Using quinoa protein and starch nano particles to produce edible films

Abstract

The aim of the study is to from quinoa protein and starch nano particles produce edible natural films from quinoa protein and starch nano particles. Rheological properties of edible solution and suspensions were studied. Rheological, mechanical properties, permeability, partial size distribution, zeta potential emulsion, X-R diffraction(XRD) films as well as the scanning electron microscopy of the films were evaluated. The results of the study film-forming quinoa protein and starch nanoparticles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) of crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants) luria leaves or pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial. Tests and measurements showed that the best film-forming nano suspensions were in decreasing order B(55.61nm), E(68.66nm) then followed treatment F(73.51nm) and C(84.13nm) Compared to non-carrying natural films (as antioxidants) were D(156.8nm) and A(280.9nm). These protein and starch nano particles are able to form films and coatings with good barrier properties against the transport of gases such as oxygen, carbon dioxide and water vapors. On the other hand, the thickness, tensile strength, elongation, present solubility is important mechanical properties where they increased in strength with treatment nanoparticles. This technology will also enable the development of new edible natural films materials to extend the shelf life of food. Nanoparticles have the ability to provide a safe packaging system and its new technological applications in the production of edible natural films to inhibit microbial growth, reduce oxidation and food-borne preservation, increase the economic feasibility and benefit of quinoa seeds since these seeds are not commercially used and are not used for their high content of saponine.
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Introduction

Quinoa seeds have been traditionally consumed asfunctional food high nutritional value and its bitter taste. The coat of quinoa seeds has been only characterized in terms of its high triterpene saponin content. Thus, quinoa saponins promote hemolysis and changes in intestinal permeability. Thus, that the coat, the outmost layer of the seed, contains antioxidants.1 Quinoa has been selected by (FAO 2014) as one of the crops destined to offer food security in the 21st century, because the quinoa plants are tolerant to salinity and drought stress, and can grow on marginal regions. Quinoa seeds have a high protein content (about 15%), and its essential amino acid balance is excellent, because of a wider amino acid spectrum than cereals and legumes.2 Quinoa is one of the most nutritive grains used as human food, and FAO has selected it as one of the crops destined to offer food security in this century.2 The digestibility of quinoa protein is the limiting factor in the protein utilization in food. In vitro digestibility of quinoa protein varied from 76.3% to 80.5%.4 Edible coatings applied on fresh fruits was to reduce the moisture transfer, oxidation, metabolic processes,respiration rate, physical/mechanical impacts and microbial growth and to maintain the sensory quality and safety to prolong their shelf-life. Edible coating acts as a physical barrier for the gas exchange between the fruit and their storage environment to create modified atmosphere,it slows down both the rates of generating energy and substrate degradation which turn will slow down the biochemical reactions leading to fruit ripening. The polysaccharides, as coating materials forfruits have been used extensively in the past fewyears. These natural polymers, in addition to the above mentioned benefits, present advantages due to their availability, low cost, and biodegradability. The latter in particular is of great interest, as it leads to a reduction in the large quantities of non-biodegradable synthetic packaging materials. In addition, their physico-chemical properties can also be improved by modifying them.5

Although the addition of oil to the film decreased the WVP, an adverse effect on the mechanical properties of the film was observed compared with films without oil. In addition, interactions with lipids and/or proteins diminish or inhibit the antimicrobial activity (AM) of chitosan and a blend of chitosan/quinoa-protein, increasing interest in the incorporation of additional powerful as antimicrobial agents. Thymol, which is found in the essential oil of thyme, exhibits potent as antimicrobial effects against various microbial strains and is considered a safe food additive by the FDA. Nano composites can improve the water vapour barrier properties of hydrophilic films without affecting their mechanical properties. Nanoparticles (NPs) located in the domains of the links between the film-forming polymers hinder the diffusion of gases and water molecules through the film and create a diffusive tortuosity effect on the transport of molecules through the film.6 Protein-based particles are most readily generated by thermal processing. When heated above their denaturation temperature, globular proteins exhibit unfolding of their polypeptide chains followed by the intermolecular association under the influence of...
of hydrophobic and covalent bonding. The morphology and microstructure of the resulting aggregates are found to be sensitively dependent on the aqueous solution environment and on the processing conditions: temperature, time, shear-rate. Adjustments in conditions, can influence the delicate balance of intermolecular forces and hence the rates of competing chemical reactions. Consequently, particular protein a broad range of morphologies may be produced.7

The pH range required for the preparation of spherical particles from protein of uniform size (~200nm) being formed above or below the optimum pH 6. The protein charge density must be sufficiently low to allow microgel formation, but high enough to inhibit further protein association into large clusters or macroscopic gels.8 Nano-scale starch particles are novel materials which present unusual chemical properties due to their extremely small size and wide surface area. They have a number of advantages over bulk starch, such as high surface area per unit of mass, and high absorption capacity, and minimal diffusional limitations. Nano-scale starch particles have attracted considerable research interest due to their excellent properties and have been prepared through different methods, such as combining complex formation acid hydrolysis and regeneration. The dispersibility of the nano-scale starch particle in aqueous solution is reflected by the rheological properties, which are important for their applications both when they are used alone and when they are part of a mixture. In the food industry, thermal process designs for liquids require accurate information on their flow behavior in order to develop processing conditions that ensure safety and product quality.9 The rheological properties modeled those of a continuous treatment process, as the flow characteristics of pumpable liquids dependent on the liquids viscosity and density, and thus play a major role in such processes. Hence, the detected rheological properties of suspensions containing the novel nanoparticles the viscosity of nanoparticle suspensions has the potential to influence the delivery of active components and the performance of films and improve the rheological properties of Nano starch particles.10

Starch hydrolysis by hydrochloric or sulphuric acid at sub-gelatinization temperatures causes a preferential attack of acid molecules in the amorphous regions of the granule. These particles may be used in widespread biomedical, biochemical and technological applications, as well as vehicles for carrying bioactive substances and nutraceuticals. Starch nanocrystals however tend to aggregate and settle down in aqueous solutions which limits their application in food system.11 Pomegranate peels are considered inedible parts or byproducts obtained through juice processing it is characterized by significant presence of ellagitannins and polyphenols, gallic acid and ellagic acid as well as flavonoids – associated with biological properties such as antioxidant and antimicrobial agents. As antioxidant activity of extracts of pomegranate peels. Pomegranate juice manufacturing industries generate large amounts of byproducts leading to serious environmental pollution in addition to acting as a substrate for the proliferation of insects and microorganisms.12 Recovery of antioxidant compounds from plant materials is typically accomplished through different extraction techniques taking into account their chemistry and uneven distribution in the plant matrix. For example, soluble phenolics are present in higher concentrations in the outer tissues (epidermal and sub-epidermal layers) of fruits and grains than in the inner tissues. Solvent extraction is most frequently used technique for isolation of plant antioxidant compounds. However, the extract yields and resulting antioxidant activities of the plant materials are strongly dependent on the nature of extracting solvent, due to the presence of different antioxidant compounds of varied chemical characteristics and polarities that may or may not be soluble in a particular solvent. Polar solvents are frequently employed for the recovery of polyphenols from a plant matrix.6 The objective of this work is extraction quinoa protein and starch nano particles to produce edible natural films. And study rheological, mechanical properties, permeability, particle size distribution, zeta potential emulsion, X-R diffraction(XRD) films and scanning electron microscopy to select the best edible nature films estimated by the previous tests.

Materials and methods

Materials

Quinoa seeds (genotypes KVL-SRA2) were obtained in winter seasons of 2015/2016 at Agricultural Experimental Station of Desert Research Center, Cairo, Egypt. The seeds were cleaned from impurities and foreign materials, and then stored in a dry place at room temperature (25±2°C) for future extraction. Luria leaves and pomegranate peels were obtained from the local market. At Central Lab of Agriculture Res. Food Engineering and Packaging Dept., Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt to study the preparation of quinoa protein and starch extract nano particles to produce edible natural films. The materials used in this experiment were: Ethyl alcohol 95%, Chloroform and Methanol produced by were obtained from (El Nasr Pharmaceutical chemical company, Cairo, Egypt). Sulfuric acidH2SO4” and Hydrochloric acid “HCL.” were obtained from (El-Gomhouria chemical company. Glycerol and sodium hydroxide was obtained from (Acmaic For Chemicals & Lab. Equipment Company, Cairo, Egypt). Acetic acid glacial was obtained from (Across Organics, Belgium). Whatman No.1 filter paper and deacanter 50 mesh was obtained from across-organics Company New Jersey U.S.A. Glutaraldehyde was obtained from Win Lab Company, (U.K). Tween 80 from Zhejiang Sliver elephant Bio-Engineering Co., LTD, China. Sodium chloride “NaCl” was obtained from Jenapharm, Germany.

Methods

Preparation of quinoa flour: Quinoa flour was prepared according to Margarita et al.,4 Quinoa seed were washed with cold water 4-5 times or until there was no foam to remove saponins drying was carried out at 50°C using a convective dryer and ground using a cyclone sample mill into meal that could pass through a 60-80 mesh screen.

Preparation of quinoa protein extract nano particles:

Extraction of quinoa protein: The obtained flour was defatted three times with chloroform: methanol (2:1), 1:10 w/v(flour/solvent) with shaking for 2h to remove lipids from the sample. Quinoa protein isolate was prepared according to Elsohaimy et al.,4 Fifty grams of defatted quinoa flour were suspended in 1000ml deionized distilled water (1:20 v/v), and the pH was adjusted to 10 using 0.1 N NaOH and 0.1 N HCl. The suspension was stirred for 1h with maintaining the pH at the determined value to reach the maximum level of solubilization. Then the suspension was filtered through nylon cloth with hydraulically pressed at 40psi to separate the suspended the solution from the fibers residue, adjusting the pH of these suspensions to 4.5 (the average isoelectric point). The mixture was centrifuged at 6000g at 20°C for 30min by high-speed centrifuge to obtain precipitates with relatively high percentages of protein. The precipitated protein product was dried in an air dryer at 50°C followed by grinding into powder.
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Preparation of quinoa protein nano particles fabrication: Desolvating agent (ethanol) was added drop wise into the dispersion the concentrations of Protein quinoa and ethanol after mixing ranged from 4–12 mg/mL and 0–80%, respectively. After 15 min of equilibration, glutaraldehyde (25 mg/mL aqueous solution) was added as a cross linked and it also reduces the average molecular weight, gave the amount of glutaraldehyde required for stoichiometric cross linking. Desolvation technique was employed for preparation of Protein quinoa nano particles. At first, Protein quinoa powder at concentrations of 1.5 to 4 mg/mL was dissolved in deionized water and its pH was adjusted to 9.0 with 0.1 and 1.0 M NaOH solution during stirring. The influence of Protein quinoa concentration on the size of prepared nano particles was filtered Protein quinoa solution was subjected to levels of 100% sonication method. Nano particles were obtained by dropwise non-solvent addition to Protein quinoa aqueous solution under constant stirring until the solution became turbid. Ethanol or acetone was applied as desolvating agents, desolvation method was accomplished by sonication technique (400 Hz, 10 min, 25±5 °C). After the desolvation process, glutaraldehyde (8% V/V in water) was added to induce particle cross linking. The cross linking process was performed under stirring of the suspension over a time period of 24 h. The purpose of adding of glutaraldehyde achieve a higher reaction rate of Protein quinoa as a good enhanced due to use of tween-80 was added to block the non-reacted aldehyde functional group and stabilize the preparation. Large aggregates were eliminated by centrifuge at 6,000 rpm for 20 min. The particle size and size distribution of Protein quinoa nanoparticles. The percentage of protein in the supernatant was higher than 95% to remove ethanol, which was then replaced with same volume of deionized water. The resultant dispersion, containing less than 10% ethanol, was centrifuged at 6,000 g for 20 min to remove large aggregates. The percentage of protein in the supernatant was higher than 95%. The film forming solutions of 20 g were cast on plate. These were dried at 50±1°C for 24 h.

Preparation of quinoa starch extract nanoparticles

Extraction of quinoa starch: Quinoa grains (1 kg) were first washed at least four times in an excess of deionized water in order to remove the saponins which are totally soluble in water. The seeds were then steeped in deionized water at 3°C (1 kg seed/2 L deionized water) for 8 h. The softened grain suspension was then milled in a kitchen blender and the resulting slurry screened and washed through a series of sieves (80, 200 and 270 mesh) with deionized water. The sieving-washing procedure was repeated five times until there was no further starch-like color associated with the material retained on the sieves. The spent material retained on the sieves then being discarded. The material that passed through the sieves was centrifuged (600g, 20 min, 4°C), and the upper layer containing the protein and fine fiber found on top of the sediment, was removed. The remaining starch cake was resuspended in deionized water, centrifuged, and the dark upper layer removed, repeating this process five times. The starch collected at the end of this process was suspended in aqueous 0.20%/ (w/w) NaOH at an alkaline pH value of 10.5, and gently stirred for 5 min at 15°C to avoid any rise in temperature during this process. The suspension was then centrifuged, resuspended in deionized water and neutralized carefully pH7 by adding 0.1 M HCl. After centrifugation, the starch cake was resuspended in deionized water, centrifuged and removed (five times) in order to remove any traces of the mucilage layer (residual protein material) in the upper layer and any ionic components of the NaCl resulting from the neutralization process. Dehydrated in an air dryer at 50°C followed by grinding brown into fine powder. The preparation of quinoa starch nanocrystals by sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) hydrolysis of native quinoa starch. Briefly, 36.725 g of native quinoa starch granules was mixed with 250 mL of 3.16 M (H_2SO_4) for 5 days at 40°C with a stirring speed of 100 rpm. The suspension was washed by successive centrifugations with distilled water until achieving neutrality and then dried at 50±1°C to obtain the starch nanocrystals. Starch nano particles were prepared using the method described by Sun et al., with some modifications. Quinoa starch (20% w/v) in pH 5.0 buffer solutions was cooked in boiling water and stirred vigorously for 30 min. After an 8 h incubation period, the hydrolysates was centrifuged (3000 g, 5 min). The sediment was discarded and the supernatant was heated to 100°C for 10 min, after which the supernatant was cooled to room temperature. The solution was stored at 4°C for 8 h to self-assembly of the short-amyllose. The suspensions were washed several times with distilled water until neutrality and then freeze dried to obtain starch nanoparticles according to Suisui et al.,

Extraction of phenolic compounds from Luria Leaves and pomegranate peels: The air-dried ground (80 mesh) plant material (20 g for each sample) was extracted with each of the solvents ethanol (ethanol: water, 80:20 v/v) and aqueous methanol (methanol: water, 80:20 v/v) (200 ml) for 6 hours at room temperature in an orbital shaker in a water bath in separate experiments. The extracts were separated from the residues by filtering through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The residues were extracted twice with the same fresh solvent and extracts combined. The combined extracts were concentrated and freed of solvent under reduced pressure at 45°C, using a rotary evaporator. The dried crude concentrated extracts were weighed to calculate the yield and stored in a refrigerator (-4°C) according to Bushra et al. In this part of investigation, we used crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants are prepared by adding (0.5 to 4.5%) acetic acid/glacial adhesion the described film formation solution mentioned above was modified. Acetic acid gives effect to total extracted as the highest antioxidant activity, which were acidified with 1-5% acetic acid. Maceration was conducted for 1 h at ambient temperature in a dark place according to Niy Melkar Saptarini et al., Olafsson, et al., and Nelson et al.,

Preparation of edible films from quinoa protein and starch nanoparticles

Preparation of quinoa protein films: A suspension was produced quinoa protein (6.7 g protein/100 g film-forming solution); cross linking agent (0.8 g glutaraldehyde), and plasticizer (5.2 mL of glycerol). Then the addition of 5% previously prepared extracts from crude phenolic compounds extract (as antioxidants). The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 10 and stirring was continued for 1 h. The resulting blend was filtered under vacuum. The blend (50 mL) was cast on a horizontal surface in plate, measuring (~20×20 cm). The films were dried to constant weight at 50°C the dried films were peeled carefully from the plate.

Preparation of quinoa starch films nanoparticles: Quinoa starch powder (4 g/100 ml total film solution) was dispersed in deionized water at room temperature and stirred for at least 1 h on a magnetic stirrer. The pH values (9.7-11.3) was then adjusted to using 1 N NaOH solution, starch granule disruption during the gelatinization process. Then the addition of 5% previously prepared extracts from crude phenolic compounds extract (as antioxidants). After adjusting the pH, magnetic stirring was continued for at least one more hour, and the dispersion then heated to 50°C, and maintained at this temperature.
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for a further 45 min, with gentle magnetic stirring. The quinoa starch dispersion was gelatinized at 97°C for 30 min with constant stirring in a water bath. Then, glycerol was then added 6.3 g and stirring continued for another 15 min in order to completely homogenize the solution. The solution was then poured evenly onto plates (20×20×2 cm). The films were dehydrated in an oven with air renewal and circulation and controlled temperature 50°C. According to Patricia Araujo-Farro et al.,

The substances used in this experiment edible films were divided into six groups and for the modification of matrix composition of studied edible films

In this trial, the described film formation solution mentioned above was modified by adding and divided into six equal parts: One part (A) was film-forming based quinoa protein nanoparticles only glutaraldehyde and glycerol, second part (B) was film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants) luria leaves/acetic acid glacial, third part (C) was film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants) pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial, fourth part (D) was film-forming basedquinoa starch nano particles only glycerol, five part (E) was film-forming quinoa starch nano particles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants) pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial, and the six part (F) was film-forming quinoa starch nano particles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts (as antioxidants) pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.

Physical and mechanical and Rheological properties from quinoa protein and starch nano particles to produce edible films

i. Rheological measurements: Rheological parameters (shear rate and shear stress) of the selected edible natural films were measured using a Brookfield Engineering labs DV-III Rheometer at different temperature (20,50 and 80°C) of selected the most suitable solutions. The samples were placed in a small sample adapter and a constant temperature water bath was used to maintain the desired temperature. The viscometer was operated between 10 and 60 rpm. The sc4-25 spindle was selected for the measurement.


iv. Film thickness: The thickness of the prepared edible natural films from quinoa protein and starch nano particles(A,B,C,D,E, and F) was measured using a digital micromter (mitutoyo digimatic indicator corporation, model: pk-1012 E, Japan). Film strips were placed between the micrometer jaws and gap and was slowly reduced until the first contact was noted. 24

v. Loss of film weight in water: The films nanoparticles at different treatments (A,B,C,D,E, and F) were first dried in a desicator containing dry calcium chlorides. Dry film sample of 500mg were immersed in beakers containing 50ml of distilled water at room temperature during 24h with periodical gentle shaker incubator. Films were removed from the water and placed back in the desicator until constant weight. Loss weight in water was reported as a percentage of weight loss in water on dry film basis as follow: % weight loss = initial dry weight -final dry weight ×100/ initial dry weight according to Munoz et al., 27

vi. Mechanical properties of edible film from quinoa protein and starch nano particles: Measurement of treated (A,B,C,D,E, and F) nanoparticles films, the tensile properties (Tensile strength, elongation) were measured by a texture analyzer CT3. The films nanoparticles at different treatments (A,B,C,D,E, and F) were cut into strips 3×5cm. These were gripped at each end by a jaw and then the jaws were moved a part at the controlled speed until and young’s modulus was automatically recorded according Hernandez et al., 28

vii. Measurements of Water vapor permeability (WVP): The water vapor transmission rate (g/(s.m²)) and water vapor permeability through films was determined gravimetrically using the ASTM Method E96-95. A circular test cup was used to determine the WVP of the films nanoparticles at different treatments (A,B,C,D,E, and F). The film was first cut into circular shape that was larger than the inner diameter of the cup, the cup was filled with 50% distilled water and the film was sealed at the top using Paraffin oil, then the cups were placed in a desicator containing calcium chloride. The weights of the cups were recorded every hour during 10 hours and specimens of each film were tested. Linear regression was used to estimate the slope of this line in g/h. The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) and water vapor permeability was determined using the following: WVP=WPR=WVR/ LΔRH/Δt

Where, \( \Delta m/\Delta t \) is the moisture gain weight per time (g/s), A is the surface area of the film m², L is the film thickness (nm) and \( \Delta RH \) is the difference in relative humidity. (ASTM E96-95).

viii. Measurement of gas Permeability

Gas (O2 and CO2) permeability at 30°C was measured in a designed stainless cell using a gas testing instrument, model Witt Oxybaby headspace gas analyser (O2/CO2) following the method described by Garcia et al. 29 The gas permeability (P) was calculated according to the following equation:

\[ P = Q \times A / t \times \Delta p \]

Where, P is the permeability of gas, (m³/m. day. mmHg), Q is the quantity of gas diffused m³, X is the thickness of film, A area of the film, m², t is the time, day and Δp is the pressure difference across the films nanoparticles at different treatments (A,B,C,D,E, and F).

Results and discussion

Rheological properties of edible film from quinoa protein and starch nano particles

Rheological properties (viscosity, shear stress) of samples treatments (A,B,C,D,E, and F) were measured at different temperatures (20,50 and 80°C) and different shear rates (9.30,18,60,27.90, 37.20, 46.50, 55.80, 65.10, 74.40, 83.70, 931/s). Figure 1 Shows as to choose the best most suitable solutions prepared edible natural films from quinoa protein and starch nanoparticles were shown in Table 1 and Figures 1-6. The results show that the forming solution exhibits trend of non-Newtonian pseudoplastic behavior at different treatment (A,B,C,D,E, and F) and fits the power low equation \( \tau=k\gamma^n \) (1) Where: \( \tau \): shear stress, Pa \( \gamma \): shear rate 1/sec, k: consistency index, n: flow behavior index. The results observed that apparent viscosity decrea-
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sed as shear rates increased at different temperatures (20, 50 and 80°C) and Figures (1a, 2b, 3c, 4d, 5e & 6f), the results observed that sample A exhibited non-Newtonian pseudoplastic behavior as previously discussed by Suisui et al., who found that the apparent viscosity increased with increasing concentrations of starch nano particles. The dispersibility of the nano-scale starch particles in aqueous solution is reflected by the rheological properties, which are important for their application both when they are used alone and when they are part of a mixture. The viscosity values of starch nanoparticles suspensions containing different concentrations the aqueous suspensions of starch nano particles exhibited shear-thinning behavior, indicating that they were non-Newtonian fluids. All samples B, C, D, E and F exhibited the same trend as sample A, as previously discussed by Wittmar et al., who stated that nanoparticle was strongly affected by ionic liquid.

**Figure 1a** Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment (a) at different temperatures.

**Figure 1A** Effect of shear rate–shear stress of treatment (A) at different temperatures.

**Figure 2b** Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment (b) at different temperatures.

**Figure 2B** Effect of shear rate – shear stress of treatment (b) at different temperatures.

**Figure 3c** Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment (c) at different temperatures.

**Figure 3C** Effect of shear rate – shear stress of treatment (c) at different temperatures.

**Figure 4d** Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment (d) at different temperatures.

**Figure 4D** Effect of shear rate – shear stress of treatment (d) at different temperatures.

**Figure 5e** Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment at different temperatures.

**Figure 5E** Effect of shear rate – shear stress of treatment at different temperatures.
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The viscosity of the starch dispersion increased at around 80°C. The influence of hydrocolloids (media, distilled water) on the viscosity of starch dispersions during heating, a mixture of starch of various ingredients or elements varied depending on the particular hydrocolloid added. In Table 1 shows the power low para meters $k$, $n$ the results observed that consistency index $k$ for sample A and C,E and D increase with increasing temperature while samples F,B didn’t give a good trend with increasing temperature. Flow behavior index $n$ increased with increasing temperature for sample A and D, where as decreased for sample B and give no trend for samples C,E and F. When shear force, associated with temperature, was applied, the particles would undergo rearrangement among themselves in a direction parallel to the shear force. The particle-particle interaction is disrupted as particles tend to undergo vibration at higher temperature. Also, at higher temperature, many big particles would break into smaller particles Keshani et al.11 When an aqueous starch dispersion is heated to its gelatinization temperature, the starch granule swells irreversibly according to Hua et al.32 While, shows a representative flow curve shear stress increased with increasing shear rate at different temperatures 25 and 70°C, and the extend of downward bending curvature decreased as the concentration of blend suspensions was decreased. Apparent viscosity decreased with increase of $k$ at each level of concentration,13,14 The results indicated that the behavior of the liquid solution and the type and size of particles, as well as the presence of ionized substances and electrolytes. The decrease in viscosity can be attributed to the increase in intermolecular distances, because of the thermal expansion caused by the increase in temperature. The viscosity decreased with an increase in temperature up to 50°C; however, at 60°C, an increase tendency in viscosity was found. This increase tendency is due to a possible starch gelatinization in the whole araca pulp.35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>50°C</th>
<th>80°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>0.5293</td>
<td>0.9881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.9962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.5995</td>
<td>0.9744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>0.6211</td>
<td>0.9575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>0.7679</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0742</td>
<td>0.6924</td>
<td>0.9884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A= Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. C= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/ acetic acid glacial D= Film-forming based quinoa starch, glycerol. E= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. F= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/ acetic acid glacial.

Figure 5E Effect of shear rate – shear stress of treatment (e) at different temperatures.

Figure 6f Effect of shear rate on apparent viscosity of treatment (f) at different temperatures.

Table 1 Relation between consistency index ($k$) and flow behavior index($n$) at different temperature (20, 50 and 80°C) properties from quinoa protein and starch nanoparticles to produce edible natural films.
Determination of partial size distribution and Zeta potential produced films and X-R diffraction (XRD) films of quinoa protein and starch nano particles on based film and solution formed from it:

**Partial size distribution:** The results obtained are present in Table 2 and Figure 7 shows the quinoa protein and starch nano particles the properties of the dispersion of film and solution evaluated based on the change in size (z-average) and the z-potential of the nanoparticles relative to the films of protein and starch nano particles on based film and solution formed sample, poly dispersity index (Pdi) in the peak was 0.397, 0.649, 0.549, 0.789, 0.578 and 0.527 for A, B, C, D, E and F respectively as well as hydrodynamic diameter of partial size in the peak was 280.9, 55.61, 84.13, 156.8, 68.66 and 73.51 for A, B, C, D, E and F respectively. Starch was dissolved in an aqueous solution with the size of about 90–300nm, depending on the concentration, temperature and pH of aqueous solution. The results obtained are present in Table 2 & Figure 7, shows the quinoa protein and starch nano particles on the properties of the dispersion of film and solution evaluated based on the change in size (z-average) and the z-potential of the nanoparticles relative to the films of protein and starch nano particles on based film and solution formed sample, poly dispersity index (Pdi) in the peak was 0.397, 0.649, 0.549, 0.789, 0.578 and 0.527 for A, B, C, D, E and F respectively as well as hydrodynamic diameter of partial size in the peak was 280.9, 55.61, 84.13, 156.8, 68.66 and 73.51 for A, B, C, D, E and F respectively. Starch was dissolved in an aqueous solution with the size of about 90–300nm, depending on the concentration, temperature and pH of aqueous solution. Jong and Seung. Which similar to the findings of Jie Xiao et al., who found that nano materials with, chitosan, polysaccharides (maize starch) and proteins were 240, 360 and 90–340nm respectively. These results are in agreement with. Nelson et al., found that the suspensions containing starch nanocrystals range from 310,384 and 417nm. The starch nanoparticles were observed to be spherical in shape, with particle sizes primarily within the range of 200-300nm. The difference in shape can be attributed to the fact that the formation of the starch nanocrystals was different from that of the starch nanoparticles. The starch nanocrystals were prepared by sulfuric acid hydrolysis of the more amorphous phase of the native.

**Zeta potential**

From the Table 2 & Figure 8, the results showed that Zeta potential distribution and Zeta Deviation was measured to determine the stability of nano partial, it depends on the nano partial size of protein and starch, solution, pH and electrical properties. The results observed that samples A Zeta potential distribution (mV): 25.3 and Zeta Deviation (mV): 7.55, As found in the characterization of the two peak of zeta potential in the first peak 27.0(mV), the area below the curve was 89.8% and the second peak 7.96(mV), the area below the curve was 10.2%. However, found that the characterization of the one peak of zeta potential distribution and Zeta Deviation the area below the curve was 100% found that the contents of Zeta potential in the peak.
different treatments B, C, D, E and F had zeta potential of 16.3, 12.2, 14.9, 4.82 and 15.0 (mV) respectively, as well as the contents of zeta deviation in the peak different treatments B, C, D, E and F had zeta deviation 2.80, 5.85, 4.29, 14.4 and 18.7 respectively. Zeta potential gauges the electric charge at the boundary of a colloidal particle, and it is an important index for its surface charge. Zeta potential determines the electrostatic repulsion among them and is accountable for their stability against precipitation, and it is usually obtained by measuring and converting from the electrophoretic mobility of the particles. As recommended by Zi Teng et al. and American Society for Testing & Materials, zeta potentials with an absolute value of higher than 30 mV are indicative for “moderate to good” stability of colloidal systems (ASTM). The higher the zeta potential, the better the stability of a dispersion. A desirable zeta potential could be obtained by Teng et al., choosing highly charged polymers (e.g., protein or chitosan) as an encapsulant. On the other hand, when poorly charged materials (e.g., zein) are employed for encapsulation, a second layer of highly charged polymers may be introduced to improve the dispersion stability.

Structural characterization of produced films measured by X-R diffraction (XRD).

From the results in Table 2 & Figure 9 showed that the data were in the peak different treatments X-R diffraction (XRD) Position [$^\circ$2Theta] [%] pattern of samples A, B, C, D, E and F, the (XRD) below the typical Pattern -type peak at [$^\circ$2Theta] = 23.55, 59.93, 6.75, 39.66, 46.19 and 12.81 respectively while, the (XRD) above the peak at [$^\circ$2Theta] = 5.76, 21.61, 31.79, 20.22, 21.06 and 42.63 respectively. Some of the detected peaks, such as the treatments A, D the diffractogram exhibits four distinct diffraction of samples A the peak at [$^\circ$2 Theta] = 23.55, 18.0, 11.06 and 5.76 they matches of samples D, 39.66, 9.53, 6.48 and 20.22 of samples B exhibits five distinct diffraction the peak at [$^\circ$2Theta] = 59.93, 32.16, 30.91, 27.72 and 21.61 they matches the diffraction of samples C the peak at [$^\circ$2Theta] = 6.75, 9.39, 13.70, 20.08 23.28 and 31.79 and the samples E, F exhibits seven and six distinct diffraction the peak at [$^\circ$2Theta] = F, 12.81, 21.17, 23.23, 26.32, 31.17 and 42.63. The chitosan/quinoa proteins film exhibited sharp, well-defined peaks at [$^\circ$2Theta] (20.0 and 29.4) that are more intense than those observed in the chitosan film. The chitosan/quinoa proteins film also exhibited diffraction peaks at [$^\circ$2Theta] (35.9, 39.4, 43.2 and 47.5) suggesting intermolecular interactions between the quinoa proteins and chitosan Valenzuela et al., X-ray diffraction patterns of the complex particles are presented the particles yielded a type XRD pattern with two broad reflections at d-spacings 0.657 and 0.446 nm (13.08 and 19.78 $^\circ$2). However, the XRD diagram revealed that the crystallinity was only partial with an overlapping amorphous background. The starch nano-particles isolated by hydrolysis also exhibited a type XRD pattern with four sharp reflections at d-spacings 1.187, 0.685, 0.448 and 0.394 nm (7.44, 12.91, 19.79 and 22.54$^\circ$2). The XRD revealed that the a-amylolysis occurred mainly in the amorphous region of the complex, leaving the crystalline nanoparticles. Similar results were found by Jie Xiao et al., Suisui et al. These different peaks are associated with surface deposits in both types nano materials films.

Figure 8 A,B,C,D,E & F zeta potential of produced films

A = Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B = Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5 / 4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves / acetic acid glacial. C = Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels / acetic acid glacial. D = Film-forming based quinoa starch, glycerol. E = Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves / acetic acid glacial. F = Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels / acetic acid glacial.
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Figure 9 A, B, C, D, E & F X-R diffraction of produced films

A= Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial C= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial D= Film-forming based quinoa starch, glycerol. E= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. F= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.

Table 2 Measured particles size, zeta potential and X-R diffraction of quinoa protein and starch suspensions as affected by temperature and added antioxidants produced films based formed from it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Particle size distribution(nm)</th>
<th>Zeta potential(mv)</th>
<th>X-R diffraction(XRD) [°2Theta] [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly dispersity index (PdI)</td>
<td>Hydrodynamic diameter (nm)</td>
<td>Z- potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>280.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>55.61</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>84.13</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>156.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>68.66</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>73.51</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A= Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. C= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial. D= Film-forming based quinoa starch, glycerol. E= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. F= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.
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Microstructure of the produced edible films using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) technique

The microscopic images of six edible natural films from quinoa protein and starch nano particles are presented in figure (A,B,C,D,E, and F). The edible natural films give films characterised with smooth surface and rough bottom average size from 40 to 50 um polygonal crystals spherical morphology. Also contains films has homogeneous structure with some micro granules embedded in a continuous matrix. However, the addition of protein and starch nano particles produced films the characterised with smooth surface Figure 10. Also, addition of antioxidant gave the thickness of produced films increase the degree of creamy color. The best nano edible natural films treatment image characterization was B average droplet size range (40um) polygonal crystals morphology followed by E average droplet size range (40um) spherical followed by F average droplet size range (50um) polygonal and ellipsoidal, C average droplet size range (50um) spherical, D average droplet size range (50 um) ellipsoidal and polygonal and A image characterization average droplet size range (40um) polygonal and spherical morphology. When a section was taken to characterize the nanosphere the image was (B200nm) followed by (E250nm) followed by (B285nm), (C290nm), (D 310nm) and (A was 345nm). The preparation of spherical particles of uniform size (~200nm) has been found to be rather narrow, with several other kinds of polydisperse aggregates and anisotropic structures being formed above or below the optimum pH (~6) The partial size have a wide range of shapes and size according to Patricia et al., Franciele et al. As examined starch structure under scanning electron microscopy images depicted particles with diameter of 25–65 nm as noted that starch structure is composed of larger blocklets with size of 100–200nm separated by gaps according to Mehdi et al.

Figure 10 A,B,C,D&E & F SEM micrograph of produced Films

A= Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles withaddition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial C= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial D= Film-forming based quinoa starch,glycerol. E= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial F= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.

Physical and mechanical properties of prepared quinoa protein and starch nano particles to produce edible films

The obtained results are recorded in Table 3. It can be noticed that the A and D treatment, had the highest thickness value, 120 and 110um films prepared to produce edible natural films. The thickness of edible natural film B and E treatment was lower than C and F. From the results, it can be observed that the lowest value of tensile strength (95.23 and 80.34N.M.M²), elongation (40.10 and 38.75%), (Oxygen 5.54 and 7.15 M²/M² X 10⁻⁷), (CO₂ 11.32and 12.34 M²/M² X 10⁻⁸), water vapor permeability 3.67and 2.86 [g/m².24hr] and solubility (9.16 and 14.22%) was recorded for the treatment B and E. Also, A and D treatment showed higher tensile strength (24.26 and 50.00 N.M.M²), elongation (12.11 and 16.78%), (Oxygen 25.32 and 19.87 M²/M² X 10⁻³), (CO₂ 26.89 and 24.56 M²/M² X 10⁻³), Water vapors permeability [0.79 and 1.22][g/m².24hr] and solubility (25.00 and 22.32%). While the treatment C and F were found to be between the previous parameters. This finding attributed to the interact as strongly with nano composite films and starch or gelatin in the biopolymer film structure compared with water solubility of sago starch films, It was found that the solubility rate was of 17.40 23.12% while, Water vapor permeability 0.47-1.37g/m². 24hr According to Alebooyeh et al., Patricia et al. High film elongation is always a desirable characteristic if the film is to be used for food applications Chen et al. Similar results were reported by Franciele et al. All main factors significantly affected the mechanical of the film as follows: a) the chitosan film had an 18% higher elongation than the chitosan/quinoa protein film) and In addition to incorporating the nanoparticles with the thymol, this reduced the chitosan film.
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### Table 3 The thickness, mechanical properties and permeability of quinoa protein and starch nano particles to produce edible films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Thickness (Um)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (N/M2)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Oxygen (O2) Permeability $M^2.M^{3}/10^4$ day. mmHg</th>
<th>CO2 Permeability $M^2.M^{3}/10^4$ day-mmHg</th>
<th>Water vapors Permeability $g/M^2.24hr$</th>
<th>% Solubility' loss in weight after dipping in water and drying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>24.26</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>26.89</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>95.23</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>65.23</td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.78</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>24.56</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>22.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80.34</td>
<td>38.75</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>72.33</td>
<td>26.43</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>16.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A= Film-forming based quinoa protein, glutaraldehyde, glycerol. B= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. C= Film-forming quinoa protein nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial. D= Film-forming based quinoa starch, glycerol. E= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves/acetic acid glacial. F= Film-forming quinoa starch nanoparticles with addition of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.

### Conclusion

Quinoa protein and starch nano particles-based coating and films supplemented with (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extract (as antioxidants) luria leaves or pomegranate Peel/acetic acid glacial were selected to the rheological, mechanical properties, permeability, particle size distribution, zeta potential, emulsion, X-R diffraction(XRD) films and scanning electron microscopy. The best samples treatment were B(55.61nm), E (68.66nm), F(73.51nm) and C(84.13nm) film-forming quinoa protein and starch nano particles with the incorporation of (0.5/4.5%) crude phenolic compounds extracts luria leaves or pomegranate Peels/acetic acid glacial.
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