Comparative study of the acute effects of two ways of myofascia release on biceps femoris and semitendinosus muscles after a romanian deadlift exercise

Opinion

As therapists we are used to have clinical evidence of health improvement after myofascial release therapy. Nevertheless, the scientific evidence of the application of the myofascial release therapy is scarce.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Since is complicated to objectify the effects of the therapy and there are different ways to apply it. Nowadays, there are also a numerous ways with different tools to self-release the myofascia after physical exercise that have not been proven enough to be effective. Therefore, in order to know more about the effectiveness of this applications and using our experience with the Tesiomyography to evaluate the mechanical characteristics of the muscles through a transversal electrical stimulus and all that is known about the physiological characteristics of the fascia. The purpose of this study was to compare the acute effects of the use of the Roller Foam and Crossed Hands Technique (Myofascial Therapy) on the mechanical characteristics of biceps femoris (BF) and semitendinosus (ST) muscles of the dominant leg son after a Romanian deadlift exercise.

Thirty-four (n=34) subjects (age: 24.52±3.12 years old) students of Physical Education of the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria were evaluated. They were divided into three groups: Roller Foam Group (RG, n=10); Crossed Hands Technique Group (CHG, n=15) and Control Group (CG, n=9). The Tensiomyography (TMG) were used to evaluate the mechanical characteristics of the biceps femoris and semitendinosus muscles. Specifically, the Radial Displacement of the muscles’ bellies (Dm) and Contraction Time (Tc) were measured. The measures were taken: in basal conditions; after a 5 min of continuous run (8 km/h) warming up; after a Romanian deadlift with growing loads of the 1RM: (50%/15 reps, 60%/10reps, 70%/8reps, 80%/6reps, 90%/3reps), with 2min rest between each load set; five and ten minutes after the exercise. The myofascial release applications were just after the first five minutes of rest (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Protocol process.

Statistically significant differences (p<0.05) were found for Dm in the ST of the CHG. Those differences were kept during the whole rest time. This recovery also was registered in the CG for the first 5 min rest (p<0.05), but not in the last 5 min rest. And RG did not experienced any significant difference. On the other hand, none of the groups got significant changes in BF. However, for Tc only the GM could come back to the basal values during the recovering process for both muscles, both after 5 min and after 10 min (Figure 2).

Figure 2 Mean on the mechanical parameters (maximum muscle belly radial displacement – Dm and contraction time - Tc) of the muscles (BF and ST) along all the protocol for different groups.

Considering collagen as the main component of the fascial tissue, is important that it has an appropriate orientation of its fibres. This orientation depends on the suitable pressure and movement. If they are not suitable, pathological cross-linking starts to form, which increases tissue’s thickness and decreases movement. Therefore, movement is essential for the correct orientation of the collagen fibres and to prevent retractions and adherences of the tissue. Main fascial tissue’s properties are tensegrity, -balance between tension and compression-; and piezoelectricity, -difference of voltage caused by the muscular stretching which compress the fascial tissue. This tissue has an integrated electronic network. If this network is kept correctly, fascial characteristics- elasticity, flexibility, elongation and resistance- are kept.\(^7\)

When there is a trauma in the fascial tissue such as muscular fatigue, movement is not enough to facilitate the recovery. Therefore, therapy is necessary. Miofascial Therapy has various forms of application.
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One of them is crossed hands technique. We use the hands to apply some amount of pressure and compression to the tissue in order to harmonize the imbalances between compression and tension. And to recover the normal biological electric currents.

The results got in the CHG agree well with Haas et al.14,15 Crawford et al.16 in their work on rabbit’s musculature after an eccentric exercise, causing greater reduction in neutrophil and macrophage infiltration of the exercised muscle, increasing recovery of mechanical properties and showed histological evidence.

On the other hand, individual differences and self-application of the Roller Foam may have influenced the results, as Beardsley et al.3, Cheatham et al.4 suggest in their respective revisions. Still, research about the use of Roller Foam in muscular recovery is aimed in the disappearance of the acute muscular pain or after various times.11-13 which increases arterial function,14,15 through cortisol level’s modulation.16

With these results, we can conclude that in our study the muscular recovery is better after the application of the crossed hands technique.
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