Two interesting cases of long standing non-asphyxiating intrabronchial foreign bodies

Abstract

The long-standing intrabronchial foreign body presents problems of diagnosis and treatment which are very different from those associated with the recently inhaled foreign body. There may be no history to suggest the original incident; the clinical picture is usually clouded by superadded pathological changes-atelectasis, pneumonitis, or bronchiectasis. Two such cases are presented in an attempt to find common characteristics, recognition of which may assist correct diagnosis. The tracheobronchial foreign bodies are best managed by rigid bronchoscopy. Preventative educational strategies should be implemented to reduce such an avoidable risk.
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Introduction

Foreign body aspiration (FBA) is a dramatic event with serious and potentially lethal sequelae. In 1897, Killian reported the first case of bronchoscopic removal of a bony foreign body. Later, contributions were made by Jackson and others concerning non-asphyxiating tracheobronchial foreign bodies.

It has been reported that about 50% of the patients with foreign-body aspiration do not have any relevant history and 20% of children have undergone medical treatment for other diagnoses for more than 1 month before diagnosis.

In many children, an early diagnosis of FBA is not made. The problem, to which Jackson referred as the overlooked diagnosis, continues to be unsolved. Aspirated foreign bodies are responsible for a significant amount of morbidity and mortality in children. Delayed diagnosis of FBA is associated with increased incidence of complications.

Mu et al. in their study have reported a complication rate of 64%, when diagnosis of FBA was made within 47 days and 95% in the cases of delayed diagnosis of more than 30 days. To prevent complications and death due to FBA, it is vital to diagnose and remove foreign material promptly.

Most aspirated foreign bodies are organic substances; the most prevalent being nuts and beans in children and food pieces and bone in adults. The most common inorganic bodies which are aspirated in children are beads, clips, and small parts of toys and stationery, such as the bottom of pens.

Conventionally, after aspiration, three definite clinical phases occur as follows. The first phase (initial accident) includes acute and severe coughing, choking during eating, gagging, bruising, cyanosis, and probable airway obstruction which immediately follows foreign-body aspiration. In the second phase (asymptomatic phase), the foreign body is settled and immediate simulative symptoms subside. This phase is confusing and causes delay in the patient’s referral to a physician by relatives, lack of attention or diagnosis by the physician, and finally lack of suitable treatment. The third phase (complication phase) includes scar, obstruction, or infection which attracts renewed attention to the presence of the foreign body. In practice, choking attacks and coughing are the most prevalent clinical symptoms. The presence of sudden choking followed by severe coughing in a child while eating food or playing is a specific and very important indication of the probability of foreign-body aspiration. Foreign-body aspiration should be always considered in children with elongated or abnormal pulmonary symptoms.

The purpose of this paper is to review the experience of SMS hospital, Jaipur with 2 unusual cases of retained foreign bodies in the air passages, to discuss the complications and outcomes of children with FBA in late diagnosis, and to examine the reasons of delay in diagnosis.

Illustrative case histories

Case 1: An 18 girl aged 18 gave a history of 13 years of cough, which had recently become more troublesome with mucopurulent sputum. She had been attending a rural health center for the cough for several years. Radiographs were normal initially. Subs X Rays showed obstructive emphysema on the left side. She remembered swallowing a whistle when she was much younger (around 5 years of age). Before the diagnostic bronchoscopy, a computed tomography (CT) was performed that revealed a soft tissue density in the left main bronchus (Figure 1). Rigid bronchoscopy performed a plastic whistle successfully Retrieval was by under general anesthesia. (Figure 2)

There was considerable granulation tissue around the FB.

Figure 1 CT Thorax (coronal and axial slice) showing soft tissue density in left main bronchus.
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Case 2: A 5 year old girl reported to the hospital with history of chronic cough since 2 years; she had episodes of recurrent URTI. There was no history of FBA. She had been treated many times by physicians for acute bronchitis in this period and experienced temporary relief after medication. She had diminished chest movement on the right and dullness to percussion was elicited on the right as well. She had a markedly diminished breath sound over the right lung field. Chest X ray was advised which showed a radiopaque object lodged in the right main bronchus with resultant atelectasis of the entire left lung and compensatory emphysema of the right lung (Figure 1) Bronchoscopy revealed a black metallic stud in the right main bronchus. a mass of granulation tissue surrounded the body (Figure 3) (Figure 4) (Figure 5).

Discussion

Foreign body aspiration is one of the major causes of persistent respiratory symptoms in children, yet is commonly missed by physicians. Early diagnosis and removal of foreign bodies must be achieved to avoid complications.

Diagnosis of foreign body in the airways is still a challenge for the physicians. Only bronchoscopy gives certainty about the diagnosis. What makes the cases unusual is the delayed and innocuous presentation after aspiration. Case 1 has a history of plastic foreign body ingestion 13 years back. It emphasizes the fact that foreign-body aspiration can be tolerated and remain undetected for a long time. Case 2 has no recollection of consuming peanuts or asphyxiating episode. Both our patient presented after suffering from chronic cough. This delayed presentation can be attributable to larger airways which only become irritated once the aspirated particle becomes dislodged in the peripheral airways or when the bronchial inflammation developed. Thus, the lack of specificity in clinical features resulted in a delay in diagnosis.

A witnessed episode of choking is considered to be an important component of the history that leads to the correct diagnosis of aspiration. A history of choking episode can usually be obtained from parents or caretaker. The absence of choking episode does not rule out FBA and may be a risk factor for diagnostic delay.

The clinical symptoms and signs of FBA depend on type, location, and size of the foreign body, as well as on the duration and the degree of obstruction. The typical clinical trial of wheezing, coughing and decreased air entry was reported in our patients.

Radiographic examination is an invaluable asset in the diagnosis of an aspirated foreign body. In a radioopaque foreign body, examination is straightforward; when the foreign body is nonradioopaque, secondary changes such as atelectasis and obstructive emphysema are of diagnostic importance. The common radiological sign in our series was obstructive emphysema. A CT thorax is not frequently requested but this is indicated if there is high index of suspicion regarding chronic pulmonary changes due to the prolonged presence of FB. In our series, late presentation was due to physician misdiagnosis and to a great extent due to parent's negligence. To avoid misdiagnosis, one should be aware that FBA in one of the differential diagnosis in children with symptoms of respiratory tract infection and asthma.
The morbidity and complications related to FBA and bronchoscopy were more in children who presented late. When dealing with longer-standing organic foreign bodies that induce formation of granulation tissue, late presentation also endured longer hospitalisation as well as bronchoscopy related laryngeal oedema, bronchospasm, and pneumonia.24–26

Early bronchoscopy is essential to reduce the morbidity associated with the complications of prolonged foreign body retention. Rigid bronchoscopy under general anaesthesia is regarded as the procedure of choice for the removal of aspirated foreign bodies.19,24,25

Conclusion

Diagnosis of FBA in children is still a challenge for physicians. Delay in diagnosis appears to result from a failure to give serious consideration to the diagnosis. Medical history is the key for the diagnosis of FBA. Choking and cough are the most common presentations of FBA. Most complications arise due to delayed diagnosis. Physicians taking care of children should be alerted to the importance of history taking in the diagnosis of FBA. They should exercise a high index of suspicion in cases presenting with choking or coughing of sudden onset. Normal appearance of chest X-ray does not exclude the possibility of FBA. Early diagnosis and removal of foreign bodies must be achieved to avoid complications. Early bronchoscopy is essential to reduce the morbidity associated with the complications of prolonged foreign body retention.
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