

Erotica and the sexual offender: the importance of restricting sex offenders from using or possessing erotica and pornography

Abstract

The Courts are struggling with issues of civil liberty in regards to sexual offenders. The rights of sexual offenders to possess pornography or erotica is of utmost concern. When an individual's erotica has become intertwined in sex offense or other violent behavior, the erotica has now become part of the offender's violent fantasies and behavior. The offender should no longer have access to or possession of any erotica that involves the offender's offense behavior or target victim population. This has become an issue of constitutional rights and even some in the sex offender treatment profession fail to see the connection. For the Courts, this is an important issue to rethink when imposing probation, parole, or other restrictions on the sex offender. What follows is a discussion of the importance of restricting sex offenders from accessing or possessing any erotica or pornography that is related in any way to their sexual offense behavior or other violent crime.

Volume 6 Issue 1 - 2018

Scott A Johnson

Licensed Psychologist, USA

Correspondence: Scott Allen Johnson, Licensed Psychologist, Florida, USA, Tel 612-269-3628, Email scott@forensicconsultation.org

Received: February 18, 2018 | **Published:** February 28, 2018

Erotica

Any material or item that serves a sexual purpose for a given person (e.g., objects, magazines, pornographic material (e.g., dvd's, pictures), fetish items, writings, drawings, sexual paraphernalia, vibrators, sex toys, handcuffs, dolls, roll playing). Erotica is not deviant if it involves an age appropriate and consenting partner. Erotica can add to the intimate experience of a person or couple. It is not deviant to engage in creative play, use sex toys, bondage, or any other type of erotica to enhance a consensual sexual experience. However, erotica can become deviant when it involves non-age appropriate people and/or nonconsenting people. The following four conditions make the erotica deviant and harmful:

- i. If it behaviorally relates to a crime or other paraphilias (e.g., deviant sexual fantasies, preferences, behaviors);
- ii. If there is an abnormal amount of the material and it serves no practical purpose to have such an amount of the material;
- iii. If the material was secretive; and
- iv. If the financial investment is large.¹

If the individual's erotica is now involved in a sex offense of any kind, then the erotica now serves to fuel the deviant fantasies, thereby increasing the likelihood of future reoffense. For the pedophile/child molester (anyone that has fantasies involving sexual contact with children under the age of 12, or that has in anyway sexually abused a minor, or has engaged in any sexual contact with a person under the age of 12) and the Ephebophilic (the primary sexual attraction to an adolescent or engaging in sexual contact with an adolescent) if their erotica involves anything to do with their target victim population, or relates in any way to their offense behavior, then they should be prohibited from possessing or viewing any erotica or pornographic material or objects. This may include taking or possessing photos of clothed children, being in the presence of children, or any material item associated with children (e.g., sporting equipment, teaching materials, underwear or other clothing, toys). In many cases, even children's clothing and clothed photos of children can serve the purpose of erotica and offense related stimulation for the pedophile

or Ephebophile. **Therefore, the offender's erotica is now deviant and the offender should be prohibited from engaging in the use or possession of any erotica deemed related to their sex offense behavior.**

Sex offenders, like other offenders, are creative in finding ways to circumvent the legal system and supervision restrictions. Many child molesters and ephebophiles find ways to take pictures of the minors and justify this behavior. Some claim that having such pictures or videos help curb their appetite to engage in contact sex offense behavior. However, this is simply feeding a deviant and potentially violent urge. If someone were to be serious about ceasing their sexually abusive tendencies, then they would be hell-bent in not being around children or adolescents and would certainly not be taking pictures of the minors.

In addition, taking pictures of minors first requires being around minors, or at least in a place where minors are present. Basic behavior theory supports that the more a person practices something, the more habituated and comfortable they become with the behavior. In short, practice makes perfect. Possessing items of children's clothing or underwear, teaching or coaching materials, toys and craft supplies, all serve the sex offender's fantasies about the children or adolescents that may use such items. Again, possessing or masturbating to such items further strengthens the offender's deviant thinking and fantasies. Some of the sex offenders even find jobs or volunteer opportunities that place them in direct contact and even in positions of power or authority over minors, despite being restricted from doing so. Literature reviews time and time again have shown that pornography use is linked to violent behavior, including sexually violent behavior.²⁻¹³ In fact, even soft-core pornography use resulted in sex offender's choice to engage in sexual aggression.¹⁴ The research dates back to the 1980's to the current. There is overwhelming evidence of the role pornography plays for the violent and sexual offender. **When erotica is related to the offender's offense behavior, then the erotica has become pornography for the offender.** To continue to allow a sex offender to own, view or act on erotica that is in anyway related to their offense behavior, is simply allowing the offender to

continue to reinforce deviant and predatory thinking. One significant study found that offenders who viewed deviant pornography were more likely to reoffend than those who did not.¹⁵ Johnson¹⁶ references studies that found that even soft-core (non-deviant) pornography use increased violent (including sexually violent) re-offense. The term “deviant” and “extreme” pornography refers to any pornography depicting or including any contact of the following (though not an inclusive list): age-inappropriate (e.g., child molestation), violence, harming, degrading, non-consenting, rape, sexual assault, murder, physical assault, and sadism.¹⁶ Regardless of whether the pornography was deviant or nondeviant in nature, use of pornography increased the likelihood of sexual offenders reoffending.^{17–19}

Summary

When a sex offender’s erotica is related to sex offense or other violent behavior, then the erotica has now become pornography for the offender. The continued viewing, owning, or making/taking pictures of what is now deviant for the offender (e.g., they are taking pictures of children in the park and have a history of sexually molesting children) is further feeding the offender’s deviant fantasies and places the offender at higher risk for sexual and violent reoffense. The research clearly demonstrates the connection of any type of pornography to violent offenses and sexual offenses. It is strongly recommended that anyone convicted of a sex offense be prohibited from owning, viewing, making, or in any way accessing erotica that relates in any way to the theme or content of their sex or violent offense behavior. When on supervision or sex offender registration, they should be banned from possessing, viewing, making, or accessing any erotica or pornographic material, including pictures of clothed children and adolescents as the clothed pictures play a role in the sexual fantasies of the sexual offender. Remember that sex offenders do not amass deviant pornography or erotic material unless they are interested in and aroused by the material. If it bothered the offender, they would stop doing it. Possession of or viewing or accessing of any material, erotica or pornography, that relates in any way to the offender’s sex or violent behavior, should be banned.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

None.

References

1. Hazelwood RR, Lanning KV. Collateral materials in sexual crimes. In: Hazelwood RR, Burgess AW, editors. *Practical Aspects of Rape Investigation: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, Inc; 1995.
2. Abel GG. Use of pornography and erotica by sex offenders. Paper presented to the United States Attorney Generals Commission on Pornography, Houston, Texas; 1985.
3. Allen M, Alessio DD, Brezgel K. A meta-analysis summarizing the effects of pornography II: Aggression after exposure. *Human Communication Research*. 1995;22: 258–283.
4. Bergen RK, Bogle KA. Exploring the connection between pornography and sexual violence. *Violence Vict*. 2000;15(3):227–234.
5. Check JVP, Guloien TH. Reported Proclivity for Coercive Sex Following Exposure to Sexually Violent Pornography, Nonviolent Dehumanizing Pornography, and Erotica. In: Zillman D, Bryant J, editors. *Pornography: Research Advances and Policy Considerations*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1989.
6. Crossman LL. Date rape and sexual aggression by college males: incidence and the involvement of impulsivity, anger, hostility, psychopathology, peer influence and pornography use (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University). *Dissertation Abstract International*. 1995; 55:4640.
7. Donnerstein E. Pornography: Its effect on violence against women. In: Malamuth N, Donnerstein E, editors. *Pornography and sexual aggression*. New York: Academic Press; 1984:53–80.
8. Kingston DA, Malamuth NM, Fedoroff P, et al. The importance of individual differences in pornography use: theoretical perspectives and implications for treating sexual offenders. *J Sex Res*. 2009;46(2–3):216–232.
9. Hald GM, Malamuth NM, Yuen C. Pornography and attitudes supporting violence against women: revisiting the relationship in nonexperimental studies. *Aggress Behav*. 2010;36(1):14–20.
10. Malamuth NM, Addison T, Koss M. Pornography and sexual aggression: are there reliable effects and can we understand them? *Annu Rev Sex Res*. 2000;11:26–91.
11. Malamuth NM, Hald GM, Koss M. Pornography, individual differences in risk and men’s acceptance of violence against women in a representative sample. *Sex Roles*. 2012;66(7–8):427–439.
12. Marshall WL. The use of sexually explicit stimuli by rapists, child molesters, and nonoffenders. *The Journal of Sex Research*. 1998;25(2):267–288.
13. Zillmann D, Bryant J. Effects of massive exposure to pornography. In: Malamuth N, Donnerstein E, editors. *Pornography and sexual aggression*. New York: Academic Press; 1984:114–137.
14. Marshall WL, Seidman BT, Barbaree HE. The effects of prior exposure to erotic and nonerotic stimuli on the rape index. *Annals of Sex Research*. 1991;4(3–4):209–220.
15. Kingston DA, Fedoroff P, Firestone P, et al. Pornography use and sexual aggression: the impact of frequency and type of pornography use on recidivism among sexual offenders. *Aggressive Behavior*. 2008;34(4):341–351.
16. Johnson SA. Use of pornography with sex offenders in treatment: A controversial conundrum. *Journal of Forensic Research*. 2015;6: 309.
17. Johnson SA. Child pornography users & child contact offenders: applications for law enforcement, prosecution and forensic mental health. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health and Human Resilience*. 2015;17(4):666–669.
18. Johnson SA. The role of pornography in sexual offenses: Information for law enforcement & forensic psychologists. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health & Human Resilience*. 2015;17(1):239–242.
19. Johnson SA. Pornography and the Violent Offender: Importance of Finding the Offender’s Pornography Stash. *J Forensic Res*. 2014;5:229.