Effects on self-efficacy for managing chronic pain and fatigue of rheumatoid arthritis following a group educational programme (based) on occupational therapy

Abstract

This study analyzes pain features in rheumatoid arthritis and the most relevant aspects that underlie occupational therapy intervention, to subsequently define an educational intervention model based on group occupational therapy. It also seeks to improve self-efficacy scores related to pain and fatigue management in an experimental group of 31 patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (compared with a control group of 31 patients, randomly distributed). The results reveal statistical significance (p<0.001) in assessing self-efficacy, allowing us confirm the effectiveness of the experimental intervention.
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Pain in rheumatoid arthritis

Rheumatoid arthritis follows a pattern of inflammatory process, also called arthritis pattern. It is normally accompanied by numbness after a more or less long period of immobilization. This pain usually lasts the patient’s entire day, generally worsening with exercise and daily activities, although persistent even with rest, and of maximum intensity at night time.

Overall, it is important to point out that the reduction of inflammation implies a decrease in pain, except in those situations in which structural joint damage has already occurred. Pain intensity varies, but it is often persistent and disabling. During the initial stages, predominant pain is of inflammatory nature, with polyarticular symmetric distribution in addition to hands and feet affectation. Morning stiffness has been a hallmark of this disease, persistent in the affected joints, resulting in an increase in pain at rest and decreasing it as the patient moves around. In such cases, pharmacological treatment may reduce inflammation but not the impact of pain.

Arthritis pain is characterized by hyperalgesia and spontaneous pain. The first one (hyperalgesia) appears when moving the joint in its normal range of motion and when gentle pressure is applied to the joint. It is widely believed that this increased pain sensitivity is produced by nociceptor sensitisation. Inflammation in joints causes not only peripheral sensitisation (increased sensitivity of primary afferent nociceptive neurons/neurones) but also central sensitization (central nervous system hyperexcitability of neurons). Sensitisation can also promote efferent neuronal processes through which the nervous system influences the inflammatory process.

Some psychosocial factors play a crucial role in pain chronification as well as the perception of pain intensity such as depression or anxiety, catastrophism, negativism, beliefs about pain, religiosity and spiritual beliefs, anger management, and knowledge and understanding of the disease.

Occupational therapy intervention model to address chronic pain caused by arthritis rheumatoid

This model proposes a direct relation between occupation and the existence of cycles of chronic pain. Based on the model approaches, the primary objective of occupational therapy will be to increase the occupational behaviour of individuals with chronic pain. Using assessment tools, such as the occupational questionnaire, list of interests, list of roles and the occupational history of the person, enable us to understand the patient state of occupational equilibrium or disequilibrium. Moreover, these tools provide a work framework, oriented to maximize his/her occupational performance, and, by extension, the quality of life and state of health.

Some of the most frequently used techniques resulted from occupational therapy to address pain are the following: participation in activities, preparing for the conduction of daily life activities, relaxation training, stress management techniques, coping skills training, art therapy and performance of creative activities.

The specific role of occupational therapy for the management of chronic pain is to increase the function of patients, just as reducing the individual’s own pain and to increase their repertoire of skills in order to tolerate the activity while minimizing attention to pain. In this way, the main objective of the treatment is to maintain an adequate occupational balance in the different areas of performance, in order to maintain a correct quality of life.

Thus, the treatment aims to increase tolerance for the individual’s activity being conducted on participation. This is put into practice involving an experimental group of 31 patients in a graded activity programme (compared with a control group of 31 patients, randomly distributed), starting with a painless activity level to gradually increasing the level of activity to the utmost of their own tolerance. Simultaneously, patients are trained in strategies and skills to properly organize their time and activities, so they can incorporate the observance of rest times before the pain begins into their lifestyles.
Additionally, the intervention includes training contents on diverse aspects such as the nature of pain, strategies to protect joints and parts of the body suffering from the effects of pain, appropriate energy consumption, and, finally, training in the use of assistive devices and technical aids. In those cases in which pain is moreover further compounded by the presence of an anxiety disorder, self-instructions and relaxation training are also considered and provided.

One of the most relevant skills that require training is self-efficacy. The individual’s beliefs in his or her ability to control and manage pain is positively related to improved physical function, increased activity levels and lower levels of disability. It is also related to lower pain intensity and greater pain tolerance. Consideration has been given to self-efficacy in the performance of activities among people with chronic pain, with emphasis placed on the relation between greater self-efficacy and a predominance of pain-copying skills.

The intervention as indicated in previous published works included continuous assessment tasks from week to week and, additionally, homework for patients to do for the next session. Occupational therapy treatment is based not only on analyzing daily performance and occupational balance of each patient, but also it pursued its readjustment according to energy demands. Furthermore, this intervention focused on examining and properly implement break times as well as training their performance of activities and principal occupations effectively and efficiently with the aim of lessening the impact of disease, and also, the consequences of poor management resulting of both, time and energy.

The structure of the programme included:

i. Presentation. How does arthritis affect our activity?
ii. Organizing work time, occupational balance and identifying interests.
iii. Analysis of activities in order to carry them out in simple steps.
iv. Energy consumption and rest periods I: technical training and energy management.
v. Energy consumption and rest periods II: Analysis of results and relax.
vi. Leisure and physical activity.

The Visual Analog Scale for Pain was used for the measurement of pain, and the Spanish version of the Arthritis Self-efficacy Scale, available from the Stanford Patient Education Research Center, was used to measure patient’s self-efficacy and perception towards their control of the disease, specifically, arthritis rheumatoid. In addition, an ad hoc questionnaire was used; it was composed of 20 questions with three possible answer options (quantifiable as “greater than”, “same as” or “less than”). It offered a Cronbach’s alpha reliability estimate of 0.816, in order to collect the subjective experience of patients concerning diverse aspects such as: the quality of life, pain intensity, inflammation, management of pain and inflammation, stress management or psychological tension, taking medicines and the perceived usefulness from the intervention, among others.

Results

At the end of the treatment, as previously mentioned, the final questionnaire was administered and designed ad hoc, including different items for the assessment of the subjective perception of pain and pain-management skills. The chi-square test for items 7 and 8 did not show significant differences according to the level of pain, in general terms, produced by rheumatoid arthritis (p=0.59); but, on the contrary, significant results were obtained referring to the levels of pain after performing daily activities (p=0.048). The comparative analysis of the variability of pain scores obtained through both the Mann-Whitney U test and the VAS, show that no significant differences were found. Similarly, the results obtained from the comparison of the scores recorded with the VAS when the experimental group evolution was analyzed separately during carrying out the Wilcoxon test (p=0.376) this test detected significant differences in the control group (p=0.005), perhaps due to the symptomatic worsening.

With regard to self-efficacy, statistically significant differences were found for the overall quality of life score at the end of treatment (p=0.001), specifically, in both the Mann-Whitney U test and the Wilcoxon test.

On the other hand, the final questionnaire also included aspects related to self-efficacy, in which the participants of the experimental group were asked about their ability to apply trained techniques for symptom control of rheumatoid arthritis. To this end, The Pearson chi-square test was performed to determine the results.

Finally, 96.7% of the individuals participating in this study indicated that they felt more able to control their levels of anxiety (p<0.001) when they were asked about this aspect during the post-treatment assessment; only 3.3% of participants felt as capable as before. The data resulting from all these techniques allowed us to find significant differences in terms of the level of general tiredness (p=0.007) as well as the level of tiredness after doing daily activities (p<0.001).

At the end of the post-treatment assessment, 90% of the subjects from the experimental group pointed out that they were more careful with gestures and movements performed (p=0.001) compared to 10% of them who indicated that they maintained the same degree of care as before the treatment.

In respect of their ability to control inflammation, 66.7% of subjects felt more able to control inflammation by themselves (p=0.048) compared to 33,3% of participants who felt as capable as before finishing the workshop. With regard to pain control, 80% of patients stated that they felt more able to control pain by themselves in the post-assessment (p=0.0001) and 20% felt that they were equally able.

Discussion

It is well known that the application of self-management programmes that include physical activity plans leads to improvements in pain, self-efficacy and fatigue scores once the intervention is over. However, follow-up evaluations of these studies showed a decrease in self-efficacy scores, which implies that these results were not sustained over time. These studies, based on others carried out along the same lines, showed an improvement in self-efficacy scores, but were weak in terms of maintaining the effects obtained. This weakness could be due to the fact that the interventions developed focused on techniques that do not undermine daily performance of patients, making it difficult to generalize the strategies trained in a controlled environment to real life and leading to a poor implementation of those techniques. As a consequence, patients could not see their usefulness. Moreover, there was neither good adherence.
nor strong interest by the participants of the programme, since the interventions were not based on meaningful activities for them.

The occupational therapy intervention proposed in our study is specifically aimed at the daily and personal life of each participant, which allows patients to apply the techniques in daily performance, in their occupational repertoire, and the activities and occupations that are essential for each one of the patients. It allows not only to measure the effectiveness of the impact in an uncontrolled and real-world setting, but also promotes the maintenance of results achieved more efficiently than in similar studies identified above.

In the analysis of results, highly significant differences have been found with respect to the levels of self-efficacy measured after the intervention (p<0.001), meaning that patients feel more capable of managing, facing and coping with pain and fatigue in their daily lives, as well as participants feel that they carry out daily activities more effectively.

Furthermore, when analyzing the differences within the same group, highly significant differences were found (p<0.001), since the scores obtained in the post-treatment assessment are better than those obtained by the group during the basal evaluation. Highly significant differences (p<0.001) have also been observed in the control group when studying the difference between the post-treatment assessment and basal evaluation, mainly due to a worsening of self-efficacy scores. This has come about because of the exacerbation of the research variables: by increasing pain scores with regard to the basal evaluation, the sense of self-efficacy for managing pain or fatigue are at a lower level (taking into consideration that the seasonal moments experienced by most patients resulted in a worsening of symptoms, both in the experimental and control group, as stated above.

These results may be because direct implementation of such techniques during the performance of daily life activities, in addition to the positive results obtained. All this promotes continuity in their execution, together with the repetition of the techniques which increase the competence of the patients, being more effective in obtaining results. These considerations are also endorsed by the data analysis from the questionnaire, specifically designed for the post-treatment assessment, where the members of the experimental group felt more able to control nervous tension and the type of movements they usually performed in their daily activities, managing pain and reducing general fatigue, including the one associated with carrying out daily activities. Thus, it can be said that there has been an improvement in self-efficacy scores for managing the disease manifestations with respect to the control group, and an improvement in the overall self-efficacy scores in the experimental group with respect to the basal assessment.

One can therefore conclude that the intervention designed to address chronic pain holds the key to equipping the patients with strategies and abilities/skills to manage the disease and its manifestations. At the same time, there had been an increase in self-efficacy of the individuals for managing chronic pain and fatigue.
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