Radiation doses for mammography and its relationship with anthropo-technical parameters

Abstract

Background: Mammography is the radiographic examination of the breast. Radiation doses and cancer risks from breast imaging studies is under scrutiny hence, the need to investigate the dose received during mammography.

Objective of the study: To determine the doses received during mammography in two university teaching hospitals and to compare it with other established work.

Methodology: This study is a prospective cross-sectional study conducted in two university teaching hospitals in North eastern Nigeria. Sixty (60) patients were recruited for the study. Thermoluminiscence dosimeter (TLD) chips were exposed for cranio-caudal and medio-lateral examinations to record the entrance skin dose (ESD). TLD readings were obtained at the Centre for Energy Research and Training Zaria, Kaduna state, Nigeria. Dance formula was used to convert ESD to mean glandular dose (MGD). Student T-test was used to determine the relationship between the mean ESD obtained in the two centers and Pearson’s correlation was used to determine the relationship between the MGD and anthropotechnical parameters. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results: The total MGD for this study was 0.31±0.05mGy and 0.69±0.11mGy for cranio-caudal (CC) and medio-lateral oblique (MLO) respectively.

Conclusion: Mean Glandular doses were lesser than recommended standards. There was no statistical significant relationship (p>0.05) between the MGD and anthropotechnical parameters.

Keywords: mammography, thermoluminiscent dosimeter, mean glandular dose, cranio-caudal view, medio-lateral oblique view

Abbreviations: TLD, thermoluminiscence dosimeter; ESD, entrance skin dose; MGD, mean glandular dose; MLO, medio-lateral oblique; CC, cranio-caudal; ICRP, international commission on radiological protection; ESD, entrance surface dose; SPSS, statistical package for social sciences

Introduction

Mammography is an x-ray examination of the breast usually done for breast cancer screening and accompanied by further development of both invasive and non-invasive radiological technique used for establishing the diagnosis of palpable and non palpable lesions. The major projection for x-ray mammography includes cranio-caudal and mediolateral obliques view. There are two types of patients on whom mammograms are performed: symptomatic women in the clinic and asymptomatic women in breast screening programmes. The standard technique used for breast imaging are x-ray mammography and real time ultrasonography with Doppler interrogation, magnetic resonance imaging, scintimammography and digital mammography. High quality mammography requires good and functional equipment with highly skilled and well trained radiographers. Breast cancer causes almost half a million deaths in the world per year but early detection has been demonstrated to reduce mortality by up to 30%.

Measuring radiation dose to the breast has been performed using a variety of approaches including air kerma, entrance skin dose, mid-breast dose, total energy transmitted to the breast and the mean glandular dose. The average dose absorbed by the glandular tissue was found to be the most effective way of measuring absorbed dose of the breast because the mammary glands are most sensitive to ionizing radiation and to have the highest risk of developing radiation induced carcinogenesis. Mean glandular dose (MGD) is the recommended metric used by many authorities such as International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), United States National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, the British Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine (IPEM), the European Council Protocol and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).

Dose to glandular tissue of the breast cannot be directly measured using x-ray examination but can be assessed with certain standard assumptions that depend on breast characteristics and x-ray spectra. MGD represents the effective dose absorbed by the breast and it is calculated from conversion factors that has been established, such factors relates MGD to entrance surface dose and allows for a wide and flexible range of x-ray spectra, breast thickness and glandularity.

The aim of the study is to determine the relationship between doses received during mammography and anthropo-technical parameters in two university teaching hospitals and to compare it with other established work.

Materials and methods

Methods

The study is prospective cross sectional study carried out in Radiology departments of two University Teaching Hospitals located...
in North Eastern part of Nigeria. Sixty (60) patients were recruited for the study. The data in this study were collected from October 2015 to January 2016. The centers were chosen because they met the eligibility criteria for the study; having all the imaging modalities for the study and Nigerian Nuclear Regulatory Authority’s Requirement for Authorization and Practice (Licensing) involving ionizing radiation.

**Machine specifications**

Mammography machine: for hospital A the machine is manufactured by Planned OY, Helsinki Finland in April 2008 while that of hospital B was manufactured by Halogic Inco-operation USA in July 2012. There kVp and mAs range are 20-35 and 10-500 for hospital A and 20-40 and 10-400 for hospital B respectively. The inherent filtration for both hospitals was 30µM Molybdenum, 0.5mmAl, 25µM Rhodium.

**Procedure**

Medio lateral oblique (MLO) and craniocaudal (CC) views were considered. The patient breast was positioned on the support paddle, compression is then applied the machines uses automatic exposure control, it therefore provides the exposure factors to be used automatically namely kVp, mAs, anode/filter combination according to the breast granularity and thickness. The machine also provides the compressed breast thickness before exposure is made. The parameters were recorded for each patient and the compressed breast thickness is measured using flexible meter rule. Thermoluminiscent dosimeters (TLDs) were placed at the upper inner quadrant of the breast before any compression was made for both the CC and MLO views of both breasts. Two (2) TLDs were exposed for each patient. The exposed TLDs were labeled for proper identification and kept in black nylon away from radiation.

**Ethical clearance**

In line with Helsinki declaration (1964), ethical approval was obtained from the research ethics committee of the Faculty of Health Science and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Nnewi Campus and from each hospital under study. Informed consent form interpreted in Hausa language was filled by each (volunteer, Patient) participant in compliance with the Human Research Ethics Guidelines for patients who do not understand English Language. The first author/researcher also underwent web based training by National Health Institute on Research Ethics United States involving human subject for adequate knowledge on research procedures and guidelines involving human subjects.

**Dose determination for mammography**

After the TLDs were read by the TLD reader, the value gotten by the control TLD reading was subtracted from the value of the actual TLD to get the value of the Entrance Surface Dose (ESD). To get the Mean glandular dose the conversion factors derived by Dance et al. was used to calculate the MGD.

Table 1 Mean glandular dose (MGD) received for mammography examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination</th>
<th>Mean ESD(mGy) hospital A</th>
<th>Mean ESD(mGy) hospital B</th>
<th>Mean ESD(mGy) both</th>
<th>MGD(mGy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cranio-kaudal</td>
<td>0.50±0.48</td>
<td>0.31±0.05</td>
<td>0.48±0.69</td>
<td>0.31±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medio lateral oblique</td>
<td>0.70±0.74</td>
<td>0.69±0.11</td>
<td>0.68±0.40</td>
<td>0.69±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESD, entrance skin dose; MGD, mean glandular dose

**Results**

The MGD was calculated using this formula:

\[ MGD = K \times g \times C \times s \]

Where K Entrance Surface Dose.

\( g = \) ESD to MGD conversion factor on the assumption that the entire breast has a glandularity 50%.

\( C = \) Conversion factor for difference in breast composition other than 50% glandularity.

\( s = \) is the conversion factor for different x-ray spectrum which can be due to different anode/filter combination e.g. Mo/Mo, Mo/Rh.

**Data analysis**

Data was obtained and saved on a computer Microsoft excel spread sheet and categorized for each examination and imaging modality respectively. It was independently checked by a statistician and two senior radiographers. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 was used to analyze the mean and standard deviation of the anthropometric variables, technical parameters and radiation dose received. Using Kolmogorov- Smirnov test for normality of data distribution it was verified that, for 95% of confidence level, there was a normal distribution. Therefore, we used a parametric test, suitable for the set of data and analysis. Pearson’s correlation was used to determine the relationship between radiation dose and compressed breast thickness while students t-test was used to compare the MGD for the two hospitals. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

**Table 1** shows mean and standard deviation of the entrance skin dose, mean glandular dose and diagnostic reference levels for mammography examination. The mean entrance skin dose for cranio-kaudal and Medio lateral oblique are 0.50±9.48mGy and 0.70±0.74mGy for Hospital A, 0.31±0.05mGy and 0.69±0.11mGy for hospital B. The total mean and standard deviation for both hospitals were 0.48±0.69mGy and 0.68±0.40mGy for cranio-kaudal and Medio lateral oblique respectively. The mean glandular dose for cranio-kaudal and Medio lateral oblique are 0.31±0.05 and 0.69±0.11mGy (Table 2 & Table 3).

Table 4 shows the T-test comparison of radiation dose and some technical parameters for mammography examination between hospital A and B. Detail result from the table shows that when the mean doses for the hospitals were compared there was no statistical significant relationship (p>0.05) between mAs, kVp and mean glandular dose for the hospitals.

Table 5 shows comparison of Mean Glandular Dose for mammography examination with reference values for European commission, United Kingdom and Australia. The reference values for Australian radiation protection and nuclear safety agency (ARPANSA), EC, UK and this work were 0.88mGy, 2.0mGy, 2.0mGy and 0.31mGy for cranio-kaudal view and 1.30mGy, 2.0mGy, 2.1mGy and 0.69mGy for mediolateral- oblique.
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Table 2: The relationship between doses received by patients and anthropometric parameters for mammography examination. There was no statistical significant relationship (p>0.05) between the dose and compressed breast thickness, weight, height and BMI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination</th>
<th>CBT(cm)</th>
<th>Weight(kg)</th>
<th>Height(m²)</th>
<th>BMI(kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Craniocaudal</td>
<td>0.134, 0.479</td>
<td>-0.197, 0.297</td>
<td>0.255, 0.174</td>
<td>-0.220, 0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medio lateral oblique</td>
<td>-0.197, 0.297</td>
<td>-0.219, 0.244</td>
<td>0.324, 0.077</td>
<td>-0.352, 0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), *, Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)**

Table 3: Relationship between doses received by patients during radiographic examination and technical parameters.

Table 4: Comparison of patient’s mean glandular dose and technical parameters for mammography examination between Hospital A and Hospital B.

Table 5: Comparison of DRLs for mammography in this work with European Commission, United Kingdom and Australian radiation protection and nuclear safety agency DRLs.

Discussion

The study determined the relationship between doses received during mammography and anthropo-technical parameters in two university teaching hospitals and to compare it with other established work. The hospitals studied were divided into two A and B respectively. There are three university teaching hospitals in North Eastern Nigeria as at the time of the study. However, hospitals A and B were chosen because they met the inclusion criteria for the study having the necessary functional imaging facility (mammography). A total of sixty patients participated in this study.

Table 1 shows mean and standard deviation of the entrance skin dose and mean glandular dose for mammography examination. The mean entrance skin dose for cranio-caudal and medio lateral oblique are 0.50±0.48mGy and 0.70±0.74mGy for Hospital A, 0.31±0.05mGy and 0.69±0.11mGy for hospital B. The total mean and standard deviation for both hospitals were 0.48±0.69mGy and 0.68±0.40mGy for cranio-caudal and Medio lateral oblique respectively. The mean glandular dose for cranio-caudal and Medio lateral oblique are 0.31±0.05 and 0.69±0.11mGy. The diagnostic reference level for cranio-caudal and Medio lateral oblique are 0.63mGy and 1.04mGy. The use of different breast imaging technique in patient’s...
Recommendations

I. The research work shows that there is need to optimize operations in hospitals in North eastern Nigeria and probably in most hospitals in Nigeria. The optimization step may start with the regulatory body mandating radiographers to take part in various refreshers and update course for them to be aware of the current trends and recent developments on how to properly and effectively dispense radiation in diagnosis.

II. The hospitals should implement a functional and standing radiation safety committee appoint a radiation safety adviser and radiation safety officer that will be trained by the regulatory body on radiation safety. The essence of enacting this committee is to saddle them with the responsibility of monitoring the staff, developing a facility patient dose database that will be used to evaluate radiation dose whenever the need arises and ensuring radiation safety culture in radiation practices.

III. This kind of study should be conducted in all the regions of Nigeria so that Nigeria can successfully have a National DRLs for radiological examination. It is therefore suggested that the Nigerian Nuclear Regulatory Authority should collaborate with academicians and clinical researchers to come up with regional and national DRLs and should also come up with a policy for periodic review after every five years as a DRLs guidelines and publish it as a regulatory guideline in ionizing radiation regulations.

IV. A culture of regular dose measurement, quarterly quality control, film reject analysis, image quality assessment should be inculcated in each facility as recommended by IAEA as a main part of diagnostic radiology procedures and installations.

V. Nuclear regulatory Authority should come up with DRLs document and guidelines for Nigeria by having a sample from representative Geo-political zones in Nigeria. This study suggests that a committee should be constituted and mandated to set DRLs for Nigeria and it should be revisited after every five years. This committee should comprise of academic researchers, clinical researchers, radiographers, radiologist, medical physicist and engineers.
Conclusion
This present determined mean glandular dose (MGD) values for mammography in two major referral hospitals in North Eastern Nigeria with larger patient throughput. The MGD values for mammography in this study are lower compared to that of ARPANSA, UK and European Commission. This study has an educational and regulatory function to the radiology community and furthermore provides a benchmark to assist any statutory organization to establish DRLs for diagnostic radiology practices in Nigeria, Africa and the world entirely.
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