Modeling of dynamics of manipulators with geometrical constraints as a systems with redundant coordinates

Abstract

Earlier, a method was developed for modeling the dynamics of systems with geometric constraints using the analytical mechanics of systems with redundant coordinates and the theory of nonlinear stability. In the present paper, the previously obtained results are applied to the construction of a mathematical model and to the solution of the stabilization problem of steady motion for the simplest manipulator with one positional, one cyclic and one dependent coordinate. The analysis is carried out taking into account the dynamics of the drives. For steady motion, the solvability of the problem of determining the stabilizing control (additional voltage on the executive motors) by solving the linearly quadratic problem by the method of NN Krasovski is proved. Asymptotic stability in a complete nonlinear closed system follows from the previously proved theorem on asymptotic stability in the presence of zero roots of the characteristic equation corresponding to redundant coordinates. However, for the manipulator design under consideration, stable operation modes can be distinguished even with constant voltage on the drive motors. These voltages play the role of program controls, ensuring the implementation of this mode of operation. The results of numerical simulation are presented.
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Introduction

Ensuring the stable implementation of the given mode of operation has always been the main problem determining the operability of any automatic device. One of the most widespread classes of controlled mechanical systems is manipulators, which are widely used in industry, medicine, etc. The most important problem in these systems is the requirements for accuracy and reliability. The main quality requirements for manipulators are high accuracy of positioning, high speed, and reliability.

One of the most important problems in the control of manipulators is the problem of stability. The stability of a manipulator is determined by the nature of the motion of its links and the forces acting on them. The stability of a manipulator is ensured by the design of the control system and the choice of control parameters. The control system should ensure that the manipulator can perform the desired motion accurately and reliably, and that it can maintain its position during the operation.

The proposed method is based on the complex application of analytical mechanics, the theory of critical cases of stability theory, and the theory of mathematical control with incomplete information. The success in studying complex multidimensional systems is determined by the simplicity and rigor of the model used. The success of the investigation depends not only on the form of the equations, but also on the type of variables (Lagrange, Routh, Hamilton, pseudo–velocity or quasi–coordinate) in which these equations are written. Each type of variables has its own peculiarities, which, when using a fully defined type of variables for some tasks, can give advantages over using other types of variables, and for other problems it is completely unprofitable. The main operation of the proposed approach is the construction of a nonlinear mathematical model that best corresponds to the nature of the problem under consideration. The consideration of only the linear approximation cannot give a reasonable answer for systems with geometric constraints in general case.

The standard method for analyzing the dynamics of a controlled system is the following: for a nonlinear system, control is defined (the so–called program control,) in which there is a specified operating mode. Further perturbations (deviations of actual behavior from the prescribed motion) are considered and nonlinear equations of disturbed motion are obtained. Further, the linear approximation is distinguished in the neighborhood of the unperturbed motion. For this linear system, a linear stabilizing control (additional to the program one) is determined, which ensures the asymptotic stability of the required motion. This linear stabilizing control ensures that the perturbations for linear approximation of non–linear equations are small. But a fair conclusion about the smallness of the perturbations can be obtained for a nonlinear closed–loop system by this stabilizing control only if the real parts of all the roots of the characteristic equation are negative. This is the simplest case of stability, but such a situation in stability problems for systems with geometric constraints is impossible. For such systems the problems of stability and stabilization are much more complicated. Here, for any control method, the number of zero roots of the characteristic equation of the first approximation system is not less than the number of geometric constraints imposed on the system. Stability of steady motions of systems with geometric constraints is possible only in critical cases. Consideration of problems of this kind is always very difficult, it must be based on the theory of critical cases and requires the use of nonlinear models obtained by rigorous methods. Therefore, the problem considered in this paper is relevant both from the point of view of abstract theoretical research and from the engineering point of view. It belongs to those complex problems that are NOT still fully...
explored theoretically, but, due to the rapid development of controlled devices and information technologies have already become the tasks of modern technical practice. Complex for such systems.

**Overview of previous results**

For the investigations of dynamics of mechanical systems is used traditionally the most universal formalism, that on the introduction of generalized coordinates is based. The generalized coordinates are independent parameters in minimal number, which the system configuration uniquely defines. The procedure for introducing such coordinates is by no means a simple problem. In practice, it is necessary to consider mechanical systems that are constrained by certain constraints (they can be Holonomic or non–Holonomic in the general case). This is the situation that occurs in many control tasks for multi–link manipulators under geometric constraints. One of the principal difficulties in constructing mathematical models of manipulators is due to the need to take into account complex nonlinear geometric constraints. In this case, the coordinates describing the state of the system are not independent, which makes it impossible to use Lagrange equations of the second kind. It makes sense to introduce parameters to describe the system configuration with m geometrical constraints. The number of such parameters is more as minimal necessary n–number of degrees of freedom. Then m of these n+m parameters is named redundant coordinates. The analysis of various ways of constructing mathematical models of the dynamics of systems with geometric constraints shows, that it is convenient to consider such systems as systems with redundant coordinates. The use of redundant coordinates for modeling the dynamics of systems with geometric constraints was developed in detail by the authors of this work. The results of these studies are based on the systematic application of nonlinear vector–matrix equations of perturbed motion. The vector–matrix equations of disturbed motion used widely in the stability and stabilization problems of motions of complex Holonomic and nonholonomic mechanical systems. It should be noted, that the systematic application of such a form of equations to study the dynamics of nonholonomic systems has already begun (compare with a far incomplete list of bibliographic references).

In the method developed by the authors of this work, in contrast to the equations have a form that allows one to analyze in detail the structure of the linear and nonlinear terms of the perturbed equations of motion after replacing the theory of critical cases. The use of vector–matrix equations obtained by the authors in the Shulgin form gave an essential development of the method with respect to systems with geometric constraints. It should be noted that equations in the Shulgin form can be considered as the equations of motion of nonholonomic systems in the Voronetz form in the case of integrable constraints. Therefore, systems with geometric constraints, when viewed as systems with redundant coordinates, occupy, in a certain sense, an intermediate position between Holonomic and non–Holonomic systems. The study of the stability and stabilization of this class of systems is far from complete. Based on rigorous methods of the theory of nonlinear stability using the equations derived by the authors, sufficient conditions for the stability of equilibrium positions and steady motions of mechanical systems with geometric constraints are obtained. After this, a stabilization procedure was developed for the equilibrium positions of such systems. Forth stabilization problem of steady motions, a theoretical study was carried out. The solution of the stabilization problem for a concrete steady motion has not yet been considered. In the present paper, the previously obtained results are applied to the construction of a mathematical model and to the solution of the stabilization problem of the steady motion for simplest manipulator with one positional, one cyclic and one dependent coordinate. The consideration was made taking into account the dynamics of the actuators. The results of numerical simulation are presented.

**Problem statement**

The algorithm of investigation of stabilization problems steady motions is developed here for the systems with geometrical constraints. We consider the problem of stabilizing stationary motion for as simple as possible manipulator model with a geometric constraint. As is generally known, the Holonomic system has the steady motion, if this system has at least one cyclic coordinate. For simplicity consider the system with one positional coordinate, one cyclic coordinate and one redundant coordinate. Moreover the geometrical constraint equation has such form, which in detail previously were investigated. The manipulator (Figure 1) has two freedom and two actuator P1 and P2

**Figure 1 Configuration of the mechanical part of the system.**

The flat hinges are in joints A,B,O. The rigid joint is in the junction point C. Introduce the notations for the lengths D=OA, h=MA, l=AB=OC, d– radius of the drive shaft. The commutator motors of direct current with indirect excitation are brought in the actuators. The Kirchhoff’s second law for such motors can be written as (1):
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\[ I \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + R_i \dot{\theta} + k_i \dot{\theta} = e_b \]  

(1)

\[ I \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + R_{ip} \dot{\theta} + k_{ip} B(\alpha, p) \dot{\alpha} = e_{p} \]  

(2)

Where \( e_b \) is voltage \( e_p \) voltage of counter–emf, \( k_b \), \( k_p \) – coefficient of voltage of counter–emf, \( I_b \), \( I_p \) – motor constant \( I_b \), \( I_p \) – inductance, \( R_b \), \( R_p \) – resistance. The geometric constraint equation (the distance \( l \) between the points \( A(X_a, Y_a) \) and \( B(X_b, Y_b) \) is constant)

\[ (X_a - X_b)^2 + (Y_a - Y_b)^2 = l^2 \]  

(3)

The Lagrange function of the system is

\[ L = \left( \frac{mg}{l^2} (D + h) \sin a \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m (D + h)^2 \frac{\dot{a}^2}{l^2} - mg (D + h) \cos a \]  

(4)

The system is acted on by the no potential force

\[ \ddot{Q}_p = -f_p \dot{\theta} + k_p^2 \dot{\theta} \quad \ddot{Q}_b = -f_b \dot{\theta} + k_b^2 \dot{\theta} \]  

(5)

If the depended velocities were eliminated taking (7) into consideration, then

\[ \dot{L} = \left( \frac{1}{2} m (D + h) \sin a \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m (D + h)^2 \frac{\dot{a}^2}{l^2} - mg (D + h) \cos a \]  

The prescribed steady motion can be defined by

\[ a = a_0 = \text{const}; \quad b = b_0 = \text{const} \]  

(8)

Using systems (3) and (4) it is possible to calculate values of systems at the prescribed steady motion (8):

\[ p = p_0 = \text{const}; \]  

\[ c_1 \sin p_0 + c_2 \cos p_0 - c_3 \sin a_0 \]  

\[ c_4 = d \cos a_0 - \frac{d^2}{D}; \]  

\[ c_5 = D + \frac{d^2}{D} \cos a_0 (D - d) - d + l \sin a_0 \]  

\[ c_6 = d \sin a_0 + \frac{d}{D} \dot{a} - \frac{f_b \dot{\theta}}{k_b^2} = \text{const}; \]  

(9)

The next step is analyzing perturbed motion equations in the form (3), Give the coordination:

\[ a = a_0 + x_i; \quad b = b_0 + x_j; \quad \dot{a} = \dot{x}_i; \quad \dot{b} = \dot{x}_j \]  

\[ i = i_p + \dot{x}_i; \quad e_i = e_{p} + \dot{x}_i \]  

There are two actuators in the considered system and both motors can be enabled. In the system under consideration, only a constant voltage is applied to the motor armature of the actuator \( P_r \), at which stationary motion \( [8, 9] \) takes place in the device. The voltage of the counter–emf plays the role of a dissipative force of a special structure \([11]\) and has a stabilizing effect. The first approximation is separated and the equations are transformed to the normal form (We pay attention to the terms \( \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{a}}}{\mathbf{a}} \) in the expansion of the coefficients in the differentiated geometric constraint):

\[ \mathbf{y}' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7); \]  

\[ \dot{\mathbf{y}} = M \mathbf{y} + N \mathbf{u}; \]  

(10)

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & 0 & m_4 & k \\ 0 & m_5 & m_6 & m_7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_8 & m_9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{10} & 0 & 0 & m_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \]  

\[ N = \begin{bmatrix} N_{11} - (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{bmatrix}; \]  

\[ w_1 = m (D + h)^2 + J \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\theta}} (a_0 P_r); \]  

\[ w_2 = m \left[ \frac{1}{2} m (D + h) \sin a_0 \right]^2 (D + h) \cos a_0; \]  

\[ w_3 = mg (D + h) \sin a_0; \]  

\[ w_4 = J_p B(a_0, P_r) \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} + B(a_0, P_r) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{P}} \right]; \]  

\[ w_5 = m \left[ \frac{1}{2} m (D + h) \sin a_0 \right]^2 J \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\theta}} \]  

\[ w_6 = 2m \left[ \frac{1}{2} m (D + h) \sin a_0 \right] (D + h); \]  

\[ m_1 = \frac{1}{w_1} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} - (D + h) \sin a_0 + \frac{(D + h)^2}{2} \cos a_0 \right] + mg(D + h) \cos a_0 + k_{ip}^2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a_0}; \]  

\[ m_2 = -\frac{2}{w_1} \frac{(a_0, P_r) \dot{a}}{w_1}; \]  

\[ m_3 = \frac{2 \dot{a}}{w_1}; \]  

(11)
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To determine the variable corresponding to the zero root of the characteristic equation, we use the linear non singular substitution

\[ x_6 = z + B(a_0, p_0) x_1 \]  

The matrix \( M \) in (10) results from after (11)

\[
\begin{align*}
M_1 &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & 0 & m_4 \\
0 & 0 & m_5 & m_6 & m_7 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & m_8 & m_9 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \hat{m}_1 = m_1 + kB(a_0, p_0) \] and the system (11) will take so-called special form of the theory of critical cases. It gives the possibility to separate controlled subsystem, which can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= Mx + Nu; x' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5); \\
M &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & m_4 & m_5 & m_6 \\
0 & 0 & m_7 & m_8 & m_9 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & m_{10} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{11} \\
\end{bmatrix} ; \\
N &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

The controllability condition is satisfied for the system (12);

\[ \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} N & MN & M^2N & M^3N & M^4N \end{bmatrix} = 5. \]

For the unique determination of the coefficients of the stabilizing control

\[ u = l_1 x_1 + l_2 x_2 + l_3 x_3 + l_4 x_4 + l_5 x_5 \]

Optimal criterion is introduced

\[ I = \int_{t_0}^{T} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 \right) dt \rightarrow \min \]

The coefficients of the control laws can be found by solving linear–quadratic problems using Krasovsky's method. Computational solution can be found by Repin Tretyakov's procedure.

Computer simulation of dynamics of the manipulator

This approach allows stabilizing any steady motion of this manipulator. However, for the manipulator design under consideration it is possible to distinguish stable operating modes even at constant voltages on drive motors. They here play the role of program controls, ensuring the implementation of a given mode of operation. Numerical modeling was carried out in this problem. With the following parameters, the following graphs of transient processes were obtained (Figures 2–11). As follows from these graphs, the system returns to the given motion in over time. At the same time, in Figures 2–6, on which the dynamics are simulated for 50seconds, oscillations near a given operating mode are clearly noticeable, but not all reversible ones show the return of the disturbances to zero. Therefore, for the same initial disturbance, Figures 7–11 show that within 1000seconds the perturbations in all variables have practically returned to zero. Numerical results were obtained with the following parameters: \( x=[0.01 0 0 0 0] \) – Initial perturbation \( D=0.6; d=0.2; l=0.3; a=0.1; m=1; g=9.8; h=0.3; Jp=0.5; Jb=5; b_0=10; k_1p=0.2; k_2p=0.2; k_1b=0.02; k_2b=0.02; f_p=0.02; f_b=0.02; I_b=0.002; I_p=0.002; R_b=10; R_p=10; \)

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2** \( x_1(t) \).  

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3** \( x_2(t) \).
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