Ruminants as part of the global food system: how evolutionary adaptation and diversity of the digestive system brought them to the future

Abstract

The importance of wild and domestic ruminants is evident to either habitat equilibrium or food production. Ruminants went through a series of evolutionary steps influenced by the environment and severe climate shifts, and adapted to different nutritional diets until the modern changes of the actual food demand. Evolutionary studies suggest that strict extremes of cattle and moose-type evolved from more rudimentary intermediate-type ruminants. Also, ruminants have been shown with extraordinary adaptive mechanisms of digestibility efficiency, regardless the body size and ability to regulate water in drought conditions. Incorporation of relatively high proportions of easily degradable carbohydrates in the diet of ruminants is doing more than increasing the chance of ruminal/metabolic diseases. Nowadays, the food demand caused by the increase in human population has forced ruminant production systems to change the fiber based diet to larger proportions of grains and evolutionary responses to these new challenges will be dependent of future research. Morphology, physiology, nutrition and evolution knowledge will help to minimize injures to ruminant health and to obtain the production efficiency required for the modern world.
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Introduction

Ruminants as a part of the livestock structure, occupy an important role on the present and future of the global food system, and on the maintenance of environmental equilibrium in wild habitats. The challenge of feeding a growing population (more than 9 billion people by 2050), together with changes in consumption patterns will increase the demand for livestock products. Therefore, some authors call these prospective “the livestock revolution” thereby strengthening the role of ruminants as a forceful part of the world food economy.

Livestock systems are substantial users of natural resources, which raises a red flag between food production and the resource use efficiency. Against this background, the social benefits of livestock systems, and how they contribute significantly to the livelihoods of at least 1.3 billion people in rural areas has been focus of substantial public debate. Moreover, detailed reviews on ecology and evolution showed the large population of domestic ruminants (Figure 1), and their importance in recent projections since the total meat and milk consumption in different parts of the world will double by 2050. Thus, considering that domestic ruminants are responsible to produce 50% of the meat and 41% of milk between the developing and developed countries (Table 1), the understanding of ruminant biology has a substantial relevance for future food production.

Concerns of how to feed a growing populations and the importance of ruminants in support of humans are not restrict to recent projections. Classic researches from the 70’s and 80’s explored the mammalian evolution and importance of ruminants to the man. Authors claim that some species are bioindicators of the first order in polluted human environments. More species are living barometers of man’s in-ability to understand and handle ecological interactions and most, if not all ruminant species can benefit nutritionally from what man cannot digest. The feeding behavior of ruminants is peculiar to each specie and the environment in which they are included, with morphological and physiological traits of each type of food available in different types of vegetation.

Figure 1 World population of domestic ruminant species-heads of animals (1993 and 2013).

The production capability of domestic ruminants has changed for the past decades, either by the massive genetic improvements to attend the consumption demand, or by the natural evolution throughout the centuries. Taking this background together, this review aims to depict the environmental and evolutionary aspects of domestic and wild ruminants, regarding the digestive conversion capability,
The evolution of herbivores followed the interaction between plants and animals. Many plants have used animals as vectors for seed dispersal, and several animals have adapted their requirements according to the environmental food availability. Conjectures that changes in the resource-use specialization rate of ungulates, more precisely the evolution and incorporation of the grazing, were pushed by the expansion of C4 grasslands in Africa during the early Pliocene. Palaeoecological evidences claim that the ancestral ruminant was a small and forest-dwelling species with feeding characteristics of a browser/mixed feeder, and therefore with a leaf-eating style, rich in intracellular carbohydrates. For ruminants, grazing meant a less selective eating pattern and more developed cellulolytic ruminal fermentation. The development of fermentation chambers facilitated the utilization of slow digestive food sources such as fibrous forage. This adaptation also coincided with the colder and drier climates, propelling less differentiated and developed forages, perhaps with high nutritional value for animals that had adequate cellulolytic capacity in the rumen.

Lately, plants have developed some protection mechanisms against animals, lowering the availability of nutrients and leading animals to evolutionary response to various strategic feeding alternatives. These environmental changes affect geographical limitations that are needed to regulate primary speciation and extinction events, leading to the extinction of a large number of monogastic herbivores. Speculation that the interaction of climate change and feeding strategies around the world, favored ruminants in relation to horses and other large monogastic herbivores. This occurred because the smaller ruminants required smaller amounts of food, and had more efficient energy extraction.

Ruminants that evolved later adapt to a less rich diet, following the development of pastures with grasses and other fibrous vegetables, being called in this classification as grazers (see classification below). Belonging to this group are the cattle, domestic and wild sheep and many antelope species of African savannas as well as American and European bison. Furthermore, because morphological evolution occurs more rapidly than speciation and taxonomic turnover, the variety of diets and ecological niches that occur within ungulate herbivores exceeds even their species richness.

Despite the fact that usually is speculated that intermediate and grazer ruminants evolved originally from browser feeders, current indication advocate the strict extremes of grazers and browsers evolved from more rudimentary intermediate-type ruminants.

---

**Table 1** Mundial production of milk and meat from different domestic ruminant species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Buffalo</th>
<th>Cattle</th>
<th>Goat</th>
<th>Sheep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meat (million tons)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>390,000.00</td>
<td>5,694,270.70</td>
<td>1,301,339.13</td>
<td>1,687,934.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>3,320,526.70</td>
<td>14,373,105.40</td>
<td>3,805,642.70</td>
<td>4,254,075.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14,974,308.01</td>
<td>40,540.20</td>
<td>61,120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>12,272.00</td>
<td>10,140,072.00</td>
<td>112,260.40</td>
<td>1,130,147.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12,754,389.00</td>
<td>1,88</td>
<td>90,280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2,901,428.90</td>
<td>27,329.18</td>
<td>1,110,589.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15,617,998.75</td>
<td>73,491.48</td>
<td>242,076.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Milk (million tons)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>2,614,500.00</td>
<td>34,120,566.10</td>
<td>4,184,887.00</td>
<td>2,250,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>77,290,169.00</td>
<td>177,475,135.00</td>
<td>10,653,508.50</td>
<td>4,823,340.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14,687,010.00</td>
<td>155,354.00</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>203,791.00</td>
<td>210,277,938.00</td>
<td>2,526,426.00</td>
<td>3,021,664.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>99,666,528.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>28,475,108.60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>69,115,338.00</td>
<td>220,162.00</td>
<td>42,095.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The progress of ruminant classification

A pioneer classification was proposed by Hofmann regarding to the type of food ingested among herbivores, taking into account the anatomical and evolutionary aspects of the digestive system. This classification has been criticized because of the lack of data and statistical analysis. Hofmann classify ruminants in three different types (concentrate selectors, intermediate and grazers) using botanical and nutritive observations of the diet. The terminology “concentrated selector” used for Hofmann as a description of browsing ruminants is recently avoided, since concepts that integrally describes both botanical and nutritive aspects have been developed, explaining evolutionary adaptation properly rather than the botanical approach only.

More recently, studies reported that, despite the wealth of the schemes and the initiative to classify herbivores according to the chemical composition of the diet, Hofmann’s classification was criticized for basing his rating on photographic data that make it difficult to pursue for potential followers. Therefore, even though the botanic and dietary quality terminology used for Hofmann is valuable, other terms that describes morphological types should be considered.

Hence, later classifications consider the ruminal physiology to differentiate ruminants in two extremes; “cattle-type” and “moose-type”. In a comparative study, the differences in rumen contents stratification and papillation were found between extreme grazers (cattle-type) and extreme browsers (moose-type). Cattle-type have larger pre-stomach and thicker ruminal pillars (indicating the strength of the muscular apparatus in the reticulum) while the opposite occurs with the moose-type. These results explain a new hypothesis for the understanding of diversification of ruminants, concluding that due to lower capacity of the rumen-reticulum and the lack of an anatomical equipment to handle laminate ruminal contents, the moose-type are reluctant to pick up forage in the same proportion than grazers (cattle-type). Therefore these extremes in ruminal morphology emphasize the flexibility of ruminants in terms of digestive system.

Adaptive mechanisms in ruminants

The rumen has an important adaptive role serving as a huge fermentation chamber and water tank. During periods of dehydration, the rumen is used as a storage chamber accommodating the water intake. The regulation of water intake and distribution is governed by the rumen, in conjunction with the salivary glands, and kidneys after acute dehydration and rehydration. One of the greatest advantages of the ruminants compared to other species of herbivores is the digestibility efficiency. After fermentation and ruminal absorption, some nutrients can still be digested and absorbed through the intestinal segments. In contrast, some herbivores which have anatomy and physiology of intestinal fermentation, are forced to practice coprophagy as a way of recovering nutrients not absorbed after fermentation, like some rodents.

Another way of recycling the nutrients is through the urea cycle, which ruminants reuse the urea from the blood stream, back to the rumen. The higher efficiency in terms of economizing its nitrogen is considered, since the urea will be an important source of nitrogen for the bacterial protein synthesis and a large part of the forages are not reach in this element.

The climax of adaptation happens in goats and African antelopes living in arid climate. Under desert and tropical environments where feed resources are restricted in quantity and quality, ruminants present differences in the digestive tract. Upon these harsh circumstances ruminants show adjustment of energy requirements for maintenance and ability to reduce metabolism, regulation of water usage in drought conditions, competence to economize nitrogen, and thermoregulation by keratinized tissues. Small ruminants like goats are skilled grazers with a great ability to select food, they have an efficient digestive system that allows retain a great amount of food and also to efficiently utilize the most of the food during feed shortage conditions.

Fiber digestibility and body size

Throughout the years, the rate of evolution of the fiber digestibility accelerated. This may have been caused by a combination of increased competition between species of ruminants and adaptation to high-fiber diets, both related to environmental changes of the past million years. Extensive debate has been done regarding adaptations to a variety of environments and whether or not the body size of ruminants present differences in terms of ability to digest fiber. Controversial reports were published on ruminant species with small body size (smaller than 20 kg), having, or not a better ability to digest fiber, and evaluating the time retaining fiber in the rumen. It seems that there is an agreement in regard to larger animals requiring larger amounts of food, and the more abundant plants are usually lower in nutritional value. Therefore, herbivores with higher body mass would have low quality diet. Perhaps, it comes out that any digestive advantage that is derived by the body size has not been clearly supported by empirical methods. It is suggested that models to explain herbivore’s size diversification must change their physiological views to ecological, considering the associations of food quality and availability, and body mass and food selectivity. Otherwise, these models would not totally explain herbivore’s size diversification, leading to the understand that large herbivores would tend to feed less selectively and would only use low-quality forage, while small herbivores use any forage that they can physically handle. Furthermore, evolutionary adaptations to ecological feed opportunities can explain the rare existence of some small ruminants feeding low-quality forage, rather than only physiological or metabolic needs related to body mass.

The new scenario of diets for domestic ruminants

Throughout the years, has been remarkable the incorporation of a relatively large percentage of easily degradable carbohydrates in the diet of ruminants in intensive production systems. This “nutritional boost” is supported by the high production of milk or rapid weight gain provided by these diets. Despite the fact that these feeding shifts might enhance the production efficiency, they are not ideally health for ruminal physiology.

Modern production systems include several practices and many dietary changes during different physiological stages. The addition of rapidly fermentable nonstructural carbohydrates lowers the proportion of ruminal fiber, changes the pattern of gas production, and increase the chances of metabolic and ruminal diseases. Recent studies found that a long-term feeding a high-concentrate (HC) diet induces accumulation of volatile fatty acids (VFA) in ruminal fluid and colonic digesta, and damages the colonic mucosa inducing cell apoptosis in lactating goats. Additionally, goats under the same
HC diet conditions showed oesophageal epithelial cellular damage and changes in the expression of tight junction proteins, which may increase the oesophageal epithelial permeability, thereby increasing the risk of translocation of microbial products into the circulation.65–67

In cattle, recent studies showed that under long term HC diets and subacute ruminal acidosis episodes, there is an epithelial adaptation in the rumen. Novel transcriptomic approaches elucidate the molecular mechanisms controlling rumen epithelial metabolic adaptation to HC diets, discovering that there is an involvement of transcriptional factors that may play an important role in the metabolic adaptation of the rumen epithelium to HC diets.68 Signs of adaptation include proliferation, differentiation, and up regulation of genes and transcriptional factors involved in the thickness of the ruminal stratified squamous rumen epithelium.69,70

Despite the fact that dietary changes can increase the chance of ruminal/metabolic diseases, the nutritional shifts made during the past decades may cause more drastic modifications to the future evolutionary adaptations of the digestive system. Studies observed that the resting breath frequency in the modern cattle is more than twice the frequency of the horse of approximately the same size. It’s believed that with evolution, the cattle-type ruminants developed a larger fore stomach capacity than in the other ruminant families. This may have led to a space competition with other organs, translating in a compensatory higher respiratory rates and differences in feces consistency.44,45

Additionally, since the advent of whole-genome association studies, another recent research trend has increased the interest in genetically breeding to improve cattle feed efficiency. Based on genetic/physiological evidences of individual animal variation in feed intake, studies are trying to enable genetic selection of cattle for residual feed intake (RFI) to improve production system efficiency.70–72 Regardless of multiple markers have been described in several studies, no major gene affecting RFI has been found.73–75

Conclusion

Modern food demand caused by the increase in human population has forced ruminant production systems to change the fiber based diet to larger proportions of grains. The major changes in ruminant diets have been strongly made during the last decades in commercial production systems with the aim of maximizing production efficiency. Incorporation of relatively high proportions of easily degradable carbohydrates in the diet of ruminants is doing more than increasing the chance of ruminal/metabolic diseases, but causing a side effect in response to the downturn in fiber proportion of diets. New functional abilities take a long time to turn into morphological changes and to be considered evolved. Thus, morphology, physiology, nutrition and evolution knowledge must be taken into account in order to minimize injuries to ruminant health and to obtain the production efficiency required for the modern world.
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