Gastric perforation by fish bone: Case report

Summary

Introduction: The ingestion of foreign bodies is usually accidental and asymptomatic, it is observed more frequently in patients with psychiatric diseases, children and older adults, being able to cause gastric perforation in 1% of cases. Clinical case: A 70-year-old male patient who comes to the emergency due to severe pain located in the epigastrium, with the presence of a palpable, painful mass with irregular edges. Discussion: the presumptive diagnosis of hollow viscera perforation by a foreign body must take into account the epidemiological history, directed interrogation, physical examination and paraclinical studies to allow its differentiation with other medical or surgical entities, allowing the appropriate preoperative and intraoperative management of the patient. Conclusion: In spite of the infrequent, the perforation of hollow viscera by foreign body should be a differential diagnosis to be considered by the general surgeon.
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Introduction

Most of the foreign bodies ingested are digested and expelled without causing symptoms, of the remaining percentage (depending on the shape and size) less than 1% remain in the stomach and can cause perforation and affect adjacent organs such as the pancreas. This is usually manifested as an intra-abdominal abscess in 71% of cases, with symptoms that may occur within days and even weeks after ingestion and whose etiology is a mystery to the surgical team. Although these patients are studied exhaustively with radiological and endoscopic techniques, it is not until the same surgical act where the final diagnosis is made, already when generally very aggressive approaches have been made. In the present article we report the case of a patient who debuted with a palpable abdominal tumor in the epigastrium who was initially diagnosed and managed as a pancreatic head tumor, and who after performing a diagnostic laparoscopy showed gastric perforation by fishbone.

Case presentation

A 70-year-old male patient who consulted for burning abdominal pain of progressive intensity in epigastrium and multiple frcbrile hooks of 39°C. On physical examination: loss of 2.5kg compared to your usual weight and flat abdomen, not irritated, but painful to deep palpation in epigastrium, with the presence of poorly defined edge mass and 6cm diameter. In the laboratory profile, leukocytosis with a deviation to the left was evidenced, with elevation of alkaline phosphatase (ALP), amylase and lipase within normal limits, with serologies and negative tumor markers (CA-19-9, CEA, AFP).

Abdominal ultrasound Figure 1 showed a cystic-looking image with low-level, ecolucent images inside, 20x13mm in diameter, while in double-contrast computed tomography (Figure 2) observed a lesion occupying retrogastric space, towards the transcavity of the epiplons, adjacent to the pancreatic head, hypodense, with poorly defined edges, with attenuation coefficients within the solid and liquid range, 5.6x5.6cm in diameter. In the upper digestive endoscopy a rounded lesion was evident that pushed the posterior face of the heart.

A puncture was performed and a purulent liquid was drained from which sample was taken for cytology and culture, which proved to be an inflammatory exudate with abundant polymorphonuclear and basophilic bacterial aggregates (Actynomices). The patient is managed with medical treatment and antibiotic therapy for several weeks under the presumptive diagnosis of complicated pancreatic head tumor with intra-abdominal collection; however, in the persistence of the symptomatology, a diagnostic laparoscopy was planned. During the surgical act when addressing the transcavity of the epiplons, a foreign body was found that came from the stomach (Figure 3), perforating its posterior wall and making contact with the head of the pancreas conditioning a plastron (Figure 4). The foreign body was removed and after a wash and aspiration of the cavity, the surgery was completed.

When the foreign body was examined, it was determined that it was a fish bone, information that was corroborated by the patient when it was reinterviewed and evidenced retrospectively in the tomography performed. The patient presented immediate clinical improvement and after satisfactory evolution he was discharged without eventualities.

Figure 1 Abdominal ultrasound. The yellow arrows indicate the location of the retroperitoneal collection image.

Reference
Discusion

Perforations of the gastrointestinal tract caused by foreign bodies are not commonly diagnosed in the preoperative evaluation due to the non-specificity of the symptoms. Clinically it presents as peritonitis, intra-abdominal abscesses, obstruction, and fistulas among others. Up to 23% of cases, the correct preoperative diagnosis is made, confusing in the rest of the cases with pancreatic carcinoma, acute cholecystitis or gastric stromal tumors (GIST).

For the differential diagnosis it is required to thoroughly investigate the medical and epidemiological history of the patient, anamnesis and physical examination, imaging findings, laboratory tests and analysis of selective tumor markers such as AFP, CA 19-9 and CEA; The most commonly used tumor marker to identify a pancreatic neoplasm is CA 19-97. Liver enzymes are usually within normal or occasionally high limits. The people with the highest risk of ingestion of foreign bodies are patients with psychiatric diseases such as schizophrenia and depression, also alcoholics, children and older adults. Most perforations occur in the narrow areas and angles of the digestive tract, such as the pyloric region, duodenum or ileocecal valve although they have also been reported in other organs such as bladder and liver.

In the case presented, the patient showed only leukocytosis with an increase in ALP.

Gastric perforations are usually observed in the lesser curvature, the foreign body can partially perforate the wall, causing inflammatory changes and subsequently a perigastric abscess; In some cases a liver abscess may form when the entire anterior gastric wall or the first portions of the duodenum that are in contact with the left hepatic lobe penetrates. Recent studies have shown that liver abscess mortality is approximately 11-33% and the causative agent responsible for the most frequent inflammatory process is Streptococcus, however, in most cases it is unknown. When performing the upper digestive endoscopy, the lesion was observed on the back of the heart, in contact with the gastric wall, body of the pancreas and left hepatic lobe; In the cytological findings according to the observed characteristics, compatibility with Actinomyces was obtained.

Conclusion

In spite of the infrequent, the perforation of hollow viscera by foreign body should be a differential diagnosis to be considered by the general surgeon. Although the history usually provides valuable data, many times they are not referred voluntarily by the patient, so the interrogation addressed to this diagnostic suspicion must be mandatory and thorough, thus allowing adequate preoperative and intraoperative management that guarantees the patient’s well-being and avoiding unnecessary studies or procedures.
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