Medushevsky a law and public ethics: constitution of internet in e-government formation (reflections on international debates)

Proceeding

The general Internet-Constitution debate reveals three predominant interpretations of e-government phenomenon: e-government as a principally new form of government which already strayed beyond bureaucracy realizing the Antic ideal of direct democracy; e-government as a new technical tool which could be appropriate and used by different actors and by the traditional bureaucratic government among them and e-government as the dangerous way toward a new type of bureaucratic control over society – the new Leviathan. All three interpretations are based on technological aspects of the problem – the evaluation of the role and impact of e-government machinery on social structure, the reconfiguration of social roles in the traditional hierarchy of governance. The phenomenon of overestimation of e-government innovations explains the search of easy answers and the expansion of the simplified (populist) visions of the future government – the “false sense of transparency and accountability”. The contemporary e-government project is not a solution to problems related to democracy but rather the challenge to it which put under question the principle of legality by transmuting governmental discretionary power out of the politically controllable sphere to new one system e-bureaucracy designers. E-government does not imply the weakening of the traditional state but rather new forms and methods of administration. This phenomenon could be reinterpreted in the categories of ethics, philosophy of law and political science.

The actual debate over Internet-Constitution assesses the state of e-government and provides an alternative path for the development of e-government. The new approach argues that previous conceptions of e-government are limited through their focus on technology, and that this focus in turn engenders social, politico-technical and legal problems. Throughout the debate, it raises numerous issues from an incomplete, plagued by ambiguity and inconsistency. The substance of law has been the subject of continual change. Finally, even where it has been possible to adequately obtain a correlation between the first two components, the effective application of the law by the judiciary has remained unreachable, a result of both the instability of the law and the contradictions arising in its consequent interpretation, and the very weaknesses of the judiciary itself in comparison with executive authority.

In concentrated form these lines of argumentations are represented in the current discussion on such acute questions as the Internet-Constitution as well as the ethical codices for different parts of the Internet-community in the area of internet rights and human rights protection in general. The growing importance of international law and the role of the meta-constitutional regulations reveal the diminishing role of national governments in the process of the global e-government establishment. The main prerequisites of sustainable Internet-Constitution apparently involves such criteria as a common understanding the term “information” as a category for operative administrative processes, the uncontroversial legal regulation of production, use, verification of information for the ends of governance, the elaboration of the soft-law or ethical codices in key areas of administration, the independent international monitoring of all national initiatives in this area, the internationally based group of professional analysts capable to preview social consequences of new technical innovations.

There is a lack of consensus over which strategy should be used to overcome the aforementioned difficulties. Some lawyers assume that the gaps, omissions and contradictions of legislation ought to be overcome through the adoption of the international Internet-Constitution, new state laws, codes and even the establishment of new branches of law. Others believe that the existing basic laws are sufficient, and only amendments to them are required, an argument consistent with the idea of the importance of maintaining legal stability. Finally, a third view asserts that the solution will be provided through the interpretation of current law and the development of judicial transubstantiation of these principles into legal provisions has been incomplete, plagued by ambiguity and inconsistency. The substance of law has been the subject of continual change. Finally, even where it has been possible to adequately obtain a correlation between the first two components, the effective application of the law by the judiciary has remained unreachable, a result of both the instability of the law and the contradictions arising in its consequent interpretation, and the very weaknesses of the judiciary itself in comparison with executive authority.
practices. The creation of independent cross-national expertise pool is thus important for the elaboration of new regulations in e-government area as well as for the selection and professional criticism of various national laws and recommendations.

The priority to flexible juridical regulation instead of the rigid one is the reflection of uncertainties in three major aspects of e-government articulation:

a. Different theoretical interpretations of this phenomenon (as a sustainable new form of the deliberative democracy or pure technical innovation);

b. The gap between positive law prescriptions and dynamic process of the technical transformation (upheaval modernization of law after the revolutionary technological changes);

c. The collision between main stakeholders about the mechanisms of e-government regulations (spontaneous self-regulation or norms, imposed from above by the state bureaucracy). 32

The author considers the ethical values and standards as one of the mostly effective ways for possible progress in this field of public life. They could be introduced by changes in the prevailing political and administrative culture of society and by the implementation of cognitive methods of social regulation, based on new IT-communications and e-government idea. The problem of divorce between fairness and efficiency, politics and morality, bureaucratic ethic and democracy could be reconsidered on the basis of the current international debates on the Internet-Constitution and its prospects. 33

It is this very lack of a system that encourages the deployment of such a ‘sociological’ approach. To understand decision making processes in the field of public ethics, legal policy and e-government regulation it is important to understand the factors that promote, restrict, and distort the processes. This in turn requires an analysis of the failure to establish in the behaviour of institutions and individuals such values as ethics in the public IT-policy as factors for socio-cultural changes, the respect for e-government legal regulation and procedures standards, and an acknowledgement of the decisions of courts as dispute resolution mechanisms. This strategy presumably provides the possibility to offer a prognostic approach, involving an analysis of the correlation between the beliefs, norms and reality, and based on previous experience of e-government regulation in national and comparative perspective.
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