Chronic hepatitis c in PWIDS, treat or not to treat

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus has been one of the most potential pathogens that have hindered the medical community all over the world. The data reported by WHO estimated that the prevalence of HCV infection is 2.2%, and more than one million new cases were reported annually. Furthermore, an estimated 27% of cirrhosis and 25% of hepatocellular carcinomas (HCC) worldwide occur in HCV-infected people. Such infection increases tremendously among the developing countries particularly at those categories that were considered to be at a potential risk of acquiring hepatitis C virus. As the world health organization has put a goal of elimination of HCV and as a global project, it should focus not only on prevention, but also in treating existing patients with a special care for the high transmitter group as prisoners and people who inject drugs. Recently, there was a debate concerning treating those patients or no for the assumption that they do not respond well and the high re-infection rate.

Prevalence

If we look at the estimated prevalence of HCV among PWIDs worldwide, we can notice that this patient population constitutes the major percentage of the HCV patient ranging between 40%-80% in 49 countries and reaching more than 80% in 12 countries.¹

Efficacy

Unlike the common concept that PWIDs respond less than general population to anti-viral treatment, retrospective analysis of ION 1,2 and 3 trials of patients on stable substitution replacement therapy (OST) versus non OST participants who were treated with combination of Sofosbuvir with Ledipasvir plus or minus Ribavirin, showed No differences between OST and non-OST participants with overall SVR12 (94% vs 97%, p=0.29), adherence to LDV/SOF ≥80% (94% vs 96%, p=0.33) and Proportion with AEs (89% vs 80%, p=0.07). No cases of HCV re-infection were observed up to SVR24.³ Other Phase 2, open-label, single arm study of OMV/PTV/RTV+DSV for 12weeks in GT1 adult patients on stable OST with methadone or buprenorphine (N=38) showed SVR 97% which is almost similar SVR achieved with general population.⁴ Another phase 3, randomised, double-blind study in PWID on OST with GT1, 4 or 6 using Grazoprevir/Elbasvir for 12weeks showed over all SVR for all genotypes 92%.⁵

Adherence

In a Phase 3, randomised, double-blind study in PWID on OST with GT 1, 4 or 6 using ELB/GRZ for 12weeks in patients on OST-C-EDGE CO-STAR showed that 99%(199/201) patients completed 12weeks of treatment with grazoprevir/Elbasvir, majority of patients (97%) missed 3doses or fewer. Around 60% patients tested positive for illicit drug use during the study.¹

Re-infection

Re-infection rates are low among PWIDs (‘ever’ injectors) with Pooled estimate of HCV re-infection risk for PWIDs 2.4(95% CI 0.9-6.1) per 100person-years.⁶

i. Re-infection rates among PWIDs may be higher
ii. In communities with high HCV prevalence⁷
iii. For young PWIDs⁸
iv. For active injection drug users.⁸

In a Meta-analysis of 66 studies in 11,071 patients done to evaluate the Risk of HCV re-infection in low and high risk groups and HIV/ HCV co-infection showed that in 43 studies evaluating patients with low risk to have recurrence rate per 100 patients per year to be 0.23, while in 16 studies evaluating those with High risk (PWID/prisoners) have recurrence rate of 2.8 per 100 patient per year while those who are co-infected with HIV/HCV were found to have recurrence rate of 4.78 per 100 patient per year in 7 studies.³ One other point from the personal experience in dealing with this patient population, treating them and giving them the chance to clear the virus and save their livers can motivate some of them to consider quitting drug injection and make them feel that they are being treated like general population without discrimination.

Conclusion

Patients with chronic hepatitis C who are active drug injectors or with history of intravenous drug abuse do respond well to anti-viral therapy with comparable cure rates to general population and similar rate of adherence to treatment with low re-infection rate. As PWIDs constitute most of chronic hepatitis C patients in many countries worldwide, treatment of this patient population is a priority to achieve the goal of HCV eradication and to cut the infection circle. In countries which find difficulty in implementation of needle exchange program due to social issues or any other cause, should adopt treatment as prevention strategy among PWIDs.
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