

Isolation and identification of bacteria from exposed and sliced *Allium cepa* (onions)

Abstract

Background and objective: Onions (*Allium cepa*) are the one of the most important commercial condiments grown and consumed globally. They, are perennials that can be used as a medicine and food. Onions are effective against common cold, heart disease, diabetes, osteoporosis, coughs, and sore throat. It is also rich in proteins, carbohydrates, sodium, potassium, and phosphorus. During storage, some losses occur due to sprouting, drying and rotting that can be caused by some microorganisms particularly fungi. The black mould disease caused by *Aspergillus niger* is an issue of severe concern in onion production worldwide. This study was aimed at isolating and identifying bacteria on sliced onions (*Allium cepa*) exposed to air for three days.

Materials and methods: Three Onion samples were collected from the General Market Tombia, Yenagoa, Bayelsa. The respective onion samples were aseptically peeled, sliced and exposed one hour, after which, 1g of onion was weighed and the extract was serially diluted. Following serial dilution, it was inoculated on nutrient agar and MacConkey agar separately and incubated at 37°C for the next 24 hours. The same protocol was followed after peeling, slicing an exposing the onions for 48hrs and 72hrs. Gram staining and biochemical tests (catalase test, coagulase test, blood agar, mannitol salt agar, and bile solubility test) was carried out for each isolates for proper identification.

Results: Sixteen bacterial isolates were obtained out of which streptococci was found to be the dominant species. Gram staining and biochemical tests have further revealed the hidden insights for cohesive identification. The study confirmed the ten(10) isolates of Coagulase Negative Streptococci that accounted to (63%), four (4) isolates *Staphylococcus aureus* accounting to (25%), one (1) isolate of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* accounting to (6%). All were gram positive; 12 were gram positive cocci and 4 was gram positive bacilli. This could be because gram-positive bacteria are more tolerant to dry conditions than gram-negative bacteria, whose growth could be reduced when a surface is drying. The highest total colony count after 24, 48 and 72 hours incubation 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml, 3.22×10^{10} cfu/ml and 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml respectively.

Conclusion: Findings from this study revealed that some pathogenic gram positive bacteria-can be isolated from onions when peeled and left exposed on kitchen cabinets or other containers. Thus the practice of slicing, onions to air and keeping for days before usage is not hygienic and should be avoided.

Keywords: *Allium cepa*, coagulase negative streptococci, *Staphylococcus aureus*, gram positive

Volume 10 Issue 6 - 2022

Arikekpar Ibemologi, Alade Tolulope

Department of Medical Laboratory science, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Niger Delta University, Nigeria

Correspondence: Arikekpar Ibemologi, Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria, Email arik20002000@yahoo.com

Received: February 08, 2022 | Published: December 22, 2016

Introduction

Onion is one of the oldest cultivated crops and considered as a food of exceptional value for flavoring and seasoning. The green stems and leaves are hollow and can reach 3 ft. (1m) in height. The plants bear small flowers that are usually white or purple. The leaves of the plant are long, linear, hollow, and cylindrical. Thickening of the leaf bases forms a bulb, when the plant reaches a certain stage of growth.¹

Onions are effective against common cold, heart disease, diabetes, osteoporosis, coughs, and sore throat. It is rich in proteins, carbohydrates, sodium, potassium, and phosphorus. It is among the oldest cultivated plants used both as a food and medicine.^{2,3} Onions are rich in an ample variety of secondary metabolites such as terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins, and alkaloids which are known to exhibit antimicrobial activities.⁴

Chemicals have been traditionally used to protect food from pathogens and spoilage organisms, but in recent times there has been an increase in consumer interest in developing onion which contain a low level or free of chemical preservatives.⁵ Bulb rots

which is a common cause of onion loss during storage are caused by microorganisms particularly fungi. The black mould disease caused by *Aspergillus Niger* is a limiting factor in onion production worldwide.⁶ *Aspergillus Niger* can survive on onion crops as a soil saprophyte or on bulbs in field or storage and is ubiquitous in nature. It invades the bulbs of onions in field or during storage due to the production of various enzymes or toxins.⁷ *Aspergillus Niger* infection in onion accounts cause 30-80% loss or spoilage of onion bulbs.⁸

Most vegetables are consumed raw to retain the natural taste and heat labile nutrients. However, these raw vegetables shown to harbor pathogenic bacteria, which is of a serious health concern.^{9,10} Consumption of raw vegetables and fruits without washing increases the risk of disease transmission.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Vegetables like cabbage can become contaminated with pathogenic micro-organisms whilst growing in fields, or during harvesting, postharvest handling, processing and distribution or even at home.¹⁵⁻¹⁸

Contamination may be during handling, transportation, storage or due to unhealthy surroundings. Fresh vegetables with huge loads of microbial contaminants may begin to spoil if not sold. However,

adequate cleaning processes can reduce the microbial load.¹⁹ Several studies have revealed the role of microorganisms in spoiling fruits and vegetables which could be due to poor pre-harvest and post-harvest practices.²⁰ Irrigating with poor quality water and the use of raw animal manure as fertilizer can increase the possibilities of the vegetables spoilage. Some common isolates includes *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* have been found on various produce including lettuce, cabbage and tomatoes, while *Pseudomonas sp.*, *Staphylococcus sp.* and *Erwinia sp.*, were identified on onions.²¹

Materials and methods

Study area

This study was carried out in Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Amassoma, Bayelsa state.

Sample collection

Three Onion samples were collected from the General Market Tombia, Yenagoa, Bayelsa state. The collected samples include: one white onion and two purple onions.

Sample isolation of bacteria

The respective Onion samples were peeled, sliced and exposed aseptically for one hour, after which, 1g of the onion was weighed and transferred into 10ml of distilled water and mixed. A serial dilution was done to obtain up to 1 in 10 dilution (10^{-10}), then 0.1ml of 10^{-7} , 10^{-8} , 10^{-9} dilutions were inoculated on a nutrient agar and MacConkey agar separately and with the aid of spreader; to obtain an even distribution of the microorganisms on the agar plates, incubated at 37°C for the next 24 hours. The same protocol was followed after peeling, slicing an exposing the onions to air for 48hrs and 72hrs.

Identification of bacteria

Colonial morphology, gram staining and biochemical test

After 24hours incubation, the colonies were identified based on their morphological appearance on the plate. The selected colonies were then sub-cultured onto a nutrient agar and MacConkey agar plates and nutrient agar slants, slope, followed by Gram staining.²²

Biochemical tests such as catalase test, coagulase and bile solubility test was carried out for each isolates for proper identification. Isolates were also cultured on blood agar (and incubated at 37°C for 24hours, anaerobically) and mannitol salt agar to aid in the identification.²²

Blood agar was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions aseptically. The isolates were streaked with a sterile wire loop on the blood agar and incubated at 37°C for 24hours, anaerobically.

Table 3 Biochemical test reaction of Isolates

| Isolate | Catalase | Coagulase | Blood agar | Mannitol Salt Agar | Bile Solubility Test | Result |
|---------|----------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| A1 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| A2 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| A3 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| A4 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| A5 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| A6 | - | - | Alpha haemolysis | No growth | - | <i>S. pyogenes</i> |
| A7 | + | + | Beta haemolysis | No growth | + | <i>S. pneumoniae</i> |
| B8 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |

Results

Table 1 shows the colonial morphology of the isolates on nutrient agar and MacConkey agar and the gram reactions. Out of the 16 isolates, all were gram positive; 12 were gram positive cocci and 4 was gram positive bacilli. The isolates colony colour and size (mm) were noted for the colonial morphology.

Table 2 shows the total colony counts and their varying dilution factors. The total colony count from Plate A (1.0E-09 dilution) was 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml, (1.0E-08 dilution) had 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml, and (1.0E-07 dilution) had 3.64×10^{10} cfu/ml. while Plate B (1.0E-09 dilution) had 2.22×10^{10} cfu/ml, (1.0E-08 dilution) had 2.78×10^{10} cfu/ml, and (1.0E-07dilution) had 3.22×10^{10} cfu/ml. Plate C (1.0E-09 dilution) had 4.00×10^{10} cfu/ml, (1.0E-8 dilution) had 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml, and (1.0E-07 dilution) had 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml.

Table 1 Gram staining reaction and colony colour/size

| Isolate | Gram reaction | Colony colour | Size of colony |
|---------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| A1 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.5 |
| A2 | Gram positive cocci | Light pink | 0.25 |
| A3 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.35 |
| A4 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.05 |
| A5 | Gram positive cocci | Pink | 0.2 |
| A6 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.15 |
| A7 | Gram positive bacilli | Cream | 0.5 |
| B8 | Gram positive cocci | Light pink | 0.2 |
| B9 | Gram positive bacilli | Cream | 0.25 |
| B10 | Gram positive bacilli | Cream | 0.2 |
| B11 | Gram positive cocci | Light pink | 0.2 |
| B12 | Gram positive bacilli | Cream | 0.2 |
| C13 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.2 |
| C14 | Gram positive cocci | Pink | 0.1 |
| C15 | Gram positive cocci | Cream | 0.1 |
| C16 | Gram positive cocci | Pink | 0.2 |

KEY: A, B and C indicate isolate incubated for 24, 48 and 72hrs respectively.

Table 2 Total colony count

| Nutrient agar plate | Dilution factor | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 1.0E-09 | 1.0E-08 | 1.0E-07 |
| A | 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml | 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml | 3.64×10^{10} cfu/ml |
| B | 2.22×10^{10} cfu/ml | 2.78×10^{10} cfu/ml | 3.22×10^{10} cfu/ml |
| C | 4.00×10^{10} cfu/ml | 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml | 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml |

KEY: A, B and C indicates incubation for 24, 48 and 72hrs respectively.

Table 3 shows the biochemical test reaction of each isolates using catalase test, coagulase test, blood agar, mannitol salt agar, and bile solubility test to identify the various isolates. Isolates A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, B8, B9, B11, C14 and C16 are suspected to be Coagulase negative staphylococci. Isolates B10, B12, C13 and C15 are suspected to be *Staphylococcus aureus*, while isolates A6 and A7 are suspected to be *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* respectively.

Table Continued...

| Isolate | Catalase | Coagulase | Blood agar | Mannitol | Salt Agar | Bile Solubility Test | Result |
|---------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| B9 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| B10 | + | + | Beta haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | <i>S. aureus</i> |
| B11 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| B12 | + | + | Beta haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | <i>S. aureus</i> |
| C13 | + | + | Beta haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | <i>S. aureus</i> |
| C14 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |
| C15 | + | + | Beta haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | <i>S. aureus</i> |
| C16 | + | - | No haemolysis | Growth | | N/A | Coagulase Negative Staph |

KEY: +, positive; -, Negative; *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*; *S. pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*; *S. pyogenes*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*; N/A, not applicable; coagulase negative staphylococcus

Discussion

A total of 16 isolates were isolated and identified from the exposed and sliced onion samples on the nutrient and MacConkey and all the isolates were found to be gram positive; organisms. 12 were gram positive cocci and 4 were gram positive bacilli. The suspected bacterial isolates were ten (10) Coagulase Negative Streptococci (63%), four (4) *Staphylococcus aureus* (25%), one (1) *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (6%), and one (1) *Streptococcus pyogenes* (6%). In a related study by Obajuluwa et al.²³ more gram negative organisms were isolated, out of a total of 46 bacteria isolates which disclosed the following bacterial isolate: *Staphylococcus aureus* (41.3%), *Escherichia coli* (28.3%), *Salmonella spp* (19.6%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (8.7%) and *Serratia spp* (2.2%). According to Kayode et al.²⁴ gram positive bacteria isolates from selected vegetables were similar to this present study where all isolates were gram positive; and the bacterial isolates from cabbage include; *Brevibacillus laterosporus*, and *Lactobacillus sp*. Isolates from lettuce include; *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus lentus*, and *Staphylococcus condimentii*. While the isolates from cucumber were found to be; *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Streptococcus faecalis*. The highest total colony count after 24, 48 and 72 hours incubation was 1.24×10^{10} cfu/ml, 3.22×10^{10} cfu/ml and 3.31×10^{11} cfu/ml respectively. In a study on Food-borne outbreaks in Brazil associated with fruits and vegetables, *S. aureus* was responsible for 7 outbreaks of food poisoning (7 outbreaks), *E. coli* (3 outbreaks), *B. cereus* (2 outbreaks), and thermotolerant coliforms (1 outbreaks) (Elias et al.²⁵ The CDC in the United States of America estimated that, Staphylococcal food poisoning caused >240,000 illnesses leading to >1000 hospitalizations and six deaths per year. Coagulase negative Staphylococci are common colonizers of human skin and have been recurrently considered culture contaminants. They have also been detected as contaminants of food products, such as ready-to-eat foods of animal origin, retailing raw chicken meat, and in bulk tank milk or minced meat. Some enterotoxin-producing CoNS strains have been isolated from cases of Staphylococcal food poisoning but with low frequency according to Franca et al.²⁶

A 2011 report by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that 11,217 cases of foodborne Streptococcal illness occur annually in the United States, and food handlers are thought to be a major source of food contamination with Streptococcus Group A as posited by Food and Drug Administration.²⁷ Gram-positive bacteria are more tolerant to dry conditions than gram-negative bacteria, whose growth could be reduced when a surface is drying.²⁸

Conclusion

Findings from this study have validated the potentiality of pathogenic bacteria causing unwanted manifestations in sliced and exposed onions, which could lead to bacterial food poisoning as a result of inadequate handling or storage of onions. After ingestion of

enterotoxins from *Staphylococcus*, a disease called staphylococcal food poisoning (SFP) can occur, due to this usually happens due to improper handling or storage of staphylococcal contaminated foodstuff, such as meats, salads, creams, and dairy products.

After an incubation period of 6–10 hours, headache, nausea, abdominal cramps, vomiting, general weakness and prostration, dizziness and chills, and diarrhea or dysentery can occur.

Foods that have been associated with Streptococcus A contamination include milk (both pasteurized and unpasteurized), ice cream, cream, eggs, cooked seafood, ground ham, potato salad, egg salad, custard, rice pudding, and shrimp salad. In almost all cases, the foods were allowed to stand at room temperature for several hours between the time of preparation and the time of consumption.

Several findings have reiterated that bacteria could be isolated from vegetables due to poor handling and storage. Thus, the slicing and exposing of onions for hours should not be encouraged, because pathogenic bacteria capable of eliciting bacterial food poisoning can be isolated from such onions.

Acknowledgments

We wish to wholeheartedly express our profound gratitude to the Head of Department for availing us the opportunity to make use of the Laboratory.

Competing interest

The authors declared no competing interest.

References

1. Farooqi AA, Kumar N. Recent progress in medicinal plants. *Ethanomedicine and Pharmacognosy*. 2003;11:7
2. Lampe J. Health effects of vegetables and fruits: assessing mechanisms of action in human experimental studies. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3):475–490.
3. Fathima F, Priya VV, Geetha RV. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of lavender oil against selected bacterial pathogens. *An in vitro study*. 2015;7(12):624–626.
4. Geetha RV, Priya VV. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of five root canal sealants against *Enterococcus faecalis*. An in vitro study. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Science Review Research*. 2016;40:221–223.
5. Xu HX, Lee SF. Activity of plant flavonoids against antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Phytotherapeutic Research*. 2001;15(1):39–43.
6. Raju K, Naik M K. Effect of pre-harvest spray of fungicides and botanicals on storage diseases on onion. *Indian Phyto-Pathology*. 2016;59(2):133–141.
7. Samuel O, Ifeanyi O. Fungi associated with the deterioration of post-harvest onion bulb sold in some markets in Awka, Nigeria. *Journal of Bioengineering and Bioscience*. 2015;3(5):90–94.

8. Federal Republic of Nigeria. *National population commission census*. The federal Government Printers: Nigeria; 2006. 1–64 p.
9. Tambekar DH, Mundhada RH. Bacteriological quality of salad vegetables sold in Amravati City (India). *J Biol Sci*. 2006;6(1):28–30.
10. Cardamone C, Aleo A, Mammina C, et al. Assessment of the microbiological quality of fresh produce on sale in Sicily, Italy: preliminary results. *J Biol Res*. 2015;22(1):3.
11. Nazemi S, Raei M, Amiri M, et al. Parasitic contamination of raw vegetables in Shahroud, Semnan, Zahedan. *J Res Med Sci*. 2012;14(8):84–86.
12. Ebrahimzadeh A, Jamshidi A, Mohammadi S. The parasitic contamination of raw vegetables consumed in Zahedan, Iran. *Health Scope*. 2013;1(4):205–209.
13. Izadi SH, Abedi S, Ahmadian S, et al. Study of the current parasitic contamination of the edible vegetables in Isfahan in order to identify preventive measures. *Sci J Kurdistan Univ Med Sci*. 2006;11:51–58.
14. Said D. Detection of parasites in commonly consumed raw vegetables. *Alexandria J Med*. 2012;48:345–52.
15. Eraky MA, Rashed SM, Nasr ME, et al. Parasitic contamination of commonly consumed fresh leafy vegetables in Benha, Egypt. *J Parasitol Res*. 2014;1–7.
16. Rahman J, Talukder AI, Hossain F, et al. Detection of cryptosporidium oocysts in commonly consumed fresh salad vegetables. *Am J Microbiol Res*. 2014;2(6):224–226.
17. Pagadala S, Marine SC, Micallef SA, et al. Assessment of region, farming system, irrigation source and sampling time as food safety risk factors for tomatoes. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2015;196:98–108.
18. Maffei DF, Alvarenga VO, Sant’Ana AS, et al. Assessing the effect of washing practices employed in Brazilian processing plants on the quality of ready-to-eat vegetables. *Food Sci Technol LEB*. 2016;69:474–481.
19. Schwab AH, Duran AP, Barnard RJ, et al. Microbial quality of some spices and herbs in retail markets. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1982;44(3):627–630.
20. Sperber WH, Doyle MP. *Compendium of the microbiological spoilage of foods and beverages*. Food Microbiology and Food Safety; 2009.
21. Dogondaji SD, Baba KM, Muhammad I, et al. Evaluation of onion storage losses and implication for food security in Sokoto Metropolis. *Bull Sci Assoc Nig*. 2005;26:10–14.
22. Cheesebrough M. *District Laboratory Practices in Tropical Countries*. 2nd edition: Cambridge University Press; 2006. 1-442 p.
23. Obajuluwa AF, Kefas A, Parom SK. Antibiotics susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from ready-to-eat vegetables Sold in Mohamadu Gumi market, Kaduna, Nigeria *Nig J Pharm Res*. 2020;16:131–137.
24. Kayode SJ, Adebayo OS, Babalola MO. Gram positive isolates from selected fresh vegetables in Ondo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Research*. 2018;10(02):65296-65302.
25. Elias SO, Decol LT, Tondo EC. Foodborne outbreaks in Brazil associated with fruits and vegetables: 2008 through 2014. *Food Quality and Safety*. 2018;2:173–181.
26. França A, Gaio V, Lopes N, et al. Virulence factors in coagulase-negative Staphylococci. *Pathogens*. 2021;10:170.
27. Food and drug administration. Bad Bug Book. *Foodborne Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins*. Second Edition: 2012; 97–98 p.
28. Moretro T, Heir E, Mo KR, et al. Factors affecting survival of shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* on abiotic surfaces. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2010;138:71–77.