Role of urinary CTX I in early diagnosis of osteoarthritis

Abstract

Introduction: The early diagnosis of osteoarthritis is required to do possible intervention in early stage of disease because it is one of the leading causes of disability in advance stage. For this reason various biomarker have been studied having merits and demerits of each. We chose urinary CTX-I as biomarker to assess its role in osteoarthritis in knee joint.

Methodology: the study included 100 patients of primary osteoarthritis of knee joint and 50 controls. They were subjected to WOMAC scoring and K-L grading and estimation of urinary CTX-I. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 20.0.

Results: Mean CTX-I levels of Control Group were 12.28±5.3ng/dl and in Case Group was 37.13±23.8 ng/dl and this difference was highly significant (p<0.001). Strong correlation was found between K-L grading and urinary CTX-I on Spearman’s correlation coefficient. These associations were found to be highly significant (p<0.001). Receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis was done for prediction of cut-off values between Control group and Case group found >14.4ng/ml as cut-off point between Control group and Case group.

Conclusion: Since CTX-I is biomarker of bone resorption and bone resorption is found more in case of progressive osteoarthritis of knee joint hence, we believe that CTX I levels can help in early identification of progressive OA cases.
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Materials and methods

A case control study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics and Microbiology in ERA’S Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Lucknow for 18 months. The study was approved by Institutional research and ethics committee. The recruited subjects (cases and controls) were explained the purpose and relevance of the study and those who volunteered were included in the study after informed and written consent. In this study a total of 150 subjects between the age of 40 and 85 years were included out of which there were 100 cases of knee osteoarthritis and 50 normal healthy subjects were included in control group. All patients with signs and symptoms pertaining to primary knee osteoarthritis reporting to Orthopaedics OPD were screened and those who fit into the clinical criteria of primary knee osteoarthritis as per American college of rheumatology were included in this study. All healthy subjects between 40 and 85 years of age with no signs and symptoms of Osteoarthritis and preferably first degree relatives of the cases were taken as controls. Patients were excluded if they had:

1. Secondary osteoarthritis,
2. Drug treatment for osteoarthritis or any other disease,
3. Active renal, hepatic diseases and malignancy,
4. History of alcohol or drug abuse,
5. Sensitivity to diclofenac, acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) or any other NSAIDs, acetaminophen, dimethyl sulphoxide, propylene glycol, glycerin or ethanol,
6. Pregnancy and lactating mothers,
7. Any other pathology affecting the knee and
8. Been an athletic or sports person in recent past.

After enrolling the subject demographic data like age, gender, height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and WOMAC score was recorded in a proforma and a detailed history was taken and clinical examination was performed.
examination done. Antero-posterior and lateral view radiographs of both knee in weight bearing standing position, laboratory test and urinary analysis of CTX-I by ELISA (enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay) Kit manufactured by Qayee-Bio, Shanghai, China, was done. Kellgren-Lawrence grading (K-L Grading) of the X-rays was done. The assessment of severity was done on the basis K-L grades and the case group was subgrouped as mild grade (K-L Grade II), moderate grade (K-L grade III) and severe grade (K-L grade IV) of the disease. Normal healthy subjects with no signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis of knee and having K-L grade 0 and K-L grade I were labeled as controls.

Urinary sample of the recruited cases and controls were collected in a sterile container and 5mlurinary sample was kept in a plain vial taking all aseptic precautions. The samples taken were kept at room temperature before sending in to laboratory. The urine was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 2000-3000 rpm and stored in small capped vials for long term use at -20°C until tested. Urinary CTX-I was measured by the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

### Statistical analysis

Data was collected and statistically analyzed using SPSS software version 20.0. Frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation, correlation, one way ANOVA test and ROC curves was used to present the data; p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Independent t test and chi square was used to assess the difference between the two study groups.

### Observation and results

A total of 150 subjects (100 cases and 50 controls) were enrolled in this study. The demographic data of the patients under study is shown in Table 1. In Control group there were 22 males and 28 females and in Case group there were 36 males and 64 females. The mean age was 56.17±11.4 years (range 40-81), mean weight was 60.17±7.2 kg (range 50-85), mean height was 160.22±9.5 cms (range 145-185) and mean BMI (kg/m²) was 23.44±1.9 (range 20.32 - 27.63). The mean of duration of disease in years was 2.85±1.8 (range 0.2 –7.0).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data (N=150)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Total subjects (N=150)</th>
<th>Control (N=50)</th>
<th>Cases (N=100)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Year)</td>
<td>40 - 85</td>
<td>56.17±11.4</td>
<td>55.12±11.2</td>
<td>56.70±11.6</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58 (38.7%)</td>
<td>22 (37.9%)</td>
<td>36 (62.1%)</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92(61.3%)</td>
<td>28 (30.4%)</td>
<td>64 (69.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>145-185</td>
<td>160.22±9.5</td>
<td>160.9±10.8</td>
<td>160.9±10.8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>50-85</td>
<td>60.17±7.2</td>
<td>61.5±7.5</td>
<td>59.5±7.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.32-27.63</td>
<td>23.44±1.9</td>
<td>23.8±2.0</td>
<td>23.31±1.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, sample size; SD, standard deviation

Number of patients in different K-L grades in Cases Group is given in Table 2. In case group, 22% cases had grade II 60% cases had grade III and 18% cases had grade IV K-L grading. Mean WOMAC score of Control Group was 11.41±7.7 and in Case Group was 41.61±17.1 and this was statistically highly significant (p<0.001). Mean CTX-I levels of Control Group were 12.28±5.3ng/dl and in Case Group was 37.13±23.8 ng/dl and this difference was highly significant (p<0.001).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K/L grade</th>
<th>Control (N=50)</th>
<th>Cases (N=100)</th>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 (22%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 (60%)</td>
<td>X² = a P= a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC Score</td>
<td>11.41±7.7</td>
<td>41.61±17.1</td>
<td>t= -11.895, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX I Level (ng/ml)</td>
<td>12.28±5.3</td>
<td>37.13±23.8</td>
<td>t= -7.279, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, sample size; SD, standard deviation; ng/ml, nanogram per milliliter; Grade 2: mild; Grade 3: moderate; Grade 4: severe; a: No statistics are computed because Group is a constant

Correlation coefficient of CTX-I was calculated for age, WOMAC score and K-L grade (Table 3). CTX-I level showed a mild association of with age (r=0.358) and WOMAC score (r=0.420) and strong association with K-L grade (r=0.691). These associations were found to be highly significant (p<0.001). CTX-I levels and WOMAC scores in various severity grades of osteoarthritis in Case group is shown in Table 4.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient (pearson’s correlation coefficient – “r”)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Power of correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-L grade</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC Score</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation: Singh S, Kumar D, Raja R. Role of urinary CTX I in early diagnosis of osteoarthritis. MOJ Orthop Rheumatol. 2015;3(1):229-232.

DOI: 10.15406/mojor.2015.03.00077
The demographic profile of subjects like age, height, weight and BMI in Case group and Control group was similar having statistically significant difference between them (p>.05). The number of female subject was higher in Case group and control group as well but this difference was not statistically significant (Table 1). All control group subjects had K-L grade 0 or grade I. Both, WOMAC score and CTX I levels were significantly higher in Cases group than in Control group (Table 2). Correlation of urinary CTX I levels with age, WOMAC score and K-L grade was calculated. Age (r=0.358) and WOMAC score (r=0.420) both showed only mild correlation with urinary CTX I levels though showed a significant correlation (<0.001). This is similar to what has been reported by Garnero P et al.19

But K-L grade (r=0.691) showed a strong correlation with CTX I levels (Table 3). This signifies the fact though CTX I is basically a marker for bone turnover yet it has a strong and significant correlation (<0.001) to the cartilage degradation as measured by plain radiography and depicted as K-L grading. CTX I levels of Cases and Control subjects showed increasing trends with increasing grades of K-L Grades (Table 4). Correlation of CTX I with K-L grading has been reported earlier.20,21,22 Garnero P et al.19 reported that high baseline urinary CTX I independently predicts an increased risk of OA.23 In patients with hip OA urinary CTX I levels indicate higher cartilage and bone turnover has been reported by Takahashi M.24 Davis CR17 reported correlation of CTX I levels with K-L grades and joint space width and hence reflects general pathological changes in knee.25 Garnero P et al.18 reported that CTX I is release follows CTX II just as bone degradation follows cartilage destruction.15 But few recent studies have also reported no association of severity of knee OA with CTX I and Osteocalcin levels.19,20
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But K-L grade (r=0.691) showed a strong correlation with CTX I levels (Table 3). This signifies the fact though CTX I is basically a marker for bone turnover yet it has a strong and significant correlation (<0.001) to the cartilage degradation as measured by plain radiography and depicted as K-L grading. CTX I levels of Cases and Control subjects showed increasing trends with increasing grades of K-L Grades (Table 4). Correlation of CTX I with K-L grading has been shown by other authors as well.18 But in a similar study Tanishi N et al.21 did not find any significant difference between the urinary CTX I levels in the different OA grades (K-L grade).21 But the authors also reported that the higher quartiles of urinary CTX I levels gradually included higher numbers of K-L grade ≥II OA subjects in the over 60 year-old women. But another study reported a similar observation as in present study.22

Discussion

OA is one of the most prevalent causes of disability in the aging population. The best-established methods of assessing the progression of cartilage loss are measurement of the joint-space width on plain radiography, and arthroscopic evaluation of the knee.1 Changes in joint-space width are, however, relatively small compared with the precision error of radiographic measurements, and currently serial assessments over 2–3 years are required to obtain reliable information on the progression of joint damage or its retardation as a result of treatment. MRI is more sensitive than plain radiography, but the validity of MRI for monitoring patients with OA is currently being assessed.16 Arthroscopy, though provides a direct and magnified view of the cartilage surface, but is an invasive technique that cannot be routinely applied to all patients. Joint space width assessed as K-L grade though remains gold standard to diagnose OA but it neither gives early diagnosis nor efficient monitoring of efficacy of treatment can be done.7 Given the limitations of the tools that are currently available for investigation of OA, it is not surprising that there has been considerable interest in the identification of specific biological markers that reflect quantitative and dynamic variations in joint remodelling.7

As OA affects mainly bone, cartilage and the synovium, it follows that structural molecules derived from these tissues could be candidate biological markers for OA. In recent years, different biochemical markers of bone turnover have been developed and validated in humans and in animal models.15 Present study, the first on Indian Population, was carried out with an objective to ascertain the role of urinary analysis of CTX-I (Carboxy Cross linked Telopeptide Type-I Collagen) in early diagnosis and assessment of severity of knee osteoarthritits. We selected CTX I as a biomarker as usefulness of CTX I estimation in diagnosing progressive OA has been reported by many authors.4 Streich NA12 reported increase in CTX I level is related with increase in local production of inflammatory cytokines resulting in uncoupling of bone turnover and finally cartilage degradation.12 Increased levels of urinary CTX I indicates increased bone resorption in cases with progressive OA but not in non-progressive OA has been reported earlier.7,13,14 Garnero P et al.19 reported that high baseline urinary CTX I independently predicts an increased risk of OA.19 In patients with hip OA urinary CTX I levels indicate higher cartilage and bone turnover has been reported by Takahashi M.24 Davis CR17 reported correlation of CTX I levels with K-L grades and joint space width and hence reflects general pathological changes in knee.25 Garnero P et al.18 reported that CTX I is release follows CTX II just as bone degradation follows cartilage destruction.15 But few recent studies have also reported no association of severity of knee OA with CTX I and Osteocalcin levels.19,20
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CTX-I levels and WOMAC scores were found to be higher for higher grades of severity and this difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). Similarly, WOMAC scores also showed a significant increasing trend from doubtful to severe knee OA cases (p<0.001). The Receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis was done and it suggested a cut-off value of CTX-I level as >14.4 ng/ml between Control group and Case group. This cut-off value was highly sensitive (96.0%) and specific (74.0%) to differentiate between early osteoarthritis cases and from normal asymptomatic population with an accuracy of 91.1%. Presently there is no study on this issue for comparison. Present study has several limitations that merit discussion. Small sample size restricts application of these results on a larger general population globally. A much larger multicentric study with subjects of different geographical region and race would be needed to do this accurately and replicate the results. Secondly, since CTX I is bone resorption biomarker hence co-existing concomitant osteoporosis can be a possible confounding factor. Hence, we believe that in future studies to be done along with simultaneous bone mineral density (BMD) measurements and with bone a formation biomarker level assessment as well. Analysis of results of urinary CTX I levels in OA subjects with normal BMD and those with low BMD might give more accurate outcome.

Conclusion

This pilot study showed a mild association of age (r=0.358) and WOMAC score (r=0.420) with CTX-I level which is highly significant (p<0.001). A strong association between CTX I level and K-L Grade (r=0.691) was found which again was statistically highly significant (p<0.001). CTX I level was evaluated for prediction of cut-off values between Control group and Case group by ROC curve. The results suggested a cut-off value of >14.4 ng/ml (96.0% sensitive and 74.0% specific with accuracy of 91.1%) between Control group and Case group. Since bone resorption is only increased in patients with progressive knee OA and not in those whose knee OA does not progress, hence we believe that CTX I levels can help in early identification of progressive OA cases.
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