Using the endoscopy suite of an urban medical center for efficient identification of patients with HCV and linkage to care

Abstract

Introduction: Since cancer screening by colonoscopy is recommended for patients in a similar age cohort as recommended for HCV screening, we tested the hypothesis that determining the HCV status of colonoscopy patients in an open access primarily African American (AA) endoscopy suite, could yield an increase in the number of patients identified with HCV infection and subsequently linked to care.

Methods: Colonoscopy patients in the HCV age cohort seen in 2014 (n=444) and 2017 (n=544) were evaluated to determine if patients were tested for HCV and the result of the test.

Results: The patients were 75% AA and the percentage with an antibody test was 32% in 2014 and 43% in 2017. If tested, the HCV antibody positive rate was high (43% and 32% respectively). AA patients in 2014 were more likely to be positive than non-AA individuals (49% vs 24%) as compared to 2017 (32% vs 30%). Only half of the HCV positive patients were treated or were pending treatment (2014=59%, 2017=52%).

Conclusions: This study confirmed that testing for HCV in colonoscopy patients in a high AA population center can identify significant number of patients who have not been tested and/or treated for HCV.
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Introduction

Three million Americans are estimated to be infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV), with twice the seroprevalence in African Americans (AA) as compared to Caucasians.1,2 Chronic hepatitis C can lead to cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and death with AA having higher HCC and mortality rates than Caucasians. With cure rates using direct acting anti-virals approaching 100%, optimizing strategies to identify patients with HCV and link them to care would be expected to pay high dividends with respect to public health.

Since 60-75% of HCV infected adults were born between 1946 and 1965 (current age of 72 to 52), the Preventative Services Task Force recommends one-time screening of all individuals in this birth cohort, regardless of risk factors.3-10 This mandate for screening falls mainly on primary care providers for this baby boomer cohort but has been less effective than desired (13.8% had been tested by 2015) and subsequent linkage to care has been underwhelming.11,12 This less than effective screening and linkage to care may be due to the complexity of referring patients to gastroenterologists in high volume HCV treatment settings and ensuring that the patient is seen. In light of the modest increase in cohort screening, it remains to be determined whether additional screening settings outside of primary care physicians (PCPs) might be useful.

Patients attaining the age of 50 should have periodic screening colonoscopies. It is possible that identifying HCV patients who have not been treated in a setting rich in such patients is an underappreciated option for identification and linkage to care.13 The objective of this study was to assess the rate of HCV positive patients who would be identified if screening for HCV were done in an open access colonoscopy suite with predominately AA patients. We also used the HCV patients identified in this retrospective study, to track lineage to care in this predominately AA population.

Methods

The Detroit Medical Center endoscopy electronic medical records (EMR) were used to identify patients born between 1945-1958 undergoing screening colonoscopy in 2014 or 2017. The two dates were selected to compare patients seen in an era where cohort screening was just beginning (2014) and a time when cohort screening should have been aggressively pursued (2017). The EMR was also used to determine whether HCV antibody testing had been performed...
Results

For the 2014 analysis, 444 age sequential cohort eligible patients beginning at age 74 were identified. The Medical Center Laboratory EMR was used to determine demographics; whether the patient was tested for HCV antibody and to calculate the HCV antibody positive rate for colonoscopy patients in the cohort age group (Table 1). The overall number of patients undergoing a colonoscopy who were tested for HCV was low (140/444 = 32%) but if a patient was tested, the antibody positive rate was high (43%). As a comparison to more recent patients, the last 6 months of 2017 colonoscopies was searched to identify 544 colonoscopy patients who were HCV screening cohort eligible. When compared to 2014, only slightly more had been tested and if tested the positive rate was 32% (Table 1). When compared, the percent of patients tested was greater in 2017 as compared to 2014 (43% vs 32% p<0.005). When racial disparity was assessed with respect to antibody positivity, AA patients were more likely to be positive in the 2014 set of patients as compared to Non-AA (52/107=48.6% vs 8/33=24.2%, χ2 p=0.013 Table 2, Figure 1). In contrast the distribution was similar in 2017 (54/169=32.0% vs 15/50=30.0%, χ2 p=0.794). If HCV infection was verified by PCR testing, 95-96% was positive. Although there was no difference in the average age of patients in the two groups, there was a difference with respect to the relationship between the age of the individual and whether they were tested for HCV. In the earlier group of colonoscopy patients (2014) the older individuals were more likely to have been tested for HCV (p<0.005 Logistic fit) while in the more recent group of colonoscopy patients the opposite was true (ie older patients were less likely to have been tested (logistic fit p<0.007)).

Since many of the HCV positive patients had their care delivered through the University Physician Group Practice (UPG), it was possible to assess linkage to care for patients who had a positive diagnosis in the UPG (Table 3). Based on the EMR, 59% (34/58) of the patients in 2014 and 52% (35/67) of the patients in 2017 were treated, on treatment or pending treatment as of December 31, 2018. If a patient completed treatment, the viral clearance (SVR) was 100%. In 2014, 75% of patients had at least one visit to GI or ID, in contrast to 2017 when only 60% of the positive patients had at least one visit to GI or ID to assess their status. With respect to the patients who did not have a visit of record, it is not known whether they were seen and treated outside the University Physician Group Practice (UPG) plan. With respect to patients who had only one visit, they were advised to return for treatment discussions based on further tests but failed to return for a 2nd visit.

Table 1 Comparison between Two Year Colonoscopy Cohorts: Demographics, HCV Testing Results and Linkage to Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2014 (n=444)</th>
<th>2017 (n=544)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>AA (n=317)</td>
<td>Non-AA (n=127)</td>
<td>AA (n=430)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female)</td>
<td>181/317=57%</td>
<td>56/127=44%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (±SD)</td>
<td>69.6 (±2.1)</td>
<td>69.8 (±2.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Patients Tested for HCV</td>
<td>107/317=34%</td>
<td>33/127=26%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive if Tested</td>
<td>52/107=49%</td>
<td>8/33=24%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR Positive if Antibody positive</td>
<td>49/52=94%</td>
<td>8/8=100%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Racial Differences in the Two-Year Cohorts: Demographics and HCV Testing Results and Linkage to Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2014 (n=444)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>2017 (n=544)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>AA (n=317)</td>
<td>Non-AA (n=127)</td>
<td>AA (n=430)</td>
<td>Non-AA (n=114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female)</td>
<td>181/317=57%</td>
<td>56/127=44%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.002</td>
<td>240/430=56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (±SD)</td>
<td>69.6 (±2.1)</td>
<td>69.8 (±2.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>65.5 (±3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Patients Tested for HCV</td>
<td>107/317=34%</td>
<td>33/127=26%</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>168/429=40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive if Tested</td>
<td>52/107=49%</td>
<td>8/33=24%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.002</td>
<td>54/169=32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR Positive if Antibody positive</td>
<td>49/52=94%</td>
<td>8/8=100%</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>43/44=98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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There are only a few published manuscripts documenting the evaluation of HCV incidence in cancer screening colonoscopy patients. This may be due to the assumption that numbers will be small since patients may have already been tested by the primary care provider, the population being screened is health conscious and thus previously tested or negative, and that the busy nature of most endoscopy suite precludes screening protocols.12-15

Three relevant studies have offered anti-HCV testing to the birth cohort either at the time of screening colonoscopy or in GI clinics.3-4 While significant numbers of patients agreed to testing, in the sites (Canada, Texas, 5 East Coast US centers), few were positive, suggesting a low yield from the significant effort required to obtain patient consent and subsequent testing.5-6 Of notable relevance to our studies, is that these studies were not performed totally in inner city settings with high AA populations where prevalence of HCV might be higher.

Our patient population allowed us to address the issue of screening results in AA vs Non-AA patients being seen in a cancer screening colonoscopy population. The data suggests a decline in the number of positive AA patients that would have been identified between 2014 and 2017, although there was no significant change in the percent of positive Non-AA patients. This decline is in a setting where the percent of patients being tested had increased and may reflect an increase in cohort-based screening post 2014 which would of course result in fewer positive patients than risk-based screening.16-18

There are two important limitations to this study. The open access nature of the colonoscopy suite meant that patients were being referred by physicians who may not use the DMC laboratory for their HCV testing and thus the percent screened for the whole population receiving colonoscopies may be higher than suggested by this study. The second limitation of the study is that the percentage of positive patients when tested may be high due to the use of risk-based screening by primary care physicians rather than age-based cohort screening. Regardless of these limitations, even if the entire group was tested and no additional positive patients were identified, the rate would still be 13% (129/988)

Linkage to care and subsequent treatment of patients who were identified as HCV infected due to testing either in the IM clinics or during hospitalization, was good (52-59%) but there is room for improvement. Identifying positive colonoscopy patients based solely on a test in the EMR would have provided an opportunity to refer significant numbers of patients to an HCV treatment evaluation visit. If point of care testing with a rapid antibody testing kit were also utilized to screen patients who did not know if they were previously screened, significant numbers of HCV positive individuals who have not been treated may also be identified. Furthermore, since the GI physicians who were performing the colon cancer screening for these positive patients are also experienced in HCV treatment, scheduling the patients at the time of colonoscopy could results in an improved in linkage to care and treatment rates.

Conclusions

Our data support using open access colonoscopy suites with significant AA populations to identify patients who are HCV positive but not yet treated. EMR screening should be coupled with point of care tests to identify significant numbers of untreated patients undergoing...
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a colonoscopy. Thus, based on our data from this predominately AA population seen in an urban setting, cost justified screening programs can be recommended in this and similar settings.

Financial support
There was no financial support for this project.

Acknowledgments
None.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest for any authors with respect to this project.

References