Comparative of Infection Rate in Non-Elective and Elective Surgery, and Its Relation on Quality of Life

Abstract

Objectives: To study infection rates in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty, comparing elective surgery against intervention due to hip fracture (non-elective), and being related to the quality of life of them, comparing infection sample versus uninfected one.

Methods: Longitudinal prospective study of 104 patients older than 65 years who underwent hip replacement between October 2008 and March 2010, in “Consorcio Hospital General Universitario de Valencia”. The EuroQol-5D was used for clinical assessment.

Results: The infection rate was 2.88% at 6 months follow-up (3 elective surgery infections and no one infection in hip fracture), decreasing the prevalent infection rate to 0% at 12 months. Total hip arthroplasty intervention means an increase quality of life of 0.452 in the patients studied.

Conclusion: Lower quality of life figures in patients undergoing surgery due to hip fracture (-0.1685) compared to osteoarthritis (0.5729) (p < 0.001) are obtained. Postoperative quality of life scores are similar between infected and uninfected sample (0.898 and 0.791 respectively) but health and economic impact of hip joint replacement infections are important.
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Introduction

In recent decades, surgical joint replacements have represented a significant improvement in functional capacity of patients with osteoarthritis [1]. Approximately, 90% of hip joint replacements resolve the pain and functional limitation without complications over a period of 10-15 years after surgery, being therefore a more cost-effective intervention than other surgeries. However, this procedure is not exempt from risks and the complications incidence is around 4% [2]. One of the most serious and feared complication of hip joint replacement is a surgical site infection, due to the inherent emotional costs to the long process of treatment to follow, as it can cause the prosthesis failure [1], and the economic implication it has.

The infection can happen in superficial wound area, it does not mean great seriousness, it does not have a large impact on quality of life of patients and it is usually treated with antibiotics [3]. This infection is produced within the first 30 days after surgery, involving only skin or incision subcutaneous tissue and meets at least one of the following criteria: pus presence at the surgical incision site, including outlet drainage site by counteropening, with or without positive culture; isolated microorganisms from fluid or incision tissue surface; at least one of the infection signs (pain or sensitivity, operated area edema, wound erythema and local warmth) and / or medical diagnosis of surgical wound superficial infection recorded in the clinical history [4]. However, there is a case that shows larger problems and catastrophic consequences for the patient: an infection developed around the prosthesis (deep infection with fascias and muscles affectation), at which time, it will probably be necessary a second operation, of inspection and cleaning or, in severe cases, a permanent artificial joint removal, in addition to related antibiotic therapy [3]. Deep infection criteria are: wound depth purulent drainage; wound depth spontaneous dehiscence; wound deliberately opened by surgeon / orthopaedist though culture is negative and has at least one of these signs (fever above 38 °C, localized pain or localized sensitivity, abscess or other evidence of wound depth infection) and / or medical diagnosis of surgical wound deep infection recorded in clinical history [4]. Infections are mostly produced by *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (methicillin resistant in 30% of cases), followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* infections (5% methicillin resistance). Gram negative bacilli are often observed, highlighting *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aureoginosa* [5-8].

Tsukayama classification (used in the study) divides infection into four groups: early postoperative infection (acute onset, usually before 3-4 weeks following surgery), chronic-late postoperative infection (chronic indolent presentation after the first month after surgery), positive intraoperative cultures (microorganisms isolation and / or pus in joint replacement, without infection clinical suspicion) and acute hematogenous infection (hematogenous spread from a distant focus) [1,5,6].

Regarding the latest infection subgroup due to infectious source spread, there are three conditions that may facilitate the
surgical site infection occurrence at long term: frequent urinary tract infections presence, recent tooth extraction (near the time of surgery) and poor dental hygiene.

Latest demographic studies report population pyramid major changes due to life expectancy increase, being elderly population the largest one. Since age is a factor that predisposes hip joint replacement, it is estimated that the number of them will increase in the coming years, being a major public health problem. Also, one of the most serious complications for patients is the nosocomial infection development after surgery, being a clinical practice priority in European countries nowadays. Hence the importance of studying this issue, assessing the quality of life of these patients who see their health deteriorate, with the final aim of trying to improve their quality of life.

Material and Methods

Epidemiological, descriptive, prospective longitudinal study in which a questionnaire specifically designed for this study, to assess the infection presence at 6 and 12 months after surgery, is performed. In relation to the study of quality of life, it is used the Euroqol-5D health questionnaire (EQ-5D) that determines the quality of life of patients by analyzing five variables (mobility, personal care, daily activities, pain and anxiety / depression).

The study included 104 patients over 65 years who underwent total hip replacement (THR), between October 2008 and March 2010, conducted in the Consorcio Hospital General Universitario de Valencia (CHGVU), after obtaining patients informed consent.

The criteria for defining surgical infection are the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions which are internationally accepted [9-11]: “it is considered patient with infection that one who have three or more of the following signs / symptoms: fever (>38 °C), pain, skin induration, erythema, drainage area, blisters and, if possible, positive microbiological data”.

The classifications used to categorise infections, both superficial / deep as early / late, are based on parameters and guidelines outlined in the introduction.

For statistical data processing was used SPSS programme, calculating linear regression, statistical significance, chi square, gross and adjusted relative risks, etc. The differences were analyzed and different statistical test based on the variables characteristics were applied, with a statistical significance of p = 0.05.

Results

We included in the study 104 patients undergoing total hip replacement (62.5% women), being the income diagnoses 81.76% due to osteoarthritis (elective surgery) and 18.27% due to fractures (non elective surgery). The mean age of patients who underwent surgery for hip arthritis was 74.40 years with a standard deviation of 5.611 (minimum 65, maximum 88 years) and patients with hip fracture was 75.05 years with a standard deviation of 6.240 (minimum 67, maximum 94 years).

Quality of life of patients included in the study (n = 104), both operated on THR by osteoarthritis and hip fracture, was 0.3281 ± 0.37634 preoperatively and 0.7708 ± 0.26091 postoperatively, obtaining thus a positive increase in quality of life of 0.4520 ± 0.45790. However, if we breakdown the sample by both admission diagnoses studied, osteoarthritis (elective surgery) and hip fracture (non elective surgery) separately, different results are obtained.

Patients underwent THR due to hip osteoarthritis (n = 85) showed an increase in quality of life of 0.5729 ± 0.36766 (preoperative score of 0.2227 ± 0.31839 and postoperative 0, 7941 ± 0.25069). By contrast, patients underwent THR due to hip fracture (n = 19) showed a negative increase in quality of life, -0.1685 ± 0.36092, because of the fact that preoperative quality of life values obtained (0.7995 ± 0.22442) were higher than those obtained in the postoperative (0.6515 ± 0.29826).

Statistically significant differences were observed in the increase of quality of life in terms of admission diagnosis (p <0.001), obtaining the following mathematical equation: increase in quality of life = 0.944 - 0.371X, where X is the admission diagnosis variable (X = 1 for osteoarthritis and X = 2 for fracture) (Table 1), with an explained variability coefficient of 36.2% ($R^2$ = 0.362).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Established Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>11.976</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main admission diagnosis</td>
<td>-0.371</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-6.01</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b Hip replacement type: Total.
Dependent variable: Increase in quality of life.

It appeared a total of 3 infections during the first 6 months follow-up of the study (n=104), representing 2.88% of infections (infection rate). These three infections occurred in patients who received elective surgery due to osteoarthritis. Therefore, the infection rate was 3.5% in this group, with no statistically significant differences between men and women (p = 0.140) and by age of patients (p = 0.519). The fact that all infections were produced in elective surgery population and no one in hip fracture sample is apparently due to the difference related to the number of members in both groups (85 and 19 respectively), therefore finding infections in larger samples is statistically more likely.

The three infections reported were superficial, there being no deep or mixed infections (superficial infection that becomes deep). No infection was recorded in patients admitted for hip fracture. In the group of patients undergoing THR due to osteoarthritis, bloody exudate and drainage were the signs / symptoms more common observed, on the other hand, itching and induration...
were relatively rare in the sample studied. However, in the group of patients undergoing THR due to hip fracture, the only signs / symptoms recorded were fever and bloody exudate, not showing any of the other ones (Figure 1).

In hip osteoarthritis sample, no statistically significant differences were observed in signs/symptoms distribution between men and women, except for blisters (p = 0.036) and serous exudate (p = 0.036) which was preferably done in women. No significant differences in signs/symptoms depending on patients age were observed, except for pain (p = 0.035) being assessed in older patients. In patients admitted for hip fracture, no sign/symptom presented differences according to gender (p > 0.5) or age (p > 0.5).

It was observed that there was no significant correlation between infection development and length of hospital stay (p = 0.680), nor with intervention duration variable (p = 0.929) (results adjusted by gender and age).

In reference to three conditions that may facilitate the infection onset in the operated area by an infection spread, with no statistically significant differences between men and women (p = 0.568) and age (p = 0.173). 2.4% of patients responded have made dental extraction a few weeks before surgery, with no difference between men and women (p = 0.657) and age (p = 0.099). And none of the respondents said poor dental cleaning performed. Then, studying the association between the first two variables mentioned above and the onset of an infection after surgery, no cause-effect statistically significant (p = 0.741 and p = 0.930 respectively) was observed.

All the infections were manifested as an early type, no statistically significant differences by gender (p = 0.198) and age of patients (p = 0.278) were found.

The hospital stay (measured in days) varied between osteoarthritis patients who suffered infections after surgery (7.33 with a SD 5.77) and those who did not suffer infection (7.28 with a SD 1.33), with no statistical differences (p = 0.946). On the other hand, the hospital stay of patients with hip fracture was 11.36 with a SD 3.436, being greater than osteoarthritis sample. In fractured population could not be possible to compare infection variable because it did not show any infection during the study.

Infected patients quality of life was compared to uninfected population in osteoarthritis sample (Table 2), which cannot be done with fractured patients by the absence of infection. Similar values were observed in both groups, infected and uninfected, both preoperatively and postoperatively. Even a slightly higher increase in quality of life in patients who developed infection before 6 months after surgery. However, no statistically significant differences when comparing the increases in quality of life in both groups (p = 0.879) were found.

Table 2: quality of life of infected and uninfected patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Osteoarthritis</th>
<th>EQ-5D Preoperative</th>
<th>EQ-5D Postoperative</th>
<th>Increase in Quality of Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>0.2087 ± 0.32956</td>
<td>0.8980 ± 0.14425</td>
<td>0.6125 ± 0.28214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No infection</td>
<td>0.2233 ± 0.32005</td>
<td>0.7913 ± 0.25291</td>
<td>0.5719 ± 0.37109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was reassessed the presence of infection after a year follow-up (from the sixth month until the year), being reduced to 0 infections in this case. Signs and symptoms reflected an improvement one year after the procedure (Figure 2) compared with those collected 6 months after discharge, except for pain variable which increased in both osteoarthritis (21.2% of patients) and fractured sample (15.8%). The other variables decreased. Only pain variable showed significant differences between men and women (p = 0.015) in osteoarthritis patients, presenting more pain women than men. By contrast, all other variables behaved similarly, without statistically significant differences between men and women or age of the patients in both admission diagnosis groups (p > 0.05).
Discussion

Hip replacement is one of the most popular and successful reconstructive procedures in orthopaedic surgery in recent years. At the moment, it represents 35% of all orthopaedic procedures [12]. It mainly affects females and elderly patients, who demonstrate a high proportion of osteoarthritis (OA) and hip fractures.

The mean age of patients undergoing total hip replacement due to hip osteoarthritis was 74 year and due to hip fracture was 75 years, which is consistent with other studies of quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis (OA), where the population is over 60 years [13-16]. However, the mean age of patients is slightly higher in our study because of the fact that the sample was limited to patients older than 64 years (inclusion criterion), so the mean age is higher compared to the published literature.

A noticeable predominance of females in the sample (62.5%) was observed, which is consistent with other published studies that indicate an increased female involvement frequency [14,16-18]. Quality of life studies conducted in England, Scotland, Switzerland, New Zealand, Sweden, the Netherlands, Japan, etc. related to hip joint replacements also highlight the highest percentage of women in the sample: 58% [19], 62% [20], 64% [21], etc.

It appeared 3 infections during the first 6 months follow-up study (out of 104 patients undergoing total hip replacement in the Orthopaedic Surgery Service), representing an infection rate of 2.88%. This infection prevalence figure is similar to those reported by other studies and what the EPINE considered “within normal limits”. Currently, hip arthroplasty infection rate are around 1.5% [22-27], being published rates range between 0.86% and 4.8%. In a study conducted in a secondary level hospital of Lleida (Hospital Universitario de Arnau de Vilanova) between 1994 and 2003, the hip replacement infection rate was 1.2% [8]. However, higher infection rates were reported in another study carried out by Galvan, F. et al. (2006) in Bogotá, reaching 3.2% [28].

Nevertheless, since the registered infections all belong to the group of patients undergoing surgery due to hip osteoarthritis, infection rate in this sample (n = 85) is 3.5%. This result is slightly higher [but within the normal range] than other related studies [8-22-29] and as recommended by the Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology [26]. The explanation for this infection rate could be that, according to CDC criteria, in our study one infection was considered by the concurrence of three or more signs (heat, bluish, pain, irritation at site, fever, etc.), while other studies rated one infection if it had positive microbiological tests. The three infections collected during the study were superficial, presenting few problems when compared to studies that show deep infections, needing second interventions of cleaning, checking or prosthesis removal. Apart from that, the fact that no infection was appeared in hip fracture group is related to the sample size, being in this group much smaller (19 patients) in comparison with elective surgery population (85 patients).

It is reassessed the presence of infection after the monitoring year (12 months follow-up), being in this case the infection rate 0%, as all three infections registered reverse and cure. By studying the signs / symptoms one year after the intervention, an improvement is observed in comparison to those collected at 6 months after discharge.

According to published studies, the quality of life of patients with hip osteoarthritis improved after the implantation of a prosthesis, reducing pain and improving joint mobility. Preoperative quality of life scores of 0.32 [30], 0.35 [20] and 0.36 [31] increase to postoperative scores of 0.72 [30], 0.76 [20] and 0.77 [31] respectively after the procedure. These figures are similar to this study one, with a quality of life preoperative score of patients undergoing hip replacement due to osteoarthritis of 0.222 and a postoperative score of 0.794. The explanation for this preoperative score lower than published literature could be the sample age, oldest patients in this case.

However, patients undergoing THR due to a hip fracture show preoperative and postoperative quality of life scores more similar to each other (0.799 and 0.652 respectively), obtaining an increase in quality of life lower than the other studied group with osteoarthritis patients. The possible explanation is that preoperative score refers to patient quality of life one week before admission (information collected by personal interview during patient admission), at which point patients had not probably suffered the hip fracture yet, so their answers from EQ-5D survey are more satisfying than the other admission diagnosis contemplated (osteoarthritis). After suffering the fracture they are operated emergency and procedure is intended to restore at least the same quality of life they had before, which is not always achieved.

It is hoped that the quality of life decreases in patients who develop a prosthetic infection, postoperative quality of life scores are lower when compared to operated sample which do not develop infection, due to problems associated with infection. Nevertheless, there are no published data about patients undergoing hip replacement who develop infection, not being possible to compare with patients without infection. Hence the interest of this study linking hip replacement infection rates with patient’s quality of life.

Studies relating to quality of life in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty, are increasingly used and useful, not only at European level (the UK, Switzerland, Netherlands, etc.), but worldwide (Canada, Australia, Japan, etc.), then expect to know the features of them and make appropriate improvements in areas of health care and health economics (allocation of health resources). In assessing the outcome of treatment, employing patient reported outcome measures questionnaires is now considered an indispensable part [32-34], as it has been argued that patient-based outcome measures provide a feasible and valid measure of health status that complements existing approaches, especially in so far as they focus upon felt and experienced health problems [35].

Conclusion

A. The infection rate in all patients was 2.88% six months after surgery which decreased to 0% between 6 months and a year after surgery.
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B. All infections occurred in patients undergoing total hip replacement due to osteoarthritis (elective surgery), manifesting all of them as premature and superficial.

C. No infection appeared in hip fracture group, apparently due to the shortage of sample in this group of patients.

D. Total hip replacement procedure means an increase in quality of life of 0.452 in the studied sample (n = 104).

E. Sample breakdown by two income diagnoses selected shows that osteoarthritis patients quality of life after procedure is higher (postoperative score 0.794) compared to patients admitted for hip fracture (postoperative score 0.652).

F. Postoperative quality of life of patients who develop infection is very similar to that obtained for the group of patients without infection (0.898 and 0.791 respectively), no significant difference was observed (p = 0.879).

G. Decrease in postoperative deep infection prevalence has been accompanied by a steady increase in the frequency with which this operation is performed, so it is advisable to try to minimize the complications resulting from it, especially infections surgical site.
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