

Mini Review





Physiological roles of the green pseudobulb in tropical epiphytic orchids

Abstract

The green pseudobulbs of epiphytic orchids function as storage organs for water, mineral and carbohydrates. They are responsible for the ultimate re-distribution of assimilated carbon from the leaves to other plant organs. Our research suggested that they play crucial roles in regulation of leaf photosynthesis, growth, flower initiation and survival of orchids. When epiphytic orchids are subjected to environmental stress such as water deficit, we have also found that the pseudobulbs play an important role in maintaining the water level of leaves and thus protecting epiphytic orchids against

Keywords: epiphytic orchids, growth, photosynthesis, pseudobulbs, drought stress

Volume 8 Issue I - 2018

Natural Sciences and Science Education Academic Group. National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Correspondence: Jie He, Natural Sciences and Science Education Academic Group, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, I-Nanyang Walk, Singapore-637 616, Email jie.he@nie.edu.sg

Received: January 02, 2018 | Published: February 14, 2018

Introduction

Epiphytic orchids are typically found in tropical environments. Evolution of epiphytes has been postulated to result in the formation of the green pseudobulbs, 1,2 which is characterized by the presence of very thick cuticle, absence of stomata and the abundance of water-storing cells.³ These 'false bulbs' are known to function as storage organs for water, mineral and carbohydrates, playing crucial roles in the growth and survival of epiphytic orchids.^{4,5} In addition, photosynthesis of green pseudobulbs can contribute positively to carbon balance by recycling respiratory carbon that would otherwise be lost.⁶ Although the leaves are main sources that supply carbon for inflorescence development, the green *pseudobulbs* is responsible for the ultimate re-distribution of assimilated carbon from the leaves to other plant organs. As such, the green pseudobulbs can be envisioned to play an active regulatory role in assimilate partitioning as well as a passive role as a storage organ. Furthermore, the green pseudobulbs may serve as a reserve for water, minerals and carbohydrates when epiphytic orchids are subjected to environmental stress such as water deficit.8 The main objective of mini review was to discuss the roles of green psedobulbs in growth and development of epiphytic orchids. Photosynthetic capacity of the green pseudobulb and its roles in regulating leaf photosynthesis and protecting epiphytic orchids against drought stress were also addressed.

Roles of green pseudobulbs in growth and development

During new shoot and floral development, the developing new organs demand a large proportion of the newly fixed carbon for their growth.9 The volume of the green pseudobulbs affects the rate of growth and final size of the new shoot were observed in epiphytic orchid hybrid of Cattleya forbesii Lindl. X Laelia tenebrosa Rolfe. 10 The volume of the green pseudobulbs was greatly reduced at certain stage of the young shoot development, suggesting that some storage compounds of the green pseudobulbs might have been transferred to developing shoot. The volume of the green pseudobulbs increased again at the later stage of the young shoot development. However, shading of the green pseudobulbs affected the translocation of the nutrients to the young shoots, indicating that this relationship is light dependent.10

The size and maturity of the green pseudobulbs were also critical for the orchid plants to attain the capacity of flowering.

For instances, flower initiation in Miltoniopsis was most rapid, uniform and complete when green pseudobulbs had reached their maturation stage. 11 Although green pseudobulbs do not show any gaseous exchange in light or in darkness, photosynthesis do take place in green pseudobulbs when exposed to light, which is involved primarily in the refixation of respiratory CO, produced by the underlying massive parenchymatous tissues.¹² This contributes to an increase amount of carbon assimilates and hence more food can be translocated to the developing inflorescence.12 In the study with Oncidium Goldiana, the amount of 14C assimilates contributed by the leaves on different shoots showed a decreasing trend with increasing distance from the inflorescence of the current shoot.⁶ It is logical that the green pseudobulbs received and accumulated more 14C during the short period (8hours) after 14CO2 feeding from the source leaves. It was also found that the green pseudobulbs of Spathoglottis unguiculata accumulated higher percentage of 14C assimilate during the vegetative stage than during the flowering stage.⁶ With the present of new organs such as inflorescences, more photo assimilates are channeled to the developing inflorescences than to other parts of the plants. Hence, there was a substantial interaction between the developing inflorescences emerging from the current back shoot and photo assimilates exported from the green pseudobulbs.

Photosynthesis of the green pseudobulb and its role in regulating leaf photosynthesis

The partitioning of assimilates between sources and sinks results in phloem translocation. A source may be defined as an exporter of sugars to the phloem and a sink is an importer of sugar from the phloem. Sink organs utilize the imported assimilates for growth, maintenance and storage.¹³ In our previous study, we investigated source-to-sink relationship between leaves and green flower petals of CAM orchid Dendrobium cv. Burana Jade. It was found that green flower petals function as sinks and depend on carbohydrates exported from leaves for their development and growth.14 In their natural habitat, would the leaves and green pseudobulbs function efficiently as source if the photosynthetic capacity of either part is removed? How does the changing of source/sink ratio regulate the photosynthesis of leaves



or green *pseudobulbs*? That the accumulation of photo assimilate in leaves has a role in regulation of photosynthetic rate was hypothesized as early as 1868 by Bouddinhault.¹⁵ In plants, changes in the photosynthetic source/sink balance are important in regulating leaf photosynthetic rate through effects on the leaf carbohydrate status.^{16–18} In our study with C₃ orchid *Oncidium* Golden Wish, it was found that light saturation for photosynthesis and maximum photosynthetic rates were significantly higher in leaves than in green *pseudobulbs*. The green *pseudobulbs* also had lower light utilization than that of leaves.

Our results also revealed that low levels of carbohydrate in leaves after reducing photosynthetic source/sink balance adversely affected the photosynthetic rate of leaves for C₂ orchid Oncidium Golden Wish. 19 Due to their lower photosynthetic capacities, green pseudobulbs function mainly as sinks. As pseudobulbs store a large amount of carbohydrates, leaves could depend on pseudobulbs carbohydrates to regulate their photosynthesis when their source capacity was removed.¹⁹ Some researchers failed to relate photosynthetic rate to source-sink relationships, suggesting that photosynthesis per se does not allow to arrive at specific conclusions on source or sink limitation. 20,21 However, the large pseudobulbs of Oncidium Golden Wish, constitutes almost two-third of the total biomass, which clearly plays an important role in regulation of leaf photosynthesis and thus, the carbon balance of the plant. 19 Furthermore, the green pseudobulbs had significantly higher concentration of insoluble sugar than that of leaves.¹⁹ The *pseudobulbs* could switch from acting as a strong carbohydrate sink when leaves were actively photosynthesizing to become a strong source when leaves were subjected to unfavorable conditions such as long period of cloudy days and drought.

Although the amount of imported assimilates was lower and reasons for mature leaves acting as a sink was unclear, mature orchid leaves that imported assimilates from other non-foliar photosynthetic organs were reported by a number of researchers. ^{13,22-24} Functioning primarily as sinks but with additional source capacity, *pseudobulbs* points to the dynamism and plasticity in plant growth and development. For horticultural practice, it would be useful to further understand how environmental conditions would ultimately modulate the pattern of growth and development through their effects on source/sink relations between leaves and green *pseudobulbs*.

The roles of the green pseudobulb in protecting epiphytic orchids against drought stress

Epiphytic orchids are directly or indirectly exposed to natural air currents and solar radiation and receive only intermittent rains. 10,25,26 Among the many abiotic factors involved in the survival of epiphytes, water availability is probably the most important environmental factor limiting growth and survival of epiphytes.^{27–29} Thus, tolerance to water deficit is a decisive factor in their survival. Water stored in the pseudobulbs of the epiphytic orchids may facilitate a slow reduction in the leaf water content and decline in water potential during a period of drought for protecting those plants against the effects of water deficits. 1,4,5,10 Although large amounts of water and carbohydrates are stored in the pseudobulbs, many epiphytic orchids are sensitive to prolonged water deficit. It was reported that relative water content (RWC) decreased continuously in leaves and pseudobulbs of epiphytic CAM orchid (Cattleya forbesii Lindl×Laelia tenebrosa Rolfe) after they were subjected to drought stress up to 45days.¹⁰ Yang et al.³⁰ examined the anatomical traits, water loss rates, and physiology of leaves and pseudobulbs of four Dendrobium species with different pseudobulb morphologies. Their results indicate that Dendrobium species with thin cuticles tend to have pseudobulbs with high water storage capacity that compensates for their faster rates of water loss. In our study, the decrease of water content in CAM orchid Cattleya laeliocattleya Aloha Case was much greater in pseudobulbs than in leaves after subjecting to drought stress, indicating that pseudobulbs facilitated a slow reduction in the water content of leaves. This finding was supported by the result of RWC of leaves, which started to decrease only after 3weeks of drought stress, Our results also showed that decreases in total chlorophyll content, photosynthetic light use efficiency and CAM acidity were much less in leaves than in pseudobulbs.31 Compared to leaves, although pseudobulbs were more sensitive to drought stress, to a certain extent pseudobulbs played an important role in maintaining the water level of leaves. Thus, the green pseudobulbs play an important role in protecting epiphytic orchids against drought stress.

Conclusion

By understanding the physiological roles of green *pseudobulb* in tropical epiphytic orchids, it will be able to provide scientific basis for a large scale of gene expression analysis involved in the mechanisms responsible for water, mineral and carbohydrate storage and re-distribution. For horticultural practice, it would be useful to understand how environmental conditions would ultimately modulate the pattern of growth and development through its effects on source/sink relations between green leaves and green *pseudobulb*. Therefore, more attention would be pay to the growth management of the *pseudobulb*. By evaluating the physiological role of the pseudobulb in response to drought stress, a better understanding how abiotic factors are limiting epiphyte growth and survival which, in turn, should affect epiphyte community composition.

Acknowledgements

Our project on "Physiology of green *pseudobulbs*" was supported by Academic Research Fund (RI5/07HJ), Ministry of Education, Singapore.

Conflict of interest

The author declares there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- Goh CJ, Kluge M. Gas exchange and water relations in epiphytic orchids. Vascular Plants as Epiphytes. 1989. p. 139–166.
- 2. Dressler RL. *The Orchids: Natural History and Classification*. London: Harvard University Press; 1990.
- Arditti J. Fundamentals of Orchid Biology. USA: John Wiley & Sons; 1992.
- Hew C S, Yong JWH. Growth and photosynthesis of Oncidium Goldiana. *Journal of Horticultural Science*. 1994;69(5):809–819.
- 5. Ng CKY, Hew CS. Orchid Pseudobulbs 'false' bulbs with a genuine importance in orchid growth and survival! *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2000;83(3–4):165–172.
- Yong JWH, Hew CS. The importance of photoassimilate contribution from the current shoot and connected back shoots to inflorescence size in the thin–leaved sympodial orchid Oncidium Goldiana. *Int J Plant Sci.* 1995;156:450–459.

77

- 7. He J, Ouyang W, Chia TF. Growth and photosynthesis of virus-infected and virus-eradicated orchid plants exposed to different growth irradiances under natural tropical conditions. Physiol Plant. 2004;121(4):612-619
- 8. Withner CL. The Orchids, a Scientific Survey. John Wiley, et al. editors. New York, USA: Ronald Press; 1959. 648 p.
- 9. Hew CS, Koh KT, Khoo GH. Pattern of photoassimilate partitioning in pseudobulbous and rhizomatous terrestrial orchids. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 1998;40(2):93-104.
- 10. Stancato GC, Mazzaferab P, Buckeridge MS. Effect of a drought period on the mobilization of non-structural carbohydrates, photosynthetic efficiency and water status in an epiphytic orchid. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2001;39(11):1009-1016.
- 11. Lopez RG, Runkle ES. Effect of temperature and pseudobulb maturity on flowering of the orchid Miltoniopsis Augres 'Trinity'. Acta Horti. 2008;273-278.
- 12. Hew CS, Yong JWH. The physiology of tropical orchids in relation to the industry. 2nd ed. Singapore: World Scientific Publication; 1997.
- 13. Zamski E, Schaffer AA. Photoassimilate distribution in plants and crops:source-sink relationships. Marcel Dekker Inc. Publication, New York - Basel - Hong Kong, USA: CRC Press; 1996. 928 p.
- 14. He J, Woon WL. Source-to-sink relationship between green leaves and green petals of different ages of the CAM orchid Dendrobium cv. Burana Jade. Photosynthetica. 2008;46:91-97.
- 15. Bouddinhault JB. Agronomie, chimie agricole et physiologie. 2nd ed. Paris: Mallet Bachelier; p. 236-312.
- 16. Kasai M. Regulation of leaf photosynthetic rate correlating with leaf carbohydrate status and activation state of Rubisco under a variety of photosynthetic source/sink balances. Physiol Plant. 2008;134(1):216-226.
- 17. Foyer CH, Galtier N. Source-sink interaction and communication in leaves.-In: Zamski E, et al. editors. Photoassimilate Distribution in Plants and Crops Source-Sink Relationships. New York, USA: Marcel Dekker: 1996, p 311-340
- 18. Paul MJ, Foyer CH. Sink regulation of photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2001;52(360):1383-1400.
- 19. He J, Tan BHG, Qin L. Source-to-sink relationship between green leaves and green pseudobulbs of C3 orchid in regulation of photosynthesis. Photosynthetica. 2011;49:209-218.

- 20. Plaut Z, Mayoral ML, Reinhold L. Effect of altered sink: source ratio on photosynthetic metabolism of source leaves. Plant Physiol. 1987;85(3):786-791.
- 21. Wada Y, Miura K, Watanabe K. Effects of source-to-sink ratio on carbohydrate production and senescence of rice flag leaves during the ripening period. Japanese Journal of Crop Science. 1993;62:547-553.
- 22. Clifford PE, Neo HH, Hew CS. Partitioning of 14C-assimilates between sources and sinks in the monopodial orchid Aranda Tay Swee Eng. Annals of Botany. 1992;69(3):209-212.
- 23. Wadasinghe S, Hew CS. The importance of back shoots as a source of photoassimilates for growth and development in Dendrobium Jashika Pink (Orchidaceae). Journal of Horticultural Science. 1995;70(2):207-
- 24. Yong JWH, Hew CS. Partitioning of 14C-assimilates between sources and sinks during different growth stages in the sympodial thin-leaved orchid Oncidium Goldiana. International Journal of Plant Sciences. 1995;156(2):188-196.
- 25. Ertelt JB. Horticultural aspects of growing and displaying a wide variety of epiphytes. Selbyana. 1992;13:95-98.
- 26. Zheng XN, Wen ZQ, Hew CS. Response of Cymbidium sinense to drought stress. Journal of Horticultural Science. 1992;67(3):295-299.
- 27. Zotz G, Tyree MT. Water stress in the epiphytic orchid, Dimerandra emarginata (G. Meyer) Hoehne. Oecologia. 1996;107(2):151-159.
- 28. Zotz G, Hietz P. The physiological ecology of vascular epiphytes: current knowledge, open questions. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2001;52(364):2067-2078.
- 29. Tay S, He J, Yam TY. Photosynthetic light utilization rfficiency, water relations and leaf growth of C3 and CAM tropical orchids under natural conditions. Am J Plant Sci. 2015;6:2949-2959.
- 30. Yang SJ, Sun M, Yang QY, et al. Two strategies by epiphytic orchids for maintaining water balance: thick cuticles in leaves and water storage in pseudobulbs. AoB Plants. 2016. 8 p.
- 31. He J, Norhafis H, Qin L. Responses of green leaves and green pseudobulbs of CAM orchid Cattleya laeliocattleya aloha case to drought stress. Journal of Botany. 2013. p. 1-9.