

# Fish fauna of Akwa Ibom State inland waters

## Abstract

Akwa Ibom State is one of the six states in the South-South geo-political zone of Nigeria; the region that lays the “golden egg” (The oil and gas). Unfortunately since this region started laying the so-called egg, neither the ground upon which the egg is laid nor other endowments of the region has rested. Oil spills, gas flaring and its accompanying climate change have impacted negatively on the environment and the other resources (fish inclusive) of the region. This paper therefore intends to review the ichthyofauna of the inland waters of Akwa Ibom State, with a view to assessing the status of the fisheries. Existing literatures on the ichthyofauna of some of these waters have been reviewed, while we also took a step further to survey two important landing sites (Oku Iboku landing and Ifiaoyong landing sites) in the State. In the two sites visited, *Chrysichthys* sp was the dominant species. On a general note, Akwa Ibom Inland fishery is very economically viable; providing good quality protein for the populace through fish supplies as well as providing source of livelihood to several others through the value chain of the fisheries. Beyond inspecting the fish faunal composition of the landing sites, we also interacted with the fishers’ folk to understand the sources of conflicts. Violation of contractual agreement and non-adherence to time slot allotted to fishers; depending on fishing gear in use (low tide and high tide each has a different fishing gear) were pointed out as the sources of conflict. Looking at the fisheries on the periphery, they seem in-exhaustible, but deep down, the fisheries are gradually becoming overfished, proper management strategies should therefore be taken to avoid the “tragedy of the commons”.

**Keywords:** Ichthyofauna, fisheries, management, environmental

Volume 4 Issue 2 - 2020

**Essien-Ibok MA, Isemin NL**

Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Environmental Management, University of Uyo, Nigeria

**Correspondence:** Essien-Ibok MA, Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Environmental Management, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria, Tel 2348023115898, Email idopiseabasi@yahoo.com

**Received:** February 25, 2020 | **Published:** March 10, 2020

## Introduction

The inland freshwater in Nigeria constitutes about 12.4% of the total surface area.<sup>1</sup> According to Idoho Umeh<sup>2</sup> and Olaosibikan et al.,<sup>1</sup> Inland waters contain the richest collection of fish in West Africa. Nigeria Inland water bodies are primarily utilized for fishing by fishers of which the greatest part of it is artisanal in nature.<sup>3</sup> According to Aquaculture and Inland Fisheries Project Newsletter (2004), Nigeria inland fisheries produce about 200,000 tons from the 14 million hectares of inland waters, with the 14 million hectares of inland waters, Nigeria could be self-sufficient in fish production and in fact be a major exporter of fish if these inland fisheries are properly managed.<sup>3</sup>

Fish and its products constitute the cheapest sources of animal protein<sup>4</sup> but according to Ndok<sup>5</sup> they constitute only 40% of the diet of an average Nigerian. Anko et al.,<sup>6</sup> noted that the Nigerian coastal zone has a great potential for fisheries and development, being endowed with a maritime area of 46, 300 km<sup>2</sup>, an exclusive economic zone (EEZ) area of 210, 900 km<sup>2</sup> and inland waters of 12.5m ha. However, in spite of this huge endowment, the current yield mark of 400,000 metric tons is at a 50% deficit to meet the nation’s fish need per annum of at least 1.5 million metric tons.<sup>4</sup> According to Akpan<sup>7</sup> the bulk of the production comes from the local fishers, whose production level is still at a deficit. Beyond, the inadequate fishing techniques adopted by the local fishers, inadequate management of the fishery resources and environmental degradation of the water bodies has put the fish resources on the decline.<sup>8</sup>

Improved management of these fisheries therefore becomes imperative. This starts with expanding the knowledge of the water bodies, information on the current status of the fisheries and the socio-economic characteristics in the fishing communities, so that people can effectively be integrated into co-management programme.<sup>3</sup>

Species composition is an indicator of the habitat of a particular fish species in a certain aquatic environment.<sup>4</sup> It consists of the vertical composition or the horizontal composition.<sup>9</sup>

According to Usanga<sup>10</sup> to maintain a sustainable exploitation of these resources a comprehensive knowledge of the fish composition of the waters is of necessity. Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria is blessed with network of streams, rivers and seasonal flooded plains and tidal creeks, which play a major role in her development.<sup>11</sup> These inland waters provide easy means of transportation, occupational activities, and means of water disposal and source of food. Inland waters are important harbors for fishes of high economic values and some intrusive marine species that use them as spawning and nursery grounds.<sup>11</sup> These inland fisheries if sustainably managed will continue to provide good quality protein food for the teeming population of our people while at the same time still serving as a source of livelihood to several others. A lot has been done on rivers, estuaries, and streams in Akwa Ibom State.<sup>10,11,12-18</sup> This work therefore reviewed these literatures with a view to critically assessing the status of the inland waters in the state. Besides the literatures, we also went on a survey to two important landing sites in the state. These were Oku Iboku landing site, one of the reaches of the Cross River and Ifiaoyong (the landing site from Ikpa River). *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* were the dominant species landed in the two landing sites. Apart from ichthyofaunal survey, we also asked some questions bordering on their knowledge of spawning grounds, sources of conflict and general knowledge of fisheries management. These have been discussed in the later part of the review.

Some of the inland waters considered in this review were Qua Iboe River, Iba Oku Stream, NtakInyang, UtaEwa Creek and Obio Akpa Stream etc. The summary of the dominant species in the various inland waters reviewed are present on the Table 1 below:

These inland waters have been reviewed below:

**Table 1** Some Inland Waters in Akwa Ibom State with their Dominant fish species

| Inland water       | Dominant species  | Reference                            |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Qua Iboe River     | <i>Barbuscallipterus</i> (Cyprinidae) <i>Liza grandisquamis</i> (Mugilidae) | Ekpo et al.(2014)                    |
| Iba Oku Stream     | <i>Malapteruruselectricus</i> (Malapteruridae) Cichlidae                    | Udo (2012) Udoidiong and King (2000) |
| Ntaklonyang Stream | <i>Brycinuslongipinnis</i> (Cheracidae)                                     | Usanga (2015)                        |
| UtaEwa Creak       | <i>Iliza Africana</i> (Clupeidae)   | Akpan (2013)                         |

### Qua Iboe river

Qua Iboe River lies within the tropical region in the South Eastern Nigeria. It is located at latitude 40391 and 27061 N and longitude 70521 420181 E. It is a dominant hydrographic feature in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.<sup>19</sup> It drains its catchment area of about 7.092 km<sup>2</sup>, and the river course covers a distance of C. 151 km from its source at Umunike in Imo State to where it discharges into the Atlantic Ocean at the Bight of Bonny close to Ibeno in Akwa Ibom State.<sup>20,21</sup> There are two predominant seasons (wet and dry seasons) in the zone. The dry season spans between November and April while the wet season spans between May and October. Rainfall is significantly lower at the head water but increases downstream.<sup>20</sup> According to Akpan<sup>22</sup> Rainfall is the most important hydro-meteorological variable that affects its physical hydrology. According to Ekpo et al.,<sup>20</sup> Fish fauna in Qua Iboe River are exposed under subsistence and artisanal fisheries, but however recorded 356 fishes comprising 20 species belonging to 12 families in Qua Iboe River (Table 2). The most abundant fish species was *Barbus Callipterus* (35.11%) followed by *Bienomyrus brachyistus* (12.64%) *Malapterurus electricus*(8.4%) while *Xenomystus nigri* and *Anqspidoglanis fasciatus* had 0.28% each.

The report of Ekpo et al.,<sup>21</sup> in Qua Iboe River estuary also recorded 187 fishes comprising 17 species belonging to 10 families; of these 187 fishes, Mugilidae recorded the highest percentage abundance (58.30%), followed by Trachinidae (12.58%), Sciaenidae (0.96) while Sphyraenidae and Serranidae recorded the lowest percentage abundance with 0.53% each. However, the species *Liza grandisquamis* recorded the highest abundance with 27.80% of the total specimens.

Finally, Ekpo et al.,<sup>20</sup> recorded 543 specimens of fish belonging to 21 families, 29 genera and 27 species in Qua Iboe River. The most abundant species was *Barbuscallipterus* (23.02%) followed by *Liza grandisquamis* (9.57) and *Barbusbrachyistus* (8.28%). The list abundant species were *Xenomystus nigri*, *Tilapia guineensis*, *Sarotherodon barracuda*, *Pomadasysperoteti*, *Epinephelus aeneus* and *Anaspidoglanis fasciatus* with 0.18% relative abundance each.<sup>20</sup>

### Iba Oku stream

Iba Oku stream is a tributary of Ikpa River in Itu Local Government Area, Akwa Ibom State. It runs from Mfangfang pond, through ObotUyo into Mfrolba.<sup>11</sup> It is a perennial tributary stream west of the lower reaches of the Cross River, Nigeria.<sup>11</sup> The bottom of the stream is predominantly sandy and muddy, which the edges are without mud. The topography of the catchments is sloppy, this makes the water current moderately fast and their riparian vegetation include *Raphiahookeri* and *Raphiavinifera*.<sup>11</sup>

According to Udo<sup>11</sup> report on the Ichthyofaunal survey of Iba Oku Stream, a total of 312 fish samples (fin fish, crabs and shrimps) were collected. There were 9 orders, 13 families, 16 genera and 19 species.

*M. electricus* which constituted 15.4% of the total catch was the most abundant species. This was followed by *Potamonates pacilli* (14.4%) and *Isichthys henryi* (11.9%) while *Heterobranchus* sp (0.3%) was the least abundant (Table 3).

**Table 2** Families, species and Total number of specimens caught in Qua Iboe River, Nigeria

| Family          | Fish species                     | Number |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Polypteridae    | <i>Erpetoichthys calabaricus</i> | 24     |
| Notophteridae   | <i>Xenomystusnigri</i>           | 1      |
| Mormyridae      | <i>Bienomyrus brachyistus</i>    | 45     |
|                 | <i>Isichthys henragii</i>        | 3      |
| Characidae      | <i>Brycinus longipinnis</i>      | 20     |
| Cyprnidae       | <i>Barbuscallipterus</i>         | 125    |
| Bagridae        | <i>Anqspidoglanis fasciatus</i>  | 1      |
|                 | <i>A. akiri</i>                  | 7      |
|                 | <i>Chrysichthys aluuenis</i>     | 7      |
| Malapterinidae  | <i>Malapterurus electricus</i>   | 30     |
| Cyprinodontidae | <i>Epiplatysbi fasciatus</i>     | 9      |
|                 | <i>E. sexfasciatus</i>           | 21     |
| Cichlidae       | <i>Thysochromisansorgi</i>       | 6      |
|                 | <i>Hemichromis fasciatus</i>     | 12     |
|                 | <i>Chromidontilipia guntheri</i> | 18     |
|                 | <i>Tilapia mariae</i>            | 12     |
|                 | <i>Pelvicachromis pulcher</i>    | 4      |
| Channidae       | <i>Parachanna Africana</i>       | 6      |
| Anabantidae     | <i>Ctenepomane bulosum</i>       | 3      |
| Nandidae        | <i>Polycentopis abbreviata</i>   | 2      |
|                 |                                  | 356    |

Source: Ekpo, Udo and Odem (2014)

According to Udo (2012), based on index preponderance, the species may be graded as follows: *E. electricus* (1) *Erpetoichthys calabaricus* (2), *P. paccilli* (3), *Channaobscura* (4), *I. Henryi*(5), *Brycinus nurse* (6), *Epiplatys sexfaciatus* (7) and *Barbus callipterus* (8), while others had insignificant contribution. Condition factor also revealed that the fishes in Iba Oku stream were in good condition.<sup>11</sup>

The author however noted seasonal variation in the species and number of specimens sampled. For example, *M. electricus*, *I. henryi* and *B. brachyistius* showed greater abundance in dry season while *E. sexfasciatus* and *E. calabaricus* showed greater abundance in the wet season.

Udoiong et al.,<sup>18</sup> also reported 18 fish species belonging to 17 genera representing 13 families in Iba Oku stream. This however differed from the report of Udo<sup>11</sup> in that Udo<sup>11</sup> recorded malapteruridae as the most abundant species while Udoiong et al.,<sup>18</sup> recorded Cichlidae as the most dominant species.

**Table 3** Taxonomy, size competition, relative abundance, index of preponderance and condition factor of fish in Ibaku stream, Ikpa River, Nigeria

| Family/species                     | Standard length (cm) |      | Total weight (g) |       | IP          | I      |          |   |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|------|------------------|-------|-------------|--------|----------|---|
|                                    | N                    | %N   | Min-max          | Means |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Malapteruridae</i>              |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Malapterurus electricus</i>     | 48                   | 15.4 | 9.7.16.0         | 13.7  | 15.8.115.9  | 67.3   | 67.23(1) | 2 |
| <i>Channidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Channa obscura</i>              | 22                   | 7.1  | 3.8.21.8         | 12.3  | 1.1.136     | 28.5   | 6.02 (4) | 1 |
| <i>Channa Africana</i>             | 5                    | 1.6  | 7.7.13.5         | 11    | 4.7.36.8    | 18.2   | 0.20ns   | 1 |
| <i>Mormyridae</i>                  |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Isichtyshenryi</i>              | 37                   | 11.9 | 4.7.17.2         | 8.5   | 0.4.14      | 6.3    | 3.75(5)  | 1 |
| <i>Brienomynus brochyistius</i>    | 10                   | 3.2  | 6.1.13.5         | 8.8   | 2.1.20.1    | 6.3    | 0.28 ns  | 0 |
| <i>Bagruidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Parauchenogionis ansorgei</i>   | 10                   | 3.2  | 1.6.11           | 7.8   | 3.8.27      | 7.5    | 0.33 ns  | 6 |
| <i>Cyprinodontidae</i>             |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Epiplatys sexfasciatus</i>      | 22                   | 7.1  | 2.1.6.4          | 4.6   | 0.6.7.1     | 3      | 0.61(7)  | 3 |
| <i>Characidae</i>                  |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Brycinus longipinnis</i>        | 8                    | 2.6  | 6.8.8.1          | 7.5   | 9.3.13.5    | 11.7   | 0.32 ns  | 1 |
| <i>Brycinus nurse</i>              | 7                    | 2.2  | 16.19.2          | 17.4  | 82.8.224.5  | 124.9  | 2.60 (6) | 3 |
| <i>Cichlidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Chromido tilapiagetheri</i>     | 19                   | 6.1  | 4.8.14.4         | 9.4   | 3.5.67.4    | 80.2   | 0.23 ns  | 3 |
| <i>Tilapia mariac</i>              | 8                    | 1    | 6.0.8.2          | 6.9   | 8.6.23.4    | 14.2   | 0.06 ns  | 4 |
| <i>Henucgrinus fasciatus</i>       | 2                    | 0.6  | 6.7.1            | 6.6   | 3.4.2       | 3.6    | 0.01 ns  | 1 |
| <i>Polypteridae</i>                |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Erpetoichthys calabaricus</i>   | 22                   | 7.1  | 21.1.35          | 27.8  | 19.5.80.2   | 41.7   | 8.80 (2) | 0 |
| <i>Notopteridae</i>                |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Papyrocranus afer</i>           | 2                    | 0.6  | 10.5.14.4        | 12.6  | 10.8.20.2   | 14.1   | 0.02 ns  | 0 |
| <i>Clariidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Heterobranchus longifilis</i>   | 1                    | 0.3  | 19               |       | 91.9        | 91.9   | 0.04 ns  | 1 |
| <i>Penaeidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>macrobrachium dux</i>           | 14                   | 4.5  | 3.7.8.6          | 5.4   | 1.3.13.5    | 3.5    | 0.31 n   | 1 |
| <i>Macrobrachium vollenhovenii</i> | 10                   | 3.5  | 3.5.5.8          | 4.8   | 1.5.3.3.1.9 | 0.16ns | 2.09     |   |
| <i>Potamidae</i>                   |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Potamonautes paecilli</i>       | 45                   | 14.4 | 1.5.4.1          | 3.1   | 0.7.23.5    | 9.7    | 8.51 (3) | 1 |
| <i>Cyprinidae</i>                  |                      |      |                  |       |             |        |          |   |
| <i>Barbus collipterus</i>          | 25                   | 4.6  | 2.3.5.8          | 4.9   | 0.4.9.5     | 3.4    | 0.52 (8) | 1 |
| Grand total                        | 712                  |      |                  |       | 100         |        |          |   |

Source: Udo (2012)

## Ntak Inyang stream

NtakInyang Stream is one of the tributaries of Ikpa River. It is popularly known as EsukItam or Iyere in Itu Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom States, Nigeria.<sup>10</sup> Ikpa River is a small perennial tributaries Stream, located west of the lower Cross River in South-Eastern Nigeria.<sup>23</sup> It lies between latitude 50 21 and 570841 N and longitude 80 31 and 50 (ng. Geo view info. It has a main channel length of 53.3KM and an area of 576KM<sup>2</sup>, with a mean depth of 2.0m and a mean width of 12.5m.<sup>23</sup> The river has a considerably rich

flora on its banks. These include *Elaeis guineensis*, *Raphiahookeri*, *Raphia vinnifera*, aquatic macrophytes such as *Nymphaea*, *Vossia* and *Crinum* sp.<sup>24,25</sup>

Usanga<sup>10</sup> recorded a total of 456 fin fish specimens from Ntak Inyang stream belonging to 19 species, 12 families and 5 orders.

The most abundant fish species was *Brycinus longipinnis* (27.82%) followed by *Tilapiazilli* (21.05%), *Tilipiamariae* species were *Phagoloricatus Papyrocranus afer* and *Schilbe intermedius* each having relative abundance of 0.22% (Table 4).

**Table 4** Species composition, monthly distribution and relative abundance of fishes of Ikpa River at NtakInyang

| Order                         | Species                      | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Total N | Ra (%) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|---------|--------|
| Characiformes                 | <i>Characidae</i>            |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Brycinuslongipinnis</i>   | 43  | 24  | 32  | 20  | 8    | 9   | 136     | 29.82  |
|                               | <i>B. nurse</i>              | 9   | 6   | 5   | 5   | 4    | 5   | 34      | 7.46   |
|                               | <i>B. quinquestuamde</i>     | 3   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 1    | 1   | 8       | 1.75   |
|                               | <i>Micralestes elongatus</i> | 0   | 2   | 3   | 1   | 2    | 2   | 10      | 2.19   |
|                               | <i>Citharinidae</i>          |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Citharinuscitharus</i>    | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 3    | 4   | 9       | 1.97   |
|                               | <i>Distichodontidae</i>      |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Phagoloricatus</i>        | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1       | 0.22   |
|                               | <i>Phagoborusornatus</i>     | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 0.44   |
| Hepsetidae                    | <i>Hepsetusodoe</i>          | 1   | 2   | 1   | 0   | 2    | 3   | 9       | 1.97   |
|                               |                              |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
| Cypriniformes                 | <i>Cyprinidae</i>            |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Barbuscallipterus</i>     | 0   | 1   | 2   | 0   | 1    | 0   | 4       |        |
| Osteoglossiformes             | <i>Notopteriidae</i>         |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Papyrocramus afer</i>     | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1       | 0.22   |
| Perciformes                   | <i>Cichlidae</i>             |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>C. guntheri</i>           | 7   | 3   | 2   | 5   | 11   | 7   | 35      | 7.68   |
|                               | <i>H. fasciatus</i>          | 4   | 6   | 3   | 4   | 8    | 5   | 30      | 6.58   |
|                               | <i>T. mariae</i>             | 9   | 6   | 14  | 10  | 13   | 11  | 63      | 13.82  |
|                               | <i>T. zlli</i>               | 10  | 15  | 25  | 20  | 15   | 96  | 96      | 21.05  |
|                               | <i>Eleotridae</i>            |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Eleotris senegalensis</i> | 1   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 4    | 2   | 10      | 2.19   |
| Siluriformes                  | <i>Ariidae</i>               |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Arius latiscultatus</i>   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2    | 1   | 3       | 0.66   |
|                               | <i>Bagridae</i>              |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Chrysichthsaluensis</i>   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 2   |         | 0.44   |
|                               | <i>Mochokidae</i>            |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Synodontisguttatus</i>    | 1   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 0.44   |
|                               | <i>Schilbeidae</i>           |     |     |     |     |      |     |         |        |
|                               | <i>Schilbe intermedius</i>   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1       | 0.22   |
| Monthly composition by number |                              | 91  | 71  | 91  | 68  | 74   | 61  | 456     |        |
| Number of species per month   |                              | 13  | 13  | 12  | 10  | 13   | 12  |         |        |

Source: Usanga (2015)

According to Usanga<sup>10</sup> the Perciformes (51.31%) constituted the commonest order, whereas the Osteoglossiformes (0.22%) constituted the rarest order. The commonest families were Cichlidae (49.12%) and Characidae (41.23%). The author however noted a high species richness and diversity in the species of Ntakinyang, based on Margalef's index (2.94) and Shanon Weiner's diversity index (2.112).

### UtaEwa Creek

UtaEwa Creek is located around IkotAbasi Local Government Area, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. It lies between Latitude 40301 and 40451 N and longitude 7031 and 70451 E.<sup>26</sup> The elevation of the area is generally less than 30 m above sea level.<sup>14</sup> The basic geological formation is made up of loose materials formed on the alluvial coastal plain.<sup>27</sup>

Ichthyofaunal survey by Akpan<sup>14</sup> recorded a total of 668 specimens from 14 families consisting 28 species in the Uta Ewa Creek. According to Akpan<sup>14</sup> the commercial species of importance of Uta-ewa Creek include *Chrysichthys auratus*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *Ilisafricana*, *Ethamalosia finbriata*, *Pseudotolithus elongatus*, *P. typhi* and *Liza grandisquamus*. The fishery of the creek can therefore be said to be based on *I. africana* with relative abundance of 16.48%, *L. grandisquamus* (12.72%) and *Lutjanus gorensis* (6.14%). The author however attributed the high species richness to increased habitat availability and probably the presence of variety of dietary items and the presence of intrusive species from the Atlantic Ocean. (Table 5)<sup>28</sup>

Finally, Udoiniong<sup>17</sup> in his work restoration of stream ecosystem integrity in Akwa Ibom State worked on six first order streams. The streams were Nung Oku Stream, Mission stream, Udom stream, Akap streams, Afaha Obong stream and Abak stream. Of these six streams, fish collection was made in three of them.<sup>17</sup> Seventeen species from 10 families were collected from Udom stream, 19 species from 12 families were recorded from Nung Oku stream, while million stream, recorded 22 species belonging to 12 families. According to Udoiniong<sup>17</sup> 14, 15, 15 species were rare in Udom, Nung Oku and missions streams respectively. The author also noted the abundance of Shrimps *Desmocaristripiriosa* and *Macobrachium dux* which were exploited for subsistence in addition to freshwater crab, *sudanonautessp* that was recorded in Mission stream. Mission stream showed the highest species diversity and evenness but with the least dominance (11.72%) while Udom stream showed the highest dominance (35.58%) but with the least diversity index.<sup>17</sup> This report also showed that fish assemblages of Udom and Nung Oku Streams were highly similar with similarity index of 72.22%; 13 species occurring in both streams, while Nung Oku and Mission Stream showed the least similarity (43.9%) with 9 species occurring in both streams. Udom and Mission streams had a similarity index of 46.15% with 9 species in common.<sup>17</sup> The author however lamented on the removal of riparian vegetations from the streams resulting in increased temperature regimes in the streams which consequently leads to severe reductions in the number and types of fish and other aquatic animals.<sup>29,30</sup>

**Table 5** Mean Length, mean weight and relative abundance of fish composition in UtaEwa, Nigeria

| Family/Species                  | Total Catch | XTL(CM) | XBW  | RA(%) | IP(%)    |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|-------|----------|
| Carangidae                      |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Caranx hippos</i>            | 29          | 85      | 24.4 | 4.34  | 0.07     |
| <i>Trachinotus gorensis</i>     | 26          | 9.91    | 16.6 | 3.89  | 0.04     |
| <i>Trachinotus teraia</i>       | 38          | 8.04    | 6.65 | 5.69  | 0.02     |
| Eleotridae                      |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Eleotris senegalensis</i>    | 25          | 16.43   | 39.6 | 3.74  | 0.09     |
| <i>Electrisvittata</i>          | 1           | 8.48    | 4.15 | 0.15  | 0.0003   |
| Gobiidae                        |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Bathygobius soporato</i>     | 11          | 26.1    | 32.3 | 1.65  | 3.00E-05 |
| Lutjanidae                      |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Lutjanus gorensis</i>        | 41          | 9.35    | 18.4 | 6.14  | 0.07     |
| Haemulidae                      |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Posmadasyju belini</i>       | 13          | 12      | 33.2 | 1.95  | 0.04     |
| Cynoglossidae                   |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Cynoglossus senegalensis</i> | 15          | 27.65   | 108  | 2.25  | 0.15     |
| Bothidae                        |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Citharichthys stampfi</i>    | 15          | 12.9    | 8.05 | 2.25  | 0.01     |
| Sciaenidae                      |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>pseudotolithus</i>           | 17          | 12.8    | 34.7 | 2.55  | 0.06     |
| <i>Senegalensis</i>             | 35          | 21.89   | 91.6 | 5.24  | 0.31     |
| <i>Pseudotolithustypus</i>      | 24          | 23.3    | 105  | 3.59  | 0.24     |
| Arridae                         |             |         |      |       |          |
| <i>Arius gigas</i>              | 18          | 21.87   | 67.7 | 2.7   | 0.12     |



Table Continued

| Family/Species                    | Total Catch | XTL(CM) | XBW  | RA(%) | IP(%)  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|-------|--------|
| <i>Arius heudolitu</i>            | 4           | 18.68   | 55   | 0.6   | 0.02   |
| <i>Bagridae</i>                   |             |         |      |       |        |
| <i>Chrysichthysauratus</i>        | 35          | 23.1    | 252  | 5.24  | 0.84   |
| <i>Chrysichthysnigrodigitatus</i> | 37          | 52.5    | 350  | 5.54  | 1.25   |
| <i>Bagrusdocmack</i>              | 29          | 15.15   | 65.3 | 4.34  | 0.18   |
| <i>Trichiuridae</i>               |             |         |      |       |        |
| <i>Trichiuruslepturus</i>         | 20          | 14.95   | 60.8 | 2.99  | 0.12   |
| <i>Malapteruidae</i>              |             |         |      |       |        |
| <i>Malapteruselectricus</i>       |             | 13.7    | 53.5 | 2.99  | 0.1    |
| <i>Clupeidae</i>                  |             |         |      |       |        |
| <i>Lishaafricana</i>              | 70          | 25.85   | 30.1 | 16.48 | 0.2    |
| <i>Odoxothrissamento</i>          | 3           | 1.8     | 14   | 0.45  | 0.0003 |
| <i>Ethmalosafimbriata</i>         | 31          | 10.73   | 4.19 | 4.64  | 0.04   |
| <i>Pellonulaleonensis</i>         | 4           | 5.25    | 1.9  | 0.6   | 0.0007 |
| <i>Mugilidae</i>                  |             |         |      |       |        |
| <i>Liza grandisquamis</i>         | 85          | 25.95   | 52.1 | 12.72 | 0.42   |
| <i>Mugilcephalus</i>              | 22          | 17.91   | 66.3 | 3.29  | 0.13   |

Source: Akpan (2013)

### Physical survey of two landing sites

Beyond the papers reviewed so far, we also took a walk to two important landing sites in Akwa Ibom State. These were Oku Iboku and Ifiaoyong landing sites.

### Fish fauna

The ichthyofaunal survey at a glance revealed that *Chrysichthys* sp were the most dominant species landed at both sites.

### Status of the fisheries

At the moment, the fisheries are still economically viable. Providing several good fish products to meet our nutritional needs, as well as provide a source of livelihood to several others through the value chain of the fisheries activities. However, a shocking revelation was made at Oku Iboku landing site; where fishers actually design drums to be catching *Chrysichthys* sp in their spawning hide outs, what a reckless exploitation. If nothing is done and fast at that, then this economic viability of the fisheries will be a history. Also, during the survey a mere physical inspection was enough to tell a story about the state of pollution on Ifiaoyong. The mangrove trees have been so exploited that people no longer care about the fishery; the water has been so polluted with wood products among others.

### Sources of conflict

During the survey, we also interacted with the fishers to find out the likely source of conflict in fisheries.

Two sources of conflict were identified

- i. Violation of contractual agreement: here some supper-rich fish buyers do procure fishing gears as well as the boat to some fishers

on the condition that the proceeds of the fishing would only be sold to them. Unfortunately, not all these fishers would keep to the agreement, as some secretly sell their proceeds to other fish buyers, this information however has a way of getting to these supper- rich buyers and conflict erupts.

- ii. Non-adherence to time slot: For example in Oku, Iboku landing sites, two kinds of fishing gears were identified
  - a) Mfioro (2.5 yards with cork and lead suitable for low tide when the tide is ebbing and
  - b) Ewondo (8 yards and above during high tide when the tide is flooding).

According to the Chairman of the fishers' folk, 6 hours each is allotted for fishers using each of the gears. Conflicts therefore arise where fishers that are already offshore refuse to leave the shore during high tide to pave way for fishers using high tide gears. All effort to bring a lasting solution to this conflict according to the Chairman has failed, He therefore suggested for legal barking from the government.

### Conclusion

Akwa Ibom Inland fisheries at a glance and from the literatures reviewed viable but deep down, the fisheries are gradually becoming overfished. Adequate management is therefore cooperative for the sustenance of the economic viability. Otherwise people that depend on these fisheries for good quality source of protein as well as source of livelihood will be ripe of these benefits in the nearest future.

### Funding

None.

## Acknowledgments

None.

## References

- Olaosebikan BD, Raji A. A field Guide to Nigerian Freshwater fishes, 2nd ed. 2004.
- Idoho Umeh G. Freshwater fishes of (Taxonomy, Ecological Notes, Diet and Utilization) Idoho-Umeh publishers Benin City, Nigeria. 2003;231.
- Abiodun JA, Alamu SO, Miller JW. Assessment of Inland Waters fisheries on Nigeria with Implications for improved Freshwater fish production, Poverty Alleviation and Food Security. *In Annual Conference of the Fisheries society of Nigeria (FISON)*. 2005;304–311.
- Akpan II. Species composition and Abundance in UtaEwa Creek, Niger Delta Region, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 2013;3(3):56–60.
- Ndok OJ. *Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON)*. 1982.
- Anko EO, Eyo AA. Fisheries Development in Nigeria with special Reference to Cross River State. *In 16th Annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON)*. In: EyoAA, Ajao EA, editors. FISSON, Apapa, Lagos. 2003;303–311.
- Akpan AW, Ufodike EBC. The Geo-morphological Features of a Tropical Coastal River Draining Forested Catchment and Influence of Fluvial Processes on Ecosystem Structure. *Journal of Aquatic Science*. 2006;20(2):89–95.
- Odo GE, Didigwu NC, Eyo JE. The Fish Fauna of Anambra River Basin, Nigeria. Species Abundance and Morphometry. *Rev Biol Trop*. 2009;57(1–2):177–186.
- Martins AE, Okadi D. Species Distribution and Abundance in the lower Nun River, Niger Delta Nigeria. *Journal of Fisheries International*. 2009;4(1):13–18.
- Usanga EE. Abundance and monthly composition of fish species of Ikpa River at NtakInyang, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Journal for Studies in Management and Planning*. 2015;1(11):239–247.
- Udo IU. Taxonomic composition, Diversity and Abundance of the Ichthyofaunal Assemblage of Iba-Oku Stream, Ikpa River, Nigeria. *International Journal of Zoological Research*. 2012;8:71–80.
- Akpan AW. The water Quality of some tropical freshwater bodies in Uyo (Nigeria) Receiving Municipal Effluents, Slaughterhouse washings and Agricultural Land Drainage. *Environment List*. 2004;24:49–50.
- Akpan II. Limnological Study of Jaja Creek with Special Emphasis on Species composition, Trophic Biology and Heavy Metal Composition of some Department of Zoology, University of Uyo, Uyo. 2006;105–108.
- Ekwu SO, Sikoki FD. Species Composition and Distribution of zooplankton in the lower Cross River Estuary. *African Journal of Applied Zoology and Environmental Biology*. 2005;7:5–10.
- Essien Ibok MA, Akpan AW, Udo MT, et al. Seasonality in the physical Mbo River, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 2010;6:60–72.
- Ekpo IE, Onuoha GC, Chude LA. Diversity and Variable of Aquatic Macrophytes in Ikpa River, IkotEbom (Akwa Ibom State) Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 2011;7:1–9.
- Udoiong OM. Restoration of Stream Ecosystem Integrity in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science*. 1999;11(1):63–70.
- Udoiong OM, King RP. Ichthyofaunal Assemblages of some Nigerian Rainforest Streams. *Journal of Aquatic Science*. 2000;15(1):1–8.
- Ekpo IE, Udo MT, Odem EM. Trophic Spectra and Relationship of Fish Species Assemblages in Qua Iboe River, Niger Delta Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Fisheries*. 2014;11(1):656–697.
- Ekpo IE, Obot OI, Essien Ibok MA. Studies on the Food Composition and Feeding Pattern of Fish Communities in Qua Iboe River, Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *American Journal of Biology and Life Sciences*. 2014;2(5):122–134.
- Ekpo IE, Essien Ibok MA, Nkwoji IN. Food and Feeding Habits and Condition Factor of Fish Species in Qua Iboe River Estuary, Akwa Ibom State, South Eastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*. 2014;(2):38–46.
- Akpan AW. Preliminary Investigation into the physical Hydrology of Qua Iboe River in South Eastern Nigeria. *Trans Nig Soc Biol Coser*. 1991;2:113–122.
- King RP. Seasonal Dynamics in the Trophic Status of *Papyrocranusufer* (Guntter, 1968) (Notopteridae) in a Nigerian Rainforest stream. *RevistaHydrobiologicTropicales*. 1994;27(2):143–155.
- King RP. Distribution, Abundance, Size and Feeding Habit of *Brienomyrusbrachyistus* (Gill, 1862) (Teleostei: Mormyridae) in a Nigerian Rainforest Stream. *Cybuim*. 1989;13(1):25–36.
- Tengels GG, Raid M, King RP. Fishes of the Cross River Basin (Cameroun– Nigeria): Taxonomy, Zoogeography, Ecology and Coservation. *Ann Mus R Afr Cenfr*. 1992;266:1–132.
- Enplan Consultant Engineers. Imo River Basins Pre-feasibility Report Vol. 11, Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Lagos. 1974;318.
- Peter SSW, Iwok ER, Uya OE. *Akwa Ibom: The Land of Promise*. In: Peters SW, Iwok ER, editors. Gabumo Publishing Co. Ltd. 1994;377.
- Essien AA. Various types of canoe Fisheries in IkotAbasi. *In 2nd Annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON)*. 1983;28–34.
- Karr JR, Schlosser IJ. Water Resources and the Land-Water Interface. *Science*. 1978;201(4352):220–234.
- Bonsall MB, French OR, Hassel MP. Metapopulation Structures Affect Persistence of Predator - Prey Interaction. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 2002;71(6):1075–1054.