Diabetic peripheral neuropathy and sudomotor dysfunction in Saudi patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus

Abstract

Using the Toronto Diabetic Neuropathy Expert Group-2010 classification of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), 89% of patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes had either confirmed or subclinical-DPN, hence at increased risk of foot complications. Sudomotor-dysfunction (SMD) assessed through electrochemical skin-conductance measurement was present in 51.1%. SMD has 73% sensitivity and 81% NPV to detect confirmed-DPN.
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Introduction

The prevalence of Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is increasing worldwide including in Saudi Arabia. About 46% of people with T2DM may remain undiagnosed for many years, with the result that patients can already display diabetes microvascular complications at time of diagnosis. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is the earliest and most common long term complications of DM; it leads directly to increased risk foot ulceration (FU), limb loss and economic burden. Rates of DPN in newly diagnosed T2DM (N-T2DM) vary considerably, ranging from 2.4% to 29.2% and up to 68.1%. Contributing factors for such variation might include different ethnicities, diversity in the types of tests used to detect DPN and disparities in health care delivery systems. Sudomotor-dysfunction (SMD) is associated with increased risk of FU in T2DM patients and may occur in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or in N-T2DM. The aims of the study were to explore the occurrence of neuropathic symptoms (NS), DPN and SMD in Saudi patients with N-T2DM, and to test the performance of SMD to screen for DPN.

Materials and methods

Study subjects

This is a cross-sectional study conducted on 125 Saudi patients with N-T2DM (i.e. <6months) in a diabetes center between January and May 2013. The study was approved by the hospital’s local Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained. Patients with secondary causes of neuropathy, peripheral vascular disease, active FU, critical illness or on medications that could potentially affect symptoms or sudomotor function testing results were excluded.

Clinical assessment

Feet assessment was performed per Boulton. Neuropathic symptoms were assessed using neuropathy-symptom-score (NSS) and considered positive if NSS≥5. The presence of DPN was determined using the neuropathy-disability-score (NDS) of Abbott. Scores were derived from testing motor and sensory function using: tendon hammer, 128-Hz tuning fork (128-Hz TF), and neurotip, warm and cool rod. DPN was present if NDS≥3.

Neurophysiologic assessment

Nerve conduction study (NCS) was performed in 87 participants for the right and left Sural, Peronial and Tibial nerves using Nicolet Viking Quest VIAsys-USA. Large fiber-DPN (LF-DPN) was present if the participant had at least one symptom or sign of DPN and one or more abnormal nerve conduction tests in both Sural (sensory) and Peroneal or Tibial (motor) nerves in accordance with the case definition of peripheral neuropathy described by the American Academy of Neurology (AAN). Further sub-division to subclinical or confirmed LF-DPN was performed as described by the Toronto Diabetic Neuropathy Expert Group (TDNEG-2010).

Sudomotor-function testing

Hands and feet electrochemical-skin-conductance (ESC) was assessed in 92 participants using Sudoscan. As hands-ESC correlated significantly with feet-ESC, we used only data for feet-ESC. Sudomotor dysfunction was absent if feet-ESC>70µS, moderate if feet-ESC<70<50µS, severe if feet-ESC<50µS.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean SD or percentages. Variances between variables were calculated using an independent T-test. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) of
having DPN according to SMD. ROC curve analysis, sensitivity and specificity were used to access SMD performances to detect DPN. For all tests a P-value of 0.05 or less was used for statistical significance. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) v. 20 for windows was used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

Clinical characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. Neuropathic symptoms defined by NSS \( \geq 5 \) were present in \( (44) \) 35.2% and DPN defined by NDS \( \leq 3 \) in \( (11) \) 8.8%. Among the 87 participants in whom neurophysiologic assessment was performed, subclinical and confirmed LF-DPN classified as described by the TDNEG-2010\textsuperscript{15} were present in \( (44) \) 50.6% and \( (34) \) 39% respectively and only \( (9) \) 10.4% did not have LF-DPN. In those 87 participants, neuropathic symptoms defined by NSS \( \geq 5 \) and DPN defined by NDS \( \leq 3 \) were present in \( (29) \) 33% and in \( (8) \) 9.1% respectively. Moderate and severe SMD according to feet-ESC were present in \( (30)(34.8\%) \), \( (15)(16.3\%) \) respectively. Percentages of patients with SMD in participants with confirmed LF-DPN as compared to those with subclinical or without DPN are displayed in Figure 1. Using the TDNEG-2010 classification for confirmed LF-DPN as reference for ROC curve analysis, SMD (feet-ESC <70 \( \mu \)S) had an AUC of 0.71 for detection of confirmed LF-DPN with a sensitivity of 73% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 81%. Using feet ESC \( \geq 70 \mu \text{S} \) as reference, patients with feet-ESC between 50-70\( \mu \text{S} \) or <50\( \mu \text{S} \) had an OR-adjusted for gender, age, BMI, treatment of diabetes, dyslipidemia and smoking status- of 4.87(1.01-23.5) and 12.4(1.90-81.0) respectively for having confirmed LF-DPN. The OR for each group was 4.97(1.58-15.6) and 6.07(1.52-24.3) respectively for having NS according to NSS\( \geq 5 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Treatment of DM</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Smoking</th>
<th>HbA1c</th>
<th>HTN</th>
<th>DLP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>M%</td>
<td>F%</td>
<td>None%</td>
<td>OHA%</td>
<td>Insulin%</td>
<td>Combined%</td>
<td>Kg/m(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>45.4±10.3</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31.6±7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agents; BMI, body mass index; His, history of foot ulcer; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HTN, hypertension; DLP, dyslipidemia

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrated that 89% of the participants with N-T2DM had subclinical or confirmed LF-DPN diagnosed objectively by NCS, thus they were at increase risk of foot complications early in the course of the disease. Earlier Al-Sulaiman et al.\textsuperscript{17} in a study on 29 Saudi patients with N-T2DM (within 4 weeks from the diagnosis) demonstrated that almost all of the participants had NCS abnormalities in both sensory and motor peripheral nerves. When traditional bedside tests that are included in the NDS were used to detect DPN, the number of affected patients by DPN in our study decreased dramatically to 9.1%, leaving many at-risk patients unidentified and unattended. Previously Dyke et al.\textsuperscript{18} have found that traditional bedside tests to detect DPN are subjective and identify patients with DPN when it is well established.

We also demonstrated that about half of the participants have SMD and that this occurred in parallel with confirmed LF-DPN in

about 70% of the participants with confirmed LF-DPN as shown in the Figure 1. Additionally, SMD assessed by feet-ESC exhibited high sensitivity and NPV in screening of confirmed LF-DPN. These results suggest a potential role for feet-ESC measurement to screen patients with N-T2DM not only for SMD, but for generalized DPN as well. Measurement of feet-ESC is simple, objective and may enable identification of more patients at risk of developing foot complications. The study has limitations: it is cross-sectional, performed in one center and limited in its number of participants.

**Conclusion**

The study demonstrates high occurrence of NS, DPN and SMD in NT2DM Saudi patients. There is a need for utilizing simple objective tools to detect DPN at diagnosis of T2DM. Further studies on a larger population of patients to confirm the findings are warranted.
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