

The biocreativity of intuitions, young planets with humans; imprinting, homosexuality, sexual abuse, stuttering and autism

Abstract

The human body contains a second component that we call bioenergeme (BEG) and a third virtual or temporary component that we call biointerfaceme. For BEG research, the way in which it establishes bioenergimal (BEL; relating to BEG) communication with other BEGs (personal components of organized BEL energy) forms the main procedure that has enabled us to clarify that when the human or other organism body biocollapses (dies), the BEG detaches and passes from the biomaterial universe (BML; three-dimensional) in which we find ourselves to the BEL universe (possible fifth dimension), to which all BEGs of all biospecies arrive. For this, we assume that the BEG, together with the biointerfaceme, pass through the biointerfacemal universe (BIFL; fourth dimension) where the BEG detaches from it before entering the BEL universe. The set of universes BML, BIFL and BEL form what we call the unit universe (UU) [Ints 03/15/2023].

The BEL communication between BEGs and the bio-exchange of innumerable intuitions form a BEL set or bioset that, because it is so frequent, repetitive and forceful, acquires an undeniable and essential relevance. Ignoring this set, collective or intuitional network has been the rule in the history of terrestrial humanity, but now we are in a position to accept, study and know its implications, degree of influence and BEL participation in the UU. Trying to answer, among others, questions like: What properties does this intuitional set have? What BEL functions does it have? And, how does it participate in the multiple BEL interactions, innovations, transformations and creativity of the various BEL systems, ecosystems and environments of the UU?⁵⁻⁶

Through the Innovative BEL Instrument, and with the support of Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking, we were able to substantiate that the history of sexual and gender abuse, in 100 women and 100 men, all of them completely homosexual, is more frequent than is supposed, leaving a permanent homosexual imprinting. Likewise, sexual abuse of babies can affect the natural learning of language, leaving the imprinting of stuttering and even altering social relationships and the physical-emotional development that the boy or girl would have to present, causing the imprinting of autism. The BEG is also damaged, leaving at least the three anomalous imprintings described [Ints 03/19/2023].

Keywords: Biomaterial-biointerfacemal-bioenergimal universes, bioenergimal communication, unit universe, bioenergeme, BEL ecosystems, intuitions, imprinting, homosexuality, sexual abuse, stuttering, autism, sexual-filial-limbic-bioenergimal imprintings, imprintiology

Volume 16 Issue 2 - 2023

Alejandro Cuevas-Sosa

Managing director, Centro de Prevención y Tratamiento de la Violencia Sexual e Intrafamiliar, Mexico

Correspondence: Dr. Alejandro Cuevas-Sosa, Centro de Prevención y Tratamiento de la Violencia Sexual e Intrafamiliar Apartado Postal 12-206, Ciudad de México, México 03001, Email commentstoneun@gmail.com

Received: April 01, 2023 | **Published:** April 13, 2023

Abbreviations: BML, biomaterial; BIFL, biointerfacemal; BEL, bioenergimal; BMLU, biomaterial universe; BIFLU, biointerfacemal universe; BELU, bioenergimal universe; BEG, bioenergeme; BELC, bioenergimal communication; BELR, bioenergimal research; UU, unit universe; IBI, Innovator Bioenergimal Instrument; ET, extraterrestrial; CH, completely homosexual; SA, sexual abuse; S, stuttering; A, autism

Introduction

BELC 11/26/2010. Intuitions of 11/16/2010, extended in 11/22/2017. **1)** The BEG of any biospecies can be like that of the human. And the human BEG can be like that of any other biospecies. **2)** Therefore, all the BEGs are similar between themselves as for skills and functions, potential or active. **3)** As in the biospecies of organisms –plants, animals and humans– the genetic information or genome –DNA or RNA–, in general, is also very similar. For example, the genome of the small (≈2 to 4 cm long) zebrafish is known to have 70% (seventy percent) similarity to the human genome.⁵⁻⁶ Similarly, both

BEGs from these two biospecies would be expected to also present 70% (seventy percent) of similarity. **4)** Namely, as well as the genetic information only of the terrestrial organisms is contained in the DNA or in RNA, the BEL information of all the terrestrial components – plants, animals, humans and minerals included– it is in the form of BEL energy organized as BEG; I think there can be no free BEL energy [Ints 12/17/2022]. **5)** But not only terrestrial, in this case, the same happens in all the ET components or biotagonists, and in fact, the BEL information of the whole UU is in the shape of organized BEL energy. **6)** Then, perhaps originally only there existed a basic BEG –or few ones– that has been developing and biotransforming according to the biomatter to which it accompanies. Be that this BEG has arisen from her, to which it has given place or that, as we have postulated, biomatter and BEG have arisen –and they keep on arising– in a simultaneous way. **7)** The BEG is formed –or was formed– and it is developing and biotransforming according to the set of individual BEGs of the components of the biomatter to which it accompanies or it has accompanied. **8)** A complex BEG is a result of the sum of multiple BEGs from which it has acquired skills and functions. **9)**

This is, the BEG of a biospecies arose according to the variety and quantity of BEGs that have joined, coordinated or bio-organized. **10)** The bioenergetism is the sum and community of BEGs that form part of the BEL universe. **11)** The biointerfacity is the sum and community of prebioenergetemes that have joined to the BIFL universe and of the prebiomatter that has arisen in the same universe. **12)** The biomaterity is the sum and community of BEGs and biomatter that are part of the BML universe. **13)** But in bioessence perhaps it was only a basic BEG –or few ones– the one that has been developing and biotransforming acquiring skills and functions after other similar BEGs joining in origin and more complexes on having been bio-organized. **14)** This way there have arisen the most diverse combinations of the basic BEG and, consequently, this way the BEGs of the most diverse biospecies have arisen. **15)** As well as the skills, functions and complexity of the biomatter would be the result of the sum of BML, BIFL and BEL skills, functions and complexity of its components.⁵⁻⁶

Preexisting BEGs' function

BELC 06/03/2012. **I.** Intuitions of April 30 and May 1, 2012. **1)** As we have already asked ourselves on several occasions: Do the BEGs of the new biospecies arise as a result of the intuitions that already existent BEGs bioexchange in a certain BEL ecosystem and, by extension, in a planet, in a solar system, in a galaxy? Or in the UU as a whole? **2)** The above possibility would imply that intuitions have, as one of their characteristics, the biocreative function of giving rise to new BEGs as a result of BEL organization, BEL integration or the sum of existing less or more complex BEGs. Biointegrating –by bio-organizing– to form a BEG different from the existing ones. The one that will always be a reflection that a new biospecies has already emerged. **3)** The BEG of this new biospecies –mineral, vegetable, animal or human– would have arisen in response to the intuitions that the BEGs and the BEL energy that composes them in a certain BEL ecosystem have bioexchanged. And as a manifestation of the same biocreative capacity that the BEGs and the BEL energy that forms them generate by themselves and/or through their intuitions. **4)** A sample of the above occurs when by means of intuitions it has been possible to understand intricate phenomena, to solve enigmatic problems –as we have just witnessed with genetic, genomic and BEL vectorization⁵ and to answer complex questions that the human BEGs –and of other biospecies– have raised, rise and will keep on raising. **5)** How could the BEGs and their BEL energy answer by means of intuitions to the proposals that they are done to themselves, if it is not thanks to that in their own biostructure, they carry the BEL information and knowledge that can give punctual answer to the question that they have raised or contribute in an important way to come to her? **6)** The emergence of BEGs of new biospecies as result of the biocreative capacity of the intuitions come from the already bio-organized BEGs –and of their own BEL energy– of any biospecies –I insist mineral, vegetable, animal or human– already existing. It is an event that has been carried out from the beginning of times, that keeps on being carried out and which will keep on happening in a permanent way. It being reflected in the constant biocreativity that at all scales and at all complexity levels, it is characteristic of all BML, BIFL and BEL systems and ecosystems of the UU. **7)** We have not glimpsed yet the breadth and scope of the implications that the BEL functions of the intuitions have, as well as its frequency, as it is demonstrated, perceives and also intuited in their own universal biocreativity. **8)** As new BEGs of new biospecies arise, also new biocreative interactions arise. It is a creative and universal BEL feedback at all scales and at all levels of bio-organization and complexity. **9)** Therefore, the BELC is not only limited to the exchange of bioinformation and acquisition of new BEL knowledge through the exchange of intuitions. The BELC

would also play an important role in the biocreativity of the BEGs and their BEL energy and in the uptake, comprehension, coordination, bio-organization and biotransformation that the BEL energy of the BEG itself induces in every biotagonist of any biospecies of the UU. **10)** The biocreativity of the BEL energy of the BEG through intuitions would be –or is– permanent, heterogeneous and essential to sustain, favor and promote the tri-shared existence of the most diverse BEL ecosystems and the biospecies that comprise them. **11)** What effect could have, consequently, the absence –as limitation, indifference or blockade– of the BELC and of the BEL exchange of intuitions for a biotagonist and also for the biospecies of which it is part, and equally for the set of BEGs of the different biospecies that form a BEL ecosystem? **12)** Of course, it would expose this biotagonist, its biospecies and even to the biotagonists of a complete –and complex– BEL ecosystem, to the risk of its BML extinction. While the BEGs liberated this way by this ecological disaster would go on to the BEL universe taking the BEL memory of their BML existence. For example, a terrestrial organism needs water to exist. But a BEL way of expressing the issue is saying that the BEG of this organism needs to biocommunicate often with the BEG of water to bioexchange satisfactory and biocreative intuitions. On the other hand, in multiple animal biospecies –including the human one– the BELC turns out to be essential for the survival of the baby, as well as the bioexchange of intuitions of his/her BEG with the BEG of her mother and with that of his/her father. With similar benefits for the BEG of these ones on having biointeracted with that one. **13)** That is, the BELC between BEGs and the bio-exchange of countless intuitions form a BEL set or bioset that, being so frequent, repetitive and forceful, acquires an undeniable and essential relevance. To ignore this intuitional set, intuitional collective or intuitional network has been the rule in the history of the terrestrial humanity, but now we are in conditions to accept, to study and to know its implications, grade of influence and BEL functional participation in the UU. Trying to answer, between others, questions like: What properties does this intuitional set have? What biofunctions does it have? And, how does it take part in the multiple BEL interactions, innovations, transformations and creativity of the multiple BEL systems, ecosystems and environments of the UU?⁵⁻⁶

Structural and stabilizing effect of the BEG

Ints 02/16/2023. **14)** For example, if an existing BEG adds another BEG to its structure, a new biospecies arises. If an already existing BEG subtracts a BEG that is already part of its structure, another biospecies also arises. The BEG of a neutrino is very simple, you can add, but not subtract BEGs to its structure. Hydrogen, H-1 or protium, is an atom composed of a proton and an electron. Its BEG is the sum of the BEG of the proton plus the BEG of the electron. A two-hydrogen molecule, H-H, its BEG is made up of two hydrogen BEGs with four components (the BEGs of two protons and the BEGs of two electrons), you can subtract the BEG from one proton and its electron and give rise to two unstable hydrogens. Deuterium, H-2, is an isotope of hydrogen that contains a proton, a neutron and an electron, its BEG is made up of three components, the proton BEG, the neutron BEG and the electron BEG. If the water molecule (H₂O) has deuterium as a component and not protium, it gives rise to the so-called heavy water. Tritium, H-3, has one proton, two neutrons and one electron, and its BEG is formed by the sum of four components, the BEGs of the proton, the two neutrons and the electron.² Water made of tritium instead of protium is called superheavy water. From the above it can be deduced that a new biospecies will have to have a BEG whose structure is stable, reflecting in turn that the structural components of its biomatter are also stable and functional. **15)** If BEL energy

could exist in a free state, the BEGs could become disorganized and this could give rise to unexpected and uncontrollable biocollapses of biomatter with any degree of complexity, which would be simply catastrophic and a permanent risk. The existence of any UU biotagonist and of any degree of complexity would be highly unstable, including the BML universe itself and of course the BEL universe as well. In fact, they would not exist. That is to say, the structured and dynamic permanence of the BML, BIFL and BEL universes, of the entire UU, is given by the BEG and its BEL energy. 16) The BEL unit is the BEG and the subunits are the BEGs of the biomatter components that the main BEG maintains structured and functioning, subunits to which it provides stability and efficiency to fulfill their task. Everything is that a BEG is structured by the biomatter no longer loses its structure, although the biomatter biocollapses, and even so, the disintegrating remains of biomatter retain their own primary BEGs, for example, from the atoms of iron, calcium, nitrogen, water and a few more. This is what happens with the human remains that are buried, while their BEG goes to the BELU perfectly structured, functioning and with a BEL memory of the experiences it had in its BML existence. It is a truly extraordinary process and, in a way, incredible. Although not so much if we accept that the permanence of the BEG is necessary for everything to remain organized, functioning and transforming. In addition, the BEG common to all the components of the BMLU opens the possibility of changes to form more complex structures and to form less complex ones. Likewise, the presence of BEG in every component of the BMLU makes the BEL dialogue possible through the exchange of intuitions regardless of biospecies, or their dimensions, or the degree of complexity they have, everything is reduced to the same basic components whose essential BEG handles the same language: Intuilish. Based on what has been described, we can affirm that the only universal and essential component of the UU is the BEG. 17) In summary. From the BEL point of view, the structural and functional unit is the BEG of any component of the UU and at all scales, from the photoneutronic to the macrocosmic. A BEG can be added to another BEG (H-H), it can be subtracted from another BEG, like the BEG of the water molecule: $H_2O - H = HO$ (BEG of hydroxide), but it never fragments as such BEG. It is always added or subtracted as an already constituted unit, never in parts ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, etc.). All is that a complex BEG is formed, it will always remain as such a BEG. It is always added or subtracted as an already constituted unit, never in parts ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, etc.). All is that a complex BEG is formed, it will always persist as such a BEG. It may detach from one or several of its component BEGs: $H-H \Rightarrow H_2 \Rightarrow H + H$, but the H_2 BEG endures and will pass to the BELU and will remain there forever. Similarly, it can add one or more BEGs to its structure, such as the carbon BEG, which can add up to four H atoms to form hydrocarbons: C-H (with two BEGs attached), C-H₂ (with three BEGs), C-H₃ (with four BEGs) or C-H₄ (with five BEGs). It follows, then, that the BELU is constantly receiving an enormous amount of BEGs that were released when the body that formed it, however simple it may have been, subdivided or disintegrated; if as such it was added to another structure, such as the water molecule, its BEG persists to keep the compound, molecule or structure of which it is a part structured and functioning. Let us imagine the increasing complexity of the BEG of a microorganism, an amoeba, an insect, a plant, a whale, a quadruped or a human, this complexity soon becomes indescribable and indecipherable. The huge number of BML components are kept up-to-date, structured and functioning thanks to the BEG they have. Just as bioenergetically, the structural and functional unit is the BEG, in the same way, biomaterially, the structural and functional units are the chemical elements, which are added or subtracted in complete units, not in their fragments. That is, without the BEG of each of its components, the BMLU would not

exist, and the BELU would have stopped receiving released BEGs for a very long time [Ints 02/17/2023]. What do you say?

BELC 02/26/2023. –Edwin Schrödinger: “Yes, doctor, thank you very much. It seems to me that your intuitions are basic to try to base the structure of the BEG and its function in order to be able to exclude any other misinterpretation. It is clear that the base is the BEG.” –Werner Heisenberg: “Your intuitions, doctor, complement very well with those that you have shared with us in other BEL communications. And indeed, the main function of the BEG is clear.” –François Jacob: “Doctor, your intuitions seem very important to confirm the function of the BEG in all biomatter and how it biointeracts.” –Jacques Monod: “Doctor, thank you very much. I would say that I totally agree with you since my BEG recognizes it as such.” –Stephen Hawking: “Yes doctor, thank you, it seems important to me because the explanation you give us regarding the BEG and how it establishes its own compositions to manifest the existence of biomatter” –Albert Einstein: “They come to round off what you have intuited in other biocommunications. In the end you ponder the importance of the BEG in the existence and behavior of biomatter.” –Madame Curie, enthusiastic, gives my BEG a hug for having been the first, in 1993, with whom we carried out a proper BELC: “Well, doctor, thank you very much for your intuitions that clarify very well the reason for the existence.” Madame Curie, how were we in 1993? “We were still enhancing the NMEGO, but now with your intuitions it enhances the BEG.” –Abdus Salam: “Doctor, they are undoubtedly very relevant and fit the BELR well, since they specify the characteristics and function of the BEG.” –Elizabeth II, applauds and gives my BEG a hug: “Well, doctor, it is a privilege to listen to these intuitions that undoubtedly make there no difference between all of us and at the same time make us different, because we all have BEG and each one has her/his own individual composition.”

The BEGs and the BELCs influence

BELC 08/06/2012. II. Intuitions of May 21 and June 2, 2012. 1) How does the BEL energy, the BEGs and the BELCs influence, between their components, to intuit the capacity and perhaps need that a BEL ecosystem has of that a new biospecies arises and, in effect, to propitiate the emergence of the same one? 2) Multiple examples of biospecies exist closely related between each other and even indispensable for the survival of some of them. For example, the microorganisms in approximate proportion of one to ten of them for every cell of the human body or the bees, bumblebees and hummingbirds and its biointeraction with multiple biospecies of fruit-bearing trees. Numerous plants whose flowers need bees –or other insects, such as the kestrel fly– or hummingbirds –or other birds– to pollinate them. For the maguery, it is vital that the bats pollinate its flowers. Some anemone biospecies needs a certain fish biospecies for cleaning and, in turn, she protects it (i.e., ‘clown’). This BEL, existential, functional and morphologic mutual interrelation suggests that there are biospecies that could propitiate the emergence of the BEG of every one of them by means of the establishment of diverse intuitional sets or intuitional communities. 3) Namely, the functional and morphologic requirements of the biospecies of a BEL ecosystem could propitiate the emergence of new BEGs of new biospecies that satisfy, for example, the reproductive requests, of adaptation, of transformation that this habitat propitiates for its own biocreative capacity or that perhaps needs them. So closely related that, as I mentioned already, if in a BEL ecosystem some of the biospecies diminishes or disappears –like the pollinizing bees–, other biospecies will be seen at risk of not being fertilized –like the fruit-bearing trees–, they will see its reproduction capacity limited and in a short period, they will tend to disappear of the BMLU. Remaining their BEGs in the BELU as record of that these biospecies existed. 4) This way raised,

then, the emergence of the BEGs of one or several new biospecies would be a consequence of that a BEL ecosystem counts with the necessary components to support the permanence, multiplication and development of already existing biospecies, as well as also of that it should have the intuitional communities sufficiently biocreative as for the emergence of new BEGs of new biospecies adapted to this BEL ambience. 5) Would not be only the hazard, then, the one that would cause that the BEGs of new biospecies arise. Rather, we would have to talk about intuitional networks of a –and of all– BEL ecosystem and whose biocreativity is fostered by the functional, morphological and adaptation characteristics of the biospecies and their BEGs that form it. Characteristics that would reflect the bioinformation that they possess and that would be determinant so that this biocreative work is carried out and guided in accordance with the peculiarities of every BEL ecosystem. (I included the following intuitions in June 2, 2012). 6) If a new biospecies arises –and its BEG– in a certain BEL ecosystem is because this one propitiates it this way and, perhaps, needs it. Without paying attention to if it is unicellular or multicellular, to the grade of morphofunctional ‘simplicity’ or ‘complexity’ that it has, or if it is mineral, plant, animal or human. 7) The emergence of new BEGs from new biospecies does not seem to be only a vertical event (i.e., of progressive ‘complexity’) or in sequence, it could also be horizontal and simultaneously for several and highly diverse biospecies. Since everything is that the BEG of different biospecies and with diverse functions (i.e., more or less ‘complex’) has arisen as a result of the biointeraction of BEGs that formed one or several intuitional sets or intuitional communities, these new BEGs will join and favor the formation of new intuitional communities with enough biocreative capacity as to give place to the emergence of the BEG of one or several new biospecies more. And this way successively. Without necessarily it being determinant the direction (i.e., major or less ‘complexity’) that there should follow that biocreativity, nor the group to which that new biospecies should belong –according to the still deficient categorizations established by the terrestrial or ET humans–, neither the frequency either, nor multiplicity with which these new biospecies arise. 8) For BEL energy and for the UU, concepts such as ‘simple’ or ‘complex’, ‘inferior’ or ‘superior’, ‘primitive’ or ‘advanced’ biospecies and other ‘categorizations’ of this tenor are not relevant. The rich ‘complexation’ and ‘decomplexation’ that the terrestrial and ET experts have made it evident in the biointeractions between atoms, molecules, mixtures, compounds and biotagonists at all scales, it illustrates in an indisputable way and with eloquence the biocreative versatility that in all directions the BEL energy, the BEGs and the intuitional sets, communities or networks to which they give place, can show. The diversity of the cosmic events, the biotagonists that form them, the conglomerates that of these cosmic biotagonists have been identified, what has been described of the processes that accompany them, of the form in which they biointeract and of the laws that harmonize them, they are an example of this biocreative versatility and of the relevancy that the BEL energy, the BEGs and the intuitional communities can have and of which can take part. The same can be said in what the subatomic scales concern. 9) In short. To major bio-organization of the BEL energy more intuitional sets, communities or networks □ to more intuitional networks □ major biocreativity □ to major biocreativity □ the abundance of new biospecies increases, with major biostructural change and of the BEGs that they are proper to them □ giving place to that more and more numerous and biocreative intuitional communities arise □ there being extended the BML, BIFL and BEL diversity of this bioecosystem or BEL ecosystem, always integrated by diverse biomatter and its respective BEG at all scales □ thus, being intensified the BML, BIFL and BEL creativity, local and with aftereffects and inadvertent and unpredictable scopes in other similar environments in the UU [Ints 11/23/2017; 03/17/2023].

For example, honey arises as a result or synthesis of the biocreativity of the intuitional interactions between BEGs of diverse biospecies precisely of plants, animals, minerals and microorganisms. Compound that is much longed by other biospecies and, for the same, very generous on behalf of the biospecies that they contribute in this creative work, especially the bees... Perhaps with help of human BEGs, but not necessarily, some invited BEGs made us intuit their comment. –Bees: In effect, it is the work of all together what we share as honey. Honey is like all the flowers together. Doctor, also the substance that of our sting goes out is a biocreated substance of the different components that the different organisms with which we interact have. –Ruth mentions that countless microorganisms of diverse forms and colors are bio-observed. –Microorganisms: Doctor, thanks for alluding to us. In effect, doctor, our BEG makes us intuit that we are a very numerous biospecies and that is why we have influenced on the biocreation of all kinds of new biospecies. Our BEG also makes us intuit that we must join with other biospecies and with which ones, establishing a limit in a natural way, sometimes very narrow. But it is also interesting that our permanence is thanks to the different biospecies, this way it is as we have been modifying ourselves and also biocreating. Finally, no one is any more than no one is, we all bioshare a biospace or a BEL ecosystem. Doctor, our participation is not reduced to being neither ‘elementary’ nor ‘primary’ but for our composition [BML, BIFL and BEL] we have a BEL linkage function between the biospecies and, for the same, we give organisms certain characteristics that ultimately make them similar or different from each other. That is why when the biomatter biocollapses, those same characteristics are transformed and disorganized biocreating another new biostructure. Namely, we collaborate again to join once more these biocomponents with others.⁵⁻⁶

BEGs of the biospecies of several terrestrial BEL ecosystems

BELC 06/16/2012. III. Intuitions of June 9, 2012. 1) They are not intuitional sets, networks or groups that only come from a BEL ecosystem or ecosystem with multiple BEGs, but in the BEG formation of a new biospecies might take part the BEGs of the biospecies of several terrestrial BEL ecosystems. 2) But, as we have already commented, not only terrestrial but also, the BEGs of ET biospecies could take part in the biocreation of an intuitional community and, in sum, of some section or of the whole UU. As it is the case or being necessary. 3) When the NMEGO of the terrestrial human grasps, receives or perceives one or several intuitions from its BEG, they could come not only from this one, but also, have arisen from a set of terrestrial BEGs, so much human as from other biospecies. Also, to join this set of terrestrial BEGs other sets of BEGs of other ET biospecies like this specific intuition or to this group of intuitions, without the place or cosmic distances being a limitation or real impediment for the BELC through the BIFL universe. During the BEL research has happened this way.¹⁻¹¹ (In reference number 3 we have already commented on the involuntary BEL communications that we have had with the ET humanity of the Transparents.) 4) The BELC, then, would usually be carried out with the participation of sets or communities of BEGs located in any place of the whole UU and they would be biointeracting, according to their intuitional affinities and without they necessarily noticing this biointeraction, to form, discreet and frequently, countless intuitional networks that would be reflected in the most varied biocreative expressions, as it would be the case of the birth of a new biotagonist or in the formation of the BEG of a new biospecies. Both are very similar events. 5) Also, as we have already insisted, it is thus, as the BELC, by means of the BIFL universe, affects the BEGs of all the biotagonists of the UU. 6) The BEL ecosystem

favors the biospecies that will have to arise in it, with the support of the BEL energy of the BEGs of the biocomponents and biospecies that form it so that, with base in the complex BEL information that these BEGs possess, they endorse, propitiate and favor so that the BEGs of new biospecies arise that, therefore, correspond and mate to the prevailing and specific conditions of every BEL ambience. 7) Not only the BEL ecosystem is the one that influences on the BML-BIFL-BEL emergence or permanence of the biospecies, of equal way the BEL energy of the BEGs specify what events are necessary so that it arises and remains the BEG of a certain biospecies, always in congruity with the BML genotypic and phenotypic characteristics that distinguish it. A similar –BML-BIFL-BEL– biomechanism takes control for, in adverse conditions, the BML extinction of a biospecies or for its adaptation and permanence. 8) Every time it seems clearer that we influence on and are influenced by the BEL energy of the BEGs, for example, that surround us, by means of the big number of BELCs and intuitional networks to which we give place and the biospecies of all kinds that surround us, nearby or distant, terrestrial or ET, give place, too. Through the BIFL universe. 9) In this case, we would take part in an unavoidable and permanent way –as all the biotagonists of the UU– in a constant BEL interaction between sets of BEGs and intuitional communities. 10) This way happens between the different biotagonists of the UU and in any place of the same one. Without being necessary that the involved biotagonists have to notice neither these BELCs nor the permanent bioexchange of the complex bioinformation in the form of intuitions or intuitional communities between the BEGs that take part in them. 11) These intuitions form the base to begin understanding the BEL peculiarities that are a reflex of the genotypic and phenotypic differences proper of the BML biospecies –included the human ones– that are found in the planets of the different ET humanities with which we have biocommunicated.⁵⁻⁶ 12) Although they would also help to explain the BML, BIFL and BEL peculiarities of all the mineral, plant, animal and human biospecies of all the BEL ecosystems of the UU, and to all the scales, from the photoneutronic up to the cosmic one [Ints 12/17/2017].

BML, BIFL and BEL similarities in the UU

BELC 06/24/2012. IV. Intuitions of June 17, 2012. 1) The terrestrial human interested in the study of the celestial bodies, from his beginnings and induced by his own study object, turned out to be forced to think about in function necessarily of the cosmos, leaving from the small –almost minuscule– context that the planet Earth was offering and it offers him. Therefore, he had to accept as applicable to the BML universe the physical and chemical phenomena that the experts since then have been studying. Of such form that the terrestrial human will go so far as to admit that the biological events of any kind proper of the terrestrial nature are equally linked with –and represented by– the biological aspects that happen in the whole BML universe and by no means to suppose them untied of these, as generally the terrestrial human has considered them. Approach that the human has not been capable of allowing, isolating the biological events of which he is part as exclusively terrestrial, and unacceptable for him that similar biological phenomena could be present in other planets of distant planetary systems and less still that they are part of other galaxies. And when it is called into question the possibility of the existence of organisms in other regions –planets and BEL ecosystems– of the BML universe, it is supposing that they will have to present ‘the same’ or ‘very similar’ BML characteristics –genotypic and phenotypic– to the terrestrial biospecies of organisms –plants, animals and human–. 2) Isolationist postulate that is illogical and so fallacious as to suppose that the universal gravitation, the electromagnetic force or the atomic elements were physical properties and exclusive components of the terrestrial nature; or that from her

arose. 3) Ancestral anthropocentric and geocentric attitude of the terrestrial human with which he insists on considering the planet Earth to be the only one of importance in the cosmos and to its natural events as incomparable and untied of the rest of the BML universe. 4) The difficulty of stopping considering him/her a deity and of getting rid of these old postulates and prejudices has turned out to be more difficult than the proper terrestrial human supposes. To such a degree that he/she does not question these prejudices and if he/she does, it is only in a fearful and accommodating way, avoiding applying the advances that have already reached in diverse study areas. 5) BEL logic helps us to affirm that it will only become sufficiently valid the general biological principles –perhaps similar, but neither equal, nor much less identical– that are applicable to all the biospecies of all the BEL ecosystems of the UU. Independently of the BML characteristics –genotypic and phenotypic– of those, and of the genomic, biochemical and physical-chemical causes that determine them, as it would be, for example, the cellular theory –or some similar structure– to form the tissues of the organisms, a way of reproduction and the diversity of biospecies of plants, animals and humans. 6) So that the BEL research might not be restricted to the limiting and short-sighted localism. On the contrary, it is imposed the enclosing and wide projection of the context that includes to all the biotagonists and cosmic events up to including the UU. 7) This is, an explanation or theory about how the BEG of a new biospecies originates, it would necessarily have to be applicable to all the biospecies and to all the BEL environments of the UU, and not only to those of the terrestrial nature. 8) Undoubtedly, the BEL energy has very important biocreative potentialities, some of which are evident, for example, because of the intuitional communities to which the proper BEL energy of the BEGs of the BEL ecosystems of the UU have given place. 9) Nevertheless, the previous events happen not because the BEGs’ BEL energy is totipotential. No. Let us remember that the BEG consists of organized BEL energy and it is a biostructural complement of every biotagonist of the UU, and unique for every biospecies. Therefore, it is when the BEL energy of the BEGs of any biospecies –terrestrial or ET– biointeract with other BEGs that will give birth to intuitional networks that will possibly be able to influence so that in a certain BEL environment of the UU arises the BEG of a new biospecies. Be mineral, vegetable, animal or human, independently of the habitat in question, the BEL mechanism or biomechanism so that the BEG of a new biospecies arises would have to be the same or very similar. 10) Then, everything seems to indicate that the terrestrial and ET biospecies we are an integral and representative part of the whole UU. With the specific BEL function to contribute to the BEL, BIFL and BML balance of all its biotagonists taking part, as well as of the UU as a whole. Of course, all the events and physical, chemical and biological components, together with the BEL and BIFL ones, also are an integral and corresponding part of the UU.

Presumed BEGs from other biospecies

BEGs of Hagenia, maize, cereals and legumes, dinosaurs, microorganisms and atoms, molecules and compounds. Hard to believe and even more difficult to accept, but perhaps with the help of human BEGs, they made us intuit their comments.⁴ 1) What do you comment about these intuitions? –Hagenia: “Indeed, there is no doubt that humans only see or deal with themselves, and have lost the opportunity to biocommunicate with their environment.” –Ruth: Hagenia roots look very long and thick, growing and moving as they grow; it seems happy. 2) Hagenia, two weeks ago you told us that human babies see all biospecies as humans. How do you explain that vision of babies? –Hagenia: “Because for them all biospecies are one.” Is it because they biocommunicate with them naturally? “I agree with you, doctor.” –BEGs of corn, and other cereals and legumes make us

intuit: “Doctor, we are not only useful as food for humans and other biospecies, for us we are part of the unit nature. Our biocomponents tell us that they exist not only in us, but also exist in other terrestrial and ET biotagonists, and that what we share is not indispensable, but useful. There are things that you say that we do not understand, such as that humans alter their own ideas, their own actions and their own nature.” –Dinosaurs. They induce a bioscene in which they present a larger dinosaur, increasing its size, and focus on its skin as with a microscope until we see and manage to locate the smallest molecules, atoms and particles. The same happens in the region of their extremities. And they make us intuit: “Doctor, those are also our friends, our biocomponents stayed that way and since these same biocomponents were distributed throughout the planet Earth, and even towards the outside of it, it makes us feel happy to also be able to explain that we can find those same biocomponents in other parts of the UU. Just as the meteorite brought its own [biocomponents], this is how we explain that we have been exchanging BEL energy [from our BEGs] and biomatter. It’s just that, until now, it has been a limitation not being able to accept the difficulty of admitting that it is possible that BEL energy exists and, therefore, life itself throughout the UU.” –Microorganisms, molecules and atoms: “Doctor, we have already been biocommunicating with the other biotagonists, sharing their biospace with us. We understand that it is possible that when other biotagonists are involved to some degree, we are also involved because we are part of them and they are part of us.” And they add: “Thank you, doctor, because we have intuited from your BEG that you appreciate us and because you know and understand that we are present in the UU. And that for us there is no difference between being terrestrial or ET.”⁵⁻⁶

Stephen Hawking

We invited [05/24/2018] to the BEG of Stephen Hawking. Welcome to the BELC, Stephen. “Doctor, an honor.” Very kind. Stephen. What do you say to us about your arrival at the BEL universe? I interrupt his answer and invited Ruth to describe his bioimage. “He is seen young and calm; nothing of wheelchair”, says Ruth. –Stephen: “Since thanks for the question, doctor ... And my arrival at the BEL universe is the most unexpected experience, nevertheless, more vivid, liberating and simply pleasant that I have had since long time ago. It is to have recovered to myself; it is to feel that I am alive. It is to transform my own biomatter into life and to be useful.” You never stopped being it. “Only that there was a different idea, doctor. So difficult to demonstrate it in the BML universe as in the BEL universe.” What do you say about the BEL universe? “Doctor, the BEL universe is what each one lives of himself/herself, as it is in the BML universe. As you were saying a moment ago, the human lives in this technological project and as he lives himself, technology will transform similarly. In the BEL universe, the human lives it in accordance with the way in which he/she sees and biotransforms himself/herself. I just add that in my case I am also life.” From your current BEL perspective, what do you say about the BML universe? “My perspective of the BML universe keeps on being very like the one that the NMEGO shaped, only that I would add the terms that I intuit that you have raised in the BEL research, and that the humans we see the BML universe very limited, very superficial, as it is actually.” What do you say to us about the BIFL universe by means of which the BML and BEL universes, we suppose, they biointeract? “Doctor, that seems very logical and important to me because it is in this biospace-time where the actual biotransformation events occur, which are imperceptible, and therefore difficult to explain. And for the same, doctor, where the intuitions arise [and they travel].” What do you opine about the trishared existence of every biotagonist or component of the UU, and at all scales? “The explanation of the trishared existence complements, enriches and

clarifies the existence itself of the UU. That is why it can spend years to demonstrate it, perhaps it is not possible to go so far as to do it for this denial to include this biointeraction, except if it is intuited that they can be explained specific facts of origin or of any origin this way. So, I intuit, doctor, the importance of the BIFL universe.” What do you say about the existence of the BEG as component of every biotagonist –and at all scales– of the unit universe? “The BEG is a part of this trishared existence, it turns out to be so important to be able to explain the existence itself in the different biospecies and how each of them goes so far as to have major transcendence depending on the event or situation that is occurring.” That you are well Stephen. “A hug, doctor.” Same to you. “We will be biocommunicating.” Of course.

Young planets with humans (genus homo)

BELC 02/26/2023. On this occasion we invited the BEGs from some ET humanities with which we had already biocommunicated, so that through the IBI they could show us the conditions their planet was in when they emerged. The question from which we started was: Did humans emerge as one of the first multicellular organisms on any planet in early development?

At the IBI, we invite ET humans that we have already invited, and have already given a name, to visualize their planet at an early stage: BELC 12/10/2010. 1) Polars (their skin is like a bear and they hibernate): “Very early, doctor. We place it that way because of what we have intuited about other planets” [sic].²⁹ BELC 10/21/2011. 2) Volcanics, how early or old was the stage of your planet and its nature when you emerged? “Very early doctor, incipient, we did not have that awareness.” They feed on minerals that they suck under the surface in rocky areas through a tough extension of their mouth that can even drill into rock, “it’s very tough.” 3) BELC 07/27/2012. Arboreals: “Yes, early and very prolific in nature. That helped our development.” Did you arise from the beginning of your planet? “Yes, doctor, shortly after the water began to be born.”²⁹ BELCs since 10/08/1994. 4) We visualized the planet Agram, from which Bhrikiam originates and which is located in the constellation Andromeda, in its earliest stage, when it was still hot and eruptive... Had the organisms that were already intuited as humans emerged at that time? “Some already, but most not.” Did you already intuit yourselves as humans? “Yes, mainly because of our [bipedal] autonomous movement. And the difference towards [sic] other animals.” When you say autonomous, do you mean that you walked upright on two feet? “Yes, on two feet.” 5) We visualized the planet of the Silveries in its earliest stage, when it was still hot and eruptive... Had the organisms that were already intuited as humans at that time emerged? “Some do, doctor, let’s say it was the beginning.” 6) We visualized the first planet, the original, of the Transparents in its earliest stage, when it was still hot and eruptive... Had the organisms that were already intuited as humans at that time emerged? “Yes doctor, some were already emerging.” Your planet in the original planetary system in which you arose: “It was the second planet.” [See below for the implications of its parent planet being ranked second and not third as is common in nature-abundant planetary systems.] 7) We visualized planet Earth in its earliest stage, when it was still hot and eruptive... Had the organisms that were already intuited as humans at that time emerged? “No, doctor, not yet.” And when did they emerge? “Once those eruptions end and the temperature allows other organisms such as humans to emerge.” Before or after the Peaceful? “Yes, doctor, we already sensed ourselves as humans.” It was the first time they categorically affirmed it... They witnessed the birth of a volcano or the fall of a meteorite on the Yucatan peninsula, it was at night while they were sleeping, the noise woke them up and they all dreamed the same thing, a dream that alerted them to what

was going to happen [BELC July 13, 2012.] 8) We visualize planets with a semi-developed nature in which there were organisms that were intuited as humans and they disappeared. What extinguished them? Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking: “8.1 The lack of food for them. 8.2 Very low temperature for humans. 8.3 Some gases toxic to humans. 8.4 The harmful chemical composition of some resources. 8.5 Lack of resources, due to too hot weather. 8.6 The vulnerability of some biospecies, such as humans, in precarious conditions could not fully develop. 8.7 The formations, let’s say, of the planet with reliefs [irregular surface] that were not so firm, with a lot of movement. There were no actual eruptions, but there were telluric movements, neither plants nor humans grew. They became extinct. 8.8 The temperature also drops and the winds are so strong that they arose at certain times or periods.” 9) We visualize planets with an ancient nature in which there are no organisms that are intuited as humans, that have never existed and that will never exist on those planets. Four planets arise. How is their nature? Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking: “Nature is in keeping with the temperature and climate of the planet. Only microorganisms exist.” What place do they occupy in their planetary system? Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking: “1. Fourth. 2. Second. 3. Eighth. 4. Tenth.” As far as we know, the place they occupy in their planetary system is not very conducive to the development of an abundant and prolific nature.⁷ 10) We visualize 100 planets in early development chosen at random among the galaxies, what percentage of these planets already have organisms that are intuited as humans among their biospecies? Let them be dyed red. “20% that there are humans.” 11) We visualize 100 planets with a fully developed nature chosen at random among the galaxies, what percentage of these planets already have organisms that are intuited as humans among their biospecies? Let them be dyed red. And in green those that do not have organisms that are intuited as humans. “Green is 70% and red is 30%.” 12) In this case, the development of nature helped the survival of the biospecies. 13) In paragraph 10, the insufficient development of nature meant that 10% of the planets with human biospecies and other biospecies did not survive. What do you say about this data?

–Barbara McClintock; “Doctor, congratulations, the findings and statements you make are very interesting.” –Theodosius Dobzhansky: “Very interesting doctor, they allow us to realize the flexibility or not of human nature.” –Charles Darwin: “Good doctor, the importance of both organisms and the biointeraction between them is confirmed.” Lynn Margulis and Salvador Luria found the data interesting.

Theory of evolution of species questioned

Extra BELC 03/02/2023. 1) How does the theory of the evolution of species look against the findings on planets with humans from very early stages of the development of those planets? 2) So, the findings on young planets with human precursors support the statement we made a few months ago in which we affirmed that the star of each planetary system is like a watering can that distributes organic matter in its planetary system, that the DNA molecule could be universal and that the options of organisms to emerge on new planets must be similar to each other as similar are the planetary and galactic systems themselves [BELC 03/28/2021].³ –Erwin Schrödinger: 1) “Well, doctor, the theory of the evolution of the species becomes obsolete. The important thing about the presence of the species is the BEL interaction of the biocomponents of each biospecies.” 2) “I totally agree with what you are saying.” –Werner Heisenberg: 1) “Doctor, well, the theory of the evolution of the species no longer applies, it is no longer an evolution itself but a biointeraction. That is why some of them are complementary.” 2) Yes, doctor, according to you how similar the systems are and in the end they constitute very similar components. Very interesting intuition doctor.” –Gordon Rattray

Taylor: 1) “Thank you, doctor, it’s nice to see how two theories contrast where evolution misleads and biointeraction strengthens the explanation of the existence of biospecies.” 2) “I agree with your intuition, what you propose about the similarities between planetary systems seems very interesting, even if it seems surprising to us.” –Konrad Lorenz: 1) “Doctor, since adaptation and evolution are no longer fundamental and, when they are discarded, BEL existence and its functions are prioritized according to each biospecies.” 2) “I agree with your intuition doctor. That explains, in effect, why the concordances between the systems, even though they are distant.” They are fulfilling the same function, right? “Yes, doctor, and with apparent difference.” –François Jacob: 1) “Doctor, thank you very much, and indeed the theory of the evolution of the species is discarded in the face of this new position of biovectorization between biospecies and BEL existence as a priority in each one of them.”⁵ 2) “Well, doctor, I find it very interesting and illustrative, easy to understand and without so much detour.” –Jacques Monod: 1) “Doctor, I fully agree that the new findings on the BEL existence overlap with the theory of the evolution of species.” 2) “Thank you, doctor, I find your intuition convincing that the similarities establish some of these coincidences and it explains why, even if the UU continues to expand, it continues to be made with that same similarity.” –Vine Deloria: 1) “Doctor, it is surprising how vital elements such as the BEG determine the life functions of an organism. Thus, the theory of the evolution of the species is displaced not only by the content but mainly by the essence.” 2) “Well, very interesting, doctor, what you are suggesting is that the probability that there are similar planetary systems increases.” Extra BELC 03/04/2023. –Madame Curie: 1) “Doctor, well, a society that is very close to each other makes the evolution of the species look equally on bases so similar to the human species, in such a way that they did not respect their own species, nor that of animals. So they transformed simple observations into theory. The BEL exchange explains the number of biospecies that are constantly emerging.” 2) “Doctor, your intuition is very interesting because, for example, it would explain why certain biospecies such as humans emerge on planets under certain circumstances, and how the conditions in which they are found can produce the environments so that these biospecies can continue or be modified, likewise its cosmic components.” Abdus Salam: 1) “Doctor, in effect the theory of the evolution of the species is obsolete, because the behavior of the biospecies is differentiated by this structural BEL exchange and makes it possible to explain the different forms of biospecies and also how those that exist are changing. The BELR that you have done explains it.” 2) “Doctor, your intuition is indeed very interesting because it complements very well what has been discussed in the BELR regarding the UU. How its cosmic components have similarities and can be sustained, as in the case of the Earth and its solar system.” EXTRA BELC 03/02/2023. –Vine Deloria: 3) I ask the next question based on what you have written. How often is it that some experts in scientific disciplines cover up half-truths or hide or ignore findings that challenge the current scientific paradigm? “Yes, doctor, it is very common that paradigms are covered up to magnify what is ‘true’, in quotes, even if it is a falsehood that remains for 500 years or more.”⁷

There were two more comments about the previous questions. –Linus Pauling: “Yes, doctor, thank you, there is no doubt that the intuitions and the work of the BEG and the BEL energy have a main function in the UU. So it is possible to explain or intuit what happens in it.” –Elizabeth II: “Doctor, it is a pleasure that I can witness this intuition that seems very important to explain the similarity between systems and how equilibrium is established in the UU. Hence, it is important that its planets remain stable.”

ET Transparents

EXTRA BELC 032/02/2023. Transparents, the original planetary system from which you emerged on the second planet, how many total planets did that planetary system have? “Seven, doctor.” Was it a comparatively small star? “Yes doctor.” Were the planets, then, more distant from each other than they are in larger stars? “Yes, doctor, that’s right.” Perhaps that second planet occupied a position similar to that of a third planet in the case of a larger star? “Yes, doctor, totally agree.” –Bhrikiam: “Yes, doctor, interesting approach when comparing the planets in their position and the place that could be equivalent according to the size of that system.” For example, Transparents, your star could have let the most distant planets escape, so to speak, and could only retain seven of them. What do you say? “Yes doctor, totally agree.” –Silveries: “Doctor, indeed the findings coincide in the case of our planet, how by modifying the structure we also modify it.”

EXTRA BELC 03/04/2023. Transparents, your original planet, the second of that planetary system, was medium to small in size, was it? “Yes doctor, that’s how it was.” In fact, your planetary system did not have large planets? “That’s right, doctor, they didn’t exist.” Fortunately, you arose, which suggests that the original nature of your planet was leafy, was it? “Yes doctor.” But for small or medium and a large population they soon ran out of it, was it like that? “Yes doctor.” That’s where we go after you, with enthusiasm and, of course, with plenty of nonsense...

Giant humans: Homo neglecta

BELC 02/26/2023. Let’s invite the BEGs of the most ancient ancestors of the Chontales of Oaxaca and perhaps of Tabasco as well. Among you there was or is a legend of a giant named Chersjalm who lived at the top of the mountains and that you were the only ones who lived with him or them. It was like this? “Yes doctor. There was that legend. They were as big as the trees and the mountains in the distance.” Was it a community? “We met one, but we found out that there was more, surely a community.” What do you suppose happened to that community? “Surely, she was removed by other men. Furthermore, they no longer had a territory to themselves.” Did they cooperate with you for your buildings? “No doctor, they didn’t have that practice.” Incas in Machu Pichu, did the Chersjalms help you to build? “We were inspired by them, but they couldn’t help us. They were a bit clumsy in their movements.” Yes, they themselves or other humans have commented that they walked like giraffes... In the second article of this series that we have published, we named them Homo excluduntur crematum (man excluded and burned), another option was Homo neglecta (man scorned or ignored), this one they like it, they smile and clap.³

Since the Chontals, Mixtecs, Aztecs, Zapotecs, Mayans and Olmecs did not agree on the translation of the term, and agreed that the translation was “man of great strength.”

EXTRA BELC 03/02/2023. In the IBI we present a ruler four meters long with precise measurements of the cm of each meter well detailed, so that near it, two adult males of the Peaceful humans on the left side of the ruler and two adult females of the Peaceful humans on the right side of the ruler to indicate their height... –Ruth: “Men: from 3.5 to 4.0 m. Women from 2.40 to 3.10 m.” So, they were from the giants Homo neglecta, right? –Peaceful: “Yes, doctor, right. Doctor, in nature, there are their corresponding biospecies.”

Let’s invite the Chersjalm giants from the Chontales of Oaxaca and compare their height, in the same way two men and two women from the Chersjalm next to the ruler, what is their height? –Ruth: “Men:

4.30 m and women: 3.60 m.” –They affirm: “We are proportional to the trees, we are thin, straight and tall.” What does Chersjalm mean? –Chersjalms: “Strong man.” Chontales, what do you say? “We agree, it was strength, power, solidity.”

EXTRA BELC 03/17/2023. In the IBI we present again the well-defined vertical rule of 4 meters, and we invite that, of the Yetis, two men stand on the left side of the rule and two women on the right side. How tall are the men? Ruth: “2.80 m.” And how tall are the women? Ruth: “2.80 m.” Very nice thanks.

Imprinting, homosexuality, sexual abuse, stuttering and autism

BELC 03/15/2023. With the support of Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking and using the Innovative BEL Instrument (IBI), we invited 100 men and 100 women completely homosexual (CH) to screen each group for a history of sexual abuse (SA), stuttering (S), and autism (A).

And it is essential that it says

	Men	Women
CH, SA, S and A	5	8
CH, SA and S	5	10
CH, SA and A	0	10
CH and SA	90	72
CH	$\frac{0}{100\%}$	$\frac{0}{100\%}$

The survey tells us that 100% of completely homosexual men and 100% of completely homosexual women suffered sexual abuse, 5.0% of men and 10.0% of women also stuttered, 0.0% of men and 10 % of women were also autistic, 90.0% of the men and 72.0% of the women suffered from sexual abuse, without being stutterers or autistic, and 0.0% of men and 0.0% of women did not experience sexual abuse, stuttering, or autism [see at the end the Ratification of these data].

Intuitions from 03/08-11/2023. Human homosexuality is characterized by: 1) Surveys in Western cultures find, on average, that about 93% of men and 88% of women identify as fully heterosexual (7% of men and 12% of women present variations in their sexual preferences), 4% of men and 10% of women as more frequently heterosexual, 0.5% of men and 1% of women as defined bisexuals, 0.5% of men and 0.5% of women as more frequently homosexual, and 2% of men and 0.5% of women as completely homosexual. These last two data give a 4:1 ratio between completely homosexual men and women.⁸ 2) Variants occur in both sexes. 3) It arises at any stage of existence and it is very difficult to modify that sexual preference. 4) Under crowded conditions it is fostered and increased, such as in prisons, religious communities or in isolated same-sex groups. 5) It presents subtypes such as the search for minors or ephebes [see subsection 14]. 6) Establishes specific connections or attachments, and even well-defined aversions. 7) Certain brain areas could be involved or influenced, more frequently the temporal lobes (the region of the temples), part of the limbic system, there are those who use the phrase “the libidinous temporal lobe.”⁹ 8) It is usually accompanied by violence to quench their aggressive and sexual impulses, no matter who is attacked or bothered, even when they are babies or minors. Nor does it seem relevant whether the damage is fatal or not, sometimes this is the intention. As is the case with some religious and many others, men and women. 9) Decreases or disappears having offspring. 10) That sexual preference is perceived as natural, with no place to contain or change it. 11) 46% of a group of homosexuals or bisexuals accepted a history of sexual abuse in childhood, while only 12% did

not have such a history.¹⁰ 12) The homosexual man or woman usually hides his sexual preference. To the extreme of resorting to suicide if he/she perceives the risk of being exposed. 13) Homosexual intercourse has been detected in multiple biospecies. Caresses and other forms of physical approach or games between males have also been interpreted as homosexual, although this interpretation is qualified as anthropocentric.¹¹ 14) The articles on homosexuality mention sexual abuse in a totally marginal and brief way, without delving into the subject, trivializing it and even justifying the aggressor, blaming the 'suggestive' attitudes, in quotes, of minors as those that attracted the aggressor. This data is confirmed by a priest who wrote on this subject [see subsection 10].¹² 15) Specialists have eagerly searched for the 'gay gene' and some claim to have found it linked to the mother's X chromosome, but given the low frequency in which homosexual men or women reproduce, other specialists believe that homosexuals have already disappeared.¹³ 16) They have also done studies on the effects that some conditions of the pregnant woman could have on the boy if she has high levels of estrogen, or on the girl if the mother has high blood levels of androgens or male hormones. In none of the cases has a statistically significant effect been shown in terms of the prevalence of homosexuality in these boys or girls.¹⁴ 17) They have also studied the influence of family factors such as the presence of a distant and/or authoritarian father together with a weak and submissive mother; or the case of a soft father and an imposing mother who minimizes her husband. In both situations, and other variants, there have been cases of homosexuality in sons and/or daughters.¹⁵ 18) It is not uncommon for the homosexual to live tormented by the same thing, but not so much so for the homosexual woman. 19) The homosexuality of the NMEGO also homosexualizes the BEG. 20) A sufficiently clear and consistent explanation about the cause of this preference is insistently requested by homosexual men. However, this search was halted when in 1973 the American Psychiatric Association removed homosexuality from its list of mental disorders. That decision was not the result of new investigations. Ronald Bayer, author of what is considered the most explicit account of the 1973 decision, described what actually happened in the following terms: "A furious egalitarianism that defied every instance of authority had forced psychiatric experts to negotiate the pathological status of homosexuality with homosexuals themselves. The result was not a conclusion based on an approximation of scientific truth dictated by reason, but rather an action demanded by the ideological temper of the times."¹⁶ The objective was and is the normalization of homosexuality. They not only stopped the study of homosexuality but also affected psychiatry and psychology as disciplines, imposing a shackle on them that from then until the present has prevented them from developing as independent disciplines with the freedom that is desirable for any field of study. To the extent that it is increasingly common to hear talk of neuropsychiatry and neuropsychology, that is, they have taken refuge in neurology to rescue some of their battered credibility. 21) It took decades for researchers to resume the study of homosexuality and its causes, but they have done so once again assuming that for male or female homosexuality to occur, genetic, hormonal, and social factors must be involved, including the family in this category. The genetic and hormonal aspects continue to be insufficiently substantiated, despite the efforts of researchers to support them. To this work have been added the priests who write about scientific topics, doing everything possible to emphasize the genetic and hormonal aspects, tangentially alluding to the social aspect, disqualifying sexual abuse as a relevant cause and trying to rescue divine participation in the definition of masculine and feminine identity [see subsections 10 and 14].¹²

Proposal

Imprinting refers to a particular period of time early in an animal's life when it forms connections or attachments and develops a concept of its own identity. In general, according to Konrad Lorenz, imprinting is usually irreversible (refers to the property that after imprinting a specific object, the organism will always prefer that object to other stimuli) and imprinting is established by the existence of a critical period. Through sexual imprinting, the animal learns partner preferences at an early age by looking at parents as role models, this is the case, for example, in humans and in many other animal biospecies, such as insects, birds and mammals. In this aspect, the BEG also plays an important role in recognizing the parents and in defining one's own identity. Natural sexual imprinting encourages an animal to mate with an individual that is neither too closely nor too distantly related.¹⁷ That is, consanguinity and endogamy are avoided. An example of irreversibility was studied in rams reared by goats, as adults they seemed to feel more attracted to females of the same species as their adoptive mother, and the effect was irreversible.¹⁸ Something similar we observe when a hen hatches her own eggs and duck eggs together, among her flock of chickens, the ducks will also follow the hen.

Positive sexual imprinting is a process by which people use the phenotype of their opposite-sex parent as a model for acquiring a mate. Recent studies in humans have concluded that a mechanism similar to the imprinting of one's own facial features influences the choice of human partner.¹⁹ During the 1980s I dedicated myself to collecting from the social section of a newspaper, the photo of some newly married couples because, frequently, I noticed a great resemblance between her and him. These events are also supported and influenced by the BEG.

Imprinting is important in raising the young as it encourages them to follow their parents. This is known as filial imprinting. For example, in humans, babies learn to speak by imitating their parents' speech. In the wild, animals learn to hunt by watching their parents' hunt. Filial imprinting ensures that a young animal can distinguish between its parents and other members of its own species.¹⁷ Of course, with important help from the BEG.

The limbic system is the part of the brain involved in our behavioral and emotional responses, especially when it comes to behaviors we need to survive: feeding, reproducing, and caring for our offspring, and fight (aggression) or flight (fear) responses. We show the Limbic System at the IBI and we invite Luis López Antunes to support us by showing the internal face of a medial anteroposterior section of the human brain. The limbic system is made up of four main parts: (1) the hypothalamus (controls body temperature, heart rate, hunger), (2) the amygdala (records information from the environment, emotional response and related information, as well as social interaction), (3) the thalamus (receives, processes, integrates, and sends all sensory, motor, and limbic system information) and (4) the hippocampus, located in the inner part of the temporal lobe (which, together with the amygdala, consolidates various forms of learning and memory, as well as the sexual response). Some authors suggest that prenatal, perinatal and postnatal experiences leave imprints in the limbic system, causing lifelong effects and this process is identified as limbic imprinting.²⁰ The term is also described as the human emotional map of deeply held beliefs and values that are stored in the brain's limbic system and involuntarily govern people's existence.²¹ This is one suggested explanation for the claim that a baby's experiences, particularly during the first two years of life, contribute to the stable or unstable development of its NMEGO for life.

In summary, between humans and other organisms, imprinting gives rise to a connection or attachment that develops between a young organism and its caretaker, usually the parents. We would also talk about a BEL imprinting or memory that is recorded in the BEG. BEL and clinical experience teach us that the sensitive stages for imprinting in humans, including BEL, occur especially in childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood. But they last throughout the BML and even BEL existence, as shown, for example, by the inveterate homosexual preferences that the BEGs of these people insistently manifest in the BELU.¹

Imprinting and sexual abuse in humans: a hypothesis

1) The sexual abuse of minors, boys and girls, confuses, changes and erases the reversible and early imprinting of their identity that is developing while living with their parents. This early imprinting is abruptly replaced by the irreversible and intense imprinting imposed by repeated sexual abuse, upsetting the spontaneous (natural) inclinations of his/her gender towards the (unnatural) inclinations imposed by sexual abuse, especially when it is repeated. As a result, the filial imprinting is completely weakened, altered, confused or erased, distancing from the parents and/or showing aversion to them if one or both of them participated in the sexual abuse. If a father is the perpetrator of sexual abuse of his son, he is causing a homosexual imprinting that will induce his son to seek other men as a sexual partner and he will not feel the least attractiveness towards women. The same happens when the abuser is a close relative (uncle, brother, cousin), a friend, a neighbor, an employee, schoolmates, a security guard, a priest, or someone unknown.

2) In general, the boy or girl who suffered sexual abuse perceives his or her homosexual inclinations as their own because they have never consolidated the heterosexual imprinting of their gender, which they are unaware of and assume to be totally foreign to him or her; this is an aversive imprinting. The same bodily perception extends during adolescence, adulthood and old age. The homosexual imprinting that sexual abuse left makes these people perceive their body as completely alien to what their sexual impulses would prefer and, therefore, they reject it.²² For the same reason, it is also common for homosexuals to marry seeking to conceal their sexual preferences, however, in order to distance his wife, he dedicates himself to disqualifying her in a cruel and permanent way, accusing her of being ugly, useless, foolish, ridiculous and frigid, generating intense panic attacks for not being able to “please”, in quotes, her husband, developing obsessive-compulsive symptoms in as for the cleanliness of the home, his complacent behavior and personal grooming that will be of no use. It will be very difficult for the wife to recognize that her husband’s rejection and hypercritical attitude towards her is the result of his being homosexual. For her part, the homosexual woman can follow a similar pattern with her husband and with equally dramatic results. In both cases, the homosexual party will soon propose sexual encounters between several couples of all against all. With unfavorable results for the marriage even up to the separation. It also happens that she becomes homosexual looking to “try to understand” her husband. In short, there are multiple responses to which a hetero-homosexual mixed marriage leads.

In contrast, this has led, in recent decades, to homosexuals undergoing surgical removal of the male genitalia, reconstructing a duct in the region which pursues or intends to fulfill the function of a vagina.

3) When the imprinting of their sex was preserved and coexists with the imprinting of sexual abuse, for example, of short duration or intensity (e.g., or when it was a woman who did it), then the assaulted

person presents both impulses heterosexuals as homosexuals, which is called bisexuality.

4) The limbic imprinting is relevant since it is the system that will preserve the neurological memory of sexual abuse suffered during development. This limbic imprinting will almost always make irreversible the imprinting of sexual abuse and homosexual orientation that it caused, as well as other possible disorders that we will allude to below.

5) Later we will refer to the BEL imprinting and its relationship with the outstanding memory of the BEG [Ints 03/17-18/2023].

Imprinting, homosexuality, stuttering and autism

1) We have already discussed on other occasions the possible relationship between stuttering, by the way, more common in men, and sexual abuse.¹ In minors the ratio of stuttering is 2 boys for one girl; in adults, the ratio is 4:1 four men for one woman. Once again, specialists assume a ‘genetic factor’ that protects women, which is why it is less frequent in her. Without remembering that the male tends to use the left hemisphere for language and the female both hemispheres. Do you agree, Luis López Antúnez? “I agree, doctor.” Three varieties of stuttering are described, (1) the developmental one that manifests itself around 2 years of age, being the most common; (2) the neurogenic one that appears after some brain damage, for example, due to a brain stroke; and (3) that resulting from NMEGO conflicts.²³ Surely this quality of most women protects them, at least in part, from stuttering. However, specialists do not associate this language problem with sexual abuse either. It is becoming clear that talking about sexual abuse continues to be an untouchable or approachable issue only by following certain premises that do not shake the atmosphere or cause the normalization of male and female homosexuality to falter. As you have already heard in relation to the theory of the evolution of species, specialists have to be highly disciplined or they are marginalized and unemployed, so they present their results with extreme caution.^{3-4,28} The same is done by priests who write about science, and in any scientific area they address, as long as their writing entails molding scientific findings to religious postulates. Imagine what claim?! a) Remember that a popular book by Stephen Hawking ends by alluding to the deity. I guess that’s how he ensured his promotion and sale. Right Stephen? “Yes doctor.” b) As I remember, John Paul II once summoned some cosmologists and recommended that they no longer continue investigating about the universe. Was John Paul II like that? “Yes doctor, that’s how it was.” Were you invited Stephen Hawking? “No doctor.” On your own you accomplished your task.²⁴

2) The imprinting of sexual abuse, then, also interferes with the imprinting of language and language learning, causing, out of sheer panic and pain, the annoying and very uncomfortable imprinting of stuttering. It would be necessary to study sexual abuse and stuttering, we have already done it.¹ Above we already addressed the issue of homosexuality and stuttering. Therefore, we can confirm that sexual abuse affects not only sexual preferences in men and women, but also language in both. They are events with certain parallels, so let us also consider stuttering as an imprinting. What characteristics does stuttering have? 2.1. It is a difficult imprinting to overcome. 2.2 It also coincides with a critical stage of development such as language learning. 3) It is four times more frequent in men, 4:1, for a woman. 4) It is necessarily accompanied by a problematic filial imprinting since he/she learns the language from his/her parents. 5) The limbic imprinting can be sustained based on the many fears and humiliations that these people face. 6) Then, we can also consider an imprinting of

the altered language areas in men and women, that is, the imprinting of stuttering.²⁵

To complete, autism is considered a neurodevelopmental disorder that arises during the first two years of life and remains for the rest of life. It is characterized by a wide variety of communication disorders, with restricted motor skills, limited social interaction, distractibility, impulsiveness, passivity, learning difficulties, self-absorption, among other characteristics. Autistic traits are so varied that specialists speak of an autism spectrum made up of several syndromes that are frequently modified, excluded, or replaced by others. The cause of these conditions is unknown, but, of course, authors think of a possible 'genetic factor' linked to the mother's X chromosome. There is no cure for autism and the support consists of helping them acquire habits that allow them to adapt to their limitations or peculiarities, even with the help of some medications. Autistic conditions are often confused with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. The official frequency of autism between men and women is 4:1 or 3:2, since girls seem to receive less attention.²⁶⁻²⁷ On occasions, especially in minors and adolescents, men or women, the gender doubt can be exacerbated in terms of feeling like a woman in a man's body or a man in a woman's body, and even oscillate from a normal situation to one of doubt about his/her gender. Clinical situation known as gender dysphoria, which can also accompany autism [Ints 03/26/2023].

We can confirm that the imprinting of sexual abuse not only influences sexual preferences in men and women causing the imprinting of homosexuality, but also the language in men and women can present stuttering. They are events with certain parallels, let's consider, then, stuttering also as an imprinting. We can confirm that the imprinting of sexual abuse leads not only to homosexuality and stuttering in men and women, but can also lead to autism in both cases. They are events with certain parallels, let's consider, then, autism also as an imprint. One possible sequence, among others, would be: Imprinting of sexual abuse => leads to imprinting of homosexuality => can lead to imprinting of stuttering => and also to imprinting of autism, both in men and women, with an average frequency in each case of 4:1, four men for one woman, that have no cure, alterations that can remain for the rest of life. Including in each case the inevitable imprinting that are added to the personal BEG. These data give rise to the biosyndrome of the imprinting of sexual abuse. In which 4:1 four men for one woman are completely homosexual; 4:1 four men for one woman are stutterers; and 4:1 four men for one woman are autistic.

It is clear that we can also talk about disorders of the homosexual spectrum, as well as some variants have been found in stuttering and autism [Ints 03/11/2023]. Before hearing from the invited BEGs, Ruth commented that Madame Curie and Abdus Salam wanted to emphasize that: "Doctor, so the imprinting is guided by the BEG who helps to find those key moments."

–Ronald Bayer: "Doctor, thank you very much, indeed, all the intuitions and explanations that you have shared with us are new and current, therefore, they help to locate the true problem regarding homosexuality. Therefore, with this it is ventilated, it is made known and it will help so that the real problem is recognized soon." –William Byne: "Yes doctor, it seems to me a complete explanation, it does not hide the details and supports the truth." –Bruce Parsons: "Thank you doctor, the result of the IBI experiment calls my attention because it was very easy for us to observe those results where the BEG detects what happened and we realize that sexual abuse is more frequent than we want to admit. To normalize homosexuality is to normalize concealment." –Konrad Lorenz: "Yes, doctor, the questioning of the imprinting is very interesting, to know what is the stimulus

that generates homosexuality and that finally, the more it induces to hide it, the more the cause is discovered." –Nikolaas Tinbergen: "Thank you, doctor, indeed, the imprinting, although it has been widely studied, is intended to be annulled in homosexuality and it is intended to argue with other types of explanations such as hormonal, which can undoubtedly appear and alter, but it's not fundamental." –Miron Baron: "Thank you, doctor, I am really pleasantly surprised how you so simply and forcefully explain the role of imprinting in homosexuality. And surely whoever identifies with it will be able to understand it." –George VI: "Thank you, doctor, undoubtedly the imprinting is essential at the time of acquisition and formation of an individual." –Elizabeth II: "Doctor, the imprinting is fundamental because you manage to explain how and why homosexuals are what they are, and by covering up the fundamentals they only manifest the integral deterioration of their person." –Alan Turing: "The explanation you have shared with us is commendable and forceful for those who have lived an experience of this nature." –Juan Ramón de la Fuente Muñiz: "Thank you very much, doctor, for sharing such an interesting approach as a topic of the BELR, it is now the imprinting that highlights and specifies the origin, the cause, of homosexuality regardless of whether they do not want to accept it."

Konrad Lorenz and Nicolaas Tinbergen

Ten days in advance, I intuited the possible relationship between altered sexual imprinting and the epidemic of human homosexuality and in a brief BELC on 03/05/2023 we asked Konrad Lorenz and Nicolaas Tinbergen a few questions.

How much do altered sexual imprinting play a role in the epidemic of human homosexuality? –Konrad Lorenz: "Doctor, we know that in fact all imprinting is fundamental, therefore, sexuality should not have to be left aside and cease to be so. This is how you learn to relate sexually with yourself and with others." And they hide it, what do you say? "Possibly, doctor, because they are forced to deny or cover up that someone was sexually abused by any other person or that someone has sexually abused someone. Covering up both one and the other and since there is no way to verify it later, other than with [homosexual] behavior." What solution would there be? "The solution is that it would have to be talked about forcibly so that it ceases to have the importance and the connections [or attachments] that are established, otherwise feedback will continue." Of course, avoiding the sexual neglect of minors. "Yes, doctor, of course, it would have to be overcome so that it does not continue generation after generation, affecting other people."

How much do altered sexual imprinting play a role in the epidemic of human homosexuality? –Nicolaas Tinbergen: "It does have an important influence, let's say it is decisive." And they hide it, what do you say? "Yes, doctor, it is also reciprocal. They cover up for each other. There's definitely no denying it." What solution would there be? "Doctor, in effect, you have to establish new family connections [or attachments] with different relationships."

At the end of the BELC on 03/15/2023 we asked Konrad Lorenz and Nicolaas Tinbergen; do you ratify what you said ten days ago? "Absolutely, doctor, we have to dig deeper like you did."

Madame Curie and Abdus Salam

Extra BELC 03/17/2023. –Madame Curie, what was the comment you shared with us the day before yesterday? "Doctor, the imprinting is a means by which the BEG leaves the message of what has already happened, of the abuse that has occurred." Does it fully record it? "Yes, doctor, and that way you can know the history of some symptoms."

Do you like to add something else? “In all cases the same applies, what is relevant is the existence of the imprint.” Is an imprinting on the NMEGO an imprinting on the BEG too? “Of course, doctor. The memory of the BEG is the best memory that can be preserved.” The BEL record is very accurate, right? “Yes doctor, of any event.” –Abdus Salam, what was the comment you shared with us the day before yesterday? “Doctor, the imprinting is a manifestation of the BEG that through the biointerface communicates with the NMEGO and the organism in general. Each biotagonist has their own imprintings.” Are they multiple imprintings, Abdus, then? “Yes doctor, but as with dark matter, there are unknowns to be resolved by not accepting the importance of the BEG.” Madame Curie and you emphasize the role that the BEG has in the various forms of imprinting, is that correct? “Yes doctor.” From your comment the day before yesterday, I intuited that we could raise the biosyndrome of the BELs or BEG imprintings that contribute to their conservation in the NMEGO, is that the idea? “Yes, doctor, that’s the idea.” Do you like to add something else? “No, doctor.” Very kind.

1) That is to say, the BEG is a permanent receptor of imprintings coming from all the experience that each body that possesses BEG has. The BEG is in a permanent sensitive state to assimilate imprintings, in fact, this could be the way in which the BEG preserves the enormous BEL memory that characterizes it. Hence, for example, for a homosexual to modify or change his/her sexual preference, he/she would have to succeed in modifying the homosexual imprinting that was recorded in his/her BEG. Or, in any case, if you are looking to change your preference, you could start by alerting and instructing your BEG to help change the homosexual imprinting of your NMEGO and the BEG itself also to heterosexual imprinting in both components of the organism [see comments by Konrad Lorenz and Nicolaas Tinbergen; The following intuitions were added by me on 03/19/2023]. 2) Intuitions leave imprintings that remain in the BEG that emits them and on the BEG and/or NMEGO that captures them. 3) Is imprinting, then, another function of the BEG? Probably, so the imprintings recorded in the NMEGO are immediately registered by the BEG as well, opening a wide area of events that are preserved as imprintings influencing the guidelines that the NMEGO determines and BEG functions as relevant as intuitions or the memory of the BEG. 4) It seems advisable to reflect on the possible emergence of imprintology, understood as the discipline that would be in charge of the BML and BEL study of multiple imprintings, their characteristics and functions.

Ratification of data

Extra BELC 03/19/2023. On this date and with the same group of 100 completely homosexual men and 100 completely homosexual women, we ratified the following question: How many completely homosexual men suffered sexual abuse? They all painted their heads gray. Linus Pauling and Stephen Hawking intuit from them that: “The 100 men experienced some type of sexual abuse.” And how many completely homosexual women have been sexually abused? They all painted their heads grey. They affirmed that: “The 100 of us experience some type or various types of sexual abuse and even abuse of our gender, since from gestation and birth we were rejected by our parents.” Linus Pauling, Stephen Hawking, the BEGs of the 200 completely homosexuals and the assembly of BEGs present, enthusiastically affirmed: “The earlier the imprinting, the more imperceptible it is for the BEG because that way it will grow up living as if that was what it had to be.” That this perception was natural? “Yes, that’s how it was.” Didn’t you know of another option, nor did the BEG know about it or know about it? “That’s right doctor. In addition, the frequency of the abuse has an influence, which further reaffirms the imprinting of

sexual abuse and gender abuse. The repetition of the abuse is very important. Even the BEG will not realize that there is another option because the imprinting that has been recorded is the only one that [NMEGO and the BEG] know about.” They summarized by stating: “The origin, the cause, the BEG helps us locate the origin, the cause of existence. It is easier to market with a false idea than with a true idea, with a certainty it is not marketed.” Undoubtedly, the imprintings will not be easy to reverse or change, it will be a real challenge for anyone who intends to achieve that change.

The imprinting of rejection of stepchildren

The imprinting of rejection of stepchildren and those who are adopted, is more common than the imprinting of acceptance of them as if they were legitimate daughters or sons. Imprinting of rejection that reaches extremes of unheard-of cruelty and contempt and even sexual abuse or murder. Those who are born crippled or sick are not uncommon to also give rise to an imprinting of rejection similar to if they were stepchildren or adopted. Rejection imprinting in other biospecies such as rodents or felines is frequent [Ints 03/30/2023].

Conclusions

1. In order for a new biospecies to emerge, it is essential that its corresponding BEG be developed simultaneously. In this process, the cooperation of the set of organisms that make up an ecosystem participates, and together with them, of course, the community of BEGs that form the sum of each participant BEG.
2. Everything seems to indicate that human organisms arise on young planets even when their internal and external structure is unstable and when their nature is in a state of early development.
3. Vine Deloria wrote about scientists and anthropology: We “can have been taught that ‘scientists’ are always right, that they have no personal bias, and that they do not lie, three fictions that are impossible to defeat... Here is more evidence that science is simply a secular but very powerful religion.”²⁸
4. Needless to say, but important to emphasize that all the BEGs invited to the BELCs during the more than thirty-one years of BEL research agreed to participate in them.³⁰
5. The man –or woman– who rapes a child imposes the imprinting of disappearance-repudiation-rejection on his painful –due to sexual abuse– masculine identity, deriving his identity towards the feminine role or masculine homosexuality. With all the nuances and variants imaginable [Ints 03/06/2023].
6. The man –or woman– who rapes a girl imposes the imprinting of disappearance-repudiation-rejection on her painful –due to sexual abuse– feminine identity, deriving her identity towards the masculine role or feminine homosexuality. With all the nuances and variants imaginable [Ints 03/06/2023].
7. Those who are stepchildren, adopted, or who are born crippled or sick usually generate an imprinting of rejection [Ints 03/30/2023].
8. The BEL and the intuitional is the improbable come true [Ints 03/06/2023].

Acknowledgments

I acknowledge Ruth A. López-Téllez for her clever assistance and permanent help.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Funding

None.

References

1. Cuevas-Sosa A. *Authorized biography of Jesus, Mary, Joseph and their disciples*. 2nd ed. London: Grosvenor House Publishing Ltd; 2019. pp. 7–554.
2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isotopes_of_hydrogen
3. Cuevas-Sosa A. Bioenergemal communication with human bioenergemal terrestrial and extraterrestrial. *Int J Complement Alt Med*. 2022;15(2):91–96.
4. Cuevas-Sosa A. Intuitional energy and biological and mineral evolution. *Int J Complement Alt Med*. 2022;15(4):191–199.
5. Cuevas-Sosa A. 6 Genetic, genomic and bioenergemal vectorization, and the origin of new biospecies. *Int J Complement Alt Med*. 2022;15(6):284–294.
6. Cuevas-Sosa A. Collective biomaterial interaction, Intuilish and quantum bioenergemal link. *Int J Complement Alt Med*. 2023;16(2):64–77.
7. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earth>
8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_sexual_orientation
9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2117556>
10. James R, Bramblett Jr, Carol Anderson Darling. Sexual Contacts: Experiences, Thoughts, and Fantasies of Adult Male Survivors of Child Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*. 2018;23(4):313.
11. A Poiani, AF Dixson. *Animal Homosexuality: a Biosocial Perspective*. USA: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
12. Cook Christopher CH. The causes of human sexual orientation. *Theology & Sexuality*. 2021;27(1):1–19.
13. Baron Miron. Genetic linkage and male homosexual orientation. *British Medical Journal*. 1993;307(6900):337–338.
14. William Byne, Bruce Parsons. Human Sexual Orientation: The Biologic Theories Reappraised. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1993;50(3):228–239.
15. Siegelman M. Parental Background of Male Homosexuals and Heterosexuals. *Arch Sex Behav*. 1981;10(6):505–512.
16. Bayer R. *Homosexuality and American Psychiatry: The Politics of Diagnosis*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1987.
17. Patrick B. How do sensitive periods arise and what are they for? *Animal Behaviour*. 1979;27(2):470–486.
18. Kendrick KM, Hinton MR, Atkins K, et al. Mothers determine sexual preferences. *Nature*. 1998;395(6699):229–230.
19. Marcinkowska UM, Rantala MJ. Sexual imprinting on facial traits of opposite-sex parents in humans. *Evol Psychol*. 2012;10(3):621–630.
20. <https://www.birthintobeing.com/post/the-limbic-imprint-in-english>
21. Baird AD, Wilson SJ, Bladin PF, et al. Neurological control of human sexual behaviour: insights from lesion studies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007;78(10):1042–1049.
22. Bem DJ. Exotic Becomes Erotic: A Developmental Theory of Sexual Orientation. *Psychological Review*. 1996;103(1966):327.
23. Adani S, Maja C. Sex differences in early communication development: behavioral and neurobiological indicators of more vulnerable communication system development in boys. *Croat Med J*. 2019;60(2):141–149.
24. Stephen H. *Brief History of Time*. USA: Bantam Dell Publishing Group; 1988.
25. <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/stuttering/symptoms-causes/syc-20353572>
26. <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/autism-spectrum-disorders-asd>
27. Tetnowski John A, Donaher Joseph. Stuttering and Autism Spectrum Disorders: Assessment and Treatment. *Semin Speech Lang*. 2022;43(2):117–129.
28. Deloria, Vine. *Red Earth. White Lies*. USA: Fulcrum Publishing; 1997. pp. 72.
29. Cuevas-Sosa A. *Biocommunication with alien bioenergemal*. 4th edn. London: Grosvenor House Publishing Ltd; 2019.
30. More data on: biocommunicationacs.com