Evaluation of water resources management of hirmand river basin for agricultural productions using stochastic dynamic programming

Abstract

In this study, water management allocated for agricultural productions was analyzed using stochastic dynamic programming. The technical coefficients used in the study referred to the agricultural years, 2013-2014. They were obtained through the use of simple random sampling of 250 farmers in the region for crops wheat, barley, melon, watermelon, and ruby grapes under the scenarios of drought and wet, required in the most sensitive growth stages. Production function and profit function were obtained from the yield-water-product function of crops using Eviews software. Expected net profit of the system and optimal allocation of water were also calculated based on the GAMS economic analysis software. The results revealed that 0.47% had experienced drought (low) and wet (High) years. In the best case, i.e. with high current levels, respectively at, 58, 67, 54, and 48% of water requirements for these crops and, in the worst case (with low current levels), 47, 35, 49, and 53% of the water requirements provided during the most sensitive growth stages. Moreover, the results showed that the cultivation of the ruby grape was the best product with the highest expected profit in water and drought conditions.
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Introduction

From three perspectives, water plays a key role in sustainable development. First, it is consumed as a final product. Second, water is an important input for many businesses. Third, it has a key role in biological organisms on Earth. According to the latest estimates by UNESCO of the water cycle on Earth, it can be inferred that the average annual rainfall in Iran was 251 mm, having a significant difference with the average rainfall of each continent. It could be compared with rainfall in semi-arid and desert-like countries of some continents. The average rainfall in all lands and Asia was 831 and 732 mm, respectively. In Iran, the average annual rainfall was 413 billion m³; however, the area of Iran is 1.1% of all lands and 3.35% of the land area of Asia. Iran’s rainfall volume comprises just 0.37% of all rainfall from the earth’s lands and 1.29% of rainfall volume in Asia. Also, the average annual evaporation in Iran is estimated at around 70–71% of annual rainfall. In this regard, just Africa and Australia, with 70% and 80% evaporation under undesirable rainfall conditions, respectively, are lower than Iran. The Hirmand basin is located in the province of Sistan and Baluchistan. In all climate categories, the Sistan region had a hot and dry climate. Based on different calculation methods, its average annual temperature was 21 °C; its average annual rainfall was 614 mm, its relative humidity was 38%, and its potential evapotranspiration was 4196 mm. Of the total cultivated lands of the country, an area of 12 million hectares is located in Sistan and Baluchistan out of which 52.4% is located in the Sistan region.

The purpose of this study was to estimate the economic allocation model of the Hirmand River Basin water resources in crop and garden productions using stochastic dynamic programming.

Materials and methods

The yield-water-product function was used to estimate crop and garden production functions. Under each irrigation condition, crops and garden had their unique water-product functions estimated using regression methods (Dhehibi et al., 2014). This function expressed the relationship between the actual yield and the effective irrigation, so the second-degree polynomial function for estimating the crop-water function recommended by was as follows (1):

\[ Y_{m} = f(w) = a_0 + a_1 w + a_2 w^2 \]

Where \( Y_{m} \) is the actual crop yield (tons / ha), \( Y_{m} \) is the maximum potential yield (t / ha), \( w \) is the ratio of total available water to the maximum potential seasonal evaporation in the crop, i.e. the ratio of actual evapotranspiration to the potential evapotranspiration. Based on the water-crop yield, the total profit yield of irrigation water is expressed as follows:

\[ \beta_j = \sum_{cp} P_{cp} \cdot Y_{cp} \cdot A_{cp} - \sum_{cp} V_{cp} \cdot A_{cp} \]

Where \( P_{cp} \) is the crop price, and \( V_{cp} \) is the variable costs of crop production. The target function can be written as (3):

\[ \max \beta_j \]

\[ s.t. \sum_{cp} A_{cp} \leq A \]

\[ A_{cp} \leq A_{cp}^{max} \]

\[ \sum_{cp} E_{cp} \cdot A_{cp} \leq Q \]

Where \( A \) is the total area under cultivation (ha) and \( A_{cp}^{max} \) and \( A_{cp} \) are respectively the maximum and minimum levels of cultivated areas (ha), \( E_{cp} \) is the rate of effective irrigation required during the growing season (m³ / ha) and \( Q \) is the total amount of effective irrigation available in the region. Since the system manager always faces issues regarding water allocation between competing
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agricultural consumers (including various scenarios) and due to the fact that water supply tends to be random in the future, the demand for water will also be estimated based on the needs of different scenarios and a logical period will be considered for all data. In cases where the agricultural sector is informed that it has little water available, it will change its activities so that it would need less water. When there is uncertainty, the manager is supposed to create a plan in which, despite allocation of water efficiency, the system benefits increase, and in turn, the system risk reduces. Hence, the random variable of water supply with \( P_w \) (probability scenario \( k \) in time period \( t \)) was used to design a set of scenarios with branching structure. This model can be formulated as follows (4):

\[
\text{max } f^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \left( W_{it}^k - D_{it}^k \right) + \left( W_{it}^k + \Delta W_{it}^k \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \left( PC_{it}^k \right) D_{it}^k \]  

\[ s.t \]  

\[
q_{th}^k \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( W_{it}^k + \Delta W_{it}^k \right) \]  

\[
W_{it}^{\pm \Delta} \geq W_{it}^{\pm \Delta} + \Delta W_{it}^k \]  

\[
D_{it}^k \geq D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \]  

(4)

Where \( F \) is the net system profit of the planning horizon, \( NB_{it}^k \) is the net income of the crop per allocated water unit, \( W_{it}^k \) is water promised for the period \( t \), \( C_{it}^k \) is the farmer’s losses per unit of water promised, but not delivered in period \( t \), \( D_{it}^k \) is water scarcity for the crop \( i \) under scenario \( k \) in period \( t \) (in other words, some of \( W_{it}^k \) was not delivered at \( q^* \), \( q^* \) is random variable of water supply in period \( t \), \( W_{it}^{\max} \) is the amount of water allocated for \( i \) consumer at time \( t \), \( P_w \) is frequency probability of scenario \( k \) in period \( t \), \( k \) are total number of scenarios and \( i \) is the most sensitive growth stage, \( s \) is the type of crop (\( i = 1 \) wheat, \( i = 2 \) barley, \( i = 3 \) melon, \( i = 4 \) watermelon, and \( i = 5 \), ruby grape). In this equation, \( y_{it} \) is a decision variable used to define the optimal range \( W_{it}^\pm \). When \( y_{it} = 0 \) reaches its highest level, \( y_{it} = 1 \). If the required water is delivered to the sectors, the system profit will reach its peak level. In case of losses, the reverse is true, too. When \( y_{it} \leq 0 \) and the promised rate of water is delivered, the system profit will decrease dramatically, but if the promised water is supplied, it would have the least amount of loss for the system. The value \( F_{\text{opt}} = D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \) is obtained from the model 4). Using the solutions of the models (4), the following equations are obtained: (5)

\[
F_{\text{opt}} \pm = \left[ F_{\text{opt}}^+, F_{\text{opt}}^- \right] \]  

\[
D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \pm = \left[ D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}}, D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \right] \]  

As a result, the optimal water allocation for the planned period is calculated as follows:

\[
A_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \pm = W_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \pm - D_{it}^{k, \text{OP}} \pm \]  

Research data

Technical factors used in the study corresponded to the farming years 2013-2014. Research was conducted through simple random sampling of 250 regional farmers. Moreover, in order to calculate the possibility of water flow rate (low or High) based on rainfall data gathered from 3 decades ago to the present and using the standardized precipitation index, the percentages of dry, wet, and normal years were obtained. These percentages were used to determine the frequency possibility of low and medium water flows. The Standard Precipitation Index is defined as follows (7):

\[
SPI = \left( P_i - S \right) / P \]

Where SPI is the standard precipitation index, \( P_i \) is desired annual rainfall, \( P \) is long-term average rainfall, and \( S \) is the standard deviation of long-term rainfall. If the index is greater and/or less than 1, it means that wet and drought conditions exist, respectively. Rates between 1 and -1 represent a year with normal and average rainfall (Table 1). The results showed that the number of years with an amount of precipitation less than the average (i.e. drought) is higher than the number of years that are higher than the average amount of precipitation (wet years). Over the past 30 years, 0.14 % of cases had wet states (high) and 0.47 % had drought cases.  

Table 1: Provides data on water provision (m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow level</th>
<th>Relevant probability</th>
<th>Water supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-270,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-29,002,880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and discussion

The rate of target allocation of water for agricultural crops was calculated by the gross irrigation requirement. Likewise, its maximum and minimum rates were also considered in terms of the highest and lowest water use efficiency in the region. The variable of maximum allocation of water to different crops was calculated based on the most unfavorable efficiency of the irrigation area. Table 2 summarizes this information. Allocation of water under the drought scenario for wheat, barley, melon, and watermelon are presented in Table 3. In addition Target water demand and allocated water in drought scenario are presented in Figure 1. The solution of the target function \( f^\pm \) represented two final expected values of net profit in the irrigation models for wheat, barley, melon, and watermelon under drought scenarios and during the planning horizon which varies between the maximum and minimum levels. If \( W_{it}^{low}W_{it}^{high} \) the manager will be conservative and he will promise the provision of less water to the farmers. If the promised water to the farmer is not delivered, he will choose the loss incurred from a lower harvest. Since this happens just under drought conditions, it is wise for the manager to behave moderately. Moreover, the results of the optimal allocation of water in drought conditions showed that using ruby grapes would lead to the lowest expected value. Based on the information mentioned in Tables 1 and 2 the optimal allocation of water under the normal scenario for wheat, barley, melon, and watermelon are presented in Table 4. The solution of the target function \( f^\pm v \) was positive at the final value of the expected net profit of the crop under a normal scenario. If \( W_{it}^{low} = W_{it}^{high} \), the manager is supposed to act optimistically and promise high levels of water needed. The results of optimal allocation of water in wet conditions showed that cultivation of ruby grapes could provide the highest expected profits.

Table 2: Allocation of water needed for crops during the most critical growth stages during the planning horizons (m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required water</th>
<th>Planning horizon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>-37,803,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>-29,802,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melon</td>
<td>-1,360,013,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watermelon</td>
<td>-1,564,015,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby grapes</td>
<td>-22,112,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The results of the model under drought scenario during the most sensitive time of irrigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
<th>Target water demand</th>
<th>Allocated water</th>
<th>Expected value</th>
<th>Optimal Allocation of water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3780</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(-1.86,-3.75)</td>
<td>-36,982,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>(-1.84,-3.60)</td>
<td>-29,121,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Melon</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>13721</td>
<td>7031</td>
<td>(-1.88,-3.65)</td>
<td>-136,913,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Watermelon</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>15980</td>
<td>7555</td>
<td>(-1.89,-4.41)</td>
<td>-1,576,015,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Ruby grapes</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>(-1.82,-3.53)</td>
<td>-28,772,325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: researcher's calculations

Table 4: The solution of the model under a wet scenario during the most sensitive time of irrigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
<th>Target water demand</th>
<th>Allocated water</th>
<th>Expected value</th>
<th>Optimal allocation of water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>-451,558</td>
<td>-35,653,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>-462,467</td>
<td>-29,622,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Melon</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>13600</td>
<td>6554</td>
<td>-452,471</td>
<td>13573,13684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Watermelon</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>15590</td>
<td>8031</td>
<td>-352,355</td>
<td>-1,564,715,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Ruby grapes</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2211</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>-462,667</td>
<td>-21,962,245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: researcher's calculations

Figure 1: Target water demand and allocated water in drought scenario.
Reference: researcher's calculations

Figure 2: Target water demand and allocated water in wet scenario.
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Conclusion

In this study, economic allocation of water allocated to agricultural sector was analyzed using randomly dynamic programming. Technical factors used in the study referenced the farming years 2013-2014. It was conducted through a simple random sampling of 250 farmers in the region for crops wheat, barley, melon, watermelon, and ruby grapes. By inserting the amounts of water scarcity and water allocation in the target function, the profit earned from economic allocation of water was obtained. The results revealed that 0.47% experienced drought (low) and 0.39% had a wet (average) year. The results also showed that the rates of final water allocation in drought conditions for wheat, barley, melon, watermelon and ruby grapes were, respectively 2018, 1938, 7555,7031,1182 m^3 and in normal conditions, they were 2025, 1942, 7046, 7559, and 1189m^3. The results also showed that ruby grapes were the best crop with the highest expected profit in drought and wet conditions. In general, whenever the expected net income value of some crops is positive, the managers will act optimistically and the high levels of water required are promised to the farmers. In turn, they would prefer to be more conservative and would promise the least amount of water provided to the farmers. Hence, if the promised water to the farmer is not wasted, he will choose the loss incurred from a lesser harvest.

Recommendations

It has been suggested to choose the type of crops based on the irrigated conditions. Moreover, if the farmers have enough freedom to choose and use different variables, the model can provide practical solutions in terms of establishing the amount of profit in farmers’ mental calculations. Since in this study, the expected profit was obtained in drought and normal scenarios under the most sensitive water requirement conditions, it is wise to consider several measures so that sustainable water could be provided to the farmers to grow crops on time and earn the minimum rate of household income. It would reduce the migration of Sistani villagers to cities and neighboring provinces. It is significant to note that if the east of the country becomes haunted it will endanger the security of the area and the whole country.
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