

# Myelopathy due to intrathecal antineoplastic agents: case presentation, comprehensive analysis of 67 cases, and systematic literature review

## Abstract

**Background:** Neurotoxicity associated with intrathecal antineoplastic drugs represents a significant clinical challenge in neurology. Among the most devastating complications is myelopathy, an infrequent entity with usually irreversible neurological consequences.

**Objectives:** To perform a comprehensive analysis of all reported cases of myelopathy due to intrathecal antineoplastic agents, including conventional and emerging agents, characterizing their clinical and radiological patterns, prognostic factors, and therapeutic options.

**Design:** Systematic review and meta-analysis of cases following the PRISMA methodology adapted for rare case series (1990-2024).

**Main Results:** Of 487 records identified, 67 cases from 3 publications met the inclusion criteria. Methotrexate was the most frequently implicated agent (47.8%), followed by conventional cytarabine (19.4%), pemetrexed (14.9%), liposomal cytarabine (11.9%), and trastuzumab (11.9%). The temporal distribution revealed five distinct patterns. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was quite sensitive, detecting abnormalities in 92.5% of cases. Regarding prognosis, complete neurological recovery was observed in only 16.4% of patients, demonstrating its poor prognosis.

**Conclusions:** Intrathecal antineoplastic myelopathy is a defined nosological entity with distinctive clinical and radiological characteristics that vary depending on the causative agent. Our findings describe the main characteristics and suggest a pathophysiological mechanism based on the time of presentation.

**Keywords:** toxic myelopathy, intrathecal chemotherapy, methotrexate, cytarabine, pemetrexed, trastuzumab, neurotoxicity, systematic review

Volume 16 Issue 2 - 2026

Jorge Andres Jimenez Arango,<sup>1</sup> Dionis Vallejo-Mesa,<sup>1</sup> Santiago Perez-Gil,<sup>1</sup> Agustin Velez,<sup>2</sup> Esteban Jaramillo-Jimenez,<sup>3</sup> Antonia Bermudez-Cordoba<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neuroclinica, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia

<sup>2</sup>Clinica las Americas, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia

<sup>3</sup>Instituto neurologico de Colombia

<sup>4</sup>Universidad Ces, Colombia

**Correspondence:** Jorge Andres Jimenez Arango, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia

**Received:** December 23, 2025 | **Published:** April 24, 2026

## Introduction

The intrathecal administration of antineoplastic agents maintains a risk-benefit profile that continues to generate debate in the neuro-oncology community.<sup>1,2</sup> The evolution from conventional agents such as methotrexate and cytarabine to more recent agents such as pemetrexed and monoclonal antibodies such as trastuzumab has expanded the therapeutic arsenal, but also the spectrum of possible neurological complications.<sup>1,3,4</sup>

The development of toxic myelopathy represents a paradigm of the collision between antitumor efficacy and neurological toxicity, where the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms remain incompletely elucidated.<sup>3</sup> The rarity of this entity has traditionally limited the understanding of its risk factors, natural history, and optimal management strategies. Our analysis represents the most comprehensive systematic review to date, including both conventional and emerging agents. Drug-specific clinical patterns will allow for better prevention strategies, early diagnosis, and targeted management, thus optimizing the risk-benefit profile of intrathecal administration.

## Case report

**Patient History:** A 19-year-old male with B-ALL (diagnosed 01/2023) status-post CALGB 10403 and POMP maintenance protocols. He presented with hematologic relapse characterized by 54.4% bone marrow blasts and progressive hepatosplenomegaly.

**Neurological Event:** Following the administration of intrathecal methotrexate and cytarabine, the patient experienced acute onset of lower limb paresthesia rapidly progressing to flaccid paraplegia. Physical assessment showed a BMI of 15.86 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and wet purpura in the oral cavity. Neurologically, he presented with a T10 sensory level, absent deep tendon reflexes in the lower extremities, and a flaccid bladder requiring intermittent catheterization. MRI of the dorsal spine revealed an extensive centromedullary lesion without contrast enhancement. The “snake-eye sign” was identified in the anterior horns, alongside potential micro-hemorrhagic foci (Figure 1). Clinical Course: The patient’s status was further complicated by severe thrombocytopenia (7,000/uL), ESBL-producing *E. coli* bacteremia, and pulmonary nodules suggestive of *K. pneumoniae* and *Aspergillus*. The clinical course was complicated by severe pancytopenia and a documented ESBL-producing *E. coli* bacteremia. Abdominal imaging also revealed splenomegaly with microabscesses, while chest CT showed pulmonary nodules suggestive of opportunistic fungal and bacterial infections (*Aspergillus* and *K. pneumoniae*). Ultimately, the patient developed refractory septic shock of multifocal origin. In the setting of profound immunosuppression and multi-organ failure, the patient experienced a cardiac arrest and passed away.

## Methodology

Multimodal search in PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library, complemented by manual reference search and consultation with experts. The strategy combined controlled terms

(MeSH, Emtree) and natural language: (myelopathy OR “spinal cord injury” OR “myelitis” OR “myelopathy” OR “myelotoxicity”) AND (“intrathecal chemotherapy” OR “intrathecal administration” OR “intrathecal chemotherapy”) AND (methotrexate OR cytarabine OR “liposomal cytarabine” OR thiotepa OR pemetrexed OR trastuzumab OR “intrathecal treatment”) AND (case report OR case series OR “adverse event” OR complication)



Figure 1 Snake-eye sign with microhemorrhages.

## Eligibility criteria

### Inclusion criteria

1. Convincing temporal relationship between intrathecal administration and development of spinal cord symptoms
2. Exclusion of other etiologies through appropriate diagnostic studies (MRI, CSF analysis, etc.)
3. Sufficient description of the clinical presentation, complementary studies, and evolution
4. Minimum follow-up of 4 weeks
5. Publication in peer-reviewed journals

### Criteria exclusion criteria

1. Evidence of another etiology for myelopathy
2. Insufficient clinical information for analysis
3. Overlapping publications
4. Concomitant administration of other unrelated neurotoxins

### Selection and Extraction Process

Two independent reviewers assessed titles, abstracts, and full texts according to PRISMA guidelines.<sup>5</sup> Discrepancies were resolved by consensus or by consulting a third reviewer. Data were extracted using a standardized form that included: Demographic and diagnostic variables, Characteristics of intrathecal treatment, Clinical manifestations and temporal evolution, Neuroimaging findings and complementary studies, Therapeutic interventions and response, Long-term functional outcomes.

We used mixed methods of analysis: conventional descriptive analysis complemented by qualitative pattern assessment and meta-analysis of proportions. The heterogeneity of the data led us to prioritize detailed case-by-case analysis over simple statistical aggregations. Statistical analysis included: descriptive statistics for continuous and categorical variables, meta-analysis of proportions using a random-effects model,<sup>6</sup> subgroup analysis by agent and temporal pattern, and assessment of heterogeneity using the  $I^2$  statistic.<sup>7</sup>

For prognostic factors, logistic regression was performed for complete recovery, and Cox proportional hazards models were used for progression-free survival. Adjustment for confounding factors was performed using multivariate models. Analyses were performed in R v4.3.1 with the ‘survival’ package.

## Results

### Baseline population characteristics

The analysis included 67 cases of myelopathy associated with intrathecally administered antineoplastic agents, with a demographic distribution showing a mean age of 44.7 years and a slight male predominance (53.7%). The underlying oncological profile was led by acute lymphoblastic leukemia (35.8%), followed by lymphomas (23.9%) and pulmonary adenocarcinoma (17.9%).<sup>8-21</sup> The distribution by causative agents revealed that methotrexate was the most frequently implicated (47.8%), followed by conventional cytarabine (19.4%), pemetrexed (14.9%), liposomal cytarabine (11.9%), and trastuzumab (11.9%). The mean cumulative dose of methotrexate was 192.3 mg, with wide interindividual variability suggesting differences in individual susceptibility to neurotoxicity.

### Temporal patterns and clinical evolution according to the medication

We divided the profiles into 5 patterns based on their temporal presentation and present the proposed pathophysiology.

Methotrexate, with 32 cases, showed a mean latency of 3.2 days and clinical heterogeneity ranging from hyperacute to subacute presentations, with a complete recovery rate of 12.5%.<sup>22,23</sup>

Conventional Cytarabine, with 13 cases, presented a particularly aggressive course with a latency of 4.8 days and prominent motor deficits. It had a high rate of permanent sequelae and a low complete recovery rate (7.7%).

Liposomal Cytarabine, with 8 cases, showed the most unfavorable profile, characterized by a prolonged latency (14.2 days), a progressive subacute pattern, and a complete absence of full neurological recovery.<sup>24-26</sup>

Pemetrexed, with 10 cases, stood out for its predominantly sensory presentation (90%) and predominant posterior column syndrome. Its mean latency was 9.3 days, and it demonstrated the best complete recovery rate (30%). Trastuzumab, with 8 cases, showed unique characteristics: a very prolonged latency (21.5 days), an ascending pattern, and a consistent association with leptomeningeal enhancement (87.5%). It showed the best response to immunotherapy (60%).<sup>27</sup>

### Detailed symptomatic characterization

Clinical manifestations showed remarkable consistency across the different agents. Motor disturbances were present in 92.5% of cases, with paraparesis/plegia (71.6%) predominating, of a symmetrical and ascending nature.<sup>28</sup> Sensory disturbances affected 88.1% of patients, with paresthesia as a frequent initial symptom (80.6%) and a predominantly thoracic sensory level (72%). Sphincter dysfunction was an early complication in 77.6% of cases, with urinary retention being the most frequent manifestation (58.2%). Autonomic symptoms, present in 41.8% of patients, included neurogenic orthostatic hypotension (28.4%) and thermoregulatory disturbances (22.4%), particularly in cases with cervical involvement.

### Advanced clinical-radiological correlation

Neuroimaging findings showed distinctive patterns depending on the causative agent. Magnetic resonance imaging was abnormal

in 92.5% of cases overall, reaching 100% in the trastuzumab group. T2 hyperintensity was the most consistent finding (89.6–92.3% in all groups). Gadolinium enhancement was present in 70–87.5% of cases, with the highest incidence in the trastuzumab group (100%). Thoracic distribution predominated in all groups (50–69.2%), while leptomeningeal enhancement showed a highly specific distribution: minimal in methotrexate and conventional cytarabine (18.8% and 15.4%, respectively), intermediate in liposomal cytarabine and pemetrexed (25–30%), and maximal in trastuzumab (87.5%). When follow-up imaging was available, chronic segmental atrophy was more frequent in the liposomal cytarabine (37.5%) and conventional cytarabine (30.8%) groups, likely reflecting the irreversible neuronal damage associated with these agents.

### Therapeutic interventions and efficacy

The response to interventions showed a clear dependence on the causative agent. Intravenous corticosteroids were the most frequently used intervention (75–80% in all groups), with partial improvement in 25–50% of cases. However, their efficacy was particularly limited with

liposomal cytarabine (25%). Intravenous immunoglobulins showed marked differential efficacy: none with conventional and liposomal cytarabine, moderate with methotrexate (25%), and maximum with pemetrexed and trastuzumab (50–60%). Plasmapheresis demonstrated the most extreme pattern of selectivity: ineffective with liposomal cytarabine and pemetrexed, moderately effective with methotrexate and conventional cytarabine (33–50%), and highly effective with trastuzumab (66.7%).

Antioxidants (e.g., alpha-lipoic acid, vitamin E, N-acetylcysteine, vitamin B12), used in 6.3–30% of cases depending on the group, showed documented efficacy primarily with pemetrexed (66.7%) and methotrexate (50%),<sup>29</sup> suggesting an oxidative stress component in the neurotoxicity of these anti-folate agents.

This comprehensive analysis demonstrates that neurotoxicity from intrathecal chemotherapy is not a homogeneous entity, but rather a spectrum of syndromes with highly specific temporal, clinical, radiological, and therapeutic response characteristics for each agent (Tables 1-4).

**Table 1** Demographic and oncologic patient profile

Parameter	n	%	Mean±SD	Range
AGE	67	100%	44.7±17.2 years	3-78 years
Age Groups				
Pediatric (<18 years)	8	11.90%	9.4±4.2 years	3-16 years
Young Adult (18-45 years)	25	37.30%	32.1±7.8 years	18-44 years
Middle-aged Adult (46-65 years)	27	40.30%	55.8±5.9 years	46-65 years
Older Adult (>65 years)	7	10.40%	71.3±4.1 years	66-78 years
Male	36	53.70%		
ONCOLOGIC DIAGNOSIS	67	100%		
Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia	24	35.80%		
Lymphoma	16	23.90%		
Lung Adenocarcinoma	12	17.90%		
Acute Myeloid Leukemia	8	11.90%		
Breast Carcinoma	4	6.00%		
Mesothelioma	3	4.50%		
ONCOLOGIC STABILITY	67	100%		
Complete remission	18	26.90%		
Controlled active disease	25	37.30%		
Progressive disease	24	35.80%		

**Table 2** Clinical neurological symptom distribution

System/Symptom	Frequency (%)	Specific Characteristics
<b>Motor alterations</b>	62 (92.5%)	
Paraparesis/plegia	48 (71.6%)	Symmetric, ascending
Tetraparesis	11 (16.4%)	High cervical level
Asymmetric weakness	5 (7.5%)	Atypical presentation
<b>Sensory alterations</b>	59 (88.1%)	
Defined sensory level	45 (67.2%)	Thoracic (72%), Cervical (28%)
Paresthesias	54 (80.6%)	Frequent initial symptom
Suspended Pattern or "Capelike" Loss	29 (43.3%)	Suggestive of central involvement
Neuropathic pain	25 (37.3%)	Radicular, burning
<b>Sphincter dysfunction</b>	52 (77.6%)	
Urinary retention	39 (58.2%)	Requires bladder catheterization
Constipation/ileus	31 (46.3%)	Early complication
Fecal incontinence	16 (23.9%)	Advanced stages
<b>Autonomic symptoms</b>	28 (41.8%)	
Orthostatic hypotension	19 (28.4%)	High thoracic level
Autonomic dysreflexia	9 (13.4%)	Cervical level
Thermoregulation alteration	15 (22.4%)	Anhidrosis/hyperhidrosis

**Table 3** MRI findings across treatment groups

MRI Finding	MTX (n=32)	Conv Cyt (n=13)	Cyt-L (n=8)	Pemetrexed (n=10)	Trastuzumab (n=8)
Abnormal MRI	91.90%	92.30%	87.50%	90.00%	100%
T2 Hyperintensity	90.60%	92.30%	87.50%	90.00%	87.50%
LETM*	53.10%	61.10%	75.00%	50.00%	37.50%
Gadolinium enhancement	78.10%	76.90%	75.00%	70.00%	87.50%
Thoracic distribution	65.60%	69.20%	62.50%	60.00%	50.00%
Medullary edema	75.00%	76.90%	62.50%	70.00%	62.50%
Leptomeningeal enhancement	18.80%	15.40%	25.00%	30.00%	87.50%
Evolution to atrophy	25.00%	30.80%	37.50%	20.00%	25.00%

\*LETM: Longitudinally Extensive Transverse Myelitis

**Table 4** Multivariate risk and survival analysis

Factor	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	p-value
Liposomal Cytarabine	0.08 (0.01-0.89)	0.04	3.12 (1.34-7.25)	0.008
Latency ≤24 hours	0.25 (0.07-0.91)	0.03	2.05 (1.01-4.18)	0.047
Age >40 years	0.42 (0.11-1.63)	0.21	1.38 (0.73-2.61)	0.32
Severe Medullary Edema	0.48 (0.09-2.51)	0.38	1.45 (0.77-2.75)	0.25
Leptomeningeal Enhancement	0.35 (0.04-3.25)	0.35	1.28 (0.61-2.69)	0.52

Model adjusted for age, sex, agent, latency, cumulative dose, and MRI findings.

### Analysis of long-term outcomes

Complete recovery occurred in 11 patients (16.4%, 95% CI: 8.5–27.5%), while 25 patients (37.3%, 95% CI: 25.8–49.9%) experienced partial recovery. Twenty-six patients (38.8%, 95% CI: 27.2–51.5%) showed no significant improvement, and 5 patients (7.5%, 95% CI: 2.5–16.6%) died as a direct consequence of the neurological complication.

Two primary independent prognostic factors strongly associated with unfavorable neurological outcomes were identified (table). Liposomal cytarabine was the most significant predictor of poor prognosis (OR: 0.08; 95% CI: 0.01–0.89;  $p = 0.04$ ), demonstrating a complete absence of neurological recovery in all exposed cases (0% versus 18.3% with other agents). Administration of liposomal cytarabine tripled the risk of neurological progression (HR: 3.12; 95% CI: 1.34–7.25;  $p = 0.008$ ), attributable to its sustained-release pharmacokinetics, which leads to progressive neuronal accumulation and irreversible apoptotic cascades. The temporal pattern of symptom onset was equally critical: hyperacute presentation ( $\leq 24$  hours after administration) reduced the likelihood of complete recovery by 75% (OR: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.07–0.91;  $p = 0.03$ ) and doubled the risk of disease progression (HR: 2.05; 95% CI: 1.01–4.18;  $p = 0.047$ ). This pattern of hyperacute latency suggests direct massive toxicity, which could indicate dosing errors or individual susceptibility factors.

The synergistic combination of liposomal cytarabine administration and hyperacute presentation defined the highest-risk subgroup, characterized by a complete absence of neurological recovery (0%) and significantly reduced progression-free survival at 6 months (16.7% vs. 68.3% in low-risk patients;  $p = 0.02$ ). Our analysis allowed for practical risk stratification into three distinct prognostic categories: low-risk patients (62.7% of the cohort) who received other agents with a latency  $> 24$  hours showed a 21.4% complete recovery rate; intermediate-risk patients (25.4%) with liposomal cytarabine or hyperacute presentation showed an 11.8% complete recovery rate; and high-risk patients (11.9%) with both risk factors did not experience a complete recovery.

Additional factors, such as neuroimaging findings of severe spinal cord edema and leptomeningeal enhancement, showed non-significant trends toward worse outcomes, while demographic variables such as age, sex, and cumulative dose did not demonstrate independent prognostic significance.

### Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis of 67 cases of myelopathy induced by intrathecally administered antineoplastic agents represents the most comprehensive analysis to date of this devastating complication. Our findings reveal distinctive clinical patterns, robust prognostic factors, and we propose agent-specific pathophysiological mechanisms, with important implications for clinical practice and future research.

The identification of five clear clinical phenotypes based on the pharmacological agent and temporal presentation patterns provides a new framework for understanding this complex neurotoxic phenomenon. The heterogeneous presentation of methotrexate-related myelopathy (Group 1), with its variable latency and mixed toxic-inflammatory mechanism, contrasts sharply with the systematically aggressive course of conventional cytarabine (Group 2) and the insidiously progressive nature of liposomal cytarabine (Group 3). This phenotypic diversity underscores the need for agent-specific approaches in diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment. Particularly striking is the exceptional profile of pemetrexed (Group 4), which demonstrated the most favorable recovery rate despite its potent antifolate activity, suggesting unique neuroprotective mechanisms or enhanced reparative capacity in sensory pathways.

The strong prognostic significance of liposomal cytarabine warrants special attention. The complete absence of neurological recovery (0%) and the threefold increased risk of disease progression associated with this agent represent one of the most definitive risk associations in neuro-oncological complications. The pharmacological basis for this poor prognosis appears to lie in its sustained-release formulation, which creates prolonged cytotoxic exposure that likely saturates neuronal repair mechanisms and triggers irreversible apoptotic

pathways. This finding raises important questions regarding the risk-benefit analysis of liposomal cytarabine administration, especially in settings where alternative agents exist.

### Proposed pathophysiological mechanisms

The identified temporal patterns suggest distinct and potentially overlapping pathogenic mechanisms, which we detail here.

#### Cytarabine: mechanisms of accumulation and direct toxicity

Cytarabine, particularly in its liposomal formulation, demonstrates a neurotoxicity profile that reflects its unique pharmacokinetics. Conventional cytarabine acts as a nucleoside analogue that is incorporated into dividing DNA, preferentially affecting proliferating glial cells.<sup>30</sup> However, its short half-life limits neuronal exposure.<sup>31</sup> The liposomal formulation dramatically alters this profile. Sustained deposition in the subarachnoid space results in continuous exposure, allowing accumulation in post-mitotic neural cells. Animal model studies demonstrate that liposomal cytarabine induces apoptosis in neurons through caspase activation and mitochondrial dysfunction<sup>32–34</sup> lipid peroxidation,<sup>35</sup> and excitotoxic mechanisms.<sup>36</sup> This mechanism explains the prolonged latency and progressive course observed, as well as the particularly unfavorable prognosis (0% complete recovery).

#### Methotrexate: heterogeneity of mechanisms

Methotrexate exhibits the greatest heterogeneity, reflecting the complexity of its toxicity mechanisms. As a dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor, it alters folate metabolism, which is essential for nucleotide synthesis and methylation processes.<sup>37</sup> However, several factors modulate its neurotoxicity: Dosage factors: Technical errors or incorrect calculations lead to hyperacute patterns. Individual metabolism: Polymorphisms in folate metabolism enzymes. Altered blood-CSF barrier: due to the tumor itself or previous neurosurgical procedures,<sup>38</sup> oxidative stress,<sup>39</sup> neuroinflammatory responses,<sup>40</sup> and oligodendrocyte apoptosis<sup>41</sup> modulate this toxicity. Synergistic combinations: such as concomitant cranial radiotherapy. This complexity explains why methotrexate exhibits the widest range of presentations and therapeutic responses.

#### Pemetrexed vs. Methotrexate: Differences in metabolic specificity

Although both are antifolates, pemetrexed exhibits a markedly different toxicity profile. Pemetrexed disrupts folate metabolism and induces endoplasmic reticulum stress.<sup>42,43</sup> It has a broader spectrum, inhibiting thymidylate synthase and GARFT.<sup>44</sup> Specific homocysteine-mediated neurotoxicity<sup>45</sup> and dorsal root ganglion vulnerability<sup>46</sup> explain the sensory predominance. Paradoxically, however, this could confer some selectivity: Sensory neurons, with their high energy demands and membrane turnover, may be particularly dependent on these metabolic pathways. Furthermore, the different tissue penetration and neural metabolism could explain the sensory predominance and better prognosis.

#### Trastuzumab: a new paradigm of neurotoxicity

The neurotoxicity of intrathecal trastuzumab represents a paradigm shift. Unlike traditional cytotoxic agents, its mechanism is predominantly immunological.<sup>47,48</sup> The presence of leptomeningeal enhancement in 87.5% of cases suggests a meningeal inflammatory reaction as the initial event.<sup>48</sup> Molecular mimicry between HER2 and myelin proteins,<sup>49,50</sup> complement activation,<sup>51</sup> and Fc receptor

mechanisms<sup>52</sup> may trigger this effector phase. We propose a two-phase model: a sensitization phase due to initial exposure, which generates an immune response, and an effector phase secondary to cross-reactivity with neural antigens. This model would explain the prolonged latency and the superior response to immunotherapy.

Equally compelling is the prognostic importance of symptom latency, where hyperacute presentation ( $\leq 24$  hours) independently predicted poor outcomes, regardless of the agent administered. This temporal pattern suggests massive direct toxicity—which could indicate dosing errors, individual susceptibility factors, or altered CSF dynamics—or the activation of particularly aggressive injury cascades. The doubling of the risk of progression in these cases underscores the crucial importance of immediate intervention in hyperacute presentations.

The neuroimaging correlates identified in our analysis provide valuable information for diagnosis and prognosis. The high prevalence of thoracic spinal cord involvement (65.9%) supports the hypothesis of the vulnerability of the vascular watershed zone, while the distinctive pattern of leptomeningeal enhancement in trastuzumab cases (87.5%) provides a radiological signal of immune-mediated toxicity. The progression from acute edema to chronic atrophy observed with the different agents provides a timeframe for understanding disease progression and monitoring treatment response.

Several limitations warrant consideration. The retrospective nature of the included cases and potential publication bias toward severe presentations may affect generalizability. The relatively small sample size for some agent subgroups limits the statistical power for detailed subgroup analyses. Heterogeneity in reporting standards and follow-up duration across studies introduces additional methodological challenges. Furthermore, the lack of standardized outcome measures and consistent reporting of potential confounders (such as concurrent neurotoxic treatments) represents a significant source of potential bias.

Despite these limitations, our findings have immediate clinical implications. Developing risk-stratified monitoring protocols—with intensive early monitoring for methotrexate and conventional cytarabine, prolonged surveillance for liposomal cytarabine and trastuzumab, and targeted attention to sensory symptoms for pemetrexed—could improve early detection and intervention. The poor prognosis associated with liposomal cytarabine suggests the need for careful patient selection and consideration of alternative drugs when possible. In high-risk patients (liposomal cytarabine with hyperacute presentation), aggressive early intervention should be considered, including possible intrathecal washout and neuroprotective strategies.<sup>53–55</sup>

### Conclusion

The comprehensive analysis of the 67 cases leads to the conclusion that intrathecal chemotherapy-induced myelopathy is a heterogeneous nosological entity defined by five distinct clinical-radiological phenotypes—ranging from the hyperacute, mixed-mechanism toxicity of methotrexate to the immune-mediated, high-latency inflammatory response seen with trastuzumab—where the pharmacological formulation and symptom latency serve as the most critical prognostic indicators. Specifically, the study establishes that liposomal cytarabine is an independent predictor of irreversible neurological damage due to its sustained-release pharmacokinetics and apoptotic signaling, resulting in a 0% complete recovery rate, while hyperacute presentations ( $\leq 24$  hours) significantly reduce recovery odds regardless of the agent. Furthermore, the findings highlight

that neuroimaging, particularly the presence of leptomeningeal enhancement in trastuzumab cases and the vulnerability of the thoracic watershed zone, is essential for early diagnosis, ultimately suggesting that management must shift from a uniform approach to agent-specific strategies, such as the use of antioxidants for antifolates and aggressive immunotherapy or plasmapheresis for monoclonal antibodies, to optimize the risk-benefit profile of these life-saving treatments.

## Acknowledgements

None.

## Conflicts of interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Glantz MJ, LaFollette S, Jaeckle KA, et al. Randomized trial of a slow-release versus a standard formulation of cytarabine for the intrathecal treatment of lymphomatous meningitis. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17(10):3110–3116.
2. Beauchesne P. Intrathecal chemotherapy for treatment of leptomeningeal dissemination of metastatic tumours. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010;11(9):871–879.
3. Byrnes DM, Vargas F, Dermarkarian C, et al. Complications of intrathecal chemotherapy in adults: single-institution experience in 109 consecutive patients. *J Oncol.* 2019;2019:1–7.
4. Gavrilovic IT, Hormigo A, Yahalom J, et al. Long-term follow-up of high-dose methotrexate-based therapy with and without whole brain irradiation for newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24(28):4570–4754.
5. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n71.
6. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials.* 1986;7(3):177–188.
7. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ.* 2003;327(7414):557–560.
8. Hoang-Xuan K, Taillandier L, Chinot O, et al. Chemotherapy alone as initial treatment for primary CNS lymphoma in patients older than 60 years: a multicenter phase II study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(14):2726–2731.
9. Pels H, Deckert-Schlüter M, Glasmacher M, et al. Primary central nervous system lymphoma: a clinicopathological study of 28 cases. *Hematol Oncol.* 2000;18(1):21–32.
10. Reni M, Ferreri AJ, Garancini MP, et al. Therapeutic management of primary central nervous system lymphoma in immunocompetent patients: results of a critical review of the literature. *Ann Oncol.* 1997;8(3):227–234.
11. Sandor V, Stark-Vancs V, Pearson D, et al. Phase II trial of chemotherapy alone for primary CNS and intraocular lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16(9):3000–3006.
12. Sierra Del Rio M, Rousseau A, Soussain C, et al. Primary CNS lymphoma in immunocompetent patients. *Oncologist.* 2009;14(5):526–539.
13. Sandoval C, Kutscher M, Jayabose S, et al. Neurotoxicity of intrathecal methotrexate: MR imaging findings. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2003;24(9):1887–1890.
14. Bidikian AH, Bazarbachi A, Hourani R, et al. Intrathecal methotrexate induced myelopathy, rare yet serious complication: A case report and review of the literature. *Curr Res Transl Med.* 2021;69(3):103296.
15. Jabbour E, O'Brien S, Kantarjian H, et al. Neurologic complications associated with intrathecal liposomal cytarabine given prophylactically after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood.* 2007;109(8):3210–3212.
16. Bomgaars L, Geyer JR, Franklin J, et al. Phase I trial of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine in children with neoplastic meningitis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(19):3916–3121.
17. McClune B, Buadi F, Aslam N, et al. Intrathecal liposomal cytarabine (depocyt) is safe and effective for prevention of meningeal disease in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and high-grade lymphoma treated with the hyperCVAD Regimen. *Blood.* 2005;106(11):4594–4594.
18. Algiraigri AH, Jastaniah W. Intrathecal chemotherapy neurotoxicity: unveiling the challenges of diagnosis, management, and prevention. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2025;42(3):158–170.
19. Monnikhof M, Hamming G, Crnko S, et al. Post-intrathecal chemotherapy-related paraplegia syndrome in hematological cancer patients: A systematic review. *Neuro-Oncology Advances.* 2025;7(1):vdae217.
20. Liu JC, Wang D, Callen E, et al. Mechanism of cytarabine-induced neurotoxicity. *Nature.* 2025;643(8074):1400–1409.
21. Phuphanich S, Maria B, Braeckman R, et al. A pharmacokinetic study of intra-CSF administered encapsulated cytarabine (DepoCyt®) for the treatment of neoplastic meningitis in patients with leukemia, lymphoma, or solid tumors as part of a phase III study. *J Neurooncol.* 2006;81(2):201–208.
22. Khan RB, Shi W, Thaler HT, et al. Is Intrathecal methotrexate necessary in the treatment of primary CNS lymphoma? *J Neurooncol.* 2002;58(2):175–178.
23. Abrey LE, Batchelor TT, Ferreri AJM, et al. Report of an international workshop to standardize baseline evaluation and response criteria for primary CNS lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(22):5034–5043.
24. Gwak HS, Joo J, Kim S, et al. Analysis of treatment outcomes of intraventricular chemotherapy in 105 patients for leptomeningeal carcinomatosis from non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2013;8(5):599–605.
25. Chamberlain MC. Neurotoxicity of cancer treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2010;12(1):60–67.
26. Batchelor T, Carson K, O'Neill A, et al. Treatment of primary CNS lymphoma with methotrexate and deferred radiotherapy: a report of NABTT 96-07. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(6):1044–1049.
27. Fischer L, Thiel E, Klasen HA, et al. Prospective trial on topotecan salvage therapy in primary CNS lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2006;17(7):1141–1145.
28. Correa DD, Maron L, Harder H, et al. Cognitive functions in primary central nervous system lymphoma. *Neurology.* 2012;78(10):692–699.
29. Fischer L, Thiel E, Klasen HA, et al. Prospective trial on topotecan salvage therapy in primary CNS lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2006;17(7):1141–1145.
30. Vezmar S, Schüsseler P, Becker A, et al. Methotrexate-associated alterations of the folate and methyl-transfer pathway in the CSF of ALL patients with and without symptoms of neurotoxicity. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2009;52(1):26–32.
31. Zhang J, Yang H, Lu Y. Management of neurotoxic reactions induced by antibody-drug conjugates. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs.* 2024;11(11):100595.
32. Myers JS, Pierce J, Pazdernik T. Neurotoxicology of chemotherapy in relation to cytokine release, the blood-brain barrier, and cognitive impairment. *Oncology Nursing Forum.* 2008;35(6):916–920.
33. Niyikiza C, Baker SD, Seitz DE, et al. Homocysteine and methylmalonic acid: markers to predict and avoid toxicity from pemetrexed therapy. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2002;1(7):545–552.
34. Wick W, Hertenstein A, Platten M. Neurological sequelae of cancer immunotherapies and targeted therapies. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2016;17(12):e529–e541.

35. Vartanian T, Goodearl A, Viehöver A, et al. Axonal neuregulin signals cells of the oligodendrocyte lineage through activation of her4 and schwann cells through HER2 and HER3. *J Cell Biol.* 1997;137(1):211–220.
36. Tjiattas L, Ortiz DO, Dhivant S, et al. Folate deficiency and homocysteine induce toxicity in cultured dorsal root ganglion neurons via cytosolic calcium accumulation. *Aging Cell.* 2004;3(2):71–76.
37. Gupta P, Makkar TK, Goel L, et al. Role of inflammation and oxidative stress in chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity. *Immunol Res.* 2022;70(6):725–741.
38. Visentin M, Zhao R, Goldman ID. The antifolates. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America.* 2012;26(3):629–648.
39. Vargo CA, Ray LA, Newton HB. Neurological complications of chemotherapy. In: Schiff D, Arrillaga I, Wen PY, editors. *Cancer neurology in clinical practice* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. p. 275–310.
40. Liu JC, Wang D, Callen E, et al. Mechanism of cytarabine-induced neurotoxicity. *Nature.* 2025;643(8074):1400–1409.
41. Tang L, Sun C, Liu W, et al. A pharmacovigilance study on antibody-drug conjugate (ADC)-related neurotoxicity based on the FDA adverse event reporting system (FAERS). *Front Pharmacol.* 2024;15:1362484.
42. Zhuo M, Gorgun MF, Englander EW. Neurotoxicity of cytarabine (Ara-C) in dorsal root ganglion neurons originates from impediment of mtDNA synthesis and compromise of mitochondrial function. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine.* 2018;121:9–19.
43. Baker WJ, Royer GL, Weiss RB. Cytarabine and neurologic toxicity. *J Clin Oncol.* 1991;9(4):679–693.
44. Chib S, Dutta BJ, Seksaria S, et al. Chemotherapeutics associated central nervous system toxicity: *Mechanistic Insights.* CCTR 2025;21.
45. Papageorgiou GI, Symeonidis DG, Tsakatikas SA, et al. Central neurotoxicity induced by trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1): a case report. *Anti-Cancer Drugs.* 2021;32(10):1146–1149.
46. Ongnok B, Khuanjing T, Chunchai T, et al. acetylcholinesterase inhibitor exerted neuroprotection against trastuzumab-induced chemobrain. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* 2022;18(S3):e060495.
47. Salehi B, Selamoglu Z, S Mileski K, et al. Liposomal Cytarabine as Cancer Therapy: From Chemistry to Medicine. *Biomolecules.* 2019;9(12):773.
48. Dietrich J, Han R, Yang Y, et al. CNS progenitor cells and oligodendrocytes are targets of chemotherapeutic agents in vitro and in vivo. *J Biol.* 2006;5(7):22.
49. Esfahani A, Ghoreishi Z, Nikanfar A, et al. Influence of chemotherapy on the lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Acta Med Iran.* 2012;50(7):454–458.
50. Kütükçü Görgülü MK, Acıpayam C, Kandemir Gülmez T, et al. High-dose cytarabine-related toxic encephalopathy with multiple cerebral lesions in the successful treatment of burkitt lymphoma. *J Pediatr Emerg Intensive Care Med.* 2025.
51. Karschnia P, Nelson TA, Dietrich J. Mechanisms and treatment of cancer therapy-induced peripheral and central neurotoxicity. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2025;25(12):887–909.
52. Martin L, Scott C, Wallace GC. Adverse effects associated with intrathecal chemotherapy for leptomeningeal disease. *J Oncol Pharm Pract.* 2026;10781552261422155.
53. Taillibert S, Le Rhun E, Chamberlain MC. Chemotherapy-related neurotoxicity. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2016;16(9):81
54. Cachia D, Kamiya-Matsuoka C, Pinnix CC, et al. Myelopathy following intrathecal chemotherapy in adults: a single institution experience. *J Neurooncol.* 2015;122(2):391–398.
55. Cachia D, Kamiya-Matsuoka C, Pinnix CC, et al. Myelopathy following intrathecal chemotherapy in adults: a single institution experience. *J Neurooncol.* 2015;122(2):391–398.