An overview on fluoroquinolone drugs for the treatment of tubercular infection

Abstract

The fluoroquinolones levofloxacin, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin have potent bactericidal activities against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. They have the potential activity in managing both drug-susceptible and drug-resistant tuberculosis as well as the possibility of shortening the period of therapy. The emergence of drug-resistance, fluoroquinolone-resistant, multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis created a challenge to control the tuberculosis globally. The newer fluoroquinolones have clinical efficacy in some of the patients. So, it is needed the utility of new fluoroquinolone drugs for the treatment of tuberculosis.
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Introduction

Quinolones are synthetic drugs synthesized by structural modification of the 4-oxo,1,4-dihydroquinolone nucleus or the 1,8-naphthyridone nucleus. Fluorination of these basic molecules, usually at position 6, resulted in the fluoroquinolones (FQs). Levofloxacin is the S(-)-enantiomer of the parent racemic compound ofloxacin, whereas moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin are regarded as later generation C-8-methoxy FQs. The levofloxacin, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin are newer FQs that have potent antituberculosis (anti-TB) activity, much of which is due to the C-8-methoxy moiety. A comprehensive review addressed the efficacy of FQs in TB, together with patient tolerability/safety, for the following indications-(i) first-line treatment of drug-susceptible (DS) pulmonary TB, (ii) first-line treatment of multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB and (iii) treatment of patients with intolerance to standard first-line anti-TB drugs. The data were insufficient to support the use of older FQs, especially ciprofloxacin, as substitute agents for DS or DR-TB. This review provides the role of FQs in the management of TB. The role of discussed FQs in treating TB is largely restricted to levofloxacin, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin.

FQs for the treatment of MDR-TB

The MDR-TB has confirmed the dose-dependent efficacy of ofloxacin in the treatment of TB and the 800 mg once-daily dose was found to be superior to the 300 mg once-daily dose, achieving a more rapid and higher proportion of culture negativity. The use of FQs (ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin) for the treatment of MDR-TB has emerged, with success rates usually around 70%. A study on MDR-TB has shown that the use of FQs was independently associated with improved clinical and microbiological outcomes, as well as survival from all causes of death.

Figure 1 The six standardized treatment regimens for MDR-TB.

The six standardized treatment regimens for MDR-TB (Figure 1), the most effective treatment regimen required a minimum duration of nine months with gatifloxacin, clofazimine, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide throughout, supplemented by prothionamide, kanamycin and high-dose isoniazid during an intensive phase of a minimum of four months, giving a relapse-free success rate of 87.9%. The treatment success rate for the earlier ofloxacin-containing regimen was only 69.0%. The combination of amikacin, ethionamide, moxifloxacin and pyrazinamide has shown good efficacy.

The use of moxifloxacin for MDR-TB, the treatment success rate was only 51.7%, 13 There was no clear report of the chemotherapy response rate for several patients with MDR-TB. The optimal duration of treatment for MDR-TB using a FQs-containing regimen is currently unknown. The successfully improved when the length of treatment was at least 18 months, and if patients received directly observed therapy throughout. However, some patients could be adequately treated with newer FQs for shorter periods to achieve a relapse-free cure.
strongly underscores the appropriateness of the definition XDR-TB and its association with a dismal prognosis.22

The potential usefulness of levofloxacin in treating DR-TB,23 a comparison between ofloxacin and levofloxacin24 has also revealed that the latter FQ, when substituting for the former, in regimens with similar accompanying drugs, resulted in higher success rates for both ofloxacin-susceptible (96.2% vs. 87.5%) and ofloxacin-resistant (78.6% vs. 45.5%) MDR-TB treatment. Thus, levofloxacin is quite likely to be more efficacious than ofloxacin when included in multidrug regimens for treating MDR-TB, including the “difficult” forms. The C-8-methoxy FQs moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin might also have activity against ofloxacin-resistant Mtb isolates, including those that are MDR, notwithstanding the phenomenon of partial cross-resistance among members of the FQ class.25,26 Indeed, these two newer FQs have lower mutant prevention concentrations for Mtb and should have a greater potential to restrict the development of bacillary resistance.27 However, it appears that for efficient suppression of development of DR-TB, high-dose moxifloxacin is preferable, but could well be limited by intolerability.28 In a meta analysis on the treatment outcomes of patients with XDR-TB,29 43.7% exhibited a cure or treatment completion.

**Newer FQs for the treatment of DS-TB**

The most commonly encountered indication for the use of FQs in current practice is intolerance to standard first line anti-TB drugs, especially due to hepatic dysfunction.30 Although some patients can be satisfactorily returned to the originally scheduled first line drug regimen, most affected patients require the use of a relatively non-hepatotoxic regimen, on an interim or definitive basis.31 Earlier reports on this subject largely involved ofloxacin, used in conjunction with streptomycin and ethambutol.32 In case of definitive treatment of TB, ofloxacin/levofloxacin can be used together with isoniazid/ rifampicin, plus, perhaps, even low-dose pyrazinamide, depending on the liver reserve.33 A study on TB patients with liver injury, prescribed an alternative therapeutic regimen (streptomycin, ethambutol and ofloxacin) for three months followed by nine months of ethambutol and ofloxacin, this alternative regimen proved well tolerated by the patients and was effective in 85%.34 In another study involving patients who developed hepatotoxicity to first line anti-TB drugs, the use of levofloxacin and moxifloxacin caused no additional hepatic insult, and allowed smooth normalization of liver transaminases similar to the control patients.35 Hepatotoxicity due to first-line anti-TB drugs has been found to be particularly frequent among patients with solid-organ transplants,36 perhaps largely due to immunocompromization and the toxicity of anti-rejection drugs. The use of regimens containing ofloxacin/levofloxacin was especially beneficial. Aside from good tolerance, the lack of drug interactions proved to be advantageous. Random regimens could not be used.37 Other serious intolerance to standard first-line anti-TB drugs is rare. Important examples include agranulocytosis,38 thrombocytopenia39 and renal failure.40

**Levofloxacin use in these patients has produced a good outcome**

Aside from intolerance to conventional anti-TB drugs, the newer FQs may find a place in increasing the efficacy of anti-TB drug regimens due to their potent activity. The ofloxacin/levofloxacin penetrates the pleural cavity better than rifampicin (>10-fold) and, thus, helps to strengthen the first-line therapy for TB empyema,41 although such efficacy following the addition of a FQ to the treatment is lacking. Moxifloxacin-containing regimens demonstrated a greatly reduced time to culture conversion,42 and a short treatment with such a regimen produced a stable cure.43 Based on these findings, the significant sterilizing activity of moxifloxacin might enable a shortening of the length of therapy for drug-susceptible TB. A report from India suggested the potential usefulness of ofloxacin for shortening the length of treatment of DS-TB.44 The addition of moxifloxacin to isoniazid, rifampicin and pyrazinamide did not affect the two-month sputum culture status, but there was increased activity at earlier time points.45 Using serial sputum colony counting by non-linear mixed effects modeling, moxifloxacin substitution for ethambutol appeared superior during the early phase of a bi-exponential fall in colony counts, but a significant and similar acceleration of bacillary elimination during the late phase occurred with both moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin.46 At eight weeks, culture conversion to negative occurred in 80% patients in the moxifloxacin group, compared with 63% patients in the ethambutol group.47 Substituting moxifloxacin for isoniazid only showed a non-significant effects meta-analysis and meta-regression showed that studies in which a higher proportion of patients received a later-generation FQ (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin or sparfloxacin) reported a higher proportion of favorable treatment outcomes.48 This is interesting because it seems that later-generation FQs could improve the treatment success of XDR-TB, even though drug susceptibility testing had demonstrated bacillary resistance to a representative FQ. This issue should be systematically evaluated in well designed clinical trials.

**Other issues regarding the use of newer fluoroquinolones in tuberculosis**

The commonest side effects of moxifloxacin use are GI disturbance and neurological dysfunction.49 In addition, there is preincrease in sputum culture conversion at week 8.50 Adding moxifloxacin to the four standard first-line anti-TB drugs shortened the time to culture conversion, and the culture conversion rate after six weeks of treatment rose from 61% to 82%.51 Substitution of moxifloxacin for isoniazid can reduce the current length of therapy of DS-TB to four months. The OFLOTUB consortium is also investigating a four-month regimen based on gatifloxacin. Although an early bactericidal activity (EBA) study has shown significant results with moxifloxacin in patients with pulmonary TB,52 the early and extended EBA of levofloxacin, alongside that of moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin, has shown that levofloxacin 1,000 mg daily produced potent EBA comparable with that of isoniazid, and better than that of moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin.53 Weekly moxifloxacin and rifapentine has been shown to be more active than twice-weekly rifampicin and isoniazid in mouse tuberculosis model.54 Daily dosing of rifapentine cured the disease in three months or less.55 A controlled clinical trial using high-dose rifapentine and moxifloxacin (RIFAQUIN) is now in progress. The rate of any major adverse events in those who used levofloxacin (because of DR-TB or intolerance to first-line anti-TB drugs) was almost half that in those who received standard therapy.56 Furthermore, there was no difference between the levofloxacin and control arms with respect to central nervous system, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, skin or musculoskeletal related events when adjusted for the concomitant drugs. These findings strongly corroborate those from observational and other studies of the levofloxacin treatment of TB. However, it might be useful to remember some rare side effects related to ofloxacin/levofloxacin use including arthropathy, fungal superinfection and antibiotic-related colitis.57
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Safety/tolerance of newer FQs

The beneficial use of moxifloxacin in treating TB in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients when conventional arboeoa was found to be modest after controlling for sex, age, other antibiotic use, serum albumin, duration of hospital stay and nasogastric feeding. Although the risk for potential cardiotoxicity is perhaps higher for moxifloxacin, compared with levofloxacin, a randomized trial involving the cardiac rhythm safety of moxifloxacin versus levofloxacin in elderly patients with community-acquired pneumonia has shown them to have a comparable risk and safety. However, it is important to remember that this may not be the case when considering long-term use of these FQs in the treatment of TB. Extreme caution must thus be exercised in patients with underlying cardiac diseases or QTc prolongation, especially for those with risk factors for torsades de pointes. Gatifloxacin is associated with GI and neurological adverse reactions like moxifloxacin. It also has potential cardiotoxicity. However, most importantly, it is associated with dysglycaemia, especially in older patients.

Despite the promise of the newer FQs in the future treatment of TB, such optimism is somewhat tempered by the escalating rates of FQ resistance in Mtb in many parts of the world, especially in countries with a high incidence of TB. Empirical use of the newer FQs may also mask the diagnosis of TB, with a resultant delay in the start of treatment and a poor outcome. Another concern is the interaction of moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin with rifampicin, resulting in potential attenuation of the efficacy of the former FQ, and a potentially increased risk of toxicity for the latter FQ.

Conclusion

The newer fluoroquinolones have good bactericidal and sterilizing activities against Mtb. They could support the existing antibiotic armamentarium for the therapeutic control of drug-resistant and drug-susceptible tuberculosis. The potential adverse aspects associated with their use in the disease merit further exploration and evaluation in order to develop optimum regimens.
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