done regularly with complete physical exam and routine x-rays each month up to 6 months and twice yearly afterwards. Functional outcome was evaluated according to Parker’s rating\(^8\) and bony union as well as implant related complications were assessed in the course of follow-up.
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Results

The mean age of patients was 67 years (between 32-95 years old) with a slight female predominance (sex ratio of 3:2) and an average ASA rating of 2.4 was observed. Preoperative Parker score averaged 6 (ranging from 3 to 9). The right side was the most involved in 70% of cases, with a predominance of complex and unstable fractures according to AO/OTA classification (83%) (Table 3). 95% of injuries were due to standing fall as a result of household accident. The mean time between trauma and surgery was 03 days (12H-6days). The average duration of surgery was 40 minutes (between 20 and 80 min) with perioperative blood loss requiring transfusion in 15% of cases. Early protected ambulation was encouraged on the first postoperative day with partial weight bearing from the second day, and full weight bearing by 3rd postoperative week in 80% of patients. The average hospital stay was 5 days. Mean bony union time 10 weeks.

Table 3 Fracture pattern and distribution according to AO/OTA classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification AO</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type A1</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A2</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients were reviewed monthly up to six months and twice a year afterwards. 29(6.4%) non surgery related (congestive heart disease n=15, acute kidney failure n=8, diabetic ketoacidosis n=6) deaths were recorded in the first 6 months whereas 21 patients were lost to follow up. After a mean follow up of 36 months, a total of 400 patients were reviewed with a complete physical and radiological assessment. 350 patients (77%) achieved union and Parker score averaged 5.5 (ranging from 3 to 8).
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Postoperative complications were observed in 40 patients (8.8%) mainly diaphyseal femoral fractures and cervical screw cut out (Figure 2). 9 cases (2.2%) of proximal third diaphyseal fractures occurred between the 1st and 5th postoperative months with fracture line slightly distal to locking screw or tip of nail. All cases underwent nail removal and revision using long gamma nails in 6 cases and a femur nail in 3 cases with favorable outcome (Figure 3).

6 cases (1.5%) with cervical screw cut-out were recorded, with immediate postoperative radiography showing bad positioning: cervical screw placement was either too superior or posterior and sometimes even too short. Two cases with acetabular penetration required a total hip arthroplasty, and a single case of revision using a 95° dynamic condylar plate screw. A case of early secondary displacement of sub trochanteric fracture treated with standard gamma nail underwent revision using a 95° dynamic condylar with a favorable outcome (Figure 3). Two cases (0.5%) that failed to unite underwent revision using the conventional 95° condylar screw plate system. One entailed a sub trochanteric fracture treated with a long gamma nail which required revision surgery after 6 months using a condylar plate screw with iliac crest bone graft. The second case was marked by implant failure and breakage after 5 months requiring revision using conventional dynamic condylar screw system (Figure 3). However, another case of implant breakage with radiological progression of callus was closely monitored and eventually united after 6 months without reoperation (Figure 4).

20 cases of malunion were uncovered notably 8 cases with varus malunion, 6 in valgus and 6 cases with femoral neck shortening. Nonetheless all cases were well tolerated with little implications on patients’ activities of daily living.

![Figure 3 A) Revision surgery using a long gamma nail after diaphyseal femur fracture. B) Revision surgery with a 95° dynamic condylar screw after gamma nail failure. C) Revision with a 95° condylar screw after implant breakage complicating a case of non-union.](image)

![Figure 4 A) Immediate postoperative x-ray show AO/OTA 3.1 A3 fracture treated with standard gamma nail. B) x ray image at 4 months postoperative showing nail breakage. C) x-ray image at 6 months showing bony union despite implant breakage.](image)

Discussion

Trochanteric fractures have been treated using a variety of reduction techniques which have developed considerably over the years (10) with a preference for intramedullary implants. From the first osteosynthesis using the Smith-Petersen nail in 1930 to the Staca nail plate and Muller’s (AO) blade-plate of the 1950’s leading to Kuntscher’s “Y” nail and Ender’s nails as early as 1964. From 1980 onwards, screw plate systems including the Dynamic Hip Screw® (Synthes) (DHS®), were developed, followed by dynamic nail screws such as the Gamma (Stryker®) nail from1990 [11]. These newer implants allow for a more stable construct with early weight bearing, thus consequently reducing morbidity and mortality rates associated with hip fractures in the elderly.

Our mortality rate of 6.4% at 3 months is similar to those reported in literature, ranging from 7 to 22%[13,14] up to 31% for centenarians.[15] The advanced age of our population, associated comorbidities and the unstable nature of the fractures in our series may explain this rate. Hommel et al.[2] identified advanced age, male sex, the presence of associated comorbidities like dementia that could potentially delay primary care as factors that directly influence mortality rate at 12 months after trochanteric fractures.

Overall intraoperative complication rate of 3.1%, and 8.8% postoperative complication rates were recorded during this period. Intraoperative complications range from 2.2%-16 to 10.7%-17 whereas overall postoperative complication are reported to be around 7.2% and 21%-18 according to literature. Femoral fracture is one of the most frequent complications with incidence between 2 to 3% according to some authors.[6] Rantanen J[8] showed that three aspects of gamma implant design: nail curvature, stiffness and diameter were contributory factors. The incidence of femoral fracture on gamma nail insertion has reduced greatly since the advent of 3rd generation Gamma nails.[6] Clavert[6] believes these are likely due technical errors such as:

- Untimely distal locking leading to weakening of femoral cortices distal to the nail.
- Entry point being too medial or lateral.
- Insufficient reaming, less than 2 mm > nail diameter.

Implanted related complications and mortality after gamma nail for unstable trochanteric fractures: (a report of 450 consecutive cases)

Inopportune use of hammer for nail advancement.

We reported 9 cases (2.2%) of diaphyseal fractures whose lines were located at the distal lock screw or at the end of the nail and are probably due to technical faults. Cervical sliding screw cut-out was the second complication recorded in our series with incidence of 2%. This is defined as a projection of at least 5 mm of the cervical sliding screw.\textsuperscript{21} It constitutes a multifactorial mechanical failure due to resulting forces applied to the bone, the type of fracture, quality of reduction and implant design.\textsuperscript{22,23} Cases involving cervical screw cut-out were attributed to technical error as postoperative X-rays showed inadequate screw positioning either too superior in the AP view, posterior on lateral view or too short in 2 cases. In order to reduce this complication, DOCQUIER\textsuperscript{18} recommends centering the cervical sliding screw in the femoral head on the AP view 5 to 10 mm from the subchondral bone. Screw placement in the lower half is acceptable in some instances where placement in inferior quadrant is not advisable. On a lateral view, sliding screw should be centered in the femoral head although alternatively, a posterior position is tolerated. Screw cut-out incidence ranges from 0 to 7% according to literature.\textsuperscript{24–26}

Non-union remains a rare complication of trochanteric fractures due to relative good blood supply of the metaphyseal zone. In the event of delayed union, nail dynamization may be a good option. Strict monitoring is required to prevent implant loosening or breakage, which usually occurs at the level of the cervical screw regarded as a zone of weakness.\textsuperscript{27} In our series we observed 02(0.5%) cases of pseudarthrosis including a case complicated with implant breakage. In recent literature, multicenter studies have reported incidence of Gamma implant failure from 0% to 0.4%.\textsuperscript{28–30} Average implant breakage time varied between the 6th and 15th postoperative month.\textsuperscript{29} The weakest zone of the Gamma nail remains the point of the cephalic sliding screw. This critical zone is where the vast forces from the femoral neck are transmitted to the diaphyseal region.\textsuperscript{29,31} Thus, recurrent pain around the screw location on the operated hip must be considered as clinical signs of implant failure or possible breakage. The authors recommend hip x-rays in at least two views as part of routine follow-up in the event of dynamisation for delayed union on gamma nail. Overall, our long term outcome terms are similar to those reported in literature, with overall revision rate of 4.2% is comparable to revision rates from 1.4%\textsuperscript{32} to 8%\textsuperscript{33} according to recent literature.

Conclusion

Operative treatment of extracapsular hip fractures using the Gamma nail represents a valid technique, albeit with its peculiar operative technique yet remains highly applicable even in unstable fractures with less morbidity through closed reduction and minimally invasive approach. Its mechanical advantage allows early weight bearing in most cases. Malunion still pose a considerable setback as part of routine follow-up in the event of dynamisation for delayed union on gamma nail. Overall, our long term outcome terms are similar to those reported in literature, with overall revision rate of 4.2% is comparable to revision rates from 1.4%\textsuperscript{32} to 8%\textsuperscript{33} according to recent literature.
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