

A New Idea for Scientific Journals as A Further Step For Science Development

Letter to Editor

Scientific research has a very noble aim, which is to solve problems and to overcome challenges facing humanity and the universe. In order to achieve this goal, researchers worldwide are in continuous communication through publishing their findings and ideas, and also via discussions with other scientists during seminars, workshops, conferences, etc. [1,2]. The scientist, who usually spends most of their time working in a research laboratory wearing a lab coat and conducting experiments, appears totally far from practical issues when communicating their findings, especially when assisting academic meetings. During such occasions, researchers discuss their brilliant results and present well-organised graphs and tables, but rarely, discussing their experiment struggles, work details, experiment reproducibility tips, etc. Herein, I suggest that scientists would better take a further step during their communication; through sharing their practical experience. I recommend a new type of published papers to achieve this goal.

The number of scientific journals is tremendous and it is continuously rising. There are many academic publishers in different fields of science. Different journals may differ in their author guidelines regarding reference format, their requirements about paper length, etc. Nevertheless, they mostly have the same range of variety for a paper to be published, which would be an original research paper, review paper, opinion, short communication, editorial or other similar forms of publication. All these types are valuable. However, I propose a new type of published papers, and I suggest it to be named journal experiment tips, journal author advice or other names with the same meaning. This type of papers would provide useful advice for the reader about how to fulfill a certain assay or experiment. For instance, if the paper discusses the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, widely abbreviated as MTT cell viability assay; the authors could share their experience in this assay through writing about MTT reagent preparation steps, which solvent is better for dissolving formazan crystals, how long should the plate be shaken, at what temperature, and what is the better speed of shaking, etc. The authors would also share their conclusions learned from their experiments that did not lead to logic or promising results, and about their findings about their failed experiments, and the reasons they found out for that. This idea could also be implemented as a part of the original research published paper as a footnote or a passage added to experiment description.

This type of publication would be highly auspicious since it allows a deeper communication between researchers through offering the opportunity to exchange practical experience rather

Letter to Editor

Volume 3 Issue 1 - 2015

Besma Boubertakh^{1,2*}

¹Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms of Chinese Academy of Sciences & Yunnan Province, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Kunming, Yunnan 650223, PR China

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10049, PR China

***Corresponding author:** Besma Boubertakh, Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 32 Jiaochang Donglu, Kunming 650223, China, Email: boubertakhbesma@outlook.com

Received: October 12, 2015 | **Published:** October 23, 2015

than being limited to the presentation of positive results. The experimenter is invited to publish a recent type of paper that would allow a highly efficient communication, which provides each researcher with the opportunity to participate in the development of science via sharing their experience with others in laboratories all over the world, and at the same time to read and learn from others' experience. This idea would participate undoubtedly to expedite research development and to save much time of repeating an assay for many times because of the lack of experience or thinking about some questions that could be replied easily through reading papers of this proposed type. Since the final goal of all researchers is to improve science and answer its mysterious questions, I suggest this new type of published papers to achieve this goal through practical experiment tips' exchange between scientists worldwide.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ghanemi A (2015) International drugs markets database to improve global drugs accessibility. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2015.05.004.
2. Boubertakh B (2015) Patient Patients and Searching Researchers: Exchange of Knowledge and Experience for Health Promotion. *J Neurol Stroke* 3(1): 00080.