Production impact of parasitisms and coccidiosis in swine

Abstract

The internal and external parasites in swine might result in considerable economic losses. The external parasites, such as mites (sarcoptic mange), lice, flies, fleas and ticks, could have detrimental impact on production in either a direct or indirect way. The mange on average reduces the average daily gain (ADG) by 11% (1-29%) and deteriorates the feed conversion ratio (FCR) by 6% (2-10%) for fattening pigs. The house flies (Musca domestica) and stable flies (Stomoxys calcitranis) can cause 1.2-2.4% decrease in the ADG compared to pens with effective fly control. Some internal parasites, such as Ascaris suum, Trichuris suis and Oesophagostomum species, can also be found worldwide. In most cases the infestation has no clinical sings, thus remains unrecognizable, but the internal parasites deteriorate the production parameters in almost every production period, causing significant production losses. The Ascaris suum infection compared to an unininfected herd decreases the ADG by 2-9%, and deteriorates the FCR by 5-13% for fatteners, the trichuriosis by 6-33% and 3-33%, the strongylosis by 10-29% and 6-44%, the stephanuriosis by 25-69% and 3-24%, the hyostrongylosis by an average of 18% and 8%, respectively, and the oesophagostomosis by 6-13% each. In the slaughterhouse the condemnation of liver with “milk spots” due to ascariosis might also cause severe economic losses. For suckling pigs the effective prophylaxis against coccidiosis is of fundamental importance in order to prevent the reduced growth rate, and both the pre- and post-weaning digestive disorders due to secondary infections causing deteriorative performance, consequently financial costs. After a proper metaphylaxis the number of suckling piglets having diarrhoea can be diminished by 83-98%, that of mortalities by 53-63%, the curative antibiotics cost for digestive disorders by 85-90%, respectively, and ADG and FCR can also greatly improve in both the growing and fattening phase.
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Introduction

The herd health management is of importance in profitableness on swine farms, and their productivity can be improved with removal of pathogens. Surveys carried out in intensive swine units showed that the external and internal parasites in swine could have detrimental impact on production, thus, might result in considerable economic losses. Having assessed the production impact of parasitisms and coccidiosis in swine, the cost-benefit analysis of different antiparasitic treatments becomes possible.1,2

The most important harmful effects of the ectoparasitic infestations of swine are blood sucking, restlessness or decreased activity of the hosts, dermatitis, pruritus and the transmission of different pathogens, which ultimately result in decreased reproductive performance, reduced weight gain and feed conversion efficiency, and skin lesions at slaughter.3,10

The internal parasites of swine are still widespread, therefore every producer should be aware of their presence and the resulting losses. Several factors influence the amount of losses, of which the species of the endoparasites present, housing, management, feeding, geographical location and pig breed are the most important.6,14

Despite the mainly subclinical infections, the economic importance of endoparasites originates from several sources: reduced fertility of sows, reduced feed intake and daily weight gain, lower feed conversion efficiency, lower lean meat proportion of the carcass, significant increase of the condemnation of lungs and liver, and in the clinical forms, if accompanied by other diseases, the mortality rate may increase significantly, as well.1,3,10,13,15,20

Therefore, the aim of this study is to review the major external and internal parasites in swine (including coccidiosis) and to gain a broader understanding to their impact on production parameters, especially ADG, FCR and mortality. This included a synthesis of current literature on the subject.

Material and methods

The literature search covered published journal articles, technical books, textbooks, university lecture notes and reports and was performed using the following methods:

i. Online search; Ebsco Discovery Service (EDS), Google scholar and Google web were searched for papers with “swine” or “pig” and “parasites” or “parasitism” or “coccidiosis” and “impact” or “production” or “loss” or “economic” or “cost-benefit”.

ii. On-site literature search in the Ferenc Hujýra Library, Archives and Museum at the University of Veterinary Medicine Budapest was conducted with assistance of a librarian.

iii. Three experts in the field of swine parasitisms were asked to provide suitable publications.

iv. References of interest in indentified papers were reviewed.

v. Other relevant publications that the author was aware of were included.

Articles written in English or German or Hungarian were included.

References of interest in indentified papers were reviewed.
Sarcoptic mange in pigs (Sarcoptes scabiei var. suis)

Sarcoptic mange is the most important ectoparasitosis of swine worldwide, which is responsible for the highest economic losses among ectoparasitic infestations in swine production. Annual loss per sow was estimated at 100 EUR in Germany and 84-115 USD in the United States. The economic importance of this disease results from two factors: the majority of swine farms is infected worldwide (primarily subclinical mange), and the infestation influences the ADG and FCR of piglets and growing-finishing pigs. Both the acute and chronic form of the disease lead to significant economic losses. The chronic form of sarcoptic mange in growing pigs occurs primarily among poor hygienic conditions, and on farms which do not have a prevention programme. In chronic mange, the ADG is significantly reduced, and the growth of the pigs is retarded. The subclinical form occurs on farms with better conditions. In this case the infection is maintained and transmitted mainly by sows and boars. Direct contact is the primary route of mange transmission, but contaminated bedding material and equipment of the pens can play a role, as well. The occurrence of mange is more frequent in winter, and the prevention programmes yield higher economic benefit in this season, too. The most important risk factors of the occurrence of mange infestation are the straw bedding to the farrowing pens (odds ratio: 15) and the imperfect separation of gestation and farrowing units.

The effect of ectoparasites on production

The most important ectoparasitic infestations influencing production parameters are mange caused by Sarcoptes scabiei var. suis and pediculosis caused by Haematopinus suis.

Sarcoptic mange can be eradicated by antiparasitic treatment, however, it is cheaper and more cost-effective to treat only animals with clinical signs, producers, therefore, choose this protocol more frequently. Mange can be effectively controlled by routine treatment of the sows before farrowing, thereby preventing the infection of the offspring, and by the treatment of newly introduced growing pigs, sows and boars during the quarantine. The cost of the eradication (drug and labour cost) is relatively easy to calculate, but to appraise the benefits stemming from the control of mange we have to know the production impact of the infestation. Many experiments show that the losses are correlated mainly with the intensity of the hypersensitivity reactions. Pruritus is a more important factor than the extent of skin lesions in the assessment of the reduction in performance. Skin lesions are considered to be not the cause, but the consequence of retarded growth. The largest losses occur in herds where the initial skin symptoms are accompanied by pruritic behaviour, but skin lesions as the result of intensive scratching and their secondary infections are absent.

Scientific researches on the assessment of the economic importance of the mange yielded quite different results, so far. In the breeding period, the average number of weaned piglets per scabies infected sow reduced by one (0.1-2.1) due to the crushing of piglets as a result of the pruritic behaviour. After antiparasitic treatment the incidence of piglet crushing reduced to half to one-third, culling of sows also decreased, and piglets of treated sows showed faster growth rate, the number of runt pigs diminished, and the sow feed consumption per weaned piglet or per kilogram live weight of weaned piglets decreased due to the larger litter weight at weaning.

The results of the published field trials showed that ADG reduced by 11% on average (1-29%), and FCR deteriorated by 6% (2-10%) in the fattening phase. Based on these studies, it is likely that FCR reflects the reduction in performance better than ADG. Condemnation and lower carcass value (due to papulae and abscesses) are also important loss sources.

Beyond the direct losses, mange infestation causes indirect losses, as well. The most important sources of indirect loss are the physical damage of the equipment and installations related to scratching, and the increased susceptibility to other diseases. Infected herds are put to higher risk of secondary bacterial and mycotic skin infections, because these pathogens are capable of infecting via epidermal lesions. In several cases, the Staphylococcus infection could not be successfully treated in the growing phase, until the mange was present in the herd as a background disease. The infestation makes the animals more susceptible to other diseases. Therefore the health risk of the offspring is increased, as well. Pruritic behaviour is caused in fattening pigs, which leads to skin damage; therefore the hide value is reduced. Additionally, hog lice are potential vectors of Mycoplasma (Eperythrozoon) suis. The risk of infection is significantly higher if gilts and dry sows are pastured (odds ratio: 13), and where high-pressure cleaning and chemical disinfection are not performed when the manure from non-pastured (odds ratio: 13) and where high-pressure cleaning and chemical disinfection are not performed when the manure from non-pastured animals is removed.

Pediculosis in pigs caused by Haematopinus suis

Low-intensity louse infestations do not cause a detectable deterioration in ADG and FCR. Infested weaned piglets show decreased activity, spend less time at the feeder, and move less, as well. Pruritic behaviour is caused in fattening pigs, which leads to skin damage; therefore the hide value is reduced. Additionally, hog lice are potential vectors of Mycoplasma (Eperythrozoon) suis. The risk of infection is significantly higher if gilts and dry sows are pastured (odds ratio: 13), and where high-pressure cleaning and chemical disinfection are not performed when the manure from non-lagoon systems is removed.

Pediculosis in pigs caused by Haematopinus suis

Low-intensity louse infestations do not cause a detectable deterioration in ADG and FCR. Infested weaned piglets show decreased activity, spend less time at the feeder, and move less, as well. Pruritic behaviour is caused in fattening pigs, which leads to skin damage; therefore the hide value is reduced. Additionally, hog lice are potential vectors of Mycoplasma (Eperythrozoon) suis. The risk of infection is significantly higher if gilts and dry sows are pastured (odds ratio: 13), and where high-pressure cleaning and chemical disinfection are not performed when the manure from non-lagoon systems is removed.

House flies and stable flies

House flies (Musca domestica) and stable flies (Stomoxys calcitrans) mean serious indirect hazard in terms of epidemiology, occupational and public health, besides that their occurrence is very annoying.
In particular, the role of the stable fly can be very important in the transmission of *Mycoplasma suis*. These parasites might reduce production performance directly due to the disturbance caused to the host, but based on the results of field trials, FCR is not affected, only ADG reduced by 1.3-2.4% compared to pens with effective fly control.\(^2\,^3\,^4\,^7\)

**Figure 2** Haemotopinus suis infestation in a pig.

**Flea infestation caused by Pulex irritans**

Flea infestation in pigs is of minor importance from an economic perspective, because only heavy infections cause intensive irritation. However, their role as a vector of *Mycoplasma suis* and swine pox virus must not be neglected. The allergic dermatitis similar to that of the dogs may occur, which can be confused with mange.\(^2\,^5\,^10\)

**Tick infestations**

Tick infestations in pigs are caused by *Ixodes*, *Dermacentor* and *Ornithodoros* species, but their occurrence is exceptional in intensively managed pig herds. Their economic importance results from the disturbance caused to the host, and their role in the transmission of protozoal, rickettsial and viral diseases.\(^2\,^5\,^10\)

**The effect of internal parasites on production**

The economic losses caused by the internal parasites amounted to 3 USD per fattening pig in the USA, yet in 1977. The annual economic loss was 240 million USD on national level in 1981 in the US, not taking the losses due to condemnation into account.\(^1\,^2\) The meta-analysis of 18 scientific papers published in international journals between 1971 and 2009 was performed in order to assess the effects of experimental and naturally occurring endoparasitic infections on the production of fattening pigs. The statistical analyses showed that the daily feed intake reduced by 5%, the ADG by 31%, respectively and the FCR was 17% higher, on average, compared to the worm-free fattening pigs.\(^18\)

The endoparasites of the largest importance in swine in the temperate zone are the large roundworms (*Ascaris suum*), the swine whipworms (*Trichuris suis*), and the nodular worms (*Oesophagostomum* spp.). Besides these endoparasites, the production may be significantly compromised in some herds by the red stomach worm (*Hyostronychus rubidus*), the small intestinal threadworm (*Strongyloides ransomi*) and the swine kidney worm (*Stephanurus dentatus*) infections.\(^2\,^12\,^35\)

**Large roundworm (Ascaris suum) infection**

Ascarisosis is the most prevalent endoparasitosis of swine worldwide, being present in 50-75% of swine herds in most countries. It is the most important internal parasite infection from an economic point of view, as well. The disease may occur in any age group depending on the housing and management. On the conventional pig farms (which may even use straw bedding), ascariosis can be observed primarily in weaned and fattening pigs. On the well-managed intensive swine farms with good standard of hygiene, it becomes the most frequent in sows and boars.\(^1\,^3\,^6\) (Figure 3) The *A. suum* infection results in clinical signs rarely. Frequent coughing may indicate the acute phase of the infection, which is caused by the larvae migrating in the lungs of the host, thereby potentially exacerbating other diseases. No estimations are available on the losses caused by the migrating larvae at all. Even the clinically healthy pigs may harbour a large amount of adult ascarids, which cause production losses. The number of adult ascarids living in the host and the reduction in ADG are strongly correlated.\(^3\,^5\,^35\-41\)

**Figure 3** Ascaris suum eggs.

The economic losses caused by *A. suum* originate from the reduction in ADG, higher FCR and condemnation of the liver. In experimentally infected animals, the increase in the dose of *A. suum* eggs showed a negative linear correlation with ADG (2-9%) and FCR (5-13%) compared to control pigs.\(^46\)

The aforementioned figures reflect experimental conditions, which may differ from the conditions of a pig farm. Field studies were conducted on Canadian and Danish swine farms, which concluded that the performance of fattening pigs was only mildly affected by ascarid infection (based on the quantification of faecal egg counts). Reduction of ADG was more pronounced in those cases, where the infection pressure was higher in the fattening phase, but no ascarids were found during the post mortem examinations in the slaughterhouse. The extent of ADG reduction was larger in the young fattening pigs, than its average in the whole fattening phase.\(^1\,^7\,^8\,^37\,^39\,^44\)

The economic effect of migrating *A. suum* larvae stems from the liver condemnation due to “milk spots” (up to 41% of the livers may be condemned), which could mean heavy economic loss to the producers in the slaughterhouses.\(^1\) A study conducted in Denmark reported that on farms not controlling ascariosis, “milk spots” were found in 10-33% of the livers.\(^1\) (Figure 4)

In the USA the higher FCR associated with mild ascariosis, and the increase in annual feed cost was estimated to be 155 million USD in 1987.\(^11\) In 2001, the annual losses due to liver condemnation
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attributable to ascariosis were estimated at 17.5 million USD, whereas increased feed cost for fattening pigs caused 60.1 million USD losses per year.\textsuperscript{35}

**Swine whipworm (Trichuris suis) infection**

The swine whipworm is a widespread endoparasite which lives in the large intestines and primarily in the caecum of the host. It consumes blood, and causes enteritis, diarrhoea, loss of appetite, which result in reduced growth, wasting and death of the infected animal. The ADG reduced by 6-35\% and the FCR deteriorated by 3-33\% in experimentally infected fattening pigs compared to worm-free controls.\textsuperscript{11,18,35,42}

**Nodular worm (Oesophagostomum spp.) infection**

Oesophagostomosis is common in fattening pigs, while it is the most frequently occurring endoparasitic infection in sows and boars.\textsuperscript{35} The deterioration of production parameters was observed only in the first 21 days of the fattening in experimentally infected pigs: both ADG and FCR deteriorated by 6-13\%. The number of live newborn piglets decreased by 0.15, and the average weight of the piglets was 0.3 kg smaller in infected sows.\textsuperscript{11,18,43}

**Red stomach worm (Hyostrongylus rubidus) infection**

*H. rubidus* is a blood-sucking internal parasite living in the stomach of the host, causing clinical or subclinical infection.\textsuperscript{35} ADG reduced by 18\%, whereas FCR decreased by 8\% in experimentally infected fattening pigs compared to controls.\textsuperscript{11,18,44}

**Small intestinal threadworm (Strongyloides ransomi) infection**

*S. ransomi* is most common in warm climate regions, but the infection occurs in suckling pigs in moderate climate regions, as well, which are infected via the intact skin or via colostrums.\textsuperscript{35} Experimental infections showed a linear correlation between the dose of infection and the main parameters in the fattening phase. Depending on the dose of infection, ADG reduced by 10-29\% and FCR increased by 6-44\% compared to control animals.\textsuperscript{11,18,45}

**Swine kidney worm (Stephanurus dentatus) infection**

*S. dentatus* is responsible for a significant proportion of liver condemnations besides ascarids in the USA. The kidney worm infection has not been detected in many European countries yet, but introduction of the disease cannot be ruled out.\textsuperscript{35} ADG reduced by 25-69\%, whereas FCR deteriorated by 3-24\% in experimentally infected pigs.\textsuperscript{11,18,46}

The effect of pig coccidiosis on production

Coccidiosis is one of the most frequent causes of diarrhoea in piglets, and *Isospora suis* is one of the most prevalent pathogens in intensive pig farming systems. The results of international, mainly Western-European studies showed that coccidiosis is present on 75-76\% of the pig farms, and 40-100\% of the piglets on a farm may be infected irrespective of the hygienic circumstances. Studies conducted in the mid-2000s showed that 44\% of the pig farms was infected (without clinical signs on many farms!), and the within-herd prevalence was 10-90\% based on faecal sample examination.\textsuperscript{47,48} (Figure 5) The clinical signs of coccidiosis appear minimum 2-4 days after infection in 2-3 weeks old piglets, and the diarrhoea lasts for 3-4 days.\textsuperscript{47} Coccidiosis damages the intestinal mucosa and impairs intestinal function, therefore, the ADG of piglets decreases. The reduction in weight gain may reach 1000 grams until weaning even in the subclinically infected animals.\textsuperscript{46,47} These effects impact ADG in the entire growing-finishing phase, thereby extending the fattening period and reducing annual income. In addition, the deteriorated FCR after weaning increases feed cost.\textsuperscript{48,50-52} Coccidiosis results in increased mortality, and if mixed with secondary viral (e.g. rotavirus, adenovirus) and mainly bacterial (e.g. *Clostridium perfringens*, *E. coli*, *Salmonella spp.*) infections, it may cause high mortality rate before weaning, especially in herds where simultaneous infection with *C. perfringens* and *I. suis* occurs soon after birth.\textsuperscript{53} Obviously, the treatment of diarrhoea caused by secondary infections results in increased antibiotic use, and, therefore, in larger drug cost.
Cost-benefit analysis of antiparasitic treatments

The first step in the cost-benefit analysis of preventive antiparasitic treatments and of metaphylactic treatment of coccidiosis is the calculation of herd-level economic losses. The following data have to be acquired: (1) the within-herd prevalence of ecto- and endoparasitic infections, and that of the different forms of coccidiosis; (2) the extent of deterioration in the production parameters influenced by the parasitic or coccidial infections; and finally (3) summation of the economic consequences due to the affected production parameters.1,9

The prevalence of parasitic and coccidial infections can be assessed by herd diagnostic tests. Thereafter, the change in production parameters (in the nursery and in the fattening phase: mortality, ADG and FCR) can be relatively accurately quantified based on the results of field trials, but the lack of them can be estimated fairly well by using literature data. The findings of this paper can help the swine health professionals to assess the economic impact of parasitisms and coccisidiosis, and to convince the farm managers to control these diseases. Naturally, the possibility of multiple infections with several parasite species and I. suis should be taken into account among field conditions. This makes the estimation of overall production losses attributable to parasitic infections a little bit more difficult, particularly, because of the interactions among pathogens.1,15,9

The additional revenue due to the suppression of the infection can be calculated based on the expected improvement of production parameters as a result of the treatment. The profit of the treatment can be calculated by comparing the additional revenue and the treatment costs. In most cases under field conditions, only the lowered feed cost is considered, which yields misleading results, since the additional revenue of producing more finishers must not be neglected. Of course, the amount of extra profit per slaughter pig greatly depends on the farm conditions, the feed costs and the price of finished pigs.1,2,21,53

Conclusion

Antiparasitic treatments exert their effects in two ways: firstly, the host gets rid of the parasites and their harmful effects instantly; secondly, the herd will be exposed to the infection pressure caused by the remaining parasites and I. suis should be taken into account among field conditions. This makes the estimation of overall production losses attributable to parasitic infections a little bit more difficult, particularly, because of the interactions among pathogens.1,15,9

The additional revenue due to the suppression of the infection can be calculated based on the expected improvement of production parameters as a result of the treatment. The profit of the treatment can be calculated by comparing the additional revenue and the treatment costs. In most cases under field conditions, only the lowered feed cost is considered, which yields misleading results, since the additional revenue of producing more finishers must not be neglected. Of course, the amount of extra profit per slaughter pig greatly depends on the farm conditions, the feed costs and the price of finished pigs.1,2,21,53
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