Phytochemical and biochemical composition of wild honey a case study in Eastern zone areas in tigray Ethiopia

Abstract

The study was carried out to evaluate the phytochemical and biochemical composition of wild honey available in different were das of eastern zone of Tigray. The moisture and ash contents of the samples had average values of 16.00±2.19g/100g and 0.47±0.09g/100g, respectively. The protein contents ranged between 0.35 and 1.08g/100g with a mean of 0.67±0.25g/100g while fat content lied between 0.10 and 0.50g/100g with a mean of 0.29±0.11g/100g. Total carbohydrate contents and energy values showed average values of 82.30±2.03g/100g and 1,401.33±33.71KJ/100g, respectively. Fructose contents have an average of 38.94±0.90g/100g, while glucose contents had a mean value of 31.65±2.79g/100g. The sucrose contents of the honey samples had a mean value of 1.84±0.79g/100g. Total polyphenols and vitamin C contents showed mean values of 65.31±19.50mg Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE)/100 g and 21.15±3.99mg/100g, respectively. The results of this study indicate that the samples compare favorably with samples in many parts of the world and also fall within the limits of international standards. Due to this high honey production potential of the study area for apiculture and good quality standard of honey, it is advised to exploit the potential for export market with better intervention.1 More study is also required to characterize the honeybees of the area and major pests and diseases of economic importance.
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Introduction

Honey has a long history of human consumption, and is most commonly consumed in its unprocessed state (i.e., liquid, crystallized or in the comb). It is taken as medicine, eaten as food, or incorporated as an additive in a variety of food and beverages. In Ethiopia, honey is primarily used to produce the country’s national drink Tee, a traditional honey wine or mead (http://www.ethiopia-ciafs.org/). Carbohydrates cover more than half the composition of honey, while the second greatest component is water and minor quantities of proteins, ashes, amino acids and vitamins are also a constituent of honey.2 Because variation of origin of the flora or the nectar of the flower that the bee utilized, the composition as well as the characteristics of Honey showed variation in its physical and chemical properties such as pH, enzymes activities, ash contents, electrical conductivity and hydroxyl methyl furfural. Honey is different from other bees because of its tendency of forming granules, In its content, sugars are the main ingredients of its dry matter, and its concentration also contribute to the high density, high viscosity, immunity from spoilage and moisture absorbance nature of honey.3-4

Ethiopia is the largest honey producer in Africa and the 10th largest in the world. The total amount of honey production in the country is estimated to be more than 43,000 metric tons per year. But about 80% of the total honey produced goes to preparation of well-known traditional alcoholic drink: Tee. Due to the presence of diverse flora and fauna, each region of Ethiopia produces a unique variety of honey. The white honey from Tigray, Tebeb (Tigrigna), is produced in localities where blossoms of Beciumgrandiflorum are found in abundance. The white honey of Sidamo is from the Geteme flowers (Scheffleraabysinica). Other honey producing trees and shrubs include: Vernoniaamygdalina (Grawa), Eucalyptus globules (BahirZaf), Opuntiaficus-indica (Qulqwal), Cordia africana (Wanza), Syzygiumguineense (Dokma), Hagenia abyssinica (Koso), Acacia Senegal (Shansa-Grar), etc. These plants are recommended for planting to increase Ethiopia’s honey production. Honey is a sweet and viscous product made by bees after ingesting the nectar of flowers. It comprises mainly carbohydrates, fructose and glucose, which together make up nearly 70%, followed by about 20% water, and small amounts of an array of substances such as sucrose (0-2%), and traces of heavy metals, proteins (0.3%), ascorbic acid, flavonoids, enzymes, vitamins, etc. There is more fructose (38%) than glucose (31%) in honey, while sucrose, which is dominant in sugar cane, is found in honey only in trace amounts.

Compared to water, honey has high refractive index (about 1.5) and high viscosity. This is because it is almost like concentrated sugar solution. Its specific gravity is also 1.4, a characteristic of honey that can be used in quality control. Its color also varies from light brown to dark depending on the nectar the bees feed on, season and production details such as if heat is used during processing etc. Heating honey accelerates production of undesirable substances. Another interesting phenomenon is the fact that honey is quite acidic with an average pH of 4. We sometimes fail to notice its relative strong acidic nature because its high sugar content masks its acidity. Gluconic acid (2, 3, 4, 5, 6-pentahydroxyxanoic acid) is the main acid followed by acetic, butyric, citric, formic, lactic, malic, oxalic and succinic acids. The quality of honey gradually deteriorates on long standing, poor storage conditions and warm temperature.
This is because carbohydrates, in particular fructose, gradually degrade to HMF (5-hydroxymethylfurfural). High HMF content indicates honey of poor quality. The European Union (EU Directive 110/2001) considers honey with HMF greater than 80mg/kg as hazardous to health.7 African countries that intend to export honey should develop capacity to monitor levels of this and other honey constituents.

Within Africa, Ethiopia is the largest producer of honey. Ethiopia, having the highest number of bee colonies and surplus honey sources of flora, is the leading producer of honey and beeswax in Africa. On a world level, Ethiopia is fourth in beeswax and tenth in honey production. From 2005-2010, Ethiopian honey production increased 26% from 36,000 MTs to 45,300MTs; (http://www.ethiopia-ciafs.org/). Ethiopia produces dozens of honey varieties based on pollen source, season, and agro ecological region of production. These all factors also determine production and harvest cycles.6 Honey consistency and color range from white varieties that are buttery-cream or sandy-sugary, to red varieties that are tart and acidic, with aromatic amber and yellow varieties in between. The white, Grainy honey from Tigray, the most northern region of Ethiopia, is made from a local blossom of the sage plant family, known as labiate, which gives it its unusual color.9,10

The regional state of Tigray is running a powerful development program in beekeeping and its productso that poor crop production zones of the region are involved mainly in beekeeping interventions to maintain food security and to empowering higher production at first to satisfy family needs as well as to meet the requirements of both domestic and international market.8 The aim of the present study was to estimate the honey quality parameters versus botanical origin via conducting the physico-chemical analysis of the eastern zone Tigray.10,11

Materials and methods

Sample collection and preparation

Tigray region is the northern most of the nine regional states of Ethiopia. Its capital is Mekelle. Tigray is bordered by Eritrea to the north, Sudan to the west, the Afar region to the east, and the Amhara region to the south and southwest. Besides Mekelle, other major cities in Tigray includes AbiyAddi, Adigrat, Adwa, Axum, Humera, Korem, May chew, Qwiha, Shire (India Selassie), Wukro and Zalambessa, as well as the historically significant town of Yeha.12

Based on figures from the Central Statistical Agency (CSA) of Ethiopia published in 2014, Tigray has an estimated total population of 5,055,999, consisting of 2,491,999 men (49.3%) and 2,564,000 women (50.7%). About 3,792,000 or 75% of the population are estimated to be rural inhabitants, while 1,264,000 or 25% are urban(CSA 2013). With an estimated area of 50,078.64 square kilometers, this region has an estimated density of 86.56 people per square kilometer.

Honey samples were collected from the market indifferent were das of the eastern zone of tigray. All the fresh samples were collected in sterile condition using a container labeled with numbers, place and date of collection and stored at ambient temperature (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Tigray region.

Biochemical analysis

Determination of phytochemical analysis

The qualitative and quantitative screening test for phytochemicals wild honey samples were carried out.13

Determination of total phenolic content

The phenolic compounds (flavonoids and phenolic acids) were extracted from the honey samples according to the method described by Kacaniova.14 Ten grams (10g) of the honey sample was dissolved in 50mL of acidified distilled water (acidified to pH 2 with HCl). The solution filtered with a cotton filter to remove solid particles and the filtrate was used for the estimation of its total phenolic compounds. The total phenolic content was estimated using the Folins-Ciocalteu colorimetric method.15 Appropriately diluted, 0.2mL of 10% aqueous extract of the honey sample was treated with 0.8mL of the Folins-Ciocalteu reagent and 2.0mL of 7.5% Na2CO3 and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was diluted using 7.0mL distilled water and the absorbance was read after 2hrs at 765nm; the result was calculated as gallic acid equivalent.16

Determination of proximate composition

Proximate compositions of the honey samples were determined using the methods of AOAC.17

Determination of reducing sugars and sucrose contents

The concentration of reducing sugar and sucrose in wild honey sample was determined by Layne-Enyon titration method as described in AOAC.18

Determination of glucose

Glucose content of the honey samples was determined by enzymatic oxidation with glucose oxidase reagent (Randox Laboratories Ltd., UK). Twenty micro liters (20µL) of the sample or standard was allowed to react with 2.0mL of the reagent, mixed well and incubated for 10min at 37°C. The absorbance of the sample (A sample) and standard (A standard) was read against a reagent blank within 60min. Glucose concentration was calculated as follows:
**Determination of fructose content**

Fructose content was determined using the resorcinol reagent method.19

**Statistical analysis**

The data obtained in the study were analyzed statistically using ANOVA and student t-test (using GraphPadInstat Statistical Program). Differences between mean values were considered significant at values of P<0.05.

**Results and discussion**

The qualitative study of phytochemicals showed that the honey samples were composed of tannins, phlobatanins, flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, saponins, alkaloids and fluorides.

Table 1 showed that the maximum concentration of Saponins (3.49±0.07) was found in kilteawlaelo, while minimum concentration (2.31±0.08) was found in wukro wild honey. The maximum concentration of Alkaloid (0.36±0.08) was found in kilteawlaelo, while minimum concentration (0.27±0.05) in Astbi. The maximum concentration of Terpenoids (0.45±0.06) was found in Europe, while minimum concentration (0.33±0.05) in Wukro. The maximum concentration of Alkaloid (0.18±0.02) was found in Gantafeshum, while minimum concentration (0.12±0.06) in Sinkata. The maximum concentration of fluorides (0.25±0.04) was found in Astbi while minimum concentration (0.11±0.03) in wukro honey.

In addition to this it is shown that the maximum concentration of tannin (0.54±0.04) was found in Adigrat while minimum concentration (0.34±0.03) in sinkata honey. The maximum concentration of phlobatian (0.76±0.05) was found in Gantafeshum while minimum concentration (0.65±0.09) in Astbi. The maximum concentration of flavonoids (0.36±0.08) was found in kilteawlaelo, while minimum concentration (0.27±0.05) in Astbi. The maximum concentration of glycoside (0.47±0.06) was found in Gantafeshum while minimum concentration (0.21±0.08) in wukro wild honey. The maximum concentration of Saponins (3.49±0.07) was found in kilteawlaelo while minimum concentration (2.11±0.04) in Europe. The maximum concentration of Alkaloid (0.18±0.02) was found in Gantafeshum, while minimum concentration (0.12±0.06) in sinkata. The maximum concentration of fluorides (0.25±0.04) was found in Astbi while minimum concentration (0.11±0.03) in wukro honey.

The minimum, maximum and average moisture contents of the honey on different hive type and honey source analyzed in the study area’s honey falls under the Grade ‘A’ category.25 The maximum, acceptable limit for moisture content of Ethiopian honey is 22%,21 while the maximum acceptable moisture content of honey reported by the International Honey Commission is 20%.2,12 The low moisture content of the examined honey samples is important and affects quality. Moulds and yeasts cause deterioration of the quality of honey when the moisture content is high, especially if it is>19%. The moisture content of honey depends on various factors such as the harvesting season, the degree of maturity that honey reached in the hive, type of hive used and environmental temperature. The moisture content of honey samples obtained from modern hives was significantly (p<0.001) higher than honey collected from traditional hives. The variation observed in moisture content among honey samples obtained from the two hive types may be due to the difference in bee-hive handling practiced by the beekeepers. The low moisture content of honey obtained from traditional hives may be associated with a hive type that allowed loss of moisture from honey by evaporation. No significant moisture content differences (p<0.05) were observed between honey samples obtained from the different locations (Table 2).

The Ash content of honey is also a parameter that is used in determining the floral origin of honeys. Thus, by reference to the Codex Alimentarius Standards, all the honeys analyzed in this study correspond to nectar honey since their ash contents falls within the values of<0.6%. The ash contents of honeys represent their mineral and trace element contents. According to Bogdanov,26 blossom honeys have a mineral content mostly between 0.1 and 0.3% while that of honeydew honeys can reach 1.0%of the total. Several investigations have shown that the trace element content of honey depends mainly on the botanical origin of honey; i.e. light blossom honeys have low contents than dark honeys such as honeydew, chestnut and heather honeys.27,28

The protein contents of honey samples from some of the States of the northeast were significantly (P<0.05) different. The values obtained in this study are similar to those reported by Khalil,29 for five different brands of unifloral honey from the northern region of Bangladesh, which ranged between 0.655 and 0.744g/100g.29 The amount of nitrogen in honey is generally low, in average about 0.04% although it may reach up to 0.1%.13,28 It was also reported that of the total amount of Nitrogen in honey only 40-65% is in protein, the remaining part of the Total nitrogen residues in substances other than protein, such as amino acids. About 8 to 11 proteins have been found in various honeys but only four (4) proteins are common to all honeys and these four (4) proteins appear to originate from the honey bee rather than from nectar. The honey proteins are mainly in the form of enzymes.30 The honey bees add different enzymes during the process of honey ripening. The enzymes added include diastase (amylase), which digest starch to maltose and is relatively stable to heat and storage, and invertase (saccharase or α-glucosidase), which catalyzes the conversion of sucrose to glucose and fructose. The invertase also catalyzes many other sugar conversions and is mainly responsible for the sugar patterns of honey. Glucose oxidase and catalase are the two enzymes added by the honey bee to regulate the production of hydrogen peroxide H₂O₂: the H₂O₂ serve as one of the anti-bacterial factor in honey.

The significant differences observed between the total protein contents of honey samples from some of the States within the sub-region may be ascribed to differences in the botanical origin of honey.

**Glucose content (mg/dL)=(A_\text{sample}/A_\text{standard})\times\text{Conc. of standard}=(A_\text{sample}/A_\text{standard})\times100(\text{mg/dL})**
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since it was reported that the diastase and the invertase enzymes varied in wide limits depending on the botanical origin of honey. Bosi et al. had reported protein contents of honey varying between 0.01 to 0.04g/100g with proline, lysine, phenylalanine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid as the most widely detected amino acids.

The fat contents of the honey samples investigated in this study fall within the range of 0.1 to 0.5g/100g, as the report indicates that the honey samples contains little or no fat, however the presence of free fatty acids like palmitic, oleic and linolenic acids have been reported in white clover honey. In a biochemical analysis of five different brands of unifloral honey available in the northern region of Bangladesh, Khalil reported total fat contents in the range of 0.134 to 0.146g/100g; thus, indicating that honey contains very little amount of lipid and therefore not considered a good source of lipid.

The total carbohydrate contents of the honey samples from all the States were not significantly different from each other; this corresponds to the findings of others scientists. Carbohydrates are the main constituents of honey comprising about 95% of honey dry weight. The monosaccharides, fructose and glucose, are the main sugars found in honey; these hexoses are products of the hydrolysis of sucrose. In addition to these sugars, 25 others have been detected in honey; these hexoses are products of the hydrolysis of sucrose. The principal oligosaccharides in blossom honey include the disaccharides sucrose, maltose, turanose, erlose, etc. On the other hand, honeydew honeys also contain the disaccharides melezitose and raffinose; with trace amounts of tetra and pentasaccharides also isolated.

The average energy value of the honey samples from all the States ranged between 1383.23±39.09 and 1410.20±24.43KJ/100g. Honey is primarily a high energy carbohydrate food and the sugars are easily digestible sugars similar to those found in many fruits (White and Doner, 1980). For this reason honey is regarded as a good food for both infants and adults. Blasa had reported calorific value of about 303kcal/100g of honey.

The reducing sugar contents of the samples used in this study had average value of 72.40±6.65g/100g, the values obtained in this study are similar to the values reported for honeys from Bangladesh, Pakistan, Argentina and Turkey and Venezuela.

The fructose contents of the honey samples analyzed in this study varied between 37.68 to 40.31g/100g with an average of 38.94±0.40g/100g (Table 3). The average fructose contents for the samples from the different States within the sub-region were not significantly different from each other and they all fall within the range of values reported by other scientists.

### Table 1: Quantitative test for photochemical honey samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (mg/g)</th>
<th>Wukro</th>
<th>Adigrat</th>
<th>Astbi</th>
<th>Kildeawlalo</th>
<th>Gantafeshum</th>
<th>Sinkata</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>0.43±0.03</td>
<td>0.51±0.05</td>
<td>0.54±0.04</td>
<td>0.41±0.06</td>
<td>0.49±0.07</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
<td>0.39±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlobatanins</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.72±0.06</td>
<td>0.65±0.09</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.76±0.05</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.66±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>0.28±0.09</td>
<td>0.30±0.06</td>
<td>0.27±0.05</td>
<td>0.36±0.08</td>
<td>0.29±0.04</td>
<td>0.33±0.07</td>
<td>0.37±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpenoids</td>
<td>0.33±0.05</td>
<td>0.38±0.04</td>
<td>0.41±0.07</td>
<td>0.39±0.09</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.42±0.08</td>
<td>0.45±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>0.31±0.08</td>
<td>0.33±0.08</td>
<td>0.44±0.09</td>
<td>0.39±0.05</td>
<td>0.47±0.06</td>
<td>0.37±0.07</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>3.24±0.06</td>
<td>3.22±0.07</td>
<td>2.72±0.03</td>
<td>3.49±0.07</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>2.1±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.18±0.07</td>
<td>0.12±0.06</td>
<td>0.17±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flourides</td>
<td>0.11±0.03</td>
<td>0.23±0.06</td>
<td>0.25±0.04</td>
<td>0.21±0.03</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.14±0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean±S.D.

### Table 2: Proximate Composition and Energy Values of Honey Samples from the six weredas in eastern zone of Tigray

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Wukro</th>
<th>Adigrat</th>
<th>Astbi</th>
<th>Kildeawlalo</th>
<th>Gantafeshum</th>
<th>Sinkata</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (g/100g)</td>
<td>15.83±0.58</td>
<td>15.83±1.26</td>
<td>16.67±4.25</td>
<td>17.33±2.56</td>
<td>15.00±2.78</td>
<td>15.33±1.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (g/100g)</td>
<td>0.37±0.008</td>
<td>0.45±0.09</td>
<td>0.41±0.09</td>
<td>0.48±0.05</td>
<td>0.47±0.11</td>
<td>0.52±0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/100g)</td>
<td>0.50±0.10</td>
<td>1.04±0.04</td>
<td>0.46±0.09</td>
<td>0.72±0.14</td>
<td>0.76±0.29</td>
<td>0.55±0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fats (g/100g)</td>
<td>0.20±0.10</td>
<td>0.22±0.13</td>
<td>0.40±0.10</td>
<td>0.35±0.09</td>
<td>0.32±0.08</td>
<td>0.30±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g/100g)</td>
<td>83.09±0.54</td>
<td>82.20±1.22</td>
<td>82.10±4.31</td>
<td>81.10±2.40</td>
<td>82.33±1.76</td>
<td>83.00±1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (KJ/100g)</td>
<td>1,407.1±10.94</td>
<td>1,405.06±18.04</td>
<td>1,397.40±73.72</td>
<td>1,383.23±39.09</td>
<td>1,404.97±30.09</td>
<td>1,410.20±24.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±SD of three determination values significantly different from each other (P<0.05).
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In a similar manner, the glucose contents of the honey samples obtained from the various locations in the different States of the sub-region were not significantly different from each other. The glucose contents of the samples which varied from 27.25 to 39.56g/100g with an average of 31.65±2.79g/100g were significantly (P<0.05) lower than the fructose contents. This observation shows that fructose is the major sugar in all the samples analyzed and, it is lower in comparison to the earlier observation of White and Doner. Fructose and glucose are the dominant sugar types in honeys, which although no limits have been fixed for their individual values, their sum (Fructose+glucose) has been fixed at a value of≥60g/100g as one of the requirements of the international standard for honey established by Codex Alimentarius Commission. The sum of fructose and glucose for the honey samples, used in this study, indicates that samples have their values corresponding to the limit required by the international norms; i.e., 60g/100g and above. According to White and Doner the dominance of fructose over glucose is one way in which honey differs from commercial invert sugar. Generally, the sugar spectrum of honey depends upon the sugars present in the nectar and the enzymes present in the bee and nectar. Fructose and glucose constitute the primary sugars in all honey samples, and in honey of good quality the fructose content should exceed that of glucosce.

In addition to the sum of fructose and glucose, other important factors that relate to honey quality include the fructose/glucose ratio and glucose/water ratio. In this study, the fructose/glucose ratio and glucose/water ratio fall in the range of 1.00 to 1.45 and 1.59 to 2.75 with average values of 1.24±0.10 and 2.01±0.35, respectively. Fructose/glucose ratio indicates the ability of honey to crystallize. White and Doner stated that even though honey has less glucose than fructose, it is the glucose that crystallizes when honey granulates because it is less soluble in water than fructose. When the fructose/glucose ratio is high, honey remains liquid. Honey crystallization is slower when the fructose/glucose ratio is more than 1.3 and it is faster when the ratio is below 1.0. However, because honey contains others sugars (sucrose, maltose, turanose, etc.) and insoluble substances (like dextrin, colloids, etc.) which can influence the crystallization process, the glucose/water (G/W) ratio is considered more appropriate than the fructose/glucose (F/G) ratio for the prediction of honey crystallization. It has been stated that when the glucose/water ratio is<1.3 honey crystallization is very slow or even zero, and it is complete and rapid when the ratio is>2.0. Glucose, which is a major sugar in honey, can spontaneously crystallize from honey solutions in the form of its monohydrate. This sometimes occurs when the moisture level in honey is allowed to drop below a certain level; i.e., when the moisture content is very low. It was stated earlier on that honey samples with (G/W) ratio of<2.1 predicts rapid granulation. Also, according to Manikis et al. while glucose levels is a useful indicator of honey granulation, the G/W ratio appears to be one of the most effective indicator for predicting granulation tendencies in honey samples. Thus, G/W ratio may be used both to predict and control granulation tendencies in honeys.

The apparent sucrose contents of the honey samples studied were in the range of 0.53 to 3.29 with an average of 1.84±0.79g/100g. The values obtained for sucrose contents of the honey samples were all within the limits of international standards that are the international norm established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission requirement that a good quality honey should not contain more than 5g/100g sucrose. According to White and Doner even though honey contains an active sucrose splitting enzyme (sucrase, glucosidase), the sucrose level in honey never reaches zero. The sucrose contents obtained in this investigation are within the range of values reported for Argentine and Turkish, Venezuelan, American, Algerian, Pakistani and Spanish honeys.

**Conclusion**

The values of quality parameters for all the honey samples studied coincide with those specified by the international honey regulations. The honey samples are also rich in phenolic and vitamin C contents which confer good antioxidant properties in honey. All these varieties of honey are easily available and contains nutrients especially as energy provider sugar, vitamin C and phenolic compounds which have medicinal importance. In branded honey the concentration and quantity of ash, pH, moisture, total acidity, electrical conductivity and total sugars contents are more as compare to unbranded honey. The phenolic and antioxidant compound concentration in branded honey is also more than unbranded honey. But as a whole these available honey can be utilized in various food products and herbal formulations.
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