Chorioretinal coloboma: clinical presentation complications and treatment alternatives

Abstract
Choroidal Retinal colobomas rare congenital anomalies developed due to incomplete closure of the embryonic fissure. They present with different clinical conditions depend on extent and affected part of ocular tissues such as macula and optic nerve. The most common complication of Choroidal Retinal colobomas is retinal detachment and due to histopathological nature of colobomas the success rate of retinal detachment surgery is not high even with advance vitreoretinal techniques.
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Introduction
Choroidal Retinal coloboma (CRC) characterized by congenital absence of part of the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid, caused by the defective closure of the embryonic fissure, which normally develops gradually between sixth and seventh weeks of fetal life. Colobomas present various parts of ocular tissue such as iris and/or uvea, lens, optic nerve or eyelid. Since it is embryopathological pathology CRC may also be associated with other ocular pathologies such as cataract, microphthalmia, anophthalmia.1−4

Incidence and transmission
Ocular colobomas are rare condition occurring in only 0.5 to 2.4 infants per 10,000 live births. The retinchoroidal colobomas present most frequently out of whole colobomas that accounting for 60-70% and reported to be 0.14% of the general population.15 CRC can be sporadic or genetically transmitted as an autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant or X-linked trait.2,3,16 Uhumwangho and Jalali2 reported parental consanguinity in 28.8% of the 198 patients in their series. Even it can present more frequently in some families as presented by several reports a specific mutation or genetic pathway was not found yet.

Presentation and histopathology
The severity of visual disability is highly variable dependent on many factors including size of coloboma, extent of macular or optic nerve involvement, and associated anomalies of the globe such as microphthalmos, microcornea, retrolubular cysts, nystagmus, that these clinical findings coexisted nearly in 90% of eyes.1,2,3,9 Vincent et al.10 reported that the visual acuity is generally poor and 87% of in eyes patients had a visual acuity worse than 20/200. Uhumwangho and Jalali2 observed 29.8% patient with unilateral involvement while 69.7% patient with bilateral chorioretinal coloboma. Some of the bilateral colobomas shows asymmetrical involvement due to its histopathological nature in both eyes and presented with different visual acuity level. Histologically in eyes with incomplete closure of the embryonic fissure, the inner layer which will develop into the neurosensory retina grows faster than the outer layer, which will develop into retina pigment epithelium (RPE). This uncoordinated development cause to laterally displacement and eversion of the RPE, so RPE is absent at the site of the coloboma. Since normal choroidal development is influenced by RPE, the defective development of RPE is leading to absence of choroid in the area of coloboma as well. The neurosensory retina splits into two layers near the margin of the lesion, the inner neuroblastic layer, called intercalary membrane (ICM) which is consist of undifferentiated abnormally thin retina continues in the base of the coloboma whereas the disorganized, outer neuroblastic layer fuses with the RPE. As a result of these maldevelopment, coloboma consists of lacks both choroid and RPE, combined with only rudimentary, ill developed retina. In the base of coloboma retina is gradually thins into the ICM with a few blood vessels over the sclera. Due to histological impaired differentiation and anatomic instability of the retina the risk of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is increased.1,4,7,9,10

Clinical findings and classifications
CRCs present as a prominent depigmente white zone within the fundus which usually located in the inferonasal quadrant that is the latest closure part of embryonic fissure. But they have significantly heterogeneous clinical appearance according to extent of involvement and effected part of different anatomical areas such as macula and optic nerve. Several classifications for CRCs have been reported based on anatomic localization and severity.2,9 Uhumwangho and Jalali2 reported 7 types of colobomas according to Ida Mann classification.

Type 1—coloboma extending above the anatomic disc,
Type 2—coloboma extending up to superior border of disc,
Type 3—coloboma extending below the lower border of disc,
Type 4—coloboma involving the disc only,
Type 5—coloboma present below the disc with normal retina above and below the coloboma,
Type 6—pigmentation present in the periphery,
Type 7—coloboma involving only the periphery (Figure 1).

Gopal11,13 described six types of disc involvement in eyes with coloboma as follows:

Type 1—Optic disc located outside the coloboma and having normal looking,
Type 2—Optic disc located outside the coloboma and having abnormal, dysplastic disc,

Type 3—Optic disc located outside the choroidal coloboma having independent coloboma & the disc either with a concentric or eccentric lesion,

Type 4—The disc included within the coloboma of the choroid having a nearly normal looking disc,

Type 5—The disc included within the coloboma of the choroid having with coloboma of the disc as well,

Type 6—Having no recognizable disc substance inside a large coloboma of the choroid.

It is reported that the both retinal and choroidal vessels patterns are directly affected by the size and area of the coloboma (Figure 2).13
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**Figure 1** The course of Retinal blood vessels on choroidal coloboma changed than normal pattern in the lower part of the fundus.
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**Figure 2** Involvement of optic disc in the coloboma.

## Complications

CRCs can cause different complications due to its histological nature and effected part of ophthalmic tissues. Retinal detachment is the most common complication of CRC and the rate of RRD is significantly higher than the general population. RDD related to CRC have been reported 2% and up to 8% in children under age of 10 in whole pediatric RD population group. RRD occurred in up to 40% of eyes with RCC.1,2,14,15 Retinal breaks develop at the junction of the undifferentiated abnormally thin retina and the normal differentiated extra colobomatous retina. Retinal breaks are hard to identify due to absence of retinal pigment epithelium and lack of contrast within these mal development tissue over the white sclera background.4,5,9,10,14,16

The presence of atrophic holes at the edge of coloboma or hidden breaks in the areas of haemorrhage contribute difficulties in finding the retinal breaks. Therefore exact localization of holes that cause of RRD could not be found in every patient. According to optical coherence tomography (OCT) analysis RRD in eyes with CRC have been found to be most common secondary to a breaks in the abnormally thin inner retina; ICM and communication between both the sub-ICM space and subretinal space.1,2,15,16 According to Rishi et al.17 report in eyes with optic disc involvement, fluid may enter subretinal space through the colobomatous defect in the optic nerve tissue.

## Prophylactic measures

Prophylactic Laser photocoagulation (PLP) is recommended along the coloboma margin to create a strong chorioretinal adhesion and reinforcing margins, by this way it provides significant benefit for reducing the risk of extracolobomatous retinal detachment.

Uhumwango et al.2 reported that retinal detachment occurred in 24.1% in eyes that did not have PLP comparing to 2.9% of eyes that received prophylactic photocoagulation. But in colobomas with optic nerve involvement, peripapillary laser photocoagulation on the side papillomacular bundle may cause nerve fiber layer damage, leading to poor visual outcome even it prevents retinal detachment. In addition PLP have some possible other complications including retinal new holes and retinal detachment due to lack of well developed RPE. For preventing these potential complications PLP should be done with light intensity burns that produce a minimal reaction, which is just enough to create the sufficient chorioretinal adhesion.1,2,18,19

## Management of RRD

Managing complex RRD in eyes with CRC is remaining a surgical challenge; difficulties may arise due to size of coloboma, locating the retinal breaks and associated ocular anomalies such as microphthalmia, cataract, or lens/iris coloboma.1,2,6,17-19 Several surgical techniques have been reported to increase success rate of these eyes. Before development of micro inscional vitreoretinal surgery Scleral buckling had been recommended for several years by different surgeons, such as Wang and Hilton20 reported success in buckling the margin of the coloboma with two radial buckles and Pataiak and Kalsi21 preferred buckling the entire coloboma. But Scleral buckling had poor success rate in reattachment of detached retina in most of the colobomatous eyes. With current advanced small gauge pars plana vitrectomy techniques with enhanced visualization and illumination systems, the optimal surgical method of choice for managing CRC related RRDs are pars plana vitrectomy, with or without scleral buckle, internal drainage of subretinal fluid and endo-tamponade using long-acting gas (octafluoropropane) or silicone oil.16,18,21-27 Rishi et al.17 reported a case with successful surgical outcome of pneumatic retinopexy with laser barrage to coloboma margin in a patient with retinal detachment with shallow SRF from a single break in the intercalary membrane with macula sparing retinochoroidal coloboma.

Some other alternative methods have been published such as Hotta K. et al.24 recommended cyanoacrylate glue for direct closure of the breaks. But direct closure could not be achieved in most cases because cyanoacrylate is not effective in sealing of detached, extremely thin
ICM. In contrast, glue may cause progressive atrophy and may enlarge the hole as the ICM contracts. 1,2 To reduce re-detachment rate and improve the rehabilitation process in long term, silicone oil is also recommended as a long-acting tamponade but it has been reported that silicone oil has the potential risk of getting into the subretinal space through the colobomatos defect.1,18,19,20 To prevent this complication the best approach is isolate the coloboma from the rest of the retina and create a border of chorioretinal adhesion. For this purpose internal Laser retinopexy is recommended to be applied at the edge of the coloboma and the primary breaks. It is difficult to create strong adhesion as the choroid and RPE are usually absent around the coloboma similar to PLP.1,20,21 Abouammoh et al.24 reported that anatomical success was achieved in 87.4% of their patient and it is significantly higher in eyes that received silicone oil tamponade. According to their results, Cryotherapy is strongly associated with poor anatomical outcomes and placement of an encircling band did not affect final anatomical results. They observed that primary break within the normal retina was found in 60% of eyes with recurrent RRD. Uhumwangho et al.2 observed that 46.7% patients had a recurrent retinal detachment that required reoperations in their series and visual acuity improved in 56.7% eyes, unchanged in 30.0% eyes and worsened in 13.3% of eyes after several operations in the follow-up period.

Conclusion

Generally patients with RCC are too young to sufficiently explain the visual changes, so many patients present with longstanding retinal detachments with macular involvement. Vincent et al.10 reported that 57% of patient was under the age of 2 at presentation. Therefore outcomes of retinal detachment surgery in most of cases with RCC have limited benefit even with recent advanced surgical techniques. As a conclusion choroidal coloboma is caused by incomplete closure of the embryonic fissure and congenital absence of the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid in effected part of fundus that appears clinically as a prominent white zone. The surgical management of RRD in eyes with RCC is challenging even with advanced surgical technique and the visual acuity is generally poor.
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