Analysis of drying operation and quality evaluation of crushed meat prepared from three trims of low beef marketing

Summary
The objective of this research was to evaluate the performance and quality of three cuts of beef marketing low during the drying process for the preparation of meat crushes, quality (color, pH, aw, chemical composition) of beef cuts glove, neck and lizard cool and their behavior during drying (curves) and the quality of the finished product (color, pH, aw analyzed, chemical composition). Data were evaluated by analysis of variance via. Color and aw in the raw material were within the normal range, while the pH was varied from 5.92-6.45. In the chemical composition, the cut glove had higher moisture content (76.42%). Similarly, during drying, this cut, introduced least resistance for water removal, reaching the desired humidity (25-30%) in less time (240 min). With regard to the finished product, differences in physicochemical characteristics, mainly in color they were presented. The chemical composition, both moisture and protein were affected, which varied between the 24.65-28.09% and 51.70-53.65% respectively. The three cuts have assessed potential for the production of dried meat products, such as crushed and dried meat.
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Introduction
Meat is a high-value food for human consumption because it is a good source of essential amino acids and the main source of B vitamins and minerals. Its distinctive flavor, makes it one of the favorite foods by consumers. However, its intrinsic characteristics as a high water activity, slightly acidic pH, carbohydrate availability (glycogen) and amino acids make it an ideal food for microbial growth.1 There are different methods for preserving meat. However, drying is one of the most used since ancient times in combination with the salt, to obtain a product with reduced water activity, high nutrient content and does not require refrigeration.2 In the northern traditional meat products obtained by drying, such as dried meat botanera dried meat type and type crushes are made. La Machaca beef is a product, which after obtained by drying, such as dried meat botanera dried meat type and Aw in the raw material were within the normal range, while the pH was varied from 5.92-6.45. In the chemical composition, the cut glove had higher moisture content (76.42%). Similarly, during drying, this cut, introduced least resistance for water removal, reaching the desired humidity (25-30%) in less time (240 min). With regard to the finished product, differences in physicochemical characteristics, mainly in color they were presented. The chemical composition, both moisture and protein were affected, which varied between the 24.65-28.09% and 51.70-53.65% respectively. The three cuts have assessed potential for the production of dried meat products, such as crushed and dried meat.
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Materials and methods
Feedstock and drying process
To do this research it was used as raw material from beef cuts three low marketing (gloves, neck and lizard), with an internal temperature of 5°C and provided by a local company, meat processing. Thereafter, these pieces were sliced (Tor-King RB-300) 7 mm thick and distributed in drying trays, so that the samples were not sobrepusieran. Then, salt (2.5% of initial weight) was added manually tending to be distributed evenly. Once distributed and salty meat, dehydrated in a dryer forced convection (NSF, D-20), varying the temperature of 60-90°C, to a moisture between 25 - 30% in the final product. Once the drying period is complete, the samples were cooled to room temperature.

Measuring pH, color and Aw
The pH and color measurement was performed both in fresh meat and meat mash. The pH evaluation was performed by mixing meat and distilled water in a 1: 9 in a beaker, then the mixture was stirred and the electrode potentiometer (HANNA model 211) was introduced previously calibrated. Color was measured on the surface of the sample with a colorimeter (CR-400 MINOLTA KONIKA, Japan). Color measurement included determination of values L*, a*, b*.3 The water activity was determined as indicated by Rotronic equipment (HP23), where it was first calibrated with standardized solutions and then the sample was read manually.

Drying curves
Drying curves for each cut were made, taking into account the operating conditions of the process as the initial moisture of the raw material, temperature, relative humidity, air velocity and final product moisture, among others.4 At the start of the initial weights for each cut taken and the weight loss was monitored during drying every 30 minutes for three cuts, until a final humidity of 25 - 30%. Similarly the increase in temperature was monitored throughout the process, until a maximum temperature of 90°C. Similarly, the results obtained with the yield of each cut was calculated.
Chemical composition

The chemical composition was determined both in fresh meat, as in the finished product.

Moisture determination

The moisture content was evaluated by drying technique oven (Yamato DX402C) at 100°C according to the method 934.01, where the amount of water present in the sample was determined.

Determination of fat

The fat content was performed on the dried sample on a soxhlet extraction using hexane as solvent according to method AOAC 920.39 (2000).

Protein determination

Protein content was determined on the dry and defatted sample by the method 960.52 with minor modifications, using a digester (Scorpion Scientific A50304) and distiller (Geo-Lab GLFC-108) micro-Kjeldahl (AOAC, 2000).

Determination of mineral

The determination of mineral was performed as indicated by the 942.05 method, using a muffle (FELISA FE-363) at a temperature of 550°C.

Experimental design

Data were analyzed by analysis of variance via a randomized complete design and the differences between the mean values were estimated by the Tukey test with a confidence level of 95%.

Results and discussion

Physicochemical characteristics of the raw material

In Table 1 the physicochemical characteristics of the raw material are shown and can be seen that significant (p≤0.05) is provided between the cuts for most parameters assessed, except aw (p≥0.05). Regarding the L* parameter, and cutting Pescuezo glove had higher values (46.32 - 46.9), you lie Lizard the cut had the lowest (42.72). They have been reported lower values for this parameter (34.4 - 36.2) in cattle finished under different grazing systems by Oliveira. Regarding the red (a*), difference was found between all cuts ranging from 13.83 to 23.36 for neck and glove. Some researchers have reported values for this parameter ranging from 12.9 to 14. 2 in the cutting striploin different cross steers. These values are below those found in this investigation. The difference in flesh color may be due to various factors such as the amount of fat in the carcass, meat pH, age of the animal, carcass weight, amount of intramuscular fat, etc. Regarding the pH, the three cuts varied between 5.92 and 6.45. These values are above the normal range from 5.4 to 5.6. Aw was between 0.88 to 0.89 for all cuts. carcass weight, amount of intramuscular fat, etc. Regarding the pH, the three cuts varied between 5.92 and 6.45. These values are above the normal range from 5.4 to 5.6. Aw was between 0.88 to 0.89 for all cuts. carcass weight, amount of intramuscular fat, etc. Regarding the pH, the three cuts varied between 5.92 and 6.45. These values are above the normal range from 5.4 to 5.6. Aw was between 0.88 to 0.89 for all cuts.

Chemical composition of raw material

Table 2 shows the composition of each cutting sample and observed that the parameters evaluated all cuts showed significant differences (p≤0.05) for the indexes. With regard to moisture content, fat and protein is within the normal range for fresh meat, which according to Lawrie, can range from 71-76% moisture, 17-21% protein and 1 - 7% fat. The variation in the composition of each muscle depends on various factors mentioned above. The moisture content in the sample is very important for the drying process, since it largely depends on the time required to achieve the desired final product moisture Kucera et al. It can be seen that the cut glove had the highest content 76.42%.

Table 1 Physicochemical Characteristics of the raw materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Neck</th>
<th>Glove</th>
<th>Lizard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td>42.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.92b</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Chemical composition of the raw materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Humidity</th>
<th>Grease</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Carbohydrates</th>
<th>Minerals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>74.08b</td>
<td>4.34a</td>
<td>17.27a</td>
<td>1.22b</td>
<td>3.09ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glove</td>
<td>76.42a</td>
<td>1.11b</td>
<td>17.33a</td>
<td>1.17b</td>
<td>3.97a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizard</td>
<td>73.65b</td>
<td>4.43a</td>
<td>13.95b</td>
<td>5.76a</td>
<td>2.21b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Physicochemical evaluation of the finished product

Table 3 shows the results of the physicochemical evaluation of the finished product. It is can be seen that there is significant difference (p≤0.05) for all parameters evaluated. The first parameter evaluated was the aw, which is very important as it relates to food spoilage and microbial growth depends on it. As regards the aw, lizard cuts the glove and showed the highest values (from 0.58 to 0.59), while cutting Pescuezo had the lowest with 0.56. In an investigation by Harper et al., the aw dehydrated meat products such as reporting values between 0.71 Jerky was evaluated. However values have been
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Table 3 Physical-chemical evaluation of finished product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Aw (°)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>0.56a</td>
<td>6.31a</td>
<td>42.91a</td>
<td>8.81a</td>
<td>18.84a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glove</td>
<td>0.58a</td>
<td>6.26a</td>
<td>48.32a</td>
<td>7.55a</td>
<td>22.38a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizard</td>
<td>0.59a</td>
<td>5.71b</td>
<td>43.60b</td>
<td>6.41b</td>
<td>15.57c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4 the results obtained for the chemical composition of the finished product cuts is evaluated. It can be seen that the moisture and protein content were the only parameters that showed significant differences (p<0.05). With respect to the moisture content of the samples, cutting the throat he provided the highest value (28.09%), which was statistically similar to the lizard 26.36%. Konieczny et al., reported different values of moisture during the drying process of jerky beef at 55 °C, reaching a value of 24.55% for 7 h of process, which is close to the found in this investigation. However, Ibarra-Armenta reported lower values (11-14%) for crushing meat produced in Sonora. It is very important to the proper moisture content to prevent deterioration of the product, because as the moisture content increases, the shelf life of these products decreases. The highest protein content was presented in the cut glove with 53.63%, which was also statistically equal cutting the lizard 52.42%. Conversely moisture, protein content increased with the drying process due to higher solids concentration. Konieczny et al., reported the behavior of the protein content of beef jerky during the drying process, which started with 19.81% at zero hours to 63.59% at the end of the process (7 h). On the other hand, the fat content varied between 10.62 - 11.22%.

Table 4 Chemical composition (%) of the finished product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Humidity</th>
<th>Grease</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Carbohydrates</th>
<th>Minerals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>28.09a</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>51.70b</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glove</td>
<td>24.65b</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>53.65a</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizard</td>
<td>26.36a</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>52.42a</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

The cut glove filed easier for moisture removal. The lizard Court presented the highest yields. With regard to the chemical composition of the finished product, the cut glove had the highest protein content and lower moisture than other cuts. The three courts evaluated represent a viable option for drying and crushing meat processing, in addition to being low-cut marketing fresh, can be used for the manufacture of various products and thus give them added value.
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