Unambiguous determination of the wave impedance of slow-wave structures

Abstract

A new method of calculating the wave impedance of slow-wave structures (SWSs) based on the choice of an equivalent transmission line with decreased series inductance and increased shunt capacitance is demonstrated. It is shown that the calculation of the real conduction current in the longitudinal direction and the power flow makes it possible to find the equivalent voltage, which can be used for correcting parameters of the equivalent line. The proposed method is demonstrated using the example of a helix in the sheath approximation. Expressions for the equivalent inductance, capacitance, and voltage, which enable one to obtain the identical values of the wave impedance from all formulas of the circuit theory, are derived. Calculation of the power flow in SWS by differentiation of the “hot” dispersion equation is also considered.
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Introduction

Microwave transmission lines, including waveguides and slow-wave structures (SWSs), are often replaced in their analysis and calculation by a two-wire equivalent circuit with series-parallel connection of inductors and capacitors and also resistors if the losses must be taken into account. In the cases of circuits homogeneous in the direction of the wave propagation, the equivalent circuit can be replaced by an equivalent line characterized by specific (per unit length) parameters. Practically all authors of monographs and textbooks on microwave engineering replace electromodynamics structures by equivalent circuits or equivalent lines in order to either simplify the analysis or simplify the physical interpretation of the obtained results. Such replacement substantially simplifies the calculation and matching of circuits with different geometries and different properties of filling materials. Unfortunately, in the case of electromodynamics structures, such as waveguides and slow-wave structures, traditionally defined equivalent parameters cannot be used for determination of the wave impedance $Z_0$. It was well known, previously, that replacement of such transmission lines as cavity waveguides and SWSs, is not adequate and the wave impedance $Z_0$ cannot be determined equally by all formulas of the circuit theory. For a long time, such the ambiguity was considered as an inevitable fact. In the fundamental textbook on microwave technique are demonstrated four expressions for the wave impedance of a rectangular waveguide, excited at $H_0$ wave. The difference between these expressions is as large as twofold. Three significantly different formulas for the wave impedance of the mostly often used SWS, the helix, had been derived in monograph. All attempts of unambiguous determination $Z_0$ for dispersive transmission lines were finishing by introducing a so-called “distribution coefficient” or transverse and longitudinal impedances.

Unfortunately, the wave impedance is often confused with the introduced by Pierce interaction impedance, characterizing effectiveness of the slow wave interaction with the electron beam in vacuum devices. Being connected by the Maxwell’s equations, both parameters differ not only by their physical meanings but also by their values. The parameter, determined as $\sqrt{L_0/C_0}$, where $L_0$ and $C_0$ are specific (per unit length) equivalent inductance and equivalent capacitance, was called by Pierce “the transverse impedance” and was used just for an approximate estimation of the interaction effect. Despite of it, some authors use this parameter as the interaction impedance or as a parameter, which can be converted in the interaction impedance. Slow-wave structures are used mostly in delay lines and microwave vacuum devices. Other, so called “unconventional application of SWSs”, is just a developing branch of the microwave engineering, being hindered by a narrow circle of specialists related to this specific area. The most developed among the unconventional applications are SWS-based electrodes for medical application, and sensors. Although the slowed electromagnetic wave can propagate without radiation along one, so called impedance electrode, as a rule, slow-wave structures are formed two electrodes, the second, a screen electrode, has a simple configuration, shielding the electromagnetic field. In the most traditional and unconventional applications, the gap between SWS electrodes is large enough to provide an effective coupling with the objects, such as an electron beam, treated material or human tissue, etc. In these cases, the longitudinal capacitive currents exceed, as a rule, the conduction current in the screen electrode and the wave impedance $Z_0$ cannot be determined by the ratio $U/I$ or $\sqrt{L_0/C_0}$, where $U$ and $I$ are amplitudes of the potential on the impedance electrode and the conduction current in this electrode. The unambiguous determination of $Z_0$ for slow-wave structures is represented below.

Initial Definitions

Although slowing down of an electromagnetic wave can be provided by a homogeneous dielectric plate or a dielectric layer on a metal surface, the best results can be achieved by an impedance electrode, formed by a periodic row of conductors, connected in the longitudinal direction. The impedance electrode can be a meander line, a helix, or the other structure that increases the distance travelled by an electromagnetic wave. Let us consider an axially symmetric wave in a SWS formed by a cylindrical impedance electrode with average radius $a$, in a metal cylinder (screen electrode) with internal radius $b$. The parameter, determined as $\sqrt{L_0/C_0}$, where $L_0$ and $C_0$ are specific (per unit length) equivalent inductance and equivalent capacitance, was called by Pierce “the transverse impedance” and was used just for an approximate estimation of the interaction effect. Despite of it, some authors use this parameter as the interaction impedance or as a parameter, which can be converted in the interaction impedance. Slow-wave structures are used mostly in delay lines and microwave vacuum devices. Other, so called “unconventional application of SWSs”, is just a developing branch of the microwave engineering, being hindered by a narrow circle of specialists related to this specific area. The most developed among the unconventional applications are SWS-based electrodes for medical application, and sensors. Although the slowed electromagnetic wave can propagate without radiation along one, so called impedance electrode, as a rule, slow-wave structures are formed two electrodes, the second, a screen electrode, has a simple configuration, shielding the electromagnetic field. In the most traditional and unconventional applications, the gap between SWS electrodes is large enough to provide an effective coupling with the objects, such as an electron beam, treated material or human tissue, etc. In these cases, the longitudinal capacitive currents exceed, as a rule, the conduction current in the screen electrode and the wave impedance $Z_0$ cannot be determined by the ratio $U/I$ or $\sqrt{L_0/C_0}$, where $U$ and $I$ are amplitudes of the potential on the impedance electrode and the conduction current in this electrode. The unambiguous determination of $Z_0$ for slow-wave structures is represented below.
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(Figure 1). The origin of the cylindrical coordinate system \( \rho, \phi, z \) is positioned on the axis of the helix. We will neglect by electromagnetic losses in the electrodes and in the surrounding medium.

\[ \text{Figure 1 Helix (impedance electrode) in a metal cylinder (screen electrode).} \]

All components of a slow wave will be taken to be proportional to the wave factor \( \exp(j\omega t-j\beta z) \), where \( t \) is time, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, and \( \beta \) is the phase constant along coordinate \( z \) \( (\beta = \omega / v_\text{ph} \) where \( v_\text{ph} \) is the phase velocity of the wave). Any SWS can be characterized by the slowdown \( N \), defined as the ratio of the velocity of light \( c \) to the phase velocity of the wave in the SWS, or, that is the same, as

\[ N = \beta / k, \tag{1} \]

Where \( k \) is the wave number,

\[ k = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_\rho \mu_0}, \tag{2} \]

\( \omega \) is the angular frequency, and \( \varepsilon_\rho, \mu_0 \) are the permittivity and permeability of free space.

The impedance electrode is assumed to be a periodic structure in \( z \) direction, with period \( T \). When \( T \) is small compared with the wavelength \( (T << 1) \), the impedance electrode can be replaced by an anisotropic surface with conductivity in the direction of the winds. This so called impedance approximation significantly simplifies analysis and allows using the equivalent line method. Unlike in waveguides, the phase constant \( \beta \) in slow wave structures always exceeds the wave number; in other words, \( N > 1 \). In the simplest cases, when the wave front is perpendicular to the wave propagation direction, the electromagnetic field in the transverse cross section is symmetric and depends only on the transverse coordinate, e.g. radius \( r \) in axially symmetric structures. The transverse constant \( \gamma \) characterizing this dependence is related to the phase constant and the wave number as

\[ \gamma^2 = \beta^2 - k^2. \tag{3} \]

Unlike the E- and H-waves in waveguides, which exist independently and may be characterized by different equivalent lines,\(^14\) slow waves in most SWSs are hybrid waves with components of both E- and H-waves. Having the same phase and group velocities, these waves satisfy different boundary conditions and their transverse distribution can be quite different.

**Potential Delay**

In the impedance approximation, any SWS may be replaced by a two-wire transmission line (Figure 2) with series inductance per unit length \( L_0 \) and shunt capacitance per unit length \( C_0 \), in terms of which the phase constant is given by

\[ \beta^2 = \omega^2 L_0 C_0. \tag{4} \]

\[ \text{Figure 2 Two-wire equivalent line.} \]

It follows from the rigorous electro-dynamic analysis,\(^17\) in the case of slow waves, the dominant part of \( L_0 \) which we’ll designate as \( L \) is defined by the specific inductance of the impedance electrode, while a relatively small part, \( L_0 \), is defined by the potential delay

\[ L_0 = L + L_D. \tag{5} \]

We emphasize that \( L \) depends on the magnetic flow excited by transverse currents, while \( L_D \) depends on magnetic flow excited by longitudinal currents. In the case of a hybrid wave, \( L \) is defined by the H-wave, whereas \( L_D \) is defined by the E-wave.

Substituting (5) in (4) and comparing with (3), we can identify

\[ \omega^2 L_0 C_0 = k^2 \tag{6} \]

and

\[ \gamma^2 = \omega^2 L C_0. \tag{7} \]

The replacement of \( L_0 \) by \( L \) and traditional long line equation (4) by (7) makes it possible to use circuit theory for all SWSs.

**Three-Wire Equivalent Line**

Unlike waveguides, which have only one area with a propagating wave, most SWSs have at least two areas adjacent to opposite surfaces of the impedance electrode. In some cases, for example when one area is filled by a dielectric material or electron beam, it is more convenient to use two shunt capacitances \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), which relate to the areas adjacent to the impedance electrode from opposite sides. Such a SWS may be represented by a three-wire equivalent line with series inductance in the middle wire and shunt capacitances \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), connecting the middle wire with lower and upper wires, respectively (Figure 3). The use of electro-dynamic admittances of electric, \( Y_e \), and magnetic, \( Y_m \), types\(^18\) significantly simplifies calculation of the specific parameters of the three-wire equivalent line. As shown in,\(^9\) the equivalent capacitance \( C_1 \) for the area below the impedance electrode, can be defined by the electric type admittance at the bottom surface of the impedance electrode,

\[ C_1 = \frac{2 \pi \alpha^2}{j \omega} Y_e (a), \tag{8} \]
Whereas capacitance $C_\varepsilon$, related to the external (second) area, is
\[ C_\varepsilon = \frac{2\pi a r^2}{j\omega [Y_r^+(a) - Y_r^-(a)]}, \tag{9} \]
According to definition,$^\text{18}$
\[ Y_{1,1}^e(a) = -\frac{H_{1,2}}{E_{1,2}}(a), \tag{11} \]
\[ Y_{1,2}^m(a) = \frac{H_{1,2}}{E_{1,2}}(a). \tag{12} \]
For a planar impedance electrode, transverse coordinates $r$ and $\phi$ should be replaced by $x$ and $y$, respectively. Substituting (8)-(10) into (7), one can obtain the lossless version of the general dispersion equation derived$^{18}$ for the helix with losses
\[ \frac{(2\pi a)^2}{k^2 \Delta r_{1,2}^e} + 1 = 0. \tag{13} \]
Transition from a three-wire to a two-wire equivalent line is possible by adding together shunt capacitances, taking into account the difference in potential delays in the areas with different permittivity,$^\text{18}$
\[ C_0 = C_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_2}{\varepsilon_{1,2}^2}, \tag{14} \]
Where
\[ \varepsilon_{1,2}^2 = \beta^2 - k^2 \varepsilon_{1,2}, \tag{15} \]
and subscripts refer to different areas with relative permittivities $\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_1$, and $\varepsilon_2$.

**Effective Voltage**

The peculiarity of all dispersive transmission lines is in the longitudinal capacitive (displacement) currents, which unlike the conduction currents in the electrodes, are subjected to smaller voltages than the voltage $U$ between electrodes. This leads to a decrease in the power flow $P$ as compared to the quantity $U/2$. The conduction current passing through the screen electrode is only a part of the current in the impedance electrode; the rest being the longitudinal capacitive current. Equality of current amplitudes in the two-wire equivalent line is due to summing these currents. As a result, replacing transmission structures with longitudinal capacitive currents by equivalent lines leads to an incorrect definition of the power flow and, consequently, the wave impedance. Although the product $L_iC_p$ determined by formulas (8)-(10) gives correct dispersion equations, the ratio $L_i/C_p$ determining the wave impedance, is incorrect. As it was shown in,$^\text{16}$ this problem may be solved by (1) introducing an effective voltage $U_{ef}$ (2) reducing the equivalent inductance, and (3) increasing the equivalent capacitances. Voltage $U$ at the impedance electrode and current $I$ as well as the real power flow $P$ may be found with help of expressions for components of the slow wave, obtained from solutions of the wave equations in the areas adjacent to the impedance electrode. For the same current and power flow in the equivalent line,
\[ U_{ef} = 2P/|I|, \tag{16} \]
Where $|I|$ is the amplitude of the conduction current in the impedance electrode. We define the voltage correction coefficient $U_{ef}/U$ as
\[ \psi = U_{ef}/U. \tag{17} \]
The correction coefficient $\psi$ is always less than 1. The decrease in $U_{ef}$ should be followed by a proportional decrease in $L_i$ and $L$ and the proportional increase in the shunt capacitance or capacitances. In the case of a two-wire truncated equivalent line, the equivalent series inductance and shunt capacitance are therefore:
\[ L_{ef} = \psi L, \quad C_{ef} = C_0 / \psi, \tag{18} \]
It is seen that substitution of (17) and (18) in (7) does not change the dispersion equation, while the wave impedance can be defined unambiguously
\[ Z_0 = \frac{U_{ef}}{I} = \frac{2P}{U} \frac{U_{ef}}{2P} = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \frac{C_{ef}}{C_0}. \tag{19} \]
In the following section we demonstrate the application of these relations to the sheath helix in free space.

**Free Helix**

The helix is the most frequently used and analyzed SWS. Even so, the published expressions for specific inductance and capacitance satisfying the transmission line dispersion equation do not satisfy the formulas for the wave impedance. Although in practice, the helix is used with a screen electrode; its diameter is chosen large enough to minimize its influence on the field in the helix. For the sake of simplicity we restrict our analysis to a helix in the absence of the screen electrode (free helix). The following formulas for specific inductance and capacitance for power flow for the free helix had been derived previously$^{1,6,7,10}$:
\[ L = \mu_0 \frac{\tan \Phi}{2\pi} I_0(\gamma a)K_1(\gamma a), \tag{20} \]
\[ C_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 2\pi}{I_0(\gamma a)K_0(\gamma a)}, \tag{21} \]

Citation: Pchelnikov YN. Unambiguous determination of the wave impedance of slow-wave structures. Electric Electron Tech Open Acc J. 2017;1(1):1–5.
DOI: 10.15406/eeota.2017.01.00001
Unambiguous determination of the wave impedance of slow-wave structures

The wave impedance of a slow-wave structure can be determined unambiguously by

\[ P \rightarrow \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0 A^2}{2\gamma^3} I_0(y\alpha) F(\gamma \alpha) \]

where \( I_{0,1} \) and \( K_{0,1} \) are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, zero and first orders, \( \phi \) is the angle between the direction of the helix conductivity and longitudinal axis, \( F(x) \) is an auxiliary function

\[ F(x) = \frac{A}{a} \frac{I_1(x) I_0(x) K_0(x) - K_1(x) I_0(x)}{I_0(x) K_1(x) - K_0(x) I_1(x)} \]

Whereas \( A \) is the constant in the formulas for the longitudinal components of the electric field intensity

\[ E_{z1}(r) = A I_0(yr) \]
\[ E_{z2}(r) = A \frac{I_1(yr)}{K_0(\gamma r)} \]

The dispersion equation for the free helix can be found by substituting (20) and (21) in (7):

\[ \frac{\gamma^2}{k^2} = \frac{I_1(y\alpha)K_0(\gamma \alpha)}{I_0(y\alpha)K_0(\gamma \alpha)} \tan^2 \phi \]

Equating the conduction current density to the jump of the azimuth components of the magnetic field intensity at the helix and multiplying by \( 2\pi \), one obtains the current

\[ I = \frac{2\pi A}{\gamma} \frac{j\omega E_z(a)}{K_0(\gamma \alpha)} \]

The potential \( U \) at the helix can be obtained by integrating \( E_z(r) \) from \( a \) to infinity,

\[ U = -\frac{\beta A}{\gamma} E_z(a) = \frac{\beta A}{\gamma} A I_0(y\alpha) \]

Substituting (22) and (27) into (16) gives the effective voltage

\[ \left[ U_{ef} \right] = \frac{\beta A}{\gamma} I_0(y\alpha) F(\gamma \alpha) \]

and

\[ \psi = \frac{U_{ef}}{U} = \frac{\gamma a}{4} \frac{F(\gamma \alpha)}{A} \]

It follows from (18), (20), (21), and (30) that for the free helix

\[ L_{ef} = \frac{\mu_0}{\gamma} \frac{\tan^2 \phi}{8\pi} y a I_0(y\alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha) F(\gamma \alpha) \]

\[ C_{ef} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0}{\gamma y a I_0(y\alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha) F(\gamma \alpha)} \]

Using (31) and (32) in (19) gives the formula for the wave impedance:

\[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\mu_0}{\gamma} \frac{\beta \gamma a F(\gamma \alpha)}{8\pi} f(\gamma \alpha) \tan \phi} \]

Where

\[ f(\gamma \alpha) = \frac{I_0(y\alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha)}{I_0(y\alpha) K_1(\gamma \alpha)} \]

We also find that substitution of (27), (29) and (22) in the first three formulas of (19) gives

\[ Z_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{\gamma a N}{8\pi} L_0(\gamma \alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha) F(\gamma \alpha) \]

Equating the right hand sides of (33) and (35) leads to the dispersion equation (26), which confirms the equivalence of both expressions for the wave impedance. Figure 4 illustrates the dependence of the wave impedance on the dimensionless parameter \( \gamma \alpha \) calculated for the free helix for different values of \( \phi \). It is seen that \( Z_0 \) increases with the increase in \( \phi \). It is obvious that in the presence of a solid cylindrical screen electrode the equivalent capacitance will increase and the equivalent inductance will decrease, producing a corresponding decrease in the wave impedance. This effect depends strongly on the value of \( \gamma \alpha \), increasing with decreasing \( \gamma \alpha \), i.e. with decreasing frequency, which in turn leads to a flattening the dependence of \( Z_0 \) on frequency.

**Figure 4** Wave impedance \( Z_0 \) versus parameter \( \gamma \alpha \) for different values of angle \( \phi \).  

**Calculation of the Power Flow by Differentiation**

In practice, when SWS has two or more cross-sectional areas, the calculation of the power flow is the most difficult part of the analysis. The same calculation is required for evaluation of the interaction impedance \( K^1 \) and the coupling coefficient \( K_c \), both values being used in the TWT theory for evaluation of the electron beam interaction with a slow wave. Being dimensionless, \( K_c \) is more convenient for practical application. In the case of a cylindrical beam tunnel with radius \( a \) filled by an electron beam

\[ K_c = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0}{2\beta_0^P} \frac{A^2}{\gamma a I_0(y\alpha) I_1(y\alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha) K_1(\gamma \alpha)} \]

Where the power flow \( P \) is calculated by integrating the Pointing flux across the cross-section of the SWS, while the phase constant \( \beta_0 \) and transverse constant \( \gamma \) are defined in the absence of the electron beam. It follows from (36) that

\[ P = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0}{2\beta_0^P} \frac{A^2}{\gamma a I_0(y\alpha) I_1(y\alpha) K_0(\gamma \alpha) K_1(\gamma \alpha)} \]
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That at known already $K_r$ makes it possible calculating $P$. There are relatively simple methods for calculating $K_r$ by differentiation of the dispersion equation in the presence of the electron beam $^{16,20}$:

$$K_r = \frac{\gamma_e^2}{\beta_e} \left[ \frac{dF}{d\gamma_e} - 1 \right].$$

(38)

Here $T$ is the transverse constant in the electron beam and $\gamma$ is the “disturbed” by the beam transverse constant in free from the electrons areas. This gives for the free helix interacting with the electron beam with radius $a$:

$$K_r = \frac{\gamma_e^2}{\beta_e} \left[ I_2'(\gamma_e a) - I_0(\gamma_e a) \right] \frac{F(\gamma_e a)}{\gamma_e a}.$$

(39)

For the free helix, the coupling coefficient was defined with the help of formula (36) in the monograph. The substitution (39) in (37) gives an expression for $P$ identical to that obtained in $^1$ and $^a$ by integration the power flow density.

**Discussion**

A method of replacing the slow-wave structure by an equivalent transmission line with parameters providing unambiguous determination of the wave impedance was found and described. The method is based on the introduction of an equivalent voltage that yields the correct value of power flowing for the real value of the conduction current. It is shown that the decrease in the equivalent inductance and simultaneous increase in the equivalent capacitance, proportional to the decrease in the equivalent voltage, provides an unambiguous definition of the wave impedance. The decrease in the equivalent voltage is defined by the decrease in the real power flow, caused by the longitudinal capacitive currents.

It is demonstrated also that the unambiguous determination of the wave impedance of slow-wave structures can be simplified by differentiation of the dispersion equation in the presence of a homogeneous electron beam.
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