Case Series

Keratoacanthomas following definitive volumetric modulated arc radiotherapy for skin field cancerization

Abstract

We describe three cases of keratoacanthomas (KAs) following definitive volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) for skin field cancerisation (SFC). One lesion arose on a back in an area that had received a total of 30.6 Gy in 17 fractions. It first became apparent at 24 days post RT and resolved without treatment by 150 days. The other arose on a nasal alar in the beam penumbra that received 36Gy in 25 fractions, appearing at 57 days and resolving without treatment by 85 days. A third case occurred in an immunosuppressed patient. She developed KAs in one of two areas irradiated with lesions appearing at 21 days post RT and finally resolving at 300 days despite acitretin. The area received a total dose of 41.4 Gy in 23 fractions. Spontaneous resolution of index KA confirmed the diagnosis in all cases. On average, the patients were 79 years of age, the area bearing the KA had received an average of 36Gy in 22 fractions. The average onset of the KAs was 24 days or 3.5 weeks or one month after RT, and always soon after reepithelization was completed. Resolution was at an average of 168 days or 24 weeks or 6 months after the completion of RT. In all three cases other lesions arose adjacent to them and, in each case, one of these other lesions was excised with histopathology confirming squamo-proliferative lesions. There has been no recurrence at least 6 months after the last follow up. To our knowledge these are the first three cases of KA described following definitive VMAT for SFC. The possibility of KA arising after RT does need to be considered during the consent process.
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Introduction

The rates of skin cancer such as cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (cSCC) and basal cell carcinomas (BCC) are increasing globally, especially in Australia. Skin field cancerisation (SFC) describes large areas of in-situ disease from which invasive lesions can arise. Treatment of SFC can decrease the risk of subsequent invasive skin cancer, thereby avoiding the risk of spread and the need for subsequent lesion-focused treatments with the consequent impact on quality of life and cost. Current treatments of SFC such as topical 5-fluorouracil, imiquimod, ingenol mebutate and diclofenac gel, photodynamic therapy (PDT), and surgery are disappointing. Radiotherapy (RT) can be used for treating invasive and in-situ or pre-invasive skin cancer. Advances in RT, especially volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT), have enabled the delivery of highly conformal and homogenous radiation to large convex volumes like those usually involved in SFC with reduced treatment times and greater sparing of normal tissue. We report for the first time three cases of keratoacanthomas (KAs) arising in the regions treated with VMAT for SFC. In all cases the KAs arose in areas receiving less than the prescription dose.

Case one

A well, immunocompetent 84-year-old Caucasian male presented with extensive SFC of his back from decades of sun exposure (Figure 1). He had had multiple excisions for invasive skin cancers from his back, increasing over the previous 30 years. The convalescence from each surgery was interfering with his quality life and he sought a non-surgical option.

Treatment prescription and progress

He was treated according to our national VMAT for SFC protocol. In summary, the treatment area measured 40cmx40cm (Figure 1) and was covered with 1cm Superflab® (Radiation Products Design Inc, Albertville MN) bolus during treatment to ensure full dose on skin. Prescription dose was 45 Gy in 25 fractions, 1.8 Gy daily (Monday-Friday) with VMAT via two arcs using 6MV RT (Figure 2). In vivo dosimetry on skin showed the planned dose was delivered (Table 1).

In vivo dosimetry on skin showed the planned dose was delivered (Table 1).

An optional break at 10 fractions was declined. (See figure 3 A-N for the evolution of acute reaction and KAs over time). After the 17th treatment, at 30.6 Gy, the patient experienced considerable pain associated with brisk erythema and moist desquamation. Treatment was ceased.

By 16 days after RT cessation the back had re-epithelised (Figures 3E&F). At 24 days tender keratoacanthoma-type lesions were observed arising on the patients back within the treatment field, causing new pain (Figure 3G). At 54 days a large KA on the lower left back reached its maximum diameter (Figure 3K) and began to involute. A referral was made for excisional biopsy of other lesions.
At 96 days post RT a lower right lesion was surgically removed—see histopathology in Figure 4. At 150 days post RT the index KA had fully resolved. No lesion has since recurred locally or regionally after 10 months of follow up. At 96 days post radiotherapy excision biopsy histopathology of the lower right lesion indicated in 3M revealed a squamous proliferative lesion. Parts of the lesion resembled KA, elsewhere the tumour showed features of well differentiated SCC (Figure 4).

### Table 1

In vivo dosimetry on skin showed the planned dose was delivered, especially to lower back

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location description</th>
<th>Detector position</th>
<th>Fraction 19 dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Total treatment dose (Gy)</th>
<th>% of prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper left back</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper right back</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid back</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>101%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower left back</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower right back</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 1

SFC treatment area measured 40cm x 40cm.

A. Axial plane  B. Sagittal plane

### Figure 2

Radiotherapy planning scans showing dosimetry in the axial (A) and sagittal (B) planes.

A. At 9 Gy/5 #s  B. At 16.2 Gy/9 #s.
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C. At 25.2 Gy/ 14 fs.

F. 16 days post RT – Re-epithelisation was complete. Black arrow throughout the remaining figures shows evolving KA that spontaneously resolves over the next 135 days.

D. At 30.6 Gy/ 17 fs - RT stopped due to pain.

G. 24 days post RT - keratoacanthoma-type lesions were observed arising on the patients back within the treatment field.

E. 6 days after 30.6 Gy.

H. 33 days post RT – KAs continue to arise in field.
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I. 47 days post RT.

J. 47 days post RT – Close up.

K. 54 days post RT close up.

L. 68 days post RT – close up.

M. 96 days post RT.
Note surgical removal of lower right lesion – see histopathology in Figure 4.
Note spontaneous regression of large left lesion.

N. 150 days post RT following three episodes of surgery – complete resolution of the untreated index KA confirming KA status.

Figure 3 (A-N) Progress of RT reaction on back.

Keratoacanthomas following definitive volumetric modulated arc radiotherapy for skin field cancerization

A well, immunocompetent 81-year-old Caucasian male presented with a lesion on the tip and bridge his nose which was confirmed on punch biopsy as moderately differentiated SCC invading the dermis to a depth of 1mm. The nose had previous surgery for BCC many years prior and the current SCC arose from under the flap of this previous surgery. The patient was not keen on further surgery. The patient was considered suitable for curative intent VMAT therapy and he consented to RT.

Treatment prescription and progress

The patient tolerated the prescribed treatment of 55 Gy in 25 fractions daily (Monday-Friday) over five weeks using 6 MV as per our published technique and case study. Figure 5 shows the extent of the RT field on the left side of nose at simulation. In vivo dosimetry showed the planned dose was delivered. At follow up 28 days post treatment cessation, two non-tender lesions were observed arising in the penumbra of the treatment volume. From the RT planning studies these lesions arose in areas of skin in the penumbral region that received 43 and 36 Gy respectively. The lesion that received 43 Gy was excised and was reported as a cystic, well-differentiated squamous-proliferative lesion, resembling a trichilemmal cyst. The other lesion that had received 36 Gy behaved in a KA manner, resolving without treatment over weeks as illustrated by Figure 6 A and B. Neither lesion has recurred locally nor regionally at last follow-up which was 6 months post RT.
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Case three

A 72-year old Caucasian female was referred for consideration of radiotherapy for extensive SFC involving bilateral upper and lower limbs. She was immunosuppressed on the basis of liver transplantation 23 years earlier requiring long-term immunosuppression since then. Azathioprine had been ceased on account of progressive skin lesions, but she continued on cyclosporin. Multiple keratinocyte skin cancers had been previously excised, and previous treatments for SFC had included topical 5FU and cryotherapy without lasting benefit. She wished to avoid further surgical intervention and was assessed as suitable for VMAT radiotherapy for SFC.

Treatment prescription and progress

Treatment was prescribed in accordance with our published technique, to a dose of 45 Gy in 25 fractions to the right lower limb, and 45 Gy in 25 fractions to the right forearm (including a simultaneous integrated boost to a clinically suspected squamous cell carcinoma on the mid-forearm). Both regions were treated simultaneously five days per week, with a planned 2-week break after 12 fractions). In vivo dosimetry confirmed that the delivered dose was within tolerance of the treatment plan. The patient tolerated the prescribed treatment to the upper limb well.

She developed significant acute lower leg pain and progressive oedema after 14 fractions (25.2 Gy), two fractions after the planned break, with patchy moist desquamation. Ultrasound excluded a deep vein thrombosis. With appropriate analgesia and skin care, treatment continued to a total dose of 41.4 Gy in 23 fractions before being ceased due to increasing pain. Symptoms improved significantly within 10 days of completing radiotherapy, with evidence of resolving field changes in both the upper and lower limb.

On review 42 days after treatment, the field changes in the upper limb had resolved, with no evidence of residual keratoses. However, in the lower limb the patient reported multiple rapidly enlarging, tender, hyper-keratotic lesions emerging throughout the irradiated lower limb field (Figure 7) and beyond. These were first noted approximately 21 days after completion of radiotherapy and had increased in size and number in the following weeks. Clinically the lesions resembled KAs, and the diagnosis was verified clinically by the patient’s dermatologist. A dominant persisting lesion on the foot was excised with histopathology confirming a hyperkeratotic squamo-proliferative lesion in keeping with keratoacanthoma. This lesion was outside the RT field. No such lesions were identified within the treated upper limb field. The patient was commenced on oral acitretin 10mg alternate days, approximately 8 weeks after radiotherapy. The medication was well tolerated and the majority of lesions became crusted, indurated and regressed. The dose of acitretin was increased to 10mg daily after several months but was ceased due to dry eyes and lips. A number of small KA lesions were observed to persist 6 months after completion of radiotherapy, and her acitretin dose was restarted at the alternate day dose, increased to 10mg daily, before being ceased due to mild cognitive impairment. Complete resolution finally occurred 300 days (10 months) after completion of radiotherapy. These case findings are summarised in Table 2.

Discussion

We report three cases of KA following completion of VMAT for SFC. One lesion arose on a back in a large area that had received 30.6 Gy in 17 fractions. It first became apparent at 24 days post RT and resolved by 96 days. The other arose in a small area on a nasal alar in the beam penumbra that received 36Gy in 25 fractions, first noticed at 57 days and resolving by 85 days. The third arose in an immunosuppressed patient in the leg in a large area that was irradiated to 41.4 Gy in 23 fractions. These KAs resolved spontaneously. Other lesions arose adjacent to that which were excised and histopathology
revealed squamo-proliferative lesions. These were possibly also KAs, but as they were excised, spontaneous resolution, so typical and confirmatory of KA, was not observed, and so their status as KAs cannot be confirmed. No lesions have recurred locally or regionally at least 6 months after the last follow up. There have been previously published reports of KAs arising following skin cancer treatment. There has been one other published report of KAs arising following RT.13 This case differs from our series in that it was with older RT techniques, was for lesion-based treatment for cSCC rather than field-based treatment and occurred in a patient with a personal and family history of multiple KAs.13

Table 2 Characteristics of KAs post definitive VMAT for SFC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Age(yrs)/sex</th>
<th>Immune-suppressed</th>
<th>Site/body zone/size of SFC/tender</th>
<th>Dose/fraction Delivered (Gy/#)</th>
<th>Days post RT to onset</th>
<th>Days post RT to resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>84 M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Back/trunk/ large/tender</td>
<td>30.6/17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>81 M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nose/H+N9/Small/non-tender</td>
<td>36/25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72 F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Leg/limbs/large/tender</td>
<td>41.4/23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36/22</td>
<td>24 or 3.5 weeks or one month</td>
<td>178 or 25 weeks or 6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*H+N= head and neck

Reports of KAs arising following SFC treatment with other modalities include reports following PDT,16-18 imiquimod,19,20 and surgery, with and without skin grafts.21 Provoking factors for KA include immunosuppression or immunodeficiency, trauma, surgery, irritation after topical drugs (imiquimod), systemic chemotherapies, microdermabrasion, chemical peels, laser therapy and electromagnetic radiation.16 The usual treatment for KA is surgical excision driven mainly by a concern that the KA may progress to invasive SCC rather than spontaneously regress. Our three cases had surgery to nearby lesions that may have been KAs. Surgery may not always be feasible. Treatment with oral acitretin has been used successfully in cases not amenable to surgery11 as has intralesional 5-FU, methotrexate, imiquimod, PDT, laser therapy and RT.22,23 There are several interesting radiobiological aspects to these cases. They arose in areas that did not receive the prescription dose. They arose in all possible VMAT in SFC zones i.e., head and neck, trunk and limbs. They arose within weeks after re-epithelisation of the irradiated area had been completed. The larger lesions were tender. Was this a function of size only?

There are also several interesting immunological aspects to these cases. In the immunosuppressed patient the KAs appeared at the same time as in the immune competent but took longer to resolve despite acitretin. In the same patient, KAs arose in the leg but did not arise in the treated area of the arm, which was treated at the same time to the same dose. The cause of the KAs in the leg also cannot then be solely due to immunosuppression. These observations also suggest that genetic factors alone are not the cause, and a local factor may be involved. KAs also appeared outside the field, more specifically in the foot below the leg field. Was this a function of the acute radiation oedema travelling to dependent sites taking factors that caused KAs to arise out of field? These are all hypothesis-generating observations. More study especially with translational end points is needed.

In these early days of VMAT for SFC it is important to describe unusual findings. Involvement of a multidisciplinary team may help guide the appropriate treatment for this phenomenon. Radiation oncologists may now include the possibility of KAs arising after VMAT for SFC in the consenting process. The degree to which this phenomenon is emphasised in that process will be made clearer when further studies are completed, especially studies that will measure the actual incidence of KAs arising after VMAT for SFC over the denominator of total cases, the vast majority of which have not experienced KAs.

Conclusion
The first cases of KAs following VMAT for SFC are reported. In these three cases, the KAs’s appeared in areas treated with less than the prescription RT dose and as such did not receive full therapeutic RT for SFC. KA needs to be recognised as a possible toxicity in this scenario. More studies are needed.
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