Effectiveness, safety and patients’ satisfaction of a new treatment for facial ageing with topical autologous platelet rich plasma mixed with hyaluronic acid after microneedling: results of a single centre preliminary study

Abstract

Introduction: Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) and Hyaluronic acid (HA) have been proven to be effective in skin rejuvenation. The aim of this single Centre pilot study was to demonstrate the effectiveness and the safety of a new mixture of PRP and HA applied topically after microneedling to rejuvenate chrono- and photo-damaged facial skin.

Methods: ten patients aged 43.3 ± 7.7 years, Glogau II were enrolled in the study and underwent three treatments each. After preparation, PRP was mixed with non-cross-linked HA and administered topically after microneedling of the facial skin. The Wrinkle Severity Rating Scale (WSRS) and the Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS) were used respectively by a Plastic Surgeon and by the patients to assess effectiveness of the technique.

Results: No early and/or late complications were observed after the treatments. Both WSRS and GAIS score improved with statistical significance before and after the procedures and comparing the first and second, second and third and, most of all, first and third topical application.

No significant differences were found comparing subgroups of patients based on age (≤49 or ≥50), smoking habits (smokers and non-smokers), patients who practised sports or not, patients taking drugs for pre-existing disease or not, summer sun-exposed patients or not.

Conclusion: This new mixture of PRP-HA with the minimally invasive method of application (topical after microneedling) has proven to be effective and free of side effects in our series. Further studies with a greater number of enrolled patients are needed in order to confirm our results.

Introduction

Platelet-rich Plasma (PRP) is an autologous concentration of human platelets in a small volume of plasma, obtained by the centrifugation of the patients’ own blood. Platelets contain Growth Factors (GF) and mediators in their alpha-granules, including Platelet-derived GF (PDGF), Transforming GF (TGF), Vascular Endothelial GF (VEGF) and Insulin-like GF (IGF). These GF stimulate tissue remodelling and are associated with enhanced healing through the attraction of macrophages, up regulation of collagen synthesis and promotion of tissue regeneration.1,2

It is widely used in different clinical settings and adopted in Dermatology and Plastic Surgery because it is considered a “natural” way to reduce skin damage due to chrono- and photo-ageing. PRP is hypothesized to improve skin quality, texture and tone via injection and/or in combination with microneedling technology. In vitro studies have suggested a role for PRP in increasing collagen expression, matrix remodelling proteins, fibroblast proliferation and differentiation into myofibroblasts.3

Skin changing associated with ageing are due to the loss of dermal proteins such as collagen and elastin (resulting in the modification of extracellular matrix), hyaluronic acid (HA) and proteoglycans, resulting in changes of the extracellular matrix, reduction of epidermis hydration, provoking the formation of wrinkles, fine lines, colour changes, dryness and decreased elasticity. Among the factors playing an important role in facial aging are exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light, smoking habits and alcohol consumption, which, together with genetic factors, can alter the rate of skin aging. Several options are available to prevent or treat skin aging, including surgery, skin resurfacing and the utilization of injectable dermal fillers. HA, in particular, is widely used thanks to its high biocompatibility (being a component of the extracellular matrix and being present in large amounts in human tissues) and its ability to reduce wrinkles (either superficial or deep) and general skin aging.

Microneedling is a treatment in which the needles are rolled over the skin, determining healing micro punctures with micro wounds in the epidermis and dermis. This injury triggers the inflammatory cascade and stimulates collagen and elastic fibers production, resulting in skin remodeling. In patients with atrophic acne scars, therapy can lead to improved scar appearance, skin texture, and patient satisfaction.4,5

To date there are no published data about the combination of PRP and HA applied topically on the face to correct the signs of ageing, neither papers where topical PRP is associated to microneedling to rejuvenate the facial skin. The only published data in which PRP is
used topically to improve face appearance in healthy volunteers is from Yuksal et al, who obtained a significant improvement in the patients' auto-evaluation rate, but the medical assessment showed a significant difference only for firmness-sagging.⁷

**Aim of the study**

This single Centre pilot study aims to evaluate the clinical improvements, the effectiveness and the safety, in terms of short- and long-term side effects, of combined topic PRP and HA in after microneedling for the management of ageing of the face.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients selection**

Ten consecutive patients referred to our Clinic for facial rejuvenation were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria were absence of systemic chronic disease, Platelet value in the blood cell count higher than 150,000/mL, absence of skin disease or acute skin infections, such as Herpes simplex-I, Glogau wrinkle scale rate ≥2.¹

Exclusion criteria were pregnancy and lactation, autoimmune disease, immunosuppressive treatments, haematological disease, other local treatments, such as botulin toxin, filler, bio revitalization before this procedure, intake of aspirin and FANS in the 4 weeks before the treatment, previous surgical procedures of the face. All the patients were subjected to full history taking (including lifestyle), general and local examination and photographing of the face before and after each session. An informed consent was obtained from each patient for treatment, photo-taking and use for scientific purposes.

**Prp-Hamix preparation**

Ten ml of whole blood were collected and PRP was obtained as described by Yuksal and coworkers⁸ Then a fix amount of 5ml of PRP was taken and mixed with 20mg of non cross- linked low molecular weight HA (1200 Da) through two luer-lock syringes. The mixture was taken and mixed with 20mg of non cross- linked low molecular weight HA (1200 Da) through two luer-lock syringes. The mixture was used immediately.

**Dermaroller procedure**

The face was disinfected with hydrogen peroxide, then microneedling was performed using a dermaroller with a 540 fine titanium needles, length 0,75mm, that was passed over the stretched skin in vertical, horizontal, and both diagonal directions for about 10 passes in each direction, always by the same operator, creating pores on the skin through which the mixture of PRP-HA was supposed to pass and act. Self-limited erythema and minimal oozing occurred at the needling puncture areas on the skin easily controlled by saline-soaked gauze.

Topical application of the complex PRP-HA was performed at this step by the same operator, with a standardized massage technique. The patients were forbidden to wash or rub their faces to avoid rinsing the unabsorbed part of PRP-HA combination still on the skin for 24 hours; past this term it was possible to wash the part and apply the usual cosmetic products routinely used by the patients. Furthermore, they were invited to avoid sun exposure and to use sun screens daily with a sunburn protecting factor of 30 or more.

**Clinical scores**

A clinical score before and after the administration was assigned to each patient by two blinded independent Plastic Surgeons. The score used was the Wrinkle Severity Rating Scale (WSRS), which provides a score ranging from a minimum of 1 (absence of wrinkles) to a maximum of 5 points (extremely deep and long wrinkles, which worsen the appearance of the face, wrinkles deep V 2 to 4mm with stretched skin).⁹

In addition, patients were asked to self-assign a score of degree of the improvement perceived, using the Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS scale), which has a variable score from 0 (worsening compared to before treatment) to a maximum of 4 (extremely improved, optimal cosmetic result).³

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the SPSS calculation system. Quantitative variables were described in terms of mean: standard deviation, range (minimum and maximum). To establish the statistical significance of the comparisons between two independent means, the t-student test was used, and a value was considered statistically significant if p<0.05.

**Results**

This was a single Centre pilot study, conducted on 10 consecutive patients who turned to our Clinic with the request for facial rejuvenation. Patients mean age was 43,3±7,7 years (31-56). Time elapsed between subsequent administrations averaged 4.3±0.47 weeks (4-5). We investigated the patients’ lifestyle, asking questions about sun exposure habits, smoking, sports activities, any previous and current disease and pharmacological therapies: 5 out of 10 patients (50%) were smokers, with an average consumption of 10 cigarettes per day. All but 2 patients (20%) practiced sports, with a weekly average time of 3.6 hours per week. Eight patients (80%) reported summer sun exposure with an average exposure period of 40±23.3 days, all after sunscreen application.

Three patients (30%) were on drug therapies: 2 were administrated Thyroid Substitutive treatment, one was on antidepressive therapy.

Clinical features of the patients are summarized in Table 1. No early side effects (edema, anaphylaxis, persistent erythema, burning, itching, flaking), defined as occurring in the first 48 hours after the application were observed, except minimal erythema and oozing, or late (defined as side effects that occurred after 48 hours, such as hematomas, skin pigmentation changes, pain). The patients reported just a minimal discomfort due to the use of the dermaroller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N° patients</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>42.3±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean n° sigarettes/day</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sportive pts</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hrs sport/week</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun-exposed pts</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean exposure/year (days)</td>
<td>40±23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs-intaking pts N°</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid Hormones</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressive treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 1 Clinical Features of the patients**
The WSRS score showed a statistically significant improvement between the pre- and post-applicationscore in all the three sessions, achieving the higher significative difference comparing the beginning of the study (pre-I) to the end of the study (post -III) with a p value of 0.0001 (Table 2).

Table 2 Comparison of WSRS score before and after subsequent applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE vs POST</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GAIS score showed the same trend, reaching a p value at the end of the study equal to 0.0001 (Table 3). However, all the patients had already reported an improvement in the texture and skin uniformity of the face after the first treatment.

Table 3 Comparison of GAIS score before and after subsequent applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE vs POST</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I vs II</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II vs III</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I vs III</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the small number of patients, it was difficult to identify subgroups based on different anamnestic features, in order to assess any statistically significant differences between the subgroups.

As far as possible to evaluate, there were no statistically significant differences before and after the treatment dividing patients according to age (49 or ≥50), to smoking habits (smokers and non-smokers), between those who smoked more or less than 20cigarettes a day, to sport activity (sportive or sedentary), between who practiced more than 4 hours of sport per week and who practiced less than 4, and to drug intake.

Figure 1 shows the trend in a patient enrolled in the study: a fifty-two year old female, smoker, sportive (2 hour/week), in whom brilliant results in terms of reduction of nasolabial and frontal wrinkles, tear trough and in skin appearance is clearly evident (Figure 2).

Figure 1 A: before the treatment; B: after the first treatment; C: after the second treatment; D: after the third treatment.

Discussion

The aim of our study was the evaluation of the effectiveness and safety of a topically administered new mixture of PRP-HA, after microneedling, for facial skin rejuvenation in a group of patients from our Clinic.

We demonstrated a significant efficacy of topical PRP-HA in the subsequent applications, achieving highly significant differences comparing the scores before the first and after the third and last treatment. To date no previous studies using topical associated PRP-HA have been reported in the literature for this purpose. The only study that describes the use of topical PRP in improving the aspect of skin is from Yuksel et al: PRP was applied thrice at 2 weeks intervals on the face of 10 healthy volunteers and applied with a dermaroller on the forehead, malar area and jaw and injected into the wrinkles of crow’s feet.

A grade on a five-point scale (for general appearance, skin firmness-sagging, wrinkle state and pigmentation disorder of the face) was auto-assigned by the patients and by three dermatologists before each PRP procedure and 3 months after the last PRP procedure. These Authors found a statistically significant difference regarding the general appearance, skin firmness-sagging and wrinkle state according to the patients’ auto-evaluation, whereas there was significant difference only for the skin firmness-sagging according to the assessment of the dermatologists. They concluded that PRP application could be considered as an effective procedure for facial skin rejuvenation.6

Our study confirmed their results in terms of effectiveness of topical PRP for facial ageing, with the difference that we used added HA to PRP to enrich the potential role of the mixture and two different valued scales of evaluation for physicians and patients: the improvement was significant both in terms of patients satisfaction and medical evaluation.

Several studies described the effectiveness of microneedling and PRP in treating acne scars, topical or intradermal injection administration: in this case the combination treatment appears to be effective in cosmetic outcomes, post procedural downtime and patient satisfaction.10

The lack of standardization of the technique of preparation of PRP, the number of sessions required, the time interval that must elapse
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Conclusion

The novel PRP-HA approach for the treatment of facial aging administered topically showed good tolerance and efficacy. The results obtained in the small sample we examined encourages a larger sample study, since a highly significant difference was demonstrated between the pretreatment and after three treatments in both medical and patient satisfaction quantitative evaluation, performed with standardized scales. Therefore, new scenarios are opening up in chrono- and photo-aging treatment strategies with a low-invasive procedural protocol and low clinical risk.
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