

# The transgender phenomenon

Volume 5 Issue 2 - 2016

## Opinion

Until the 1950s, human sexuality was predominantly in concert with the planet and the rest of the animal kingdom. Sexual activity was a reproductive act considered part of adult spousal family life. Every culture saw to that in one way or another. Oh, there were plenty of exceptions but generally seen as deviations from civilized normality. But then the electrono-celluloid communications technology hit with the advent of television and all that followed. Sex activity became more than a simple biological act culturally controlled as essential for the survival of the species. Sex activity became a semi-mystical emotional selfish dissocial relationship phenomenon foisted by intimidating suggestibility to squirt/slime in a dogs-licking-genitals culture—in other words: a monkey see, monkey do communications technology culture of squirt and slime pandemonium. This was because the press and media found that it could manipulate the people by sexual titillation suggesting the buying of anything and everything, from junk food to junk ideas. Thus “sex” became a non-reproductive squirt/slime emotional relief (toileting—“sexleting”) satisfaction mechanism of selfish sexual relating in an anti-animal kingdom, anti-planetary, and, therefore, polluting way. Only mankind could abuse and imbalance the delicate natural processes of sexuality this way. By preying on people’s unsophisticated emotional lack of understanding of real natural sexuality, the suggestibility of the press and media became incredibly powerful and influential by virtue of the vulnerability of the people to the emotions of sex energy. Thereby, deformed sexuality has become everything that it is not in the rest of the animal kingdom. The use of sexuality is now intrinsic for press and media survival. Never more true has it been “If you cannot beat them, join them” as all the press and media depends on sexualization themes to attract, entice, influence and manipulate the people. Only when the people understand this, along with what sexuality really is in biology, will the people become immune, impervious and not so suggestible and imitative of all the unnatural, and therefore polluting, offerings of the press and media. Because of the power it will lose, the press and media will never “biologize” sexuality as I recommend and is appropriate for the people. All this became clear to me when I learned about “transgenderism” which prompted the writing of this essay.

**Transgenderism:** If human pollution is being out of synchrony with the animal kingdom and the rest of the planet, mankind has reached a new level of pollution by the deformation of sexuality from what it is in nature. The phenomenon of transgenderism does exactly that by the cultic “normalization” of unnatural sexual activity, which is basically a denial of illness and of pathology by the creation of a world of intellectual rationalization and emotional selfishness. From the transgender glossary.

**Transgender:** An umbrella term for people whose gender identity, expression or behavior is different from those typically (ADD: should read “normally”) associated with their assigned sex at birth, including but not limited to, transsexuals, cross dressers, androgynous people, genderqueers, and gender non-conforming people. (ADD: This broad definition of “not typical,” i.e., not usually normal in animal kingdom sexual behavior, therefore includes homosexuality, pedophilia and all paraphilias.)

**Samuel A Nigro M.D.**

Retired, Assistant Clinical Professor Psychiatry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, USA

**Correspondence:** Dr. Samuel A Nigro M.D., Retired, Assistant Clinical Professor Psychiatry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, 2517 Guilford Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118, USA, Tel 216 932-0575, Email sam@docnigro.com

**Received:** October 15, 2015 | **Published:** January 27, 2016

The closest readily seen nature comes to this are when dogs lick each others’ genital areas, but even that has biological purpose in contrast to the totally non-functional selfish dissocial squirt-slime release games of non-reproductive sexuality in humans. All transgenderism is nothing more than gender illness if biological and gender abuse if learned. The basic pathological denial is that it is not a sickness to have gender identity, expressions or behaviors “different from those typically associated with [one’s] assigned sex at birth.” But this is a denial of nature and all it represents. This is denial of normal and all it represents. This is a denial of one’s beingness as one is and supposed to be when one is freely born. The transgender phenomenon is a deformation of one’s intrinsic biological nature of “normal” activities with replacement by those which are basically anti-planet, anti-animal kingdom, anti-social, anti-family, and actually anti-self, all smothered to invisibility by overwhelming selfishness and egotism which are essentially and fundamentally features of an obsessional personality disorder at best.

The reader is encouraged to review transgender awareness, training and advocacy, at [www.tgtrain.org](http://www.tgtrain.org). Obvious is the change of “transploitation” (their word) to “transpromotion” (my word). The studied rhetorical intellectualizing is overwhelming. Anything and everything is analyzed into a rationalization promoting transgenderism in all its manifestations: squirt/slime defines you any way possible, any way you can get it to happen. And this is supposed to be “normal?”

### Critical commentary is appropriate and necessary:

1. To an extent, the transgender phenomenon is culturally dependent. Open transgenderism can only prominently exist in a culture that is one of two extremes: (1) that of affluence which is so prosperous that extreme selfishness and anti-social features can be tolerated; or (2) that the culture is so impoverished in all dimensions so that the selfishness and antisociality make no difference. At either extreme culture, “normalcy does not matter” because the transgendered have nothing better to do and no one gives a damn, so the transgendered are laughed off as irrelevant, that is, until they start proclaiming “normal” but then in contradiction demand special considerations at the same time. But for cultures in the middle, wherein most people are struggling, the loud presence of transgender abnormalities is a disturbance and distraction from people’s own struggles, and they are generally offended

and resent the imposition or proclamation of deviant unnatural sexuality.

2. The phenomenon of normalcy cannot be abandoned even if many people vote against it. There is a healthy normalcy and healthy nature for all aspects of existence including sexuality. On the planet and for the animal kingdom, the norm of sexuality is controlled reproduction. This is true even if a culture has appropriated sexuality for recreational purposes, as is extant today, as made possible by contraception and abortion (both of which remove reproduction as the normal, natural end result of sexual activity as is the norm for the rest of the animal kingdom). There are supposed exceptions to sexual normalcy in the animal kingdom well appropriated by the transgender movement which basically denies any such deviancy should be considered abnormal. Three books erroneously promoting transgenderism as normal in animals are *Evolution's Rainbow: Diversity, Gender & Sexuality in Nature & People* by Joan Roughgarden; *Biological Exuberance: Animal Homosexuality and Natural Diversity* by Bruce Bagemihl; and *Dr. Tatiana's Sex Advice to All Creation* by Olivia Judson (Critical reviews of these books accompany this essay). In contrast, the real, appropriate, basic and natural biological norms of sexuality are accurately described in "The Childhood Manifesto" and "Sex Energy" also attached to this article.

3. Transgender phenomena and all its manifestations are pathological whether it be homosexuality, lesbianism, cross-dressing, pedophilia or whatever because all treat sexuality as non-reproductive, non-unitive and non-marital. There is a projection of subjective self definition which contradicts the reality of one's biology. There is a massive self-shame forcing relief by reaction formation. One's personality becomes unstable and fluid with obsessional doing and undoing linked to a need to emotionally conquer others into accepting any and every subjective sexual presentation offered. Clearly, the biologically natural and normal reproductive component of sex is irrelevant in this disordered state. Thus these transgender behaviors are abnormal. They are deviancies. They are illnesses which should be attempted treatment. As all illnesses, condemn the illness and help the person. As all illnesses, the sick person can occasionally be cured but usually makes an adaptation of one way or another. But no illness, whether it be diabetes, seizure disorder, stroke or transgender phenomenon should be called "normal." At worst, hopefully, the sick person can be treated normally and be seen as normal as he lives with his illness. But to call any illness "normal" is dissocial, dysfunctional, dishonest and actually a promotion of the illness which is anti-therapeutic as well as uncivilized.

And it is counterproductive unless you want to promote it. Indeed, transgenderism offers and strongly suggests the creation, promotion and reinforcement of gender self-doubt, followed by sophisticated conditioning and reward for sexual deviancies together with a demanded lack of negative consequences and a demanded censorship of rational objections. By such cult sponsored suggestibility, transgender phenomena have reached such proportions that positive reinforcement of the disorder itself has acquired social acceptability. And, no doubt, the press and media, enslaved to sexual pollution, must accept it, so you will get little truth but much manipulative sexual titillation from the press and media, which obviously has its own repetition-compulsion problems.

4. There is no doubt that all cultures have had individuals with transgender illnesses. However, the social suggestibility and

reinforcement by communications technology during the last 50 years is new. This has resulted in an actual recruitment and contagiousness for transgender phenomenon, because people are very suggestible. **Basically, if you see it or hear it, you will do likely do it—that summarizes human suggestibility—from soup to sex.** There is a salesmanship component to all cults, especially those sexualized. And we know people can be suggested to run concentration camps, to blow themselves up, to run around naked and to buy almost anything. So it should not surprise that the sexual relief feel good component of transgenderism, deviant as it is, still reinforces peoples' susceptibility to "try it and, since it feels good, do it again."

5. Etiological in transgenderism are the contraceptive mentality and contraceptive agents, especially hormones. DDT never impacted animals as much as environmental sex hormones have over the past 50 years of such, witness the gender warps in almost all inland bodies of water where sex hormone pollution creates bisexual creatures from trout to alligators. The generational negative impact of chemicals given to humans is also proven as when, many decades ago, the use of injectable hormones for bleeding pregnancies resulted in the born children having strong homosexual tendencies. To have direct proof of environmental sex hormones creating sexually deviant animals and then deny any impact on humans begs belief.
6. Contemporary press and media communications technology has done much to promote the contagiousness of transgenderism. Indeed, most of the press and media have been actually corrupted by this cult to the abandonment of norms of nature. For example, the obvious impact of contraceptive hormones on future generations is rejected, denied, and ignored by the press and media, when potential adverse effects of almost anything else from cigarettes to trans fats are vigorously publicized. Never more evident is the self-serving failure of First Amendment addicts than the refusal to biologize sexuality to protect the people and bring them into concert with nature. Truly, most of the press and media are frauds when it comes to the First Amendment and providing full natural sex information in a fair and balanced way.

More important than that is the fact that the press and media actually censors the biology of sex—the articles accompanying this essay have been made available for years and ignored—I guess the essays should have been about global warming or the totally wrong "super bowl beating of wives." Obviously, to promote the biology of sex as it really is would likely render impotent all the sexualized ads, etc. turning them rightfully laughable instead of the eye catching "buy this" suggestibility. Indeed the vaunted allegiance to openness to all things is made a myth by the press and media's refusal to biologize sex and render it innocuous. The idea that a free and open press will give contrary, minority and different opinions to the people is sheer nonsense when it may affect the frauds and tyrants of the press and media. "All the news that fits" is per the worst of the pack: The New York Times.

## Conclusion

Howling, panting and licking like dogs, imitating all seen, and buying whatever is presented as excitable, is not what mankind is about. Life is too short to be governed by anti-environmental false emotion which rewrites nature and history. Sexual activity is a simple biological function. It should not govern ones existence or be the main motivation in a culture. It belongs as a reproductive and unitive component of married life. This simple reflex needs

natural understanding. One would be well served to read “Preaching, Teaching, and Living the Theology of the Body” by Perry J. Cahall, Ph.D. in *Linacre Quarterly*, August 2007, pages 213-229. Therein are four principles of healthy natural sexuality: “We are not our own...Sex is about a union of persons, not just bodies...Sex is about giving, not taking...Sex is meant to give life and love.” Transgenderism does none of that. Neither does most of the press and media.

## Acknowledgments

None.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.