

#### **Research Article**

# Open Access



# Diversity, productivity and biomass patters of epiphytes along successional gradient in the Andean Colombian

#### Abstract

Our objective was to determine the relationship of different successional stages with the diversity, assimilation rates and net productivity of different growth forms of vascular epiphytes in a humid montane forest in Andean Colombian. Three successional stages were selected according to the site conditions. The 30 trees sampled in the three successional stages 4,610 epiphytic individuals to 54 species. A main finding was that species richness, abundance and biomass increased with stage age. Additionally true epiphytes were the growth form with the greatest richness, abundance and biomass. Regarding photosynthesis rates decreased with stage age and were higher for treelets, shrubs and lower for true epiphytes. According the analysis of the ordering of the epiphytes found by stages shows the existence of a successional trajectory with the presence of representative species of each stage. This research can contribute as a basis to the knowledge of epiphytes, with the purpose of enriching and supporting management measures for these species.

Keywords: orchids, bromeliads, neotropical forest, vascular plant, environmental factors

Volume 7 Issue I - 2024

Luz Adriana Molina-Garcia, <sup>1</sup> Juan C Benavides, <sup>2</sup> Julio Cesar Baquero-Rojas<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Environmental and Rural Studies, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia <sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology and Territory, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Francisco José de Caldas District University, Colombia

**Correspondence:** Luz Adriana Molina-Garcia, Faculty of Environmental and Rural Studies, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá D.C., Colombia, Tel +573185548690, Email adrianamolig7@gmail.com

Received: April 18, 2024 | Published: May 23, 2024

# Introduction

Vascular epiphytes represent a great richness of species in most tropical forests, and their diversity is associated with the state of development of the ecosystem.<sup>1,2</sup> This group of epiphyte splants contribute greatly to global plant diversity, because they represent between 8% and 10% of all known vascular plant species, where 80% of all vascular epiphytes are concentrated in the families' Orchidaceae, Bromeliaceae, Polypodiaceae and Araceae.<sup>3,4</sup> The importance of these plants in the ecosystems is notable, because epiphytes can considerably increase the retention of atmospheric water in tropical forests,<sup>5</sup> in addition to playing a predominant role in the contribution of biomass and the nutrient recycling in upland forests.<sup>6</sup>

Information on the diversity of epiphytes in forests under different successional stages is still insufficient according to their response capabilities to environmental changes.<sup>1,5-10</sup> Diversity patterns are determined by environmental factors, which limit or favor their diversity. An factors is atmospheric humidity, where environments in conditions of high humidity present, for example, a greater richness and abundance of epiphytes.<sup>3</sup> Consequently, epiphytes reach their greatest diversity and abundance in tropical humid montane forests, where moderate temperatures together with constant high humidity favor growth and the accumulation of organic matter.<sup>6,11,12</sup>

The degree of forest succession affects climatic factors such as light, humidity, temperature and evapotranspiration, affecting forest dynamics, and but also epiphytic species and their growth forms.<sup>13</sup> Total biomass is expected to be lower in the early successional stage than in the intermediate and late successional stages, because species that grow on thicker branches and in the wetter part of the canopy are more affected by disturbance.<sup>14,15</sup> On the other hand, successional changes are reported in terms of epiphyte diversity as the primary branches grow in diameter and age.<sup>5</sup> According to the responses of epiphytes found in trees, more stable forests are expected to promote a greater diversity of epiphytes - notable in orchids species, which are sensitive to human disturbance.<sup>16,17</sup>

mit Manuscript | http://medcraveonline.co

In general epiphytes show a relatively moderate maximum fixation rate in favorable conditions. In conditions with environmental limitations the maximum fixation rate is maintained for short periods, evidencing that photosynthesis rates in drought conditions decrease and the exchange of  $\rm CO_2$  between the atmosphere and the plant is practically suspended.<sup>18</sup> The C3 photosynthetic pathway is the most typical of epiphytes that inhabit the canopies of cloud forests and cold micro-sites, shady and humid.<sup>19</sup> However, in response to less favorable or unfavorable conditions of relative humidity, water availability, air temperature, amount of light and photoperiod, epiphytes species present variable photosynthetic metabolism (facultative or intermediate). In favorable conditions the plant has a C3 metabolism and in less favorable conditions it changes to a CAM metabolism, a variation that can occur in very short periods of time.<sup>18,20</sup>

Leaf characteristics such as size, shape, mass, inclination, chlorophyll content, nitrogen content and photosynthetic capacity, among others, vary along gradients of altitude, light and under different micro-environmental conditions, so that the plant maximizes carbon gain.<sup>21</sup> However, it has been shown that two factors are the most determining limitations in carbon gain and annual primary productivity, these are light and water availability, with leaves exposed to the sun presenting higher productivity values.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, the leaves of epiphytic plants have evolved to optimize and improve photosynthesis through a strategy based on leaf area and carbon acquisition through increasing leaf area per unit of biomass to regulate light capture and tissue development.<sup>22</sup>

The eastern Andes of Colombia include diverse ecosystems, among which the cloud forest stands out, which represent the greatest favorability for epiphytes. Given the rapid advance of deforestation in the forest covers of these Andean ecosystems and the insufficient information on the behavior of epiphytic plants under different successional stages, it is necessary to understand how they are related. This research aims to explore the relationship of different successional stages with the diversity, assimilation rates and productivity of the different growth forms of vascular epiphytes in a tropical humid montane forest of Andean Colombian.

Biodiversity Int J. 2024;7(1):13-20.



©2024 Molina-Garcia et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

Diversity, productivity and biomass patters of epiphytes along successional gradient in the Andean Colombian

# **Material and methods**

## Study area

The study was carried out in a humid montane forest at Hacienda Los Llanitos, Zaque village of Gachetá municipality (Cund. state) (Figure 1), It is part of the Regional Protective Forest Reserve system, east of the Colombian Andes. The forest study is located at an altitude of 2800 m., with an average slope and regular temperature of 12°C. The study area is part of the Orinoco basin, with a very pronounced rainy season (mono-model), with a period of maximum rainfall between June and July, followed by lower rainfall between the end and beginning of the year.<sup>23</sup>



Figure 1 Location of host trees characterized in successional stages in a humid montane forest of Gachetámunicpality, Andean Colombian.

#### Successional stages

- Initial stage, corresponding to a clearing of natural regeneration two years of formation, caused by the natural fall of between five and six mature trees.
- (ii) Intermediate stage, corresponding to patches originally used as grasslands that have been under natural regeneration for the last 30 years.
- (iii) late stage, corresponding to mature forest that has not suffered disturbance or if it did it was more than 50 years ago.<sup>24</sup>

#### **Epiphytes species classification**

- (i) True epiphytes, herbaceous plants with a simple root system attached to the tree (e.g. Orchids).
- (ii) Rosettes, herbaceous plants that grow in compact rosettes attached to trees by short rhizomes (e.g. Bromeliads).
- (iii) Nomadic, herbaceous plants that climb a tree, the roots are usually large and adventitious.
- (iv) Climbing and tree lets plants, woody plants, with roots that generally grow down and around the branches or stem of the host.<sup>25</sup>

#### Host selection

We characterize 10 host trees per succession stage, following the RRED methodology.<sup>26–29</sup> Characteristics related to the size of the host were taken into account- e.g. Diameter at Breast Height DBH,<sup>26</sup> the rough cortex,<sup>30</sup> and, the possibility of access to the upper canopy strata. The emergent host trees, with accessibility to the crown, had a DBH> 30cm,<sup>28</sup> which were accessed with canopy ascent techniques. Each tree was marked, and, its DBH and total height were measured.<sup>31</sup> The host trees were determined - it was not taken into account for their selection because it could cause some bias in the sampling effort and species richness.<sup>29</sup>

### Diversity

When possible, the number of individuals per species was quantified, but in trees with profuse growth of epiphytes, counting the number of colonies or groups of each of the species was considered the best option.<sup>28,32</sup> Epiphytic individuals were defined as all independent stems or very close groups of the same species that were spatially separated and distinguished from other stems or groups. In the case of epiphytes with treelets and nomadic habits, they were counted as separate individuals if their rhizomes and stems were not visibly connected.<sup>22</sup> From this characterization, samples of vascular epiphytes for each growth form were collected and preserved.

Diversity, productivity and biomass patters of epiphytes along successional gradient in the Andean Colombian

## **Biomass**

It was determined by randomly collecting between ten and 24 foliar individuals by growth form and successional stage, for a total of 31 treelets, 20 nomadic, 36 rosettes and 65 true epiphytic. For the selection of individuals, representativeness was taken into account (richness and abundance of epiphytic species by growth form and succession stage). The samples were taken to the laboratory to dry at 72°C for three days or until there were no variations in the oven dry weight from one day to the next. Biomass in this study is defined as the dry weight of living plants.<sup>11,25</sup> In addition, the size of the leaf (cm<sup>2</sup>) was measured for these individuals using a photograph that was processed in the ImageJ program.

## **Photosynthesis**

It was carried out from the characterization of the species in each successional stage, selecting four to 12 individuals of each of the growth forms of the epiphytes between the trunk stratum and lower canopy of the hosts.<sup>33</sup> Photosynthesis was measured from the exchange of CO<sub>2</sub>, with the use of a portable photosynthesis equipment.<sup>34</sup> The photosynthesis rate was determined *in situ* in two field phases,

- (i) first phase in December 2018 with a portable photosynthesis system LI-6400 (LI-COR) at a light level in the chamber of 300  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; and,
- (ii) second phase in October 2019 with a LI-6800 portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR) at a light level in the chamber of 500 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Assimilation measurements were made on mature, healthy and uniform leaves from the mid-stem region of the individuals between 9,30 and 11,30 hours to ensure a measurement not affected by the daily cycles of plant activity.<sup>35,36</sup>

differences in the richness of epiphytic species between succession stages. To determine differences in species richness between growth forms (without normal distribution), the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Beta diversity, an abundance matrix of the different species was applied and subsequently an ecological management analysis, using the non-metric multidimensional scaling method (MDS), using the Bray-Curtis similarity index and applying the metaMDS function (McCune & Grace 2002) of "R" program Vegan package, version 2.13.1.<sup>37</sup>

The total biomass per host tree characterized in each of the three successional stages was estimated. To determine the differences in the biomass of epiphytes between succession stages and between growth forms, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to determine the differences in photosynthesis rates between succession stages. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to differences in photosynthesis rates between growth forms. To improve additivity and homoscedasticity, the response variable was log transformed - if necessary. From the data obtained on photosynthesis rates (µmol m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and taking into account the plant size (cm<sup>2</sup>) of each of the measured plants, photosynthesis was estimated for the entire leaf of each plant measured in its different forms of growth and successional stages.

# Results

## Diversity

The 30 trees sampled in the three successional stages housed 4,610 epiphytic individuals corresponding to 54 species (Table 1). Epiphyte richness differed significantly between growth forms (Kruskal-Wallis, P < 0.01). Furthermore, the rosettes were significantly different from the treelets shrubs (P < 0.05).

#### Data analysis

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to determine the **Table I** Diversity of epiphytic species recorded in the 30 trees sampled in the three successional stages

Growth form	Epiphytic species	Success	Successional stage			
		Initial	Intermediate	Late	Total	
	Bomarea cf. setacea (Ruiz & Pav.) Herb.	25			25	
	Cavendishia bracteata (Ruiz & Pav. ex J.St.Hil.) Hoerold	13	22	157	192	
<b>T</b> 1. 1 11	Dioscorea coriacea Humb. & Bonpl. ex Willd.	19	4	23	46	
	Glossoloma ichthyoderma (Hanst.) JL Clark			27	27	
Treelets shrubb	Passiflora biflora Lam.		5	3	8	
	Peperomia sp.			7	7	
	Smilax tomentosa Kunth		3	4	7	
	Thibaudia grantii A.C. Sm.		2	12	14	
	Anthurium bogotense Schott		6	42	48	
	Anthurium cf. longigeniculatum Engl.		2	8	10	
Nomades	Anthurium myosuroides (Kunth) Schott		2	28	30	
	Anthurium oblongo-cordatum Engl.		7	3	10	
	Philondendron sp.		7	19	26	
Rosettes	Greigia sp.		10	3	13	
	Guzmania gloriosa (André) André ex Mez		3	10	13	
	Racinaea riocreuxii (André) M.A. Spencer & L.B. Sm.	10	3	78	91	
	Racinaea ropalocarpa (André) M.A.Spencer & L.B.Sm.	13	56	118	187	
	Racinaea sp.	5	36	27	68	
	Racinaea subalata (André) M.A.Spencer & L.B.Sm.		3	43	46	
	Tillandsia biflora Ruiz & Pav.	18	10	7	35	
	Tillandsia compacta Griseb.		24	167	191	
	Tillandsia restrepoana André	2	I	12	15	
	Tillandsia turneri Baker	2			2	

#### Table I Continued...

Order formInitialIntermediateLateTotalCyrtochilum flewosum Kunch1Cyrtochilum regiophium (Lindl) Kraenzl.22Gyrtochilum tetrocopis (Rehb.f.) Kraenzl.08082.083.0Elienthus sp.88082.08Epidendrum aff, fruices Rehb.f.8878.1Epidendrum cylindraceum Lindl.40.038.078.1Epidendrum scutella Lindl.27.021.025.0Epidendrum scytocladum Schtr.73.03.03.0Epidendrum sugepine Hägsater83.03.0Epidendrum sugepine Hägsater540.04.0Epidendrum sugepine Lindl.540.04.0Epidendrum sugepine Childl.540.04.0Ferrandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.10.010.010.0True epiphytesLepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats12.03.02.0Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats22.03.03.0Masdevallia strumifera Rehb.f.12.013.013.013.0Playstele consotina Lindl.22.013.013.0Playstele consotina Luer10.012.013.013.0Playstele consotina Luer10.013.013.013.0Playstele consotina Luer10.013.013.013.0Pleurothalis Indenii Lindl.2.03.03.013.0Pleurothalis Indenii Lindl.13.013.013.013.0 </th <th rowspan="2">Growth form</th> <th rowspan="2">Epiphytic species</th> <th>Success</th> <th colspan="4">Successional stage</th>	Growth form	Epiphytic species	Success	Successional stage			
Gyrtachilum (Euasum Kunch         Gyrtachilum megalophium (Lindi.) Kraenzl.         Gyrtachilum tetracopis (Rchb.f.) Kraenzl.           10         10             Epidendrum sp.           B80           B82           B83           B83           B83             Epidendrum sp.           Epidendrum sp.           B7           B7          B7              B7       B7         B7       B7      B7      B7			Initial	Intermediate	Late	Total	
Gyrtochilum megolophium (Lindl.) Kraenzl. 10 10   Gyrtochilum teracopis (Rchb.f.) Kraenzl. 10 10   Elpidendrum aft, fritzer Rchb.f. 80 182 73   Epidendrum aft, fritzer Rchb.f. 8 73   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 40 38 73   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 40 36 73   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 4 4   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 3 3   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 8 3   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 8 43   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 8 43   Epidendrum scriella Lindl. 8 43   Ferrandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst. 8 43   Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats 10 1 11   Lepanthes mucronta Lindl. 26 72 94   Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f. 132 94 25   Pachybrijlum sp. 2 12 13 13   Patystele onsploras Lindl. 12 13 13   Patystele onsploras Lindl. 12 14 13   Patystele onsploras Lindl. 13 13 13   Patystele onsploras Lindl. 10 13		Cyrtochilum flexuosum Kunth			I	I	
Gyrtachilum tetracopis (Rchb.f.) Kraenzl.101010Elleanthus sp.801828073Epidendrum fi, Iruter. Rchb.f.403078Epidendrum cylindraceum Lindl.40272125Epidendrum systeplaum Hägsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum systeplaum Schltr.443131Epidendrum systeplaum Schltr.3313131Epidendrum sigeänse Hågsater533131Epidendrum sigeänse Hågsater111111Epidendrum sigeänse Hågsater111111Epidendrum sigeänse Hågsater3313131Fernandezia songuinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.1124254Lepanthes murconata Lindl.235721011True epiphytesLepanthes murconata Lindl.132929292Pachyshyllum sp.2132132132133133Peurothallis stumifera Rchb.f.13213133133133133133Pleurothallis killeji Garay111186130134 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Cyrtochilum megalophium (Lindl.) Kraenzl.</td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td></td<>		Cyrtochilum megalophium (Lindl.) Kraenzl.			2	2	
Elleanthus sp.80182483745Epidendrum sfir futex Rchb.f.88Epidendrum ovyrsepolum Hågsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum soxteolum Hågsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum soxteolum Hågsater & E.Santiago273333Epidendrum soxteolum Stageares333333Epidendrum soxteolum Stageares33		Cyrtochilum tetracopis (Rchb.f.) Kraenzl.		10		10	
Epidendrum aff, frutex Rchb.f.88Epidendrum cylindraceum Lindl.403878Epidendrum oxysepolum Hágsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum oxysepolum Schltr.7272727Epidendrum siggönse Hágsater3343Epidendrum siggönse Hágsater34343Epidendrum siggönse Hágsater11011Epidendrum singröp Mancum83543Fernandezia saguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.10111Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats124254Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.267298True epiphytesLepanthes macronata Lindl.121325Pachyphyllum sp.132929292Pachyphyllum sp.12131313Platystele consobrina Luer10101010Platystele consobrina Luer5015575480Pleurothallis killipii Garay11186197190Pleurothallis killipii Garay37635015540Pleurothallis killipii Garay3763101010Pleurothallis killipii Garay3763101010Pleurothallis killipii Garay3763101010Pleurothallis killipii Garay3763101010Stelis atra Lindl.22144101010		Elleanthus sp.	80	182	483	745	
Epidendrum cylindraceum Lindl.403878Epidendrum oxysepalum Hågsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum oxysepalum Hågsater & E.Santiago27212573Epidendrum soztella Lindl.27272727Epidendrum siggönse Hågsater3333Epidendrum siggönse Hågsater33433543Epidendrum siggönse Hågsater34343453543Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum83543434343Eenandezia songuinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.101114		Epidendrum aff. frutex Rchb.f.		8		8	
Epidendrum oxysepalum Hágsater & E.Santiago     27     21     25     73       Epidendrum scutella Lindl.     -     -     70     27       Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.     -     -     70     27       Epidendrum sigaeñse Hágsater     -		Epidendrum cylindraceum Lindl.		40	38	78	
Epidendrum scutella Lindl.44Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.2727Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.327Epidendrum sisgeänse Hágsater33Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum83540Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.10111Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats124254Lepanthes muconata Lindl.2572107True epiphytesLepanthes muconata Lindl.357298Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.121325Oncidum ornithorhynchum Kunth121313Pachyhyllum sp.21113Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.1313Pleurothallis kullipii Garay11186190Pleurothallis kullipii Garay50155480Pleurothallis kullipii Garay37635104Pleurothallis kullipii Garay37635104Pleurothallis kullipii Garay & Dunst.2182306Stelis atra Lindl.37635105Stelis kutans Lindl232363636Stelis sutans Lindl232363636Stelis nutans Lindl232363636Stelis nutans Lindl236363636Stelis nutans Lindl23637373036Stelis nutans Lindl2363		Epidendrum oxysepalum Hágsater & E.Santiago	27	21	25	73	
Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.2727Epidendrum sisgaënse Hågsater33Epidendrum sisgaënse Hågsater33Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum83543Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.5401Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats124254Lepanthes mucronata Lindl.3572107True epiphytesLepanthes mucronata Lindl.267298Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.121325Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121313Platystele consobrina Luer26104130Platystele consobrina Luer261113Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.1116190Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.5015525Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.11186197Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.11186197Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.11186197Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.5015525Stelis atra Lindl.37635155Stelis sp.33763182306Stelis ntans Lindl2430165Stelis ntans Lindl221836Stelis ntans Lindl221836Stelis ntans Lindl221836Stelis ntans Lindl221836Stelis ntans Lindl221836 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Epidendrum scutella Lindl.</td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td>4</td></td<>		Epidendrum scutella Lindl.			4	4	
Epidendrum sisgeänse Hågsater33Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum83543Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.54045Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.10111Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats124254Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.357298True epiphytesLepanthes muronata Lindl.267298Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.13294226Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121325Pachyphyllum sp.21313Plarystele consobrina Luer26104130Plarystele consobrina Luer50155725480Pleurothallis conicacidia Rchb.f.11186197Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.50155275480Pleurothallis sp.3376335105Stelis stra Lindl.37635105Stelis stra Lindl.3763116130Stelis stra Lindl.376316116Stelis stra Lindl.243016536Stelis stra Lindl.22183616Stelis stra Lindl22189813Stelis nutans Lindl22189813Stelis nutans Lindl22189813Stelis nutans Lindl22189813Stelis nutans Lindl2218 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.</td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td><td>27</td></td<>		Epidendrum scytocladium Schltr.			27	27	
Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum83543Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.540045Fernandezia sp.10111Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats1242054Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.357298True epiphytesLepanthes monoptera Lindl.13294026Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.132942626Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121322526Pachyphyllum sp.2131313Platystele consobrina Luer26104130130Pleurothallis schlighi Garay1118619713313Pleurothallis killipi Garay50155275480Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Prest) Rchb.f.37635105Stelis atra Lindl.52361553615536Stelis atra Lindl.5223015515536Stelis schamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.5213515636116Stelis nutans Lindl522301553636116Stelis nutans Lindl52230155363615Stelis nutans Lindl52230155363615Stelis nutans Lindl52230155363615Stelis nutans Lindl52236361536 <td rowspan="21">True epiphytes</td> <td>Epidendrum sisgaënse Hágsater</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>3</td>	True epiphytes	Epidendrum sisgaënse Hágsater		3		3	
Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.54045Fernandezia sp.10111Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats124254Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.3572107True epiphytesLepanthes muconata Lindl.267298Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.1329425Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121329426Pachyphyllum sp.22107132132Platystele consobrina Luer26104130131Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.11163113113Pleurothallis kilipii Garay11186197190190Pleurothallis Indenii Lindl.50155275480Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.376350162Stelis atra Lindl.3763182301Stelis sp.33763182301Stelis strum Lindl522430106Stelis sp.321135155155Stelis strum Stelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.522430106Stelis strum Stelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.22135157155Stelis strum Stelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.22135157155Stelis strum Stelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.22135157155Stelis strum Stelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.2213515715515		Epidendrum subgrupo Mancum		8	35	43	
Fernandezia sp.   10   1   11     Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats   12   42   54     Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.   35   72   107     True epiphytes   Lepanthes mucronata Lindl.   26   72   98     Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.   132   94   226     Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth   12   132   92     Pachyphyllum sp.   2   13   13     Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Platystele consobrina Luer   13   13   13     Pleurothallis foriacardia Rchb.f.   11   166   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis Indenii Lindl.   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis arta Lindl.   10   16   16   16     Stelis arta Lindl.   52   24   30   16     Stelis arta Lindl.   22   135   16   16     Stelis stra Lindl   10   16		Fernandezia sanguinea (Lindl.) Garay & Dunst.		5	40	45	
Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats     12     42     54       Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.     35     72     107       True epiphytes     Lepanthes mucronata Lindl.     26     72     98       Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.     132     94     226       Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth     12     132     94     236       Pachyphyllum sp.     2     2     133     131       Platystele consobrina Luer     26     104     130       Platystele consobrina Luer     26     104     130       Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.     11     186     197       Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.     11     186     197       Pleurothallis iplai Garay     11     186     197       Pleurothallis schilipii Garay     11     186     197       Pleurothallis spla     37     63     5     105       Stelis atra Lindl.     190     12     30     16       Stelis sp.3     37     63     8     11       Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay &		Fernandezia sp.		10	I	11	
Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.3572107True epiphytesLepanthes mucronata Lindl.267298Masdevalia strumifera Rchb.f.13294226Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121325Pachyphyllum sp.22130130Playstele consobrina Luer26104130Playstele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay11131113Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.190190190Pleurothallis killipii Garay11186197Pleurothallis killipii Garay50155275480Pleurothallis sp.337635105Stelis atra Lindl.2282182306Stelis sp.33811Stelis sp.33811Stelis nutans Lindl522430106Stelis nutans Lindl221898138Total45 species1374617116307		Lepanthes dunstervilleorum Foldats		12	42	54	
True epiphytes   Lepanthes mucronata Lindl.   26   72   98     Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.   132   94   226     Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth   12   13   25     Pachyphyllum sp.   2   2   130   130     Platystele consobrina Luer   2   26   104   130     Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   113   113   113     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis indenii Lindl.   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis indenii Lindl.   50   155   105   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   37   63   5   105     Stelis rota Lindl.   37   63   3   106     Stelis charnaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18		Lepanthes monoptera Lindl.		35	72	107	
Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.13294226Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth121325Pachyphyllum sp.22Platystele consobrina Luer26104130Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay113113Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.19090Pleurothallis killipii Garay11186Pleurothallis indenii Lindl.50155275Pleurothallis sp.337635105Stelis atra Lindl.4282182306Stelis sp.337635105Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.522430106Stelis nutans Lindl2218898138Total45 species1351574610		Lepanthes mucronata Lindl.		26	72	98	
Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth   12   13   25     Pachyphyllum sp.   2   2     Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay   113   113   113     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   190   190     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis shallangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   11   186   197     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   633   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   188   98   138		Masdevallia strumifera Rchb.f.		132	94	226	
Pachyphyllum sp.   2   2     Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay   113   113   113     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   190   190   190     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   11   186   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis splaangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   633   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   37   633   5   105     Stelis nutans Lindl   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   1307   4610		Oncidium ornithorhynchum Kunth		12	13	25	
Platystele consobrina Luer   26   104   130     Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay   113   113     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   190   190     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   633   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   37   633   5   11     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Pachyphyllum sp.		2		2	
Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay   113   113     Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   190   190     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis killipii Garay   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis bindenii Lindl.   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis sp.langifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   37   63   5   113   155     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   183   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Platystele consobrina Luer		26	104	130	
Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.   190   190     Pleurothallis kilipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis kilipii Garay   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   3   3   8   11     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   105     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay			113	113	
Pleurothallis killipii Garay   11   186   197     Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.   50   155   275   480     Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   3   8   11     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Pleurothallis coriacardia Rchb.f.			190	190	
Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.     50     155     275     480       Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.     4     4       Pleurothallis sp.3     37     63     5     105       Stelis atra Lindl.     42     82     182     306       Stelis sp.3     3     8     11       Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.     52     24     30     106       Stelis nutans Lindl     22     18     98     138       Total     45 species     307     411     1116     307     4610		Pleurothallis killipii Garay		11	186	197	
Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.   4     Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   37   63   5   11     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Pleurothallis lindenii Lindl.	50	155	275	480	
Pleurothallis sp.3   37   63   5   105     Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   3   8   11     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb. f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Pleurothallis phalangifera (C.Presl) Rchb.f.			4	4	
Stelis atra Lindl.   42   82   182   306     Stelis sp.3   3   8   11     Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb. f.) Garay & Dunst.   52   24   30   106     Stelis nutans Lindl   22   135   157     Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz   22   18   98   138     Total   45 species   417   1116   3077   4610		Pleurothallis sp.3	37	63	5	105	
Stelis sp.3     3     8     11       Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb.f.) Garay & Dunst.     52     24     30     106       Stelis nutans Lindl     22     135     157       Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz     22     18     98     138       Total     45 species     417     1116     3077     4610		Stelis atra Lindl.	42	82	182	306	
Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb. f.) Garay & Dunst.     52     24     30     106       Stelis nutans Lindl     22     135     157       Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz     22     18     98     138       Total     45 species     417     1116     3077     4610		Stelis sp.3		3	8	11	
Stelis nutans Lindl     22     135     157       Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz     22     18     98     138       Total     45 species     417     1116     3077     4610		Stelis chamaestelis (Rchb. f.) Garay & Dunst.	52	24	30	106	
Trichosalpinx ligulata     Luer & Hirtz     22     18     98     138       Total     45 species     417     1116     3077     4610		Stelis nutans Lindl		22	135	157	
Total     45 species     417     1116     3077     4610		Trichosalpinx ligulata Luer & Hirtz	22	18	98	138	
	Total	45 species	417	1116	3077	4610	

The abundance of epiphytes by growth form presented significant differences between true epiphytes and the other three growth forms (P < 0.02), as well as between rosettes and nomads (P < 0.03).

True epiphytes were the growth form that presented the greatest diversity with 31 species and 3,499 individuals, followed by rosettes (ten species, 661 individuals). The treelets shrubs recorded eight species and 326 individuals, and the nomadic shrubs recorded five species and 124 individuals.

Richness between succession stages differed significantly (ANOVA, P> 0.0001), where the initial stage was different from the secondary and late stages (P> 0.005). The abundance registered significant differences between the successional stages (P> 0.005).

In the initial successional stage, epiphytic species were recorded in the base stratum, with greater richness and abundance for the group of true epiphytes (seven species and 310 individuals) and with a total absence of the nomadic group; The intermediate stage recorded the highest richness and abundance of true epiphytes (24 species and 910 individuals), and the lowest treelets and nomadic shrubs (five species each), and the lowest abundance in the nomadic group (24 individuals). In the late stage, the highest richness and abundance was recorded in the true epiphytes (27 species and 2,279 individuals) and the lowest richness in the nomadic ones (five species and 100 individuals) (Figure 2).



Figure 2 Richness of vascular epiphytes in each growth form reported for each successional stage in a humid montane forest.

The MDS ordination analysis was generated with two dimensions (R2 = 0.94 in a non-linear relationship) under 500 iterations of the original abundance data in the stages, obtaining a stress of 0.197. In the analysis, groupings are observed that represent the different successional stages and the species that are shared between these stages (Figure 3). The greatest closeness occurs between the initial

and intermediate stages due to the rapid advance of the succession in the initial stage, without intervention. Likewise, the late-intermediate stage presents close relationships, suggesting the existence of a successional trajectory with the presence of species representative of advanced stages of the succession (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Ordination diagram in MDS for vascular epiphytes present in the three successional stages of a humid montane forest.

#### **Biomass**

Biomass did not present significant differences between the three succession stages (Kruskal-Wallis, P=0.6). Between growth forms, biomass presented a significant difference (P <0.01), except for nomads and rosettes, which were similar (P>0.1).

The late successional stage presented the highest biomass values per host for all epiphyte growth forms (4,100 gr). The intermediate successional stage presented a biomass value of 1,078 g, with higher values recorded in the rosettes and true epiphytes; the initial stage presented the lowest biomass value per host (480 g), with greater abundance of the growth form of treelets and nomadic shrubs absent (Figure 4).





Rosettes were the growth form with the highest biomass per host (2,503 g) in the three successional stages. Followed by true epiphytes (1,639 g) and treelets shrubs (917 g), with a greater proportion in the initial stage. The values of biomass per host and abundance were lower for the nomads (597 g) in the two stages in which they were recorded.

#### **Photosynthesis**

The photosynthesis rates of epiphytes in the initial successional stage presented significant differences compared to the secondary and late stages (ANOVA P <0.007 and P <0.005), while the secondary and late stages presented greater similarity in photosynthesis rates (P=02). Photosynthesis rates between growth forms were significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis, P <0.0009), where treelets shrubs presented differences compared to true epiphytes (P <0.02), rosettes (P <0, 03) and nomads (P <0.01); while nomads, rosettes and true epiphytes were similar in terms of photosynthesis (P>0.1).

Photosynthesis presented the highest average values for the growth form of treelets shrubs in the three successional stages (Figure 5), with a higher value in the initial stage (5.24  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and a lower value in the late stage (1.92  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); The nomads follow, with similar values in the intermediate (1.99  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and late (1.87  $\mu$ molm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) stages (absence of this growth form in the initial stage (3.68  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest in the late stage (0.92  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The rosettes presented similar values in the three successional stages, with higher values in the intermediate and late stage (1.32  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).







**Figure 5** Photosynthesis ( $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) of epiphytes by means of growth form (Top) and plant size (Bottom) of the four growth forms in the three successional stages of a humid montane forest controlled by the quantity of incoming photosynthetic radiation.

The average values of photosynthesis - according to the size of the plant, were higher in the late successional stage for the nomadic growth form (306.9  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; Figure 5), followed by the treelets shrubs (287.3  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), which presented high values in the other two successional stages; the rosettes presented a higher value in the intermediate stage (112.4  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and a lower value in the initial stage (61.5  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). True epiphytes recorded the highest value

Diversity, productivity and biomass patters of epiphytes along successional gradient in the Andean Colombian

in the initial stage (116  $\mu mol~m^{-2}~s^{-1})$  and the lowest in the late stage (43.1  $\mu mol~m^{-2}~s^{-1}).$ 

# Discussion

## Diversity

A greater richness, abundance and biomass of epiphytic species was found in the late successional stage compared to the intermediate and initial stages (Figure 2), which suggests a relationship between the abundance of epiphytes and the size of the host tree.<sup>38</sup> Older forests have larger surface areas in the trunk and branches, representing a greater supply of habitats and space available for the reception of seeds, colonization and development of biomass.<sup>39</sup>

The true epiphytes represented the group with the greatest richness and abundance in the three successional stages characterized. These results corroborate what was expected for a family that contains two thirds of the vascular epiphytes in the world and the Neotropics, standing out for their morphological, physiological and distribution adaptations.<sup>3,40</sup>

It seems that the nomads were the group most affected by the natural disturbance, where no individuals were recorded in the initial successional stage, probably because it is a group that grows only in humid environments.<sup>1</sup> True epiphytes presented the greatest richness in the initial successional stage, but it was affected by the conditions of said stage, given the decrease in richness in relation to the intermediate and late stages. This result suggests that true epiphytes differ widely in their responses to environmental conditions and the heterogeneity that prevails in the different stages and vertical strata of the forest, corroborating what was recorded by Alzate et al.<sup>41</sup>

The results of our research correlate with the observations of Benzing,<sup>40</sup> recording a greater general richness and abundance of epiphytes in the middle part of the tree and the central parts of its crown (trunk, low canopy and middle canopy), which could be related to the fact that these strata are characterized by environmental conditions relatively constant (e.g., humidity, light, temperature) throughout the year.<sup>42</sup> While the outside of the canopy (external canopy) showed a decrease in richness and abundance, possibly because it was the most exposed area of the canopy.

The ordination analysis suggests a succession trajectory of vascular epiphytes according to composition and abundance. This trajectory suggests a pattern in which pioneer species colonize and dominate early successional phases and are then replaced by later successional phase species. The species that dominated the initial stage have functional characteristics that allow them to resist the strong environmental conditions of drought that characterize the first phases of forest succession.

Another pattern that is evident is related to the availability of substrates for the different growth forms of vascular epiphytes. The composition of true epiphytes and rosettes is largely independent of the degree of development of the initial stages; In contrast, most nomads and treelets shrubs depend on both soil and trunks to establish themselves. Therefore, for nomads and treelets shrubs, substrate availability limits establishment and growth in initial stages that become mature forests, leading to the replacement of species as the predominant successional pattern in successions.<sup>25</sup>

## **Biomass**

The highest biomass in the growth forms was recorded in the late successional stage compared to the intermediate and initial stage (Figure 4). In the three successional stages, a predominance of rosettes is observed.<sup>6</sup> They highlight the development of epiphytic biomass in the cloud forests of Colombia, which may be the result of various factors such as frequent low temperatures, high and permanent humidity, low wind speeds, long-lived trees, orientation of the slopes and high density of tree trunks, among others.

Plant size is one of the variables that can provide information about the use and release of resources to the ecosystem. Our results highlight a greater contribution to the biomass of nomads in the intermediate successional stage, associated with their high weight and size -despite contributing a smaller number of individuals and species. For this reason, measuring the biomass of life forms on host trees will facilitate understanding of epiphyte community structure, and the function and possible contribution of epiphytes to the ecosystem.<sup>28</sup>

## **Photosynthesis**

The initial successional stage presents the highest average values of photosynthesis compared to the other two stages sampled. Our results suggest greater variation in photosynthesis values between stages for treelets shrubs and orchids, and less variation in average photosynthesis values in the rosette growth form. The rosettes appear to have no noticeable fluctuations in the three stages of succession. The nomads - although they were not recorded in the initial stage, were the second group with the highest average values of photosynthesis for the intermediate and late stages, while the true epiphytes presented the lowest average values of photosynthesis in the late stage. Now, although the growth form of treelets shrubs did not contribute to a greater extent to the richness and abundance of epiphytes in the three stages, the contribution to the biomass of the epiphyte community due to its high weight and size, contributes significantly to the photosynthesis rates and the functioning of the epiphytic community in montane forests,25 being the growth form that presented the highest average values of photosynthesis in the three stages of succession.

In the rosettes, although they generally have a greater photosynthetic capacity,<sup>22</sup> a lower rate of photosynthesis is evident in the initial successional stage. Schmidt et al,<sup>43</sup> suggests that it may be determined by the size of the plant, which in this successional stage is smaller. Our data corroborate these suggestions as recorded in the low biomass data for the initial stage. Surely this is because the resulting and more abundant water supply in larger plants may be the immediate cause of the differences observed and related among others to the morphology of the leaf (increase in stomatal density, decrease in trichome density) and leaf physiology (increase in net CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rates, more conservative stomatal behavior, greater residual transpiration, among others). In addition, it is known that young rosette seedlings have a lower tolerance to desiccation than adults; they can withstand at least 65% water deficit without loss of function.<sup>44</sup>

Bromeliads use the C3 photosynthetic pathway, which implies a strong carbon gain, but at the same time imposes high humidity demands, so that in cloud forests this photosynthetic pathway is favored.<sup>45</sup> However, the forest in our study area is limited in terms of light availability, due to the high and constant cloudiness that occurs, which is why the bromeliads presented low photosynthesis rates. Therefore, these shade-tolerant plants do not actually need shade, but rather require the high humidity of the understory or lower strata of the canopy.<sup>45,46</sup> In this way, we find species growing both in shaded places and in places with high light exposure. This evidence supports that the great diversification of rosette species is associated with the occupation of an enormous variety of epiphytic niches, from shadetolerant forms in tropical forests, to light-demanding species that occupy more exposed niches in the forest canopy to drought-tolerant species.<sup>45</sup> Our photosynthesis data suggest that productivity is highest in the late successional stage for treelets and nomadic shrub growth forms taking into account plant size. Probably due to the contribution of the leaves, which was evidenced by the variable size of the nomadic and treelets plants.<sup>25</sup> The physiological behavior of epiphytes is commonly influenced by several factors, such as plant size, because photosynthetic capacity is a function of this variable<sup>22,43</sup> and environmental conditions.<sup>47</sup> Our data indicate that - as a group, true epiphytes show low photosynthetic capacities due to limiting environmental conditions and their low leaf area.<sup>34,43</sup>

## Final considerations and recommendations

In Colombia, Resolution No. 213 of 1977 of National Institute of Renewable Natural Resources (INDERENA - today Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development), declared all species of the Orchidaceae and Bromeliaceae families protected plants, for which it established their ban throughout the national territory. The resolution has implied that for the development or execution of projects, works or activities that require permits or environmental authorization that involve the intervention of closed wild flora species, the competent environmental authority establishes the measures that exist to guarantee the conservation of prohibited species (e.g. ANLA - National Environmental Licensing Authority, and/or, CAR - Regional Autonomous Corporation). These measures may correspond, to the rescue of individuals prior to the intervention of the ecosystems that house them and subsequent transfer and relocation to an ideally equivalent ecosystem. Although these measures have been implemented for several years (approx. 12 years), to date the studies that allow us to know the efficiency of the measures are scarce, therefore, our study suggests:

- i) Carry out research in ecosystems with different conditions (biogeographic districts or life zones) that allow us to understand the ecological and physiological behavior of these plants in different successional stages, in order to investigate which of them and to what degree a relationship exists or association of successional stages and epiphytic species, and thus determine how the characteristics of the stages influence the behavior of the species.
- Evaluate the effect of the transfer in ecological and physiological terms of species in ecosystems with ecosystem equivalence, in order to understand the plasticity or the degree with which said species perform in different successional stages.

#### In the execution of the measures in Colombia, we recommend:

- i) Carry out a diagnosis of the association of the epiphytic species with the successional stages of the ecosystem to be intervened, in order to determine the characteristics or functional minimums that the species require for their development in the relocation ecosystems (ecosystem equivalence).
- Select the relocation sites contemplating the presence of successional stages with the minimum functional requirements by the species in accordance with their characteristics, in terms of their ecological and physiological plasticity; in order to achieve effectiveness of the measure, it is that is, its establishment, conservation of the genetic pool and fulfillment of ecosystem functions.
- iii) Evaluate theoretically and cartographically the specific sites within the patches of each ecosystem or land cover of relocation, in order to identify those that allow the development of the species, taking into account, among others, factors such as the edge effect

or size of the patches, which condition relevant characteristics for the development and establishment of the species such as light, humidity, precipitation, wind, among others, which will depend on the species object of the relocation measure.

# Acknowledgments

We thank to Gustavo Lobo-Guerrero, for logistic support, and permission to conduct this research in their properties. Juan Manuel Posada Hostettler of the Rosario University provided the photosynthesis measurement equipment. To Soil Laboratory of the Distrital University, where the biomass samples were processed. Valuable contributions of taxonomic determination were provided by G. Reina (Orchidaceae and Bromeliaceae), and, J. Sierra (Araceae). Andrés González, Dennis Castillo-Figueroa y Alejandra Molina for their assistance during fieldwork. To Anatoly M. for his comments and improvement of the document.

# Funding

None.

# **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

# References

- Benzing DH. Vascular epiphytes. General biology and related biota. J Trop Ecol. 1990;8(01):55–56.
- 2. Hietz P, Wanek W, Wania R, et al. Nitrogen-15 natural abundance in a montane cloud forest canopy as an indicator of nitrogen cycling and epiphyte nutrition. *Oecologia*. 2002;131(3):350–355.
- Gentry AH, Dodson CH. Diversity and biogeography of Neotropical vascular epiphytes. *Ann Missouri Botanical Garden*. 1987;74(2):205– 233.
- 4. Benzing DH. Vascular epiphytism in America. *Trop Rain Forest Ecosys*. 1989;133–154.
- Werner FA, Homeier J, Oesker M, et al. Epiphytic biomass of a tropical montane forest varies with topography. J Trop Ecol. 2012;28(1):23–31.
- Hofstede RGM, Wolf JHD, Benzing DH. Epiphytic biomass and nutrient status of a Colombian upper Montane rain forest. *Selbyana*. 1993;14:37–45.
- 7. Johansson D. Ecology of vascular epiphytes in West African rain forest. *Acta Phytogeographica Suecica*.1974;59:1–136.
- Nadkarni NM, Schaefer D, Matelson TJ, et al. Biomass and nutrient pools of canopy and terrestrial components in a primary and a secondary Montane cloud forest, costa rica. *Forest Ecol Manag.* 2004;198(1– 3):223–236.
- Wolf JHD. The response of epiphytes to anthropogenic disturbance of pine-oak forests in the highlands of Chiapas, Mexico. *Forest Ecol Manag.* 2005;212(1–3):376–393.
- Benavides AM, Wolf JHD, Duivenvoorden JF. Recovery and succession of epiphytes in upper Amazonian fallows. J Trop Ecol. 2006;22(6):705– 717.
- Wolf JHD. Diversity patterns and biomass of epiphytic bryophytes and lichens along an altitudinal gradient in the Northern Andes. *Ann Missouri Botanical Garden*. 1993;80(4):928–960.
- Köhler L, Tobón C, Frumau KF, et al. Biomass and water storage dynamics of epiphytes in old-growth and secondary montane cloud forest stands in costa rica. *Plant Ecol.* 2007;193(2):171–184.

- Nadkarni NM, Schaefer D, Matelson TJ, et al. Biomass and nutrient pools of canopy and terrestrial components in a primary and a secondary Montane cloud forest, costa rica. *Forest Ecol Manag.* 2004;198(1– 3):223–236.
- Kalacska M, Sanchez-Azofeifa GA, Calvo-Alvarado JC, et al. Species composition, similarity and diversity in three successional stages of a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Forest Ecol Manag.* 2004;200(1–3):227– 247.
- Hietz P, Buchberger G, Manuela W. Effect of forest disturbance on abundance and distribution of epiphytic bromeliads and orchids. *Ecotropica*. 2006;12:103–112.
- Krömer T, Gradstein SR. Species richness of vascular epiphytes in two primary forests and fallows in the Bolivian Andes. *Selbyana*. 2003;24(2):190–195.
- Köster N, Friedrich K, Nieder J, et al. Conservation of epiphyte diversity in an Andean landscape transformed by human land use. *Conserv Biol.* 2009;23(4):911–919.
- Zotz G, Winter K. Annual carbon balance and nitrogen-use efficiency in tropical C3 and CAM epiphytes. *New Phytol.* 1994;126(3):481–492.
- Nadkani NM, Merwin MC, Nieder J. Forest canopies, plant diversity. Encyclopedia Biodiversity. 2001;3:27–40.
- Borland AM, Griffiths H. Variations in the phases of crassulacean acid metabolism and regulation of carboxylation patterns determined by carbon-isotope-discrimination techniques. *Crassulacean Acid Metabol*. 1996;114:230–249.
- Shaw DC. Vertical organization of canopy biota. In Lowman MD, Rinker HB, editors. Forest Canopies (Second Ed). Amsterdam: Elsevier. 2004;73–101.
- Agudelo CM, Benavides AM, Taylor T, et al. Functional composition of epiphyte communities in the Colombian Andes. *Ecology*. 2019;100(12):28–58.
- CORPOGUAVIO, Corporación autónoma regional del guavio. diagnóstico y plan de ordenamiento y manejo de la cuenca aportante del río guavio, fases diagnóstico, prospectiva y formulacion- resumen ejecutivo. 2011.
- Cuevas P, Vega JI. Cambios en la estructura, composición y fenología de plantas epífitas bajo diferentes estadios de sucesión vegetal en un bosque tropical seco. *Biológicas*. 2012;14(1):37–44.
- Benavides AM, Zuleta D, Benavides, et al. Epiphyte biomass in northern Andean forests. In Pridgeon AM, Arosemena AR, editors. Asociación ecuatoriana de orquideologia.WOC22, 2019: Proceedings of the 22nd World orchid conference. 2019:524–535.
- 26. Gradstein SR, Hietz P, Lücking R, et al. How to sample the epiphytic diversity of tropical rain forests. *Ecotropica*. 1996;2:59–72.
- Gradstein SR, Nadkarni NM, Krömer T, et al. A protocol for rapid and representative sampling of vascular and non-vascular epiphyte diversity of tropical rain forests. *Selbyana*. 2003;24(1):105–111.
- Wolf JHD, Gradstein SR, Nadkarni NM. A protocol for sampling vascular epiphyte richness and abundance. J Trop Ecol. 2009;25(2):107–121.
- 29. Zotz G, Bader MY. Sampling vascular epiphyte diversity -species richness and community structure. *Ecotropica*. 2011;17:103–112.

- Steege, H, Cornelissen JHC. Distribution and ecology of vascular epiphytes in lowland rain forest of Guyana. *Biotropica*. 1989;21(4):331– 339.
- Freiberg M, Freiberg E. Epiphyte diversity and biomass in the canopy of lowland and montane forests in Ecuador. J Trop Ecol. 2000;16(5):673– 688.
- Gradstein SR, Hietz P, Lücking R, et al. How to sample the epiphytic diversity of tropical rain forests. *Ecotropica*. 1996;2:59–72.
- Zotz G, Ziegler H. The occurrence of crassulacean acid metabolism among vascular epiphytes from Central Panama. *New Phytol.* 1997;137(2):223–229.
- 34. Stuntz S, Zotz G. Photosynthesis in vascular epiphytes: a survey of 27 species of diverse taxonomic origin. *Flora*. 2001;196(2):132–146.
- Goh CJ, Avadhani PN, Loh CS, et al. Diurnal stomatal and acidity rhythms in orchid leaves. *New Phytologist*. 1977;78(2):365–372.
- Schmidt G. Plant size and intraspecific variability in vascular epiphytes. PhD thesis, Bayerischen Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg-Germany. 2000.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al. Package "vegan" title community ecology package. *Commun Ecol Package*. 2019;2(9):1–297.
- Zimmermann JK, Olmsted IC. Host tree utilization by vascular epiphytes in a seasonally inundated forest (Tintal) in Mexico. *Biotropica*. 1992;24(3):402–407.
- Zotz G, Andrade JL. Ecologia de epifitas y hemiepifitas. In Guariguata MR, and Kattan G, editors. *Ecologia de Bosques lluviosos Neotropicales*. 2002;271–296.
- 40. Benzing DH. Vascular epiphytism in America. *Trop Rain Forest Ecosys*. 1989;133–154.
- Alzate NF, García JG, Flores-Palacios A, et al. Influence of land use types on the composition and diversity of orchids and their phorophytes in cloud forest fragments. *Flora*. 2019;260:151463.
- Krömer T, Kessler M, Gradstein SR, et al. Diversity patterns of vascular epiphytes along an elevational gradient in the Andes. *J Biogeography*. 2005;32(10):1799–1809.
- Schmidt G, Stuntz S, Zotz G. Plant size: an ignored parameter in epiphyte eco-physiology. *Plant Ecol.* 2001;153:65–72.
- 44. Benzing DH, Dahle CE. The vegetative morphology, habitat preference and water balance mechanisms of the bromeliad *tillandsia ionantha* planch. *Am Midland Natur.* 1971;85(1):11–21.
- 45. Crayn DM, Winter K, Schulte K, et al. Photosynthetic pathways in Bromeliaceae: phylogenetic and ecological significance of CAM and C3 based on carbon isotope ratios for 1893 species. *Botanical J Linnean Soc.* 2015;178(2):169–221.
- Gradstein SR. Epiphytes of tropical montane forests-impact of deforestation and climate change. *Biodiver Ecol Series*. 2008;2:51–65.
- Pires MV, Almeida AAF, Santos EA, et al. Gas exchange of terrestrial and epiphytic orchids from Brazilian Atlantic rainforest. *Photosynthetica*. 2013;51(2):317–320.