MedCrave
Step into the World of Research
Hospice & Palliative Medicine International Journal

Opinion

Open Access
CrossMark

The silent epidemic of disability: mental health, humanized medicine, and the transformation of medical education in Paraguay and the world

Abstract

The contemporary epidemiological transition has shifted the focus of public health from premature mortality toward chronic disability. In this context, mental disorders have become the leading collective cause of disability worldwide, generating a growing burden of human suffering, social exclusion, and loss of functionality. Paraguay mirrors this global trend, facing significant gaps in care and limited availability of specialized resources. This opinion article argues that the current mental health crisis cannot be resolved exclusively through biomedical interventions or the expansion of psychiatric services. The growing prevalence of mental disorders demands a rehumanization of clinical practice and a profound transformation of medical education. Drawing on contributions from narrative medicine, professional identity formation, the CanMEDS framework, compassionate care, medical humanities, and Cicely Saunders' concept of total pain, an integrative vision is proposed for training physicians capable of responding to human suffering in all its biological, psychological, social, and existential complexity.

Keywords: mental health, humanized medicine, medical education, narrative medicine, medical professionalism, palliative care, total pain

Volume 9 Issue 3 - 2026

Ocampos Benedetti Sandra
Faculty of Health Sciences. Baptist Medical Center University, Paraguay

Correspondence: Sandra Ocampos Benedetti, Faculty of Health Sciences, Baptist Medical Center University, Paraguay, Tel +595981501289

Received: July 03, 2026 | **Published:** July 27, 2026

Abbreviations: GBD, global burden of disease; PAHO, Pan American health organization; CanMEDS, Canadian Medical Education Directives for Specialists; PIF, Professional identity formation.

Beyond disease: disability as the new challenge for medicine

Throughout much of the twentieth century, modern medicine built its social legitimacy on its capacity to prevent death. Scientific advances made it possible to control infectious diseases, reduce maternal and infant mortality, and steadily increase life expectancy. However, the success of this paradigm has given rise to a new health scenario. Today, people live longer, but they also coexist for longer periods with chronic diseases, functional limitations, and persistent suffering. Indicators developed by the Global Burden of Disease Study show that mental disorders currently represent the leading collective cause of disability in the world, affecting more than 1.17 billion people.[1] This reality compels us to revisit a fundamental question: is contemporary medicine equipped to confront human suffering when it cannot be reduced to an anatomical lesion, a biomarker, or a clearly identifiable physiological alteration? The answer appears increasingly uncertain.

Paraguay: a mirror of the global mental health crisis

The Paraguayan situation is a local expression of a global problem. Mental, neurological, and substance use disorders account for more than one third of all years lived with disability in the country. Depression, anxiety disorders, and suicidal behavior disproportionately affect adolescents, women, and socially vulnerable groups. At the same time, the country faces a marked shortage of mental health specialists and a growing demand for psychological and psychiatric care.[2] However, reducing this problem to a simple scarcity of resources would be a conceptual error. The accumulated evidence shows that a significant portion of the suffering associated with mental disorders does not depend exclusively on neurobiological factors, but also on social, cultural, and relational conditions. Poverty, violence, exclusion, loneliness, uncertainty, and loss of meaning are fundamental determinants of contemporary mental health. Consequently, the current crisis demands a perspective that transcends the traditional biomedical model.

Total pain and the limits of the biomedical paradigm

One of the most influential contributions to understanding this complexity comes from Cicely Saunders, founder of the modern palliative care movement. Saunders introduced the concept of "total pain" to describe the human experience of suffering as a multidimensional reality that integrates physical, psychological, social, and spiritual components.[3] Although originally developed in the context of terminal illness, this concept has extraordinary relevance for understanding contemporary mental health. Depression, for example, rarely constitutes solely a neurochemical alteration. It frequently represents the expression of affective losses, social isolation, economic precarity, identity crises, or the absence of meaningful life projects. Similarly, anxiety disorders often reflect contexts of insecurity, uncertainty, and existential vulnerability. Yet modern medicine continues to privilege explanatory models centered on disease rather than on the person's experience. As a consequence, many patients perceive that their stories are interrupted, simplified, or reduced to diagnostic categories that fail to capture the complexity of their suffering.

Narrative medicine: recovering the patient's voice

In response to this tendency, Rita Charon developed the narrative medicine paradigm, defined as the capacity to recognize, interpret, and act upon patients' illness stories.[4] Narrative medicine rests on a deceptively simple yet profoundly revolutionary premise: people do not experience diseases; they experience stories. Every diagnosis is embedded within a particular biography. Every symptom acquires

meaning within a specific family, cultural, and emotional context. From this perspective, listening ceases to be a secondary interpersonal skill and becomes an essential clinical competency. The patient's narrative provides diagnostic information, strengthens the therapeutic alliance, and allows the clinician to understand dimensions of suffering that remain invisible to conventional biomedical methods. In mental health, where much of the suffering is subjective and non-specific, narrative medicine acquires extraordinary relevance. Attentive listening may constitute the first therapeutic act.

Compassionate care as a clinical competency

Over the past two decades, a growing interest has emerged around the concept of compassionate care. Compassion differs from empathy. While empathy involves emotionally understanding another's suffering, compassion adds the ethical motivation to alleviate it.[5] Numerous studies have demonstrated that compassionate care improves patient satisfaction, strengthens trust, increases therapeutic adherence, and is even associated with better clinical outcomes. In mental health, where stigma continues to be a significant barrier to access services, compassion represents a first-order therapeutic tool. Nonetheless, compassion should not be understood as an innate or exclusively personal attribute. It can and must be taught. Medical education bears the responsibility of training professionals who are technically competent and morally sensitive to human suffering.

Medical professionalism and professional identity: training physicians, not just experts

One of the most relevant developments in contemporary medical education is the concept of Professional Identity Formation (PIF). This approach holds that medical training does not consist solely of acquiring knowledge and technical skills, but of developing a professional identity grounded in values, responsibilities, and ethical commitments.[6] The central question shifts from "What does a physician know how to do?" to "What kind of person does a physician become?" The growing prevalence of mental disorders demands professionals capable of sustaining difficult conversations, accompanying suffering, tolerating uncertainty, and building authentic therapeutic relationships. These capacities do not emerge spontaneously; they must be intentionally cultivated through meaningful educational experiences, critical reflection, and early contact with patients and communities.

CanMEDS and the redefinition of the contemporary physician

The CanMEDS framework is probably one of the most influential international models for understanding the professional competencies of the modern physician.[7] Although the role of "Medical Expert" is traditionally recognized as the central axis, CanMEDS equally emphasizes other essential roles: Communicator, Professional, Collaborator, Leader, Health Advocate, and Scholar. It is particularly significant that several of these roles are directly related to the competencies required to address the contemporary mental health crisis. A physician may possess impeccable scientific knowledge about depression or anxiety, but if they lack communication skills, ethical sensitivity, or the capacity to work with communities, they will hardly be able to respond effectively to the real needs of their patients. Medical education must recognize that professionalism and humanization are not accessory competencies, but core components of clinical excellence.

Medical humanities: a strategic necessity for the 21st century

Medical humanities represent another essential component of this transformation. Disciplines such as philosophy, ethics, literature, history, sociology, and the arts offer unique tools for understanding the human experience of illness and suffering.[8] Far from being decorative supplements within the medical curriculum, the humanities enable the development of fundamental capacities for clinical practice: ethical reflection, critical thinking, management of uncertainty (tolerance for ambiguity), intercultural understanding, narrative sensitivity, and recognition of human vulnerability. Paradoxically, the more technological medicine becomes, the more necessary humanistic training proves to be.

Implications for medical education in Paraguay

The Mental Health Law No. 7018/2022 and the recent reforms promoted by the National Council of Higher Education offer a historic opportunity to reorient Paraguayan medical training. However, incorporating mental health content will not be sufficient. True transformation requires transversally integrating narrative medicine, medical professionalism, compassionate care, medical humanities, effective clinical communication, community mental health, ethical reflection, and palliative care and total pain. The goal is not simply to train physicians who know more psychiatry, but to train physicians who better understand people.

Conclusion

The global mental health crisis is far more than an epidemiological problem. It represents a crisis of meaning, human relationships, and educational models. Mental disorders have become the leading cause of disability on the planet because they challenge the limitations of a medicine designed to treat diseases rather than to understand suffering. The response to this crisis cannot be reduced to increasing the number of specialists or incorporating new diagnostic technologies. It requires a profound transformation of medical education and of the professional culture of medicine. Rita Charon's narrative medicine, Cicely Saunders' concept of total pain, compassionate care, professional identity development, the CanMEDS framework, and medical humanities offer solid foundations for building this new vision.

Ultimately, the challenge of the 21st century does not consist solely of prolonging life, but of accompanying those who suffer with dignity. The medicine of the future will necessarily be more human, or it will hardly be able to respond to the real needs of the people it aims to serve. The global mental health crisis is not only an epidemiological crisis; it is also an anthropological, ethical, and educational crisis. The growing disability associated with mental disorders reveals the limitations of the traditional biomedical paradigm and demands a transformation of medical education grounded in narrative medicine, professional identity, compassionate care, and the total pain paradigm.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Citation: Sandra OB. The silent epidemic of disability: mental health, humanized medicine, and the transformation of medical education in Paraguay and the world. *Hos Pal Med Int Jnl.* 2026;9(3):76–78. DOI: 10.15406/hpmij.2026.09.00294

References

1. Ferrari AJ, Santomauro DF, Aali A, et al. Global incidence, prevalence, years lived with disability (YLDs), disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 371 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet*. 2024;403(10440):2133–2161
2. Pan American Health Organization. *Mental Health Country Profile: Paraguay*. 2020.
3. Saunders C. The evolution of palliative care. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2000;41(1):7–13.
4. Charon R. *Narrative Medicine: Honoring the Stories of Illness*. Oxford University Press; 2008.
5. Sinclair S, Norris JM, McConnell SJ, et al. Compassion: a scoping review of the healthcare literature. *BMC Palliat Care*. 2016;15(1):6.
6. Cruess RL, Cruess SR, Steinert Y, editors. *Teaching medical professionalism: supporting the development of a professional identity*. 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press; 2016.
7. Frank JR, Snell L, Sherbino J, eds. *CanMEDS 2015 Physician Competency Framework*. Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada; 2015.
8. Bleakley A. *Medical humanities and medical education: how the medical humanities shape better doctors*. Routledge; 2015.

Citation: Sandra OB. The silent epidemic of disability: mental health, humanized medicine, and the transformation of medical education in Paraguay and the world. *Hos Pal Med Int Jnl.* 2026;9(3):76–78. DOI: 10.15406/hpmij.2026.09.00294