Bioequivalence: aspects beyond the pharmaceutical issues

Short communication

Bioequivalence\(^1\,^2\) represents an important concept in pharmacy and pharmacology since it defines the similarity in term of both pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetics. It represents the scientific concept that can insure the therapeutic equivalence of substitutive forms of the same treatments. However, the aspects related to the bioequivalence are not limited to the scientific definitions but are also influenced by factors none related to pharmacy and some of these factors should not even exist. Indeed, some drugs or pharmaceutical preparations that are supposed bioequivalent are in fact not equivalent. This might be due to either the process of bioequivalence validation or the quality control. In some countries/regions the drugs quality control systems are weak because of many reasons such as the relatively lack of sophisticated equipment and the limited number of experts. Another issue that seriously influences the quality of the bioequivalent drugs is represented by the administrative problems. For example, if the regulations are not severely applied we might find ourselves with preparations that are supposed bioequivalent are in fact not equivalent.

Therefore, a good quality control system needs both an appropriate scientific approaches\(^3\,^4\) which implies an adequate training of professionals, and also laws and regulation with a server application of them toward putting the population health over the economic consideration. The implementation of such principles might require strong political decisions and eventually collaborations between different organizations within the same country, within a region and at an international level within the contexts of both international prescriptions\(^5\) and international drug markets.\(^6\) Importantly, educating the general population (for example within the context of associations) about the bioequivalence-related concepts and make a general debate about them would put more pressure on health responsible professionals, and also laws and regulation with a server application in a study of bioequivalence.
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